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Background: The aim of this study is to investigate the psychometric properties of a new measure of alexithymia, the Psychological Treatment Inventory-Alexithymia Scale (PTI-AS). Method: A group of 778 participants completed the PTI-AS. In order to evaluate aspects of concurrent validity, a part of the sample (n = 116) completed the PTI-AS, the Twenty-Items Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) and the Bermond-Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire (BVAQ). In order to evaluate aspects of discriminant validity a group of patients with a diagnosis of Eating Disorders completed the PTI-AS, the TAS-20 and the Eating Disorders Inventory (EDI-3). Results: Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) showed a solid structure with one factor. Results were confirmed by Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), which yielded good fit indices (CFI = .98; TLI = .95; RMSEA = .08; SRMR = .04). The PTI Alexithymia Scale showed a good degree of internal consistency (α = .88). Correlations between the PTI Alexithymia Scale, the TAS-20 (r = .74, p < .001) and the BVAQ (r = .40, p < .001) were statistically significant, supporting the scale’s concurrent validity. Conclusion: Thanks to its good psychometric properties the PTI-AS can be considered as a brief and useful measure for assessing alexithymia.
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Introduction

The term alexithymia (derived from the Greek a = lack, lexis = word and thymos = mood) was introduced by Sifneos (1973) to indicate a cognitive-affective disturbance that affects the way individuals regulate their emotions. It is defined as a multidimensional construct that refers to personality traits relating to difficulty in identifying and expressing feelings and the inability to distinguish between emotions and bodily sensations. Furthermore, it is also characterized by a reduction or incapacity to fantasize and to experience emotions (Nemiah & Sifneos, 1970; Taylor, Ryan, & Bagby, 1985).

Alexithymia should be considered as a risk factor for those medical, psychiatric, or behavioral problems that are influenced by disordered affect regulation (Taylor, Bagby, & Parker, 1997). In fact, alexithymia is associated with a failure to use adaptive affect regulation processes and it is hypothesized to be one of several factors that contribute to various physical and mental health problems including undifferentiated negative moods such as depression and anxiety, compulsive or addictive behaviors, physiological arousal, physical symptoms, and potentially somatic disease (Lumely, Neely, & Burger, 2007; Taylor et al., 1997). Several research have demonstrated that alexithymia is commonly related to many psychosomatic syndromes such as gastrointestinal disorders (Galeazzi, Ferrari, Mackinnon, & Rigatelli, 2004; Porcelli & De Carne, 2001; Porcelli, De Carne, & Todarello, 2004). It is also a common feature in patients with psychoactive abuse disorders (Cleland et al., 2005; De Rick & Vanheule, 2007), Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD; Spitzer et al., 2007; Zlotnick et al., 2001) and classic psychosomatic disorders (Porcelli et al., 1999; Portin-casa et al., 2003). There is also consistent evidence that alexithymia is elevated in people with eating disorders, such as bulimia and anorexia (Beales & Dolton, 2000; Berthoz et al., 2007; De Panfilis, Salvatore, Avanzini, Gariboldi, & Maggini, 2001; Kessler, Schwarze, Filipic, Traue, & van Wietersheim, 2006; Mazzeo & Espelage, 2002; Montebanocci et al., 2006; Pinaquy, Chabrol, Simon, Louvet, & Barbe, 2003; Zonneyville-Bender, van Goozen, Cohen-Kettenis, van Elburg, & van Engeland, 2002). In general, studies investigating alexithymia traits in patients with anorexia and bulimia nervosa have outlined that they appear to have high degrees of alexithymia compared to control groups (Beales & Dolton, 2000; Corcos et al., 2000; Montebanocci et al., 2006). These studies suggest that patients with a diagnosis of Eating Disorders have difficulties with interoceptive awareness and can be categorized as alexithymics. In specific, they seem to present a diminished capacity to articulate their affective experiences and to remain disconnected from their own subjective emotional functioning. This study aims to investigate the presence of alexithymia in a sample of subjects with a diagnosis of Eating Disorder and to analyze the psychometric properties of a new measure for assessing alexithymia.
With regard to alexithymia and its measurement, during the last few decades, several instruments have been developed with the aim of assessing and investigating its features, also in order to plan the psychological treatments (Apfel & Sifneos, 1979; Bales & Dalton, 2000; Bagby et al., 1994a, 1994b; Bagby et al., 2006; Bermond et al., 1994; Fava, Baldaro & Osti, 1980; Fava et al., 1995; Rafanelli et al., 2003). Some of the most known self-report scales, such as the Schalling-Sifneos Personality Scales (Apfel & Sifneos, 1979; Sifneos, 1986) and the MMPI Alexithymia Scale (Kleiger & Kinsman, 1980), were constructed hastily and with little attention to standard methods of test construction. As a result, subsequent investigations have shown that these scales lack reliability and validity (Taylor and Taylor, 1997). Measurement of the alexithymia construct remained a major problem until the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20; Bagby et al., 1994a, 1994b) was introduced: in fact, this self-report measure was developed following test development procedures and attention to adequate psychometric qualities; and this is actually one the most frequently used measure of alexithymia (Taylor et al., 2000).

Although it has good psychometric properties, the TAS-20 has been recently criticized for having various shortcomings. Vorst and Bermond (2001) argued that this instrument assesses only three factors of the putative characteristics of alexithymia: difficulty identifying emotions, difficulty describing emotions, and externally oriented thinking. Because the inability to fantasize and reduced experiencing of emotional feelings are not represented as separate factors in the TAS-20 (Kooman, Spin-hoven, & Trijsburg, 2002), Vorst and Bermond developed the Bermond-Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire (BVAQ; Bermond et al., 1994; Vorst and Bermond, 2001). Results demonstrate that a Principal Component Analysis of the BVAQ subscale interrelations yields a clear-cut two factor structure. This factor structure comprises an affective component and a cognitive component (Vorst & Bermond, 2001; Bermond et al., 2007). The total TAS-20 score shows correlations with the cognitive, but not with the emotional component of the BVAQ (Zech et al., 1999; Vorst & Bermond, 2001; Müller et al., 2004; Bermond et al., 2007). Both difficulty fantasizing and difficulty emotionalizing measured within the BVAQ remained statistically uncorrelated with the total TAS-20 and weakly correlated or uncorrelated with the TAS-20 scales. Therefore, used as a diagnostic instrument, the TAS-20 emphasizes the cognitive and underestimates the emotional component of alexithymia (Larsen et al., 2003). Regarding this statement, Parker et al. (2003) have argued that the TAS-20 yields three factors, which are congruent with and cover the salient facets of the construct. Items assessing fantasy and imaginal activity, functions which are reduced in alexithymia, were eliminated during the development of the scale primarily because they had high correlations with measures of social desirability. There is evidence to suggest that reduced fantasy and imaginal activity are assessed indirectly by the externally oriented thinking factor, which correlates negatively with a measure of fantasy and imaginal activity. In addition, the authors have stated that, while the first four factors correspond to the four salient features in Nemiah et al.’s (1976) definition of the alexithymia construct, emotionalizing is not part of the original definition. Therefore these additional characteristics should not be considered core components of alexithymia. Moreover, they argue with regard to practicality that the BVAQ contains forty items and it takes a long time to complete.

Considering these aspects the present article proposes a new, brief, and easily administered measure of alexithymia created in line with modern trends in self-report construction (e.g., Robins et al., 2001). The items of Psychological Treatment Inventory-Alexithymia Scale (PTI-AS) analyses five important dimensions of the construct: 1) difficulty in analyzing and identifying feelings; 2) fear of emotions; 3) difficulty in describing feelings; 4) inability to understand emotions; 5) difficulty in verbalizing sensations.

The PTI-AS is part of the Psychological Treatment Inventory (Gori, Giannini, & Schuldberg, 2008) a new, multidimensional measure that was designed to include items in various domains central to planning psychological treatment and evaluating its outcome. In the PTI each scale has been grouped in various clusters that belongs to 4 main areas. The areas and clusters are: 1) Validity; 2) Resources; it includes 2 clusters: Psychological Resources and Quality of Life; 3) Clinical; which includes 2 clusters (Symptomatology and Psychological Types); 4) Psychological Treatment; it is composed of 4 clusters: Attachment Styles; Predominant Defense Styles; Negative Treatment Indicators; Psychological Mindedness.

The PTI-AS has been included in the cluster Negative Treatment Indicators. The purpose of this research is to present evidence that this scale has good psychometric properties and can serve as a useful proxy for both the TAS-20 and BVAQ in a variety of research contexts.

First, the factor structure and the internal consistency of the scale are established. Then some aspects of concurrent validity are investigated by relating the PTI-AS to the TAS-20 and to BVAQ scores. Some aspects of discriminant validity are evaluated by comparing a clinical group of patients with eating disorders and a part of the non clinical group.

Method

Participants

Participants in this study were 778 persons (50.3% male, 49.7% female) with ages ranging from 18 to 63 years (M = 32.76; SD = 11.88), divided into two groups: 1) a non clinical sample composed of 743 subjects (54.9% male, 48.4% female) with a mean age of 33.7 (SD = 1.8); and 2) a clinical sample composed of 35 patients (17.8% male, 82.2 female) with a mean age of 26.33 years (SD = 9.27). The first group of participants (the non clinical sample) consisted of a convenience sample recruited for this study. The second group of participants (n = 35) was composed of patients with diagnoses of Eating Disorders. These subjects were recruited in various centres specializing in Eating Disorders treatments. All participants were Italian and completed the PTI Alexithymia Scale in a booklet form.

Measures

PTI-Alexithymia Scale (PTI-AS; Gori, Giannini, & Schuldberg, 2008). The PTI-AS consists of 5 items, each measured on a five-point Likert scale. The aim of this instrument is to assess symptoms of alexithymia, which is denoted by difficulty in identifying feelings, difficulty in describing feelings, difficulty analyzing feelings, and impoverishment of inner emotional life (inability to understand emotions and fear of emotions), employing the smallest number of items as possible. Twenty-Items Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20; Bagby et
was designed to examine five putative facets and two putative dimensions of alexithymia, as described previously. Each subscale (“identifying,” “describing,” “analyzing,” “fantasizing,” and “emotionalizing”) consists of 8 items, measured on a five-point Likert scale. The BVAQ exhibits a second-order factor structure: Two subscales (“fantasizing” and “emotionalizing”) constitute an affective dimension and three subscales (“identifying,” “describing,” and “analyzing”) make up a cognitive dimension. The total score of the BVAQ ranges from 40 to 200 points, with high scores indicating high proneness to alexithymia. Regarding its psychometric properties, Cronbach’s alpha coefficients range from .67 to .87 for each of the five subscales (Müller et al., 2004; Vorst & Bermond, 2001). The validity of the BVAQ is acceptable (Müller et al., 2004; Vorst & Bermond, 2001). In this study we used the Italian version of the BVAQ (Bermond et al., 2007; Ricci Bitti & Codispoti, 2002).

The Bermond-Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire (BVAQ; Bermond et al., 1994; Vorst & Bermond, 2001). The BVAQ consists of 40 items, making up two parallel forms (BVAQ-20A and BVAQ-20B) with 20 items each. This self-report measure was designed to examine five putative facets and two putative dimensions of alexithymia, as described previously. Each subscale (“identifying,” “describing,” “analyzing,” “fantasizing,” and “emotionalizing”) consists of 8 items, measured on a five-point Likert scale. The BVAQ exhibits a second-order factor structure: Two subscales (“fantasizing” and “emotionalizing”) constitute an affective dimension and three subscales (“identifying,” “describing,” and “analyzing”) make up a cognitive dimension. The total score of the BVAQ ranges from 40 to 200 points, with high scores indicating high proneness to alexithymia. Regarding its psychometric properties, Cronbach’s alpha coefficients range from .67 to .87 for each of the five subscales (Müller et al., 2004; Vorst & Bermond, 2001). The validity of the BVAQ is acceptable (Müller et al., 2004; Vorst & Bermond, 2001). In this study we used the Italian version of the BVAQ (Bermond et al., 2007; Ricci Bitti & Codispoti, 2002).

Eating Disorders Inventory-3 (EDI-3; Garner, 2004). The EDI-3 is a self-report instrument measuring psychological traits or constructs shown to be clinically relevant in individuals with Eating Disorders. This test consists of 91 items organized onto 12 primary scales, 3 eating disorder-specific scales (Drive for Thinness -DT-; Bulimia -B-; Body Dissatisfaction -BD-) and 9 general psychological scales (Low Self-Esteem -LSE-; Personal Alienation -PA-; Interpersonal Insecurity -II-; Interpersonal Alienation -IA-; Interceptive Deficits -ID-; Emotional Dysregulation -ED-; Perfectionism -P-; Asceticism -A-; Maturity Fears -MF-) that are highly relevant to, but not specific to, eating disorders.

It also yields six composites, one that is eating-disorder specific (Eating Disorder Risk -EDRC-) and five that tap general integrative psychological constructs (Ineffectiveness -IC-, Interpersonal Problems -IPC-, Affective Problems -AP-, Overcontrol -OC-, and Global Psychological Maladjustment -GPMC-). The reliability coefficients of the scales range from .80 and .90, and test-retest reliability coefficients for the various composite scales are between .93 and .98. The EDI-3 provides normative information for females with eating disorders who are aged 13 - 53 years. Normative data are also provided for the following DSM-IV-TR diagnostic groups: 1) Anorexia Nervosa-Restricting type; 2) Anorexia Nervosa-Binge-Eating/Purging type; 3) Bulimia Nervosa; and 4) Eating Disorders Not Otherwise Specified. The EDI-3 asks participants to indicate if items are true of them always (A), usually (U), often (O), sometimes (S), rarely (R), or never (N). In this study we used the Italian version of the EDI-3 (Giannini et al., 2008).

Procedures

Participants completed the Psychological Treatment Inventory-Alexithymia Scale (PTI-AS) in a booklet form. All participants, who voluntarily participated in this research gave also information about age, sex, gender, educational, and professional activities. For the non-clinical sample (group 1), both individual and group administration procedures were used. In order to assess some aspects of concurrent validity, a part of the non-clinical sample (group 1), composed of subjects with a mean age of 33.2 years (SD = 12.3), completed the PTI-AS, the Italian version of the Bermond-Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire (BVAQ), the Italian version of Twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20), and the Italian version of the Eating Disorders Inventory-3 (EDI-3).

In order to evaluate some aspects of Discriminant Validity, the PTI-AS was administered to a clinical sample of 35 patients (group 2). All of these patients had received an Eating Disorders diagnosis and were involved in a specific treatment for Eating Disorders. The instruments were administered by the psychiatrists and psychotherapists involved in the treatment of these patients. All patients completed an informed consent form after intake assessment.

Data Analysis

In order to investigate the distribution of the data in the sample descriptive statistics were calculated. We used factor analysis to identify the PTI-AS scale dimensionality, with the objective of assessing the validity of the hypothesized construct. Thus, for a portion of the sample (n = 378) a series of Exploratory Factor Analyses (EFA) with Principal Axis Factoring (PAF) were conducted in order to verify the factor structure of the PTI-Alexithymia Scale. Using the other portion of the sample (n = 400) we carried out a Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA). In order to evaluate the model’s goodness of fit a number of indices were used. Because the chi-square index is influenced by sample size (Schermelleh-Engel, Moosbrugger, and Muller, 2003), two relative indices of fit were evaluated because they are applicable to both large and small samples, the NNFI (Non-Normed Fit Index) and the CFI (Comparative Fit Index). Values greater than .95 for these indices are considered satisfactory (Schermelleh-Engel, Moosbrugger, and Muller, 2003). In addition, the RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error of Approximation) has been used as an absolute index of fit. Reliability was calculated using the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient (Cronbach, 1951). Aspects of concurrent validity were evaluated using the Pearson r coefficient. Aspects of discriminant validity was explored using ANOVA between the clinical group (n = 35) and a randomly selected sub-sample of the non-clinical group (n = 35). Statistical analysis were performed using SPSS software v. 18 and AMOS v. 6.0.

Results

Results of the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) showed a one-factor structure with 71.1% of the total variance explained.
The Factor Structure Matrix shows the correlations between variables and the scale’s factor (see Table 1).

The goodness-of-fit indicators showed a good fit of the model to the data; although the chi-square was significant ($\chi^2 = 20.30$, $p < .001$), the others goodness-of-fit indices showed satisfactory values (CFI = .98, TLI = .95 RMSEA = .08, SRMR = .04; see Figure 1).

The reliability of the scale, evaluated using the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient, showed a good level of internal consistency ($\alpha = .88$). Item-Total correlation values ranged from .70 (item 2) to .85 (item 5).

The PTI-AS showed good levels of correlation with the Italian version of the twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20; see Table 2).

In addition correlation among the PTI-AS and the scales of the Italian version of the Bermond-Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire (BVAQ) showed good values (see Table 3).

Correlation between the PTI-AS and the EDI-3 scales showed significant values: Drive for Thinness (DT) ($r < .41$, $p < .001$); Bulimia (B) ($r < .34$, $p < .01$); Body Dissatisfaction (BD) ($r < .40$, $p < .001$); Low Self-Esteem (LSE) ($r < .28$, $p < .05$); Personal Alienation (PA) ($r < .45$, $p < .001$); Interpersonal Insecurity (II) ($r < .33$, $p < .01$); Interpersonal Alienation (IA) ($r < .34$, $p < .01$); Interoceptive Deficits (ID) ($r < .39$, $p < .01$); Emotional Dysregulation (ED) ($r < .43$, $p < .001$); Perfectionism (P) ($r < .24$, $p < .05$); Asceticism (A) ($r < .36$, $p < .01$); Maturity Fears (MF) ($r < .25$, $p < .05$).

The ANOVA results showed that the clinical group obtained higher values of Alexithymia scores than the non-clinical group. All these differences are statistically significant (see Table 4).

Discussion

The growing interest in the subject of alexithymia is largely due to the work of Taylor and colleagues. Their instrument, the TAS-20, has made large-scale investigation of alexithymia possible and has served to bring alexithymia to the attention of scientists and practitioners. Therefore, in addition to the TAS-20 several measures have been developed, including the BVAQ. The aim of the present study was to investigate the psychometric properties of the PTI-AS, a new, brief, measure of alexithymia consisting of five items.

The results of this study with a large Italian-speaking adult community sample provide strong support for the validity of the one factor structure of the PTI-AS. This also confirmed the use of the total score of the scale as a dimensional measure of alexithymia. In addition, a Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) of an identical one factor model using a cross-validation sample can also be supported. Regarding the concurrent validity of the PTI-AS, the pattern of correlations indicates that the PTI-AS is associated with the three factors of the TAS-20 and the various aspects of alexithymia measured by the BVAQ. The PTI-AS and the TAS-20 total correlate highly ($r = .74$, $p < .001$). Also correlations between the PTI-AS and the BVAQ total ($r < .40$, $p < .001$) and the cognitive composite factor ($r = .63$, $p < .001$) are very high.

Correlation between the PTI-AS and the EDI-3 scales also showed higher values. The interest in evaluating a group of patients with Eating Disorder diagnoses, is due to the fact that several studies have suggested that patients suffering from anorexia and bulimia have difficulty with interoceptive awareness and show high levels of alexithymia (Beales & Dolton, 2000; Berthou et al., 2007; Corcos et al., 2000; De Panfilis, Salvatore, Avanzini, Gariboldi, & Maggini, 2001; Kessler, Schwarze, Filipic, Traue, & von Wietersheim, 2006; Mazzeo & Espelage, 2002; Montebanacci et al., 2006; Pinaquy, Chabrol, Simon, Louvet, & Barbe, 2003; Speranza et al., 2005; Zonneyville-Bender, van Goor, Cohen-Kettenis, van Elburg, & van Engeland, 2002).

The current study confirms results of previous studies on the importance of alexithymia among patients presenting with an eating disorder (Corcos et al., 2000; Montebanacci et al., 2006; Speranza et al., 2005). Specifically, the total PTI-AS scores of the clinical group were significantly higher compared to those of the control group (F [1,68] = 14.13, $p < .01$). In line with this finding, our clinical sample showed rating scale scores significantly higher than the control group scores for the total TAS-20 score and for the TAS-20 F1 and F2 subscales; we did not find significant differences between clinical group and control group for the F3 scores (“Externally oriented Thinking”).

Exploratory and Confirmatory Factor Analysis support the construct validity of the PTI-AS. In addition the PTI-AS has been demonstrated to provide very good discriminant validity, and it may be a useful diagnostic instrument in clinical contexts. A limitation of the instrument is indicated by its low correlations with the affective factors of the BVAQ, although accord-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item number</th>
<th>Item content</th>
<th>Factor 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 5</td>
<td>Difficulty in verbalizing sensations</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td>Difficulty in describing feelings</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>Difficulty in analyzing and identifying feelings</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 4</td>
<td>Inability to understand emotions</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>Fear of emotions</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.

Confirmatory factor analysis.

Table 2.
Correlation between the PTI-AS and the TAS-20 factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTI-AS</td>
<td>.70**</td>
<td>.55**</td>
<td>.32*</td>
<td>.74**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < .001; *p < .01.

Table 3.
Factor structure and content scale of the PTI-AS.
ing with Parker et al. (2003) these additional affective characteristics should be considered as correlates of alexithymia rather than core features of the construct. For future studies it would be useful to further investigate the psychometric properties of the PTI-AS scale using a larger clinical sample and it would be interesting to clarify the association between eating disorders and alexithymia in order to assess the existence and the direction of possible cause-effect relationships.
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