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Abstract. Geomorphic position often correlates with nutrient cycling across landscapes. In tropical forests, topography is known to influence phosphorus (P) availability, but its effect on nitrogen (N) cycling has received less exploration, especially in lowland forests where widespread N richness is frequently assumed. Here, we report significant effects of topographic slope and landscape position on multiple aspects of the N cycle across a highly dissected lowland tropical forest on the Osa Peninsula, Costa Rica. A suite of N cycle metrics measured along a topographic sequence revealed a distinct gradient in N availability. Values of soil $\delta^{15}$N, inorganic N pools, net nitrification rates, and nitrification potentials were all substantially lower on a flanking steep hillslope ($\approx 28^\circ$) compared to a relatively flat ridge top ($\approx 6^\circ$), indicating lower N availability and a less open N cycle in steep parts of the landscape. Slope soils also hosted smaller total carbon and nitrogen stocks and notably less weathered soil minerals than did ridge soils. These latter findings suggest that elevated N loss resulting from high rates of soil and particulate organic matter erosion could underpin the spatial variation in N cycling and availability. Expanding our analysis to the larger study landscape, a strong negative linear relationship between soil $\delta^{15}$N values and surface slope angles was observed. N isotope mass balance models suggest that this pattern is most plausibly explained by an increase in N loss via erosive, non-fractioning pathways from steep zones, as most other variables commonly assumed to affect soil $\delta^{15}$N values (such as temperature, precipitation, and vegetation type) did not vary across the sampled region. Together, these results reveal notable hillslope-scale variation in N richness and suggest an important role for non-fractionating N loss in the maintenance of this pattern. Such findings highlight the importance of geomorphology and the significant capacity of erosion to influence N availability in steepland ecosystems.
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Introduction

Tropical forests display notable heterogeneity in nutrient cycling (Cuevas and Medina 1986, Vitousek and Sanford 1986, Laurance et al. 1999, Porder et al. 2005, Nardoto et al. 2008), and nutrient constraints on ecosystem processes vary from local to regional scales even within humid lowland forests (Kaspari et al. 2008, Townsend et al. 2011, Wright et al. 2011, Alvarez-Clare et al. 2013). In large part, such variation is a product of unique and diverse combinations in the state factors that regulate terrestrial ecosystem processes and soil development (i.e., climate, organisms, topography, parent material, time; Jenny 1941, Amundson and Jenny 1997, Townsend et al. 2008). This nutrient cycling heterogeneity presents a substantial challenge to predicting ecosystem function across diverse tropical landscapes (Randerson et al. 2009, Cleveland et al. 2011, Townsend et al. 2011). Resolving the drivers and resultant effects of this variation is essential, as tropical forests will play important roles in a host of global environmental change scenarios (Nemani et al. 2003, Clark 2004, Bonan 2008).

Topography is one of the state factors that contributes to landscape-scale variation in tropical nutrient cycling. On short timescales, high rainfall can cause significant hydrologic transport, redistributing nutrients and carbon downslope and along flowpaths (McSwiney et al. 2001, Chaves et al. 2009). On longer timescales, geomorphology regulates nutrient cycling via effects on soil development and residence times (Jenny 1941, Walker and Syers 1976, Tiessen et al. 1994, Birkeland, 2008).
For example, in low-gradient forests of the Amazon basin, topographic position can cause large differences in soil development, with quartz sand Spodosols forming in seasonally inundated valleys vs. high-clay Oxisols on upper slopes and ridges. These soil development trends affect nutrient availability and determine spatial patterns of nitrogen (N) vs. phosphorus (P) limitation of forest productivity (Tiessen et al. 1994). Topography has also been shown to affect soil nutrient status in high-gradient landscapes (Porder et al. 2005), but there, physical erosion plays an increasingly important role in spatial patterns of nutrient availability.

Slope gradient is among the central drivers of the rate of physical erosion in soil-mantled landscapes (Heimsath et al. 1997, Roering et al. 1999), and differences in slope-mediated landscape stability create differences in soil residence times across steeply dissected terrain. Thus while humid, low-latitude regions are known for their deep, highly weathered soils that are depleted in primary minerals and nutrients derived from rock weathering (Johnsson and Stallard 1989, Thomas 1994, Buss et al. 2010), the extent of rock-derived nutrient depletion is strongly affected by topography. Particularly where landscape form is still adjusting to changes in erosion regime (Ahnert 1994), steep tropical hillslopes maintain thinner, less weathered soils than nearby ridges and tend to have a higher abundance of elements derived from primary mineral weathering, including P (Scatena and Lugo 1995, Vitousek et al. 2003, Porder et al. 2007). The rejuvenation of rock-derived nutrients (particularly P) by erosion has broad ecological significance because P limitation is thought to be common in late-successional lowland tropical forests (Vitousek and Sanford 1986, Cleveland et al. 2011).

The physical removal of surface soils from steep slopes can rejuvenate the supply of rock-derived nutrients such as P, but it may also lead to substantial losses of N, as soil N concentrations are highest at the surface. Mature lowland tropical forests are commonly characterized as N-rich, with an open N cycle (i.e., large losses of N from bioavailable pools), as a result of N accumulation in excess of demand (Vitousek and Matson 1988, Martinelli et al. 1999). There is ample evidence supporting this paradigm (Vitousek and Sanford 1986, Vitousek and Farrington 1997, Hedin et al. 2009), yet much of it is drawn from the study of geomorphologically stable sites with low rates of physical erosion. For wet tropical forests in actively eroding terrain, both nutrient inputs and losses due to erosion may regulate spatial patterns of soil fertility and associated ecosystem functions (Scatena and Lugo 1995, Porder and Hilley 2011), and should thus be considered. Where erosion rates vary across the landscape, the potential exists for significant effects on N as well as P cycling, and likely in the opposite direction for the different nutrients. However, effects on N cycling have been less thoroughly explored, especially in the lowland tropics.

Unlike lithogenic nutrients, which become depleted over time (Walker and Syers 1976), most N enters ecosystems from the atmosphere (though see Holloway and Dahlgren 2002) and tends to accumulate in surface soils as they age (Jenny 1941, Olff et al. 1993, Chapin et al. 1994, Vitousek 2004). Indeed, the broad paradigm of N-rich, P-poor forest soils rests in part on sufficiently long soil residence times that allow for substantial N accumulation and concurrent P depletion via chemical weathering and leaching (Walker and Syers 1976, Crews et al. 1995). However, high rates of erosion of topsoil and detritus from steep slopes may constrain N accumulation, preventing hillslopes from achieving the typical N-rich state often found in tropical flatlands. At the watershed scale, empirical studies and models point toward high physical erosion losses from mountainous tropical catchments (Shanley et al. 2011, Pellerier 2012, Zimmermann et al. 2012), with potentially large losses of particulate nitrogen as well (Kao and Liu 2000, Townsend-Small et al. 2005; Taylor et al., in press). Recent evidence also suggests that hillslope-scale variation in erosion rates may be linked to local soil N dynamics. For example, across montane tropical forests in Taiwan and Peru, Hilton et al. (2013) observed a decline in soil $\delta^{15}$N values with increasing slope angles. By applying $\delta^{15}$N mass balance models to this data, the authors were able to attribute this pattern to increased non-fractionating, erosive N loss on steeper slopes relative to other N-loss pathways. In a lower-gradient temperate landscape, Amundson et al. (2003) similarly observed a decline in soil $\delta^{15}$N values with an increase in slope angle and invoked higher rates of soil N erosion and less-open N cycling (or less N loss from biologically available pools in favor of biologically unavailable ones) on steeper slopes. These studies suggest that soil N dynamics may be influenced by local geomorphic processes, yet to date there have been few attempts to assess more broadly whether geomorphology causes ecologically relevant variation in N availability, especially across dissected lowland forests where widespread N abundance is often assumed.

In this context, we explored links between topography and N cycling in a dissected, humid lowland tropical forest in southwest Costa Rica. The study region is characterized by a mixture of forested hillslopes and ridge tops dissected by bedrock streams. We hypothesized that steep slopes would have substantially lower N availability than the relatively flat, stable ridge tops, and that physical removal of surface soil and organic matter could be invoked to explain lower stocks and flows of N in steep regions.

**Methods**

**Study site**

This study was conducted in a primary lowland tropical forest at the Rio Piro Research Station on the
southern Osa Peninsula, southwest Costa Rica (8°24′42″ N, 83°20′00″ W). The study forest is carbon-dense with large-statured trees (mean canopy height of 45 m; P. G. Taylor et al., unpublished data), contains a diverse assemblage of canopy and understory plants (Weber et al. 2001), and has no known history of deforestation or land-use change. The area receives 3400 mm of rain per year and has a mean annual temperature of 26°C. Rainfall is distinctly seasonal; from January to March (the dry season), rainfall averages less than 100 mm per month; thereafter, heavy rains are common, with peak rains from September to November.

Soils of the Osa Peninsula are predominantly Ultisols, with areas of Inceptisols in steep regions and Entisols in alluvial zones (Berrange and Thorpe 1988). In the environs of the Rio Piro Research Station, soils are derived from Pliocene graywacke-type marine and continental conglomerates, sandstones, and siltstones, with sediments deposited in a turbid marine environment (Buchs et al. 2009, Gardner et al. 2013). These sedimentary formations, which may contain N, unconformably overlay the basement rocks of the Osa Mélangé (Cabo Matapalo Unit), which consist of a matrix of Eocene–Miocene limestones and basalts (Buchs et al. 2009, Gardner et al. 2013).

The peninsula is undergoing uplift at a long-term average rate between 1.7 and 8.5 mm/yr, due to the northeast-directed subduction of the aseismic Cocos Ridge beneath the Caribbean plate to its west (Gardner et al. 1992, 2013). This fast rate of uplift, combined with high rainfall, has created a highly dissected, geomorphologically dynamic landscape (Fig. 1a; Bern et al. 2007). Denudation rates have not been previously quantified for the region, but we estimated landscape lowering using the minimum eroded volume approach (Giaconia et al. 2012, Cooley 2013) and a high-resolution digital elevation model (DEM) of our study site. Eroded volumes of three small, forested catchments (10–15 ha) within the Piro Research Station were calculated from a 1.12 × 1.12 m DEM, generated from airborne light detection and ranging (LiDAR) data by the Carnegie Airborne Observatory (Asner et al. 2012). Assuming local uplift rates of 2.2–2.5 mm/yr (Gardner et al. 2013), we calculated lowering rates of ~0.1 ± 0.02 mm/yr. If local rock bulk density is ~2.65 g/cm³, this would equate to ~2100 ± 500 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ of material eroded from the catchments. Using a watershed monitoring approach, Taylor et al. (in press) recently measured catchment-scale fine suspended sediment exports of 1510 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ from a forest on the northwestern Osa Peninsula, with 14.5 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ of particulate organic N exported with this sediment (Taylor et al., in press). Though these two erosion estimates were generated using very different techniques, their similarity is notable and helps put quantitative constraints on regional erosion fluxes.

Field and laboratory methods

To investigate links between topography and N cycling in this landscape, we established a topographic sequence within a 12-ha headwater catchment of the Piro River. We focused on sampling the three dominant geomorphic landscape units found in our study region: (1) broad ridge crests, (2) gentle shoulder slopes, and (3) steep hillslopes bounded by streams. We established three 30 m long transects along the contours of a single ridge (6°) to shoulder (13°) to steep slope (28°) convex toposequence (Fig. 1b). Low-angle toe-slopes and concave floodplains are virtually absent in the study region (Bern et al. 2007), and thus were intentionally excluded from the study design. The distance between the upper- and lowermost transects was 90 m and the change in relative elevation was 25 m.

In order to characterize broad trends in N cycling and availability at these three landscape positions, we measured a suite of soil N pools and process rates.
While some of the variables measured integrate long-term processes and should thus be relatively time invariant, others were more likely to show temporal trends. For variables in the latter category, we measured pools and fluxes multiple times where possible in order to ensure patterns with topography were consistent over time. If only one measurement of a process was made, it was targeted to the period of peak biological activity at our site. (i.e., the mid-wet season). We collected 10 soil samples per position (~100 g each) from a 0–20 cm depth for analysis of δ15N values in July 2010. Values of δ15N are widely considered to be an integrated metric of ecosystem N status, as they are sensitive to rates and isotopic signatures of N inputs and losses (Handley et al. 1999, Martinelli et al. 1999, Brenner et al. 2001, Amundson et al. 2003). Soil samples were effectively free of coarse fragments; they were homogenized and roots were removed by hand, then they were transported to the University of Colorado (Boulder, Colorado, USA), where they were dried, ground to 20 μm, and sent to the Center for Stable Isotope Biogeochemistry at the University of California (Berkeley, California, USA) for isotopic analysis of bulk soil N. The natural abundance of bulk soil 15N was calculated as: δ15N (%o) = [(Rsample/ R standard) − 1] × 10000, where R is the ratio of 15N/14N of the sample or standard (atmospheric N2). The standard deviation of the isotope measurements, determined using internal laboratory standards, was 0.1‰ (S. Mambelli, personal communication).

Four times over the course of the 2010 wet season (April, July, August, and October), soils were sampled for inorganic N by extracting 10 0–20 cm soil cores (separated by 3–4 m) from along each transect. Within one day of soil collection for extractable N, in situ soil nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions were also measured using pre-installed sampling collars (n = 10 per transect) and following the field and laboratory methods described in detail in Wieder et al. (2011). For extractable N, ~8 g fresh soil was added to 30 mL of 2 mol/L potassium chloride (KCl), then after 3 h of repeated shaking and 1 h of settling, solutions were filtered through 0.7-μm Whatman glass fiber filters (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA) and frozen. Solutions were analyzed colorimetrically for ammonium (NH4+) using a Synergy HT microplate reader (Biotek, Highland Park, Vermont, USA) and nitrate (NO3−) using an Alpkem autoanalyzer (OI Analytical, College Station, Texas, USA) at the University of Colorado. Soil subsamples were dried at 105°C for 48 h and all measurements are reported on a dry-mass basis.

Rates of net N mineralization, net nitrification, and nitrification potential were determined for each landscape position in July 2011. Ten 0–20 cm soil samples were collected from each transect and split in two. One set of samples were used for immediate KCl extraction; the others were buried in a bag beneath the leaf litter for 5 d, then extracted, filtered, and analyzed. Net rates were calculated as the difference between initial and day-5 total inorganic N for N mineralization and NO3− for net nitrification (Hart et al. 1994). Fresh subsamples from this collection were transported to the University of Colorado for analysis of nitrification potential. In the laboratory, 10 g of soil was added to 100 mL of a 0.5 mmol/L NH4+–N buffer solution (Stark and Firestone 1996). A 10-mL subsample of this slurry was immediately removed, filtered, and analyzed colorimetrically for NO3−. The remaining slurry was incubated aerobically in the dark on an orbital shaker for 24 h, followed by filtration and colorimetric analysis. The nitrification potential was calculated as the difference in initial and final NO3− concentrations.

To place measurements of N pools and fluxes in the context of longer-term soil development trends, a host of soil chemical, physical, and mineralogical properties was measured along the toposequence. We sampled 10 soil profiles per transect to a depth of 1 m in 20 cm depth increments using a 3 cm diameter soil sampling tube. Samples, effectively free of coarse fragments, were homogenized and roots were removed by hand. At the University of Colorado, soils were dried, ground to 20 μm, weighed into tin capsules, and analyzed for bulk C and N (%) using a Carlo Erba EA 1110 elemental analyzer (CE Elantech, Lakewood, New Jersey, USA). C and N inventories for each soil depth were calculated by multiplying soil bulk density (g/cm3) by C or N concentration (%) by the depth interval (cm), with no correction for coarse fragments, as they are effectively absent from these highly weathered tropical soils. Bulk density was estimated by digging pits down to 1 m near each transect (n = 2) and using a 6 cm diameter bulk density corer (154 cm3 volume) to remove soil from the center of each depth interval. This soil was subsequently dried at 105°C for 48 h.

Soil particle size distributions were measured on a subset of soils from upper and lower horizons (n = 3 per transect per depth interval) at the University of Montana (Missoula, Montana, USA) using laser diffraction and a Malvern Mastersizer 2000e (Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK), following the methods recommended by Sperazza et al. (2004). A sodium hexametaphosphate solution (5.5 g/L) was used as a dispersant, the pump speed was set to 2000 rpm, obscuration was mostly between 10% and 20%, and the particle refractive index was set to 1.57 while absorption was set to 1. The quantitative mineralogical composition was determined for a subset of 0–20 cm depth surface soils (n = 4 composite samples per transect) using X-ray diffraction (XRD) with a D5000 x-ray diffractometer (Siemens, Munich, Germany) in the University of Colorado Sediment Analysis Laboratory, following the protocol outlined in Dühnforth et al. (2012). XRD samples were treated with hydrogen peroxide to remove organics, dried, and ground to 20 μm in a McCrone mill with a zinc oxide internal standard. We prepared random mounts, and XRD patterns were collected for 5–65° 20 with a 0.02° step.
size. The RockJock software (Erbel 2003) was used to assign mineral identity and mass percentages. The pH of surface soils was measured using an Accumet AB15 pH meter (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA) with a 1:1 soil to deionized water ratio and a half-hour equilibration period.

Finally, in order to expand our exploration of links between topography and N cycling to the larger study landscape, we measured soil δ15N values at 26 distinct locations spanning the range of slope angle variability found in the local forests (≥5° to −45°). This sampling was conducted within a 50-ha area and a 100-m range in elevation, such that climate and forest type of the sampled locations did not vary. The lowest slope angles corresponded to convex ridge top and shoulder slope positions; low-angle toe-slopes and concave floodplains (virtually absent in this region) were not part of the sampling. At each location, surface litter was removed and a shallow mineral soil sample (0–10 cm depth, −100 g) was collected. Soils were effectively free of coarse fragments; they were homogenized and roots were removed by hand. Global positioning system (GPS) coordinates were recorded so that surface slope angles of the sampling locations could be calculated using the LiDAR DEM of the study site. Samples were analyzed for bulk soil δ15N values by the Center for Stable Isotope Biogeochemistry at the University of California.

Statistical analyses

We employed a multivariate approach to examine whether N-cycle profiles differed significantly (α = 0.05) along the toposequence. Eight measurements of soil N pools and fluxes at the three landscape positions were used for this multivariate analysis: soil δ15N values; mean extractable NH4+ and NO3−; and mean N2O fluxes from the 2010 wet season; net nitrification and net mineralization rates; the ratio of net nitrification to net N mineralization; and nitrification potentials. These metrics were used to create a distance matrix and conduct a principal components analysis (PCA) and PERMANOVA test to examine differences in integrated N-cycle characteristics with landscape position.

The eight N-cycle metrics were also analyzed individually to examine which ones differed by landscape position. Mixed-effects models were employed, with geomorphic position as a fixed effect and sampling location along each transect as a random effect, in order to account for nonindependence of samples collected along the transects (Zuur et al. 2010). Date was also initially included as a fixed effect in linear models for [NH4+], [NO3−], and N2O flux, as these metrics were measured multiple times over the 2010 wet season. However, since there were no significant interactions between date and geomorphic position, and seasonal variation in these variables was not the main focus of the study, we used plot means for the final analyses in order to exclude the date variable and focus on the effects of landscape position. Response variables were either natural-log- or square-root-transformed if residuals were heteroscedastic. Although autocorrelation between measured variables was generally low (only three of 28 Spearman rank correlation tests returned r values >0.7), both unadjusted and Bonferroni-adjusted P values were calculated in order to account for multiple comparisons. The latter were derived by multiplying the former by eight, i.e., the number of comparisons made.

A similar mixed-model approach was used to test for differences in surface soil (0–20 cm) C and N concentrations, C:N ratios, and pH, as well as total C and N stocks in the top 20, 40, and 100 cm of soils across the toposequence. A regression coefficient for the log of C or N pool vs. the log of depth below the surface was calculated for each of the 10 profiles per transect. Differences in these regression slopes by landscape position were then tested using mixed models. Again, we report both unadjusted and Bonferroni-adjusted P values from these statistical tests in order to account for multiple comparisons. In this case, unadjusted P values were multiplied by 12. Means and standard deviations for soil particle size and mineralogy data were calculated, but statistical tests were not conducted due to small sample sizes.

Finally, linear regression was used to examine the relationship between soil δ15N and the sin of surface slope angle (θ) in the larger landscape sampling. DEM-based slope angles at a 10 m length scale were used for this analysis, and a Moran’s I test was conducted to assess whether the residuals of this model were spatially autocorrelated. Pearson correlation was also used to examine the relationship between surface soil C:N and δ15N, including data from the toposequence as well as the wider landscape sampling. Statistical analyses were conducted using R (R Development Core Team 2011).

Results

The PCA and PERMANOVA test revealed significant (all α = 0.05) differences in N-cycle profiles with landscape position (F2,25 = 10.47, P = 0.001, R2 = 0.46; Fig. 2). Ridge and steep slope positions had the most dissimilar N-cycle profiles, while the shoulder slope was intermediate. Axis 1 of the N-metrics PCA, which explained 49.4% of the variance in N cycling profiles (Fig. 2), was driven by metrics associated with production or accumulation of soil nitrate, including mean extractable NO3− concentrations, net nitrification rate, and nitrification potential rate.

When examined individually, six of the eight N metrics differed substantially among landscape positions (Fig. 3; Appendix: Table A1). Ridge soil NO3− concentrations (1.78 ± 0.25 μg N/g), net nitrification rates (0.33 ± 0.09 μg N g−1 d−1), and nitrification potentials (10.12 ± 2.14 μg N g−1 d−1) were all at least an order of magnitude higher (P < 0.001 and P Bonf < 0.005 for pairwise comparisons) than respective pools and fluxes on the slope. Net N mineralization rates did not vary with landscape position,
but the ratio of net nitrification to net mineralization tended to vary ($F_{2,16} = 4.56, P = 0.027$ and $P_{\text{Bon}} = 0.137$) and exceeded 1 only on the ridge (Appendix: Table A1). For five of the six metrics that displayed notable variation with topographic position, shoulder and steep slope soils were similar to each other but significantly different from the ridge. The exception to this was $\delta^{15}$N values, which were similar on the ridge and shoulder ($\sim 6.3\% \pm 0.1\%$) but different on the slope ($5.1\% \pm 0.2\%$). Soil $N_2O$ fluxes (grand mean $\sim 0.5 \pm 0.1$ ng N cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) did not vary significantly by landscape position (Fig. 3; Appendix: Table A1).

Both concentrations and total stocks of soil C and N varied significantly with landscape position (Table 1). Ridge soils had higher surface C concentrations (4.0%) compared to lower soil C in shoulder and slope soils ($\sim 2.9\%$). Surface soil N concentrations were highest on the ridge (0.4%), intermediate on the shoulder (0.3%), and lowest on the slope (0.25%). Surface soil C:N ratios (mass basis) were significantly higher on the steep slope (11.8) compared to other landscape positions ($\sim 10$). Integrated to 1 m depth, ridge soils contained the most C and N, followed by the shoulder, with steep slopes containing roughly half of the C and N found in ridge tops (Table 1; Appendix: Tables A2 and A3). Stocks declined with depth below the surface most strongly in the steep part of the landscape, displaying a more modest decline with depth on the ridge and shoulder slope (Fig. 4). The regression coefficients of these relationships differed for C ($F_{2,27} = 7.0, P = 0.006, P_{\text{Bon}} = 0.068$) and N ($F_{2,26} = 8.9, P = 0.002, P_{\text{Bon}} = 0.027$).

Soils displayed distinct physical and mineralogical characteristics along the toposequence. Fine silt particles (2–30 $\mu$m) dominated soil profiles across the study site (55–70% by mass), while clays (<2 $\mu$m) only accounted for 10–15% of soil particles at the surface. However, ridge profiles contained a zone of clay accumulation at 1 m depth, where clay enrichment compared to the surface was 127% (Table 2). By contrast, we observed no change in the mass percentage of clay at depth on the slope (0%) and only moderate clay accumulation at depth on the shoulder (48%). Surface soils on the ridge contained $\sim 13\%$ gibbsite (a completely desilicated aluminum hydroxide mineral), whereas slope soils contained only $\sim 0.05\%$ gibbsite, and were more abundant in siliceous aluminum-bearing
secondary minerals such as halloysite and kaolinite (Table 2). Thus, the ratio of gibbsite/(gibbsite + halloysite + kaolinite), which is often an indicator of the degree of chemical weathering in tropical soils (Johnsson and Stallard 1989, Fisher and Ryan 2006, Kleber et al. 2007), was an order of magnitude larger on the ridge than it was on the slope. Ridge and shoulder slope soils were more enriched in quartz compared to the slope soils, while slopes had double the abundance of 2:1 clays (illite + smectite).

Finally, with a more widespread sampling of the study landscape, a significant negative linear relationship between surface slope angle and soil $\delta^{15}$N values was observed ($F_{1,24} = 32.6, P < 0.001, R^2 = 0.58$; Fig. 5 and Appendix: Table A4). The residuals of this model were not significantly spatially autocorrelated (Moran’s $I = -0.20, P = 0.177$). There was also a strong, negative linear association between soil C:N ratios and $\delta^{15}$N values ($P < 0.001, R = -0.88$; Fig. 6)

**DISCUSSION**

Variation in soil residence time and weathering status has been invoked to explain changes in relative nutrient content and constraints over large spatial gradients (i.e., high vs. low latitudes) and through time (i.e., chronosequences; Walker and Syers 1976, Vitousek and Farrington 1997, Hedin et al. 2003, Reich and Oleksyn 2004, Porder and Hilley 2011). The results presented here concur with other studies suggesting nutrient cycling dynamics and patterns of limitation may vary as much at local scales in dissected landscapes as they do across large spatial gradients and long time periods. This phenomenon and its drivers have been studied in the context of P availability (Scatena and Lugo 1995, Vitousek et al. 2003,}

---

**TABLE 1. Soil C and N and $\delta^{15}$N values at the three landscape positions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Ridge</th>
<th>Shoulder</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>$F_{2,18}$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>$P_{Bon}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil C (%)</td>
<td>4.00 $^a$ (0.17)</td>
<td>2.87 $^b$ (0.12)</td>
<td>2.86 $^b$ (0.23)</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil N (%)</td>
<td>0.39 $^a$ (0.01)</td>
<td>0.29 $^{ab}$ (0.01)</td>
<td>0.24 $^b$ (0.01)</td>
<td>39.75</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil C:N</td>
<td>10.3 $^a$ (0.17)</td>
<td>10.0 $^b$ (0.16)</td>
<td>11.8 $^b$ (0.32)</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N (%)</td>
<td>6.22 $^b$ (0.12)</td>
<td>6.43 $^a$ (0.13)</td>
<td>5.07 $^a$ (0.23)</td>
<td>19.69</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C stocks (kg/m$^2$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Ridge</th>
<th>Shoulder</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>$F_{2,18}$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>$P_{Bon}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 cm</td>
<td>5.33 (0.22)</td>
<td>4.28 (0.18)</td>
<td>4.30 (0.35)</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 cm</td>
<td>8.67 $^b$ (0.28)</td>
<td>6.70 $^b$ (0.21)</td>
<td>6.33 $^b$ (0.49)</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 cm</td>
<td>14.47 $^b$ (0.33)</td>
<td>11.56 $^b$ (0.42)</td>
<td>8.85 $^a$ (0.47)</td>
<td>46.97</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N stocks (kg/m$^2$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Ridge</th>
<th>Shoulder</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>$F_{2,18}$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>$P_{Bon}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 cm</td>
<td>0.51 $^b$ (0.02)</td>
<td>0.43 $^b$ (0.01)</td>
<td>0.36 $^b$ (0.02)</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 cm</td>
<td>0.84 $^b$ (0.03)</td>
<td>0.67 $^b$ (0.02)</td>
<td>0.55 $^a$ (0.04)</td>
<td>24.82</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 cm</td>
<td>1.42 $^b$ (0.03)</td>
<td>1.16 $^b$ (0.04)</td>
<td>0.76 $^a$ (0.06)</td>
<td>41.23</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values are means, with SE in parentheses, and different superscripted letters indicate significant ($\alpha = 0.05$) differences between positions according to Bonferroni-adjusted $P$ values. Concentrations and $\delta^{15}$N values are for surface soils, 0–20 cm depth, stocks are taken at three soil depths.

**Fig. 4.** Log-log relationships between (a) soil C and (b) soil N stocks (both shown with ±SE; both originally measured in kg/m$^2$) and depth below the surface (originally measured in cm). Regression coefficients for the slope were significantly different compared to ridge and shoulder for both C and N.
Porder et al. 2005), but our results suggest topographic effects on N may be equally important to consider.

Evidence that N dynamics vary substantially with topography in this dissected forest comes from detailed work along the topographic sequence as well as broader sampling of the landscape. Of eight N-cycle metrics measured along the toposequence, six implied N-poor conditions on the steep slope when compared with higher N availability and relatively N-rich conditions on the flat ridge top (Fig. 3). Soil nitrate pools, net nitrate production rates, the ratio of net nitrate production to net N mineralization, and nitrification potential rates all approached detection limits on the steep slope but were substantially and significantly higher on the flat ridge. The gently sloping zone (i.e., shoulder) exhibited N-cycle properties that were intermediate (Fig. 2), sharing some characteristics with both end-members. Not only were inorganic N pools and nitrate production rates low on the steep slope, but total soil N concentrations were also lower and C:N ratios were higher compared to the ridge. Thus, while N limitation on the steep slope was not assessed directly, the picture of N availability painted by the multiple indicators measured did not suggest N cycling in excess of demand. Instead, the N cycle on steep slopes appeared more similar to young or recently disturbed tropical forests (e.g., Hall and Matson 2003, Davidson et al. 2007) which tend to experience N constraints to ecosystem processes (Davidson et al. 2004).

**TABLE 2.** Soil fine fraction physico-chemical and mineralogical properties at the three landscape positions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Ridge</th>
<th>Shoulder</th>
<th>Slope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particle size distribution (sand : silt : clay)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay enrichment (%)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gb/(Gb + Hal + Kao)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smectite + illite (mass percentage)</td>
<td>4.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>11.0 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz (mass percentage)</td>
<td>27.4 (2.5)</td>
<td>25.8 (3.6)</td>
<td>17.6 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil pH</td>
<td>6.21 (0.06)</td>
<td>5.72b (0.07)</td>
<td>5.63b (0.06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Values are means, with SE in parentheses, and different superscripted letters indicate significant (α = 0.05) differences between positions. For particle size distribution, n = 3 samples; bulk density, n = 2; for mineralogy, n = 4; for pH, n = 10. For mineralogy, relationship between gibbsite (Gb), halloysite (Hal), and kaolinite (Kao) is shown. Particle size distribution is shown at two soil depths.

![Digital elevation map of the study forest](image1.png)

**Fig. 5.** (a) Digital elevation map of the study forest, with white crosses indicating sites of the larger landscape sampling and the white circle indicating location of the study toposequence. (b) Soil δ¹⁵N values vs. slope angle from the larger landscape sampling, with error bars indicating two standard deviations of the isotope measurements. Gray shaded region is the 95% confidence interval for the linear regression: δ¹⁵N = −3.745 × slope (sinθ) + 6.553; \( R^2 = 0.576 \).
Patterns observed at the hillslope scale were in line with observations across the larger landscape, specifically the negative correlation between surface slope angle and soil δ15N values (Fig. 5). High values of soil δ15N are commonly attributed to large losses of N from biologically available pools (Austin and Vitousek 1998) and are thus interpreted as an indicator of N-rich conditions (Martinelli et al. 1999, McLaughlan et al. 2013). In the context of hillslopes, it has recently been argued that declining soil δ15N values with increasing slope angles (similar to what we observed here) indicate a shift toward increased N loss from non-fractionating pathways, such as particulate N erosion and organic N leaching, relative to pathways that involve isotopic fractionation, such as nitrification–nitrate leaching or denitrification (Amundson et al. 2003, Hilton et al. 2013). This mechanism seems to fit with our empirical data from the toposequence, as we observed low nitrate abundance and production rates as well as lower surface soil N contents on high-angle sites. The negative relationship between slope angle and soil δ15N values observed in this study is consistent with observations from montane tropical forests of Peru and Taiwan (Hilton et al. 2013), suggesting geomorphic controls on forms of N loss may be important across a wide range of incised tropical forests.

15N mass balance models can be used to quantitatively explore how variation in non-fractionating losses relates to N isotope patterns (Hilton et al. 2013). As soil and organic matter erosion increase relative to other (fractionating) N-loss pathways, the apparent fractionation factor of the ecosystem, a key parameter in steady-state 15N models, approaches 1, or no fractionation during loss. This causes δ15N values to approach those of inputs, which, if atmospheric, are near 0. Following Hilton et al. (2013) and applying N isotope mass balance models parameterized for our site (Appendix: Table A5), the observed ~3‰ decline in δ15N values from flat to steep regions can be explained by an increase in the contribution of non-fractionating losses (erosion and organic N leaching) from 0% to 45% of estimated annual N loss, translating to 0 to 4.5 kg N·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹ (Appendix: Fig. A1). While this non-fractionating steep slope N-loss estimate is lower than the 14.9 kg N·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹ observed by Taylor et al. (in press), it is reasonable, given catchment-scale losses (as measured in that study) should include bank erosion and other near-channel sources of particulate N.

Variation in particulate N erosion rates is thus a plausible explanation for the inverse relationships between N availability and δ15N values with slope angle. However, other factors may influence N compound abundance and isotope ratios and merit consideration here. In steady-state 15N mass balance models, soil δ15N values are a function of the 15N/14N ratios of inputs and the integrated, ecosystem-level fractionation factor of losses (Brenner et al. 2001, Amundson et al. 2003, Hilton et al. 2013). While ecosystem-level fractionation factors varied in our models due to shifting contributions of fractionating and non-fractionating losses, the fractionation factor of fractionating losses (αf) was held constant. This was similar to previous work (Hilton et al. 2013), but was an important assumption because variation in this parameter can, in theory, explain changes in δ15N without invoking non-fractionating losses. For instance, nitrate leaching has a smaller isotope effect (i.e., a fractionation factor closer to 1) compared to denitrification (Houlton and Bai 2009, Morford et al. 2011), so if leaching dominates relative to denitrification on steep slopes, this could account for the changes we observed in δ15N values.

That said, our results suggest this scenario is unlikely. Soil NO3⁻ concentrations declined by an order of magnitude on the steep slope while N2O fluxes did not change. Knowledge of N2 fluxes and/or unsampled “hot moments” of denitrification could alter this interpretation, but our data imply that denitrification is in fact favored relative to leaching on slopes. This would decrease αf values and cause more slope soil N isotopic enrichment; the opposite of what we observed. Complete nitrate consumption and underexpression of the denitrifier isotope effect (Houlton et al. 2006) could reconcile observations of more relative gas loss and lower δ15N enrichment on steep slopes, but such dynamics are not likely (though not impossible) on well-drained, high-gradient hillslopes. It is worth noting that NO3⁻, dissolved organic N, and N2O fluxes are dwarfed by particulate N loss rates at the watershed scale (Taylor et al., in press). Taken alongside our other edaphic data, a strong case emerges...
for linking erosive losses to isotopic and N availability trends across the landscape.

Variation in the size and form of N inputs may also contribute to the patterns we observed. For example, one could imagine shifts in biological N fixation rates across hillslopes in tropical forests, especially in response to changes in P fertility (Houlton et al. 2008). This additional nutrient–geomorphic coupling is an interesting (and untested) idea. However, at steady state, changes in N fixation rates would not affect soil $\delta^{15}$N values without concurrent changes in some other N input process with unique $\delta^{15}$N values. N inputs from the weathering of sedimentary rocks (Holloway and Dahlgren 2002, Morford et al. 2011) could be such a process.

The N content of southern Osa graywackes has not been measured, but it is possible that the sedimentary bedrock contains some N. Based on denudation rate estimates (see Methods) and published values for global sedimentary rock N content (200–800 ppm on a mass basis; Goldblatt et al. 2009), rocks should not be the major N source in this forest. Even so, since bedrock N is likely to be isotopically heavy compared to the atmosphere, we explored how rock N inputs could affect isotope patterns using $^{15}$N mass balance models. We allowed the $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N ratio of inputs to increase with slope angle, simulating changes in rock N inputs over a range of $\delta^{15}$N values (1–6‰; Appendix: Fig. A2). Model results demonstrate that high rock N inputs on slopes require an even larger shift in the integrated ecosystem fractionation factor toward 1 to achieve observed N isotope patterns (Appendix: Fig. A2). We suggest this shift is most probably explained by an increase in erosive losses. Large inputs of bedrock N (especially if isotopically heavy) are difficult to reconcile with our suite of N cycle data, as nearly all soil N metrics indicate slopes, where weathering should be highest, are an N-poor (and $^{15}$N-poor) part of the landscape. Though more information on the N content and isotopic composition of the bedrock would shed light on this issue, taken as a whole, our evidence suggests spatial variation in N inputs does not explain the patterns we observed, but rather that non-fractionating erosive N losses on steep slopes are the dominant driver.

The importance of nutrient losses from non-biologically available pools, commonly referred to as demand-independent losses, has been recognized for some time (Hedin et al. 1995, Perakis and Hedin 2002, Michaelides et al. 2012, Hilton et al. 2013). And yet, discussion of such losses to date has mostly concerned elements in dissolved phases. However, particulate nutrient losses associated with soil and organic matter can be of greater magnitude than dissolved losses in erosion-prone ecosystems (Shanley et al. 2011, Michaelides et al. 2012), including watersheds on the Osa Peninsula and beyond (Taylor et al., in press). Our data complement those of Taylor et al. (in press) by highlighting some of the upland biogeochemical and ecological consequences of elevated particulate N export from high-angle hillslopes; roughly 30% of the lowland forests in the immediate region of our study site (i.e., areas where surface slope angle $\geq 25^\circ$). These dynamics may be relevant to understanding the N cycle in diverse ecosystems possessing steep topography; erosive N fluxes and their impacts on spatial patterns of N availability thus deserve further investigation.

Distributions of soil organic matter and particle sizes support our hypothesis of linkages between the spatial pattern of erosion and soil N availability. On the ridge, larger soil C and N stocks, more organic matter at depth, and a zone of clay accumulation at 1 m (Table 1, Fig. 4) suggest soils are relatively stable and water translocates material vertically through soil profiles over time. On the slope, a sharp reduction in total soil C and N stocks, less organic matter at depth, and lack of deep-soil clay accumulation suggest that surface soil material is continuously removed. Taken together, these pedogenic traits point toward a mechanism for particulate N mobilization and transport on slopes. A combination of steep terrain and high-intensity storms, during which rainfall can exceed infiltration rates at depth in weathered tropical soils (Elsenbeer 2001, Lohse and Dietrich 2005, Murphy and Stallard 2012), may lead to overland flow and landslipping/sliding, moving near-surface soil material downslope. Higher soil C:N ratios on the slope also point toward lower N availability there, and the negative correlation between soil C:N and $\delta^{15}$N values (Fig. 6) further supports links between erosive, non-fractionating N losses and N availability.

Differences in soil mineralogy across the toposequence also correlate with N availability, suggesting a common geomorphic link. In flat tropical terrain where chemical erosion outpaces physical erosion, soils should be highly leached and posses stable mineral phases. On steeper slopes with higher physical erosion rates, incompletely weathered, unstable phases may persist (Stallard 1988, Thomas 1994). Findings from our study were consistent with this general pattern, as slopes harbored negligible amounts of gibbsite and contained a higher mass percentage of 2:1 clays, namely illite and smectite, compared to the ridge (Table 2). Slopes were also less enriched in quartz, a recalcitrant mineral that accumulates as soils develop (Birkeland 1999). In other tropical forests, similar variation in soil mineralogy has been attributed to differences in the duration of soil weathering (Johnson and Stallard 1989, Fisher and Ryan 2006, Kleber et al. 2007); at our site, this would imply shorter soil residence times on N-poor slopes compared to N-rich ridges. Soil hydrologic conditions (Kleber et al. 2007) and differential inheritance of minerals from bedrock are also known to influence soil mineralogy and may play a role here. However, intense chemical weathering during soil development in humid tropical environments tends to override initial mineral differences in bedrock, making physiography a defining characteristic for soil development trajectories (Johnson and Stallard 1989,
Thomas 1994). As such, duration of chemical weathering is a probable explanation for differences in soil mineralogical composition on steep vs. proximate flat terrain. That N availability (Vitousek 2004) and soil mineralogy both suggest variation in soil age or stability across the landscape is a notable finding. While these results are compelling, the specific regional geomorphic and climatic settings are important to consider in exploring links between N availability and topography. Variation in surface slope angle may drive differences in erosive N losses, thereby creating heterogeneity in N constraints, across some dissected landscapes but not others. For instance, ridges and slopes did not exhibit differences in inorganic or total soil N concentrations along a toposequence in Manaus, Amazonia, Brazil (Luizao et al. 2004). This lack of difference in N availability may be a product of reduced erodability of hillslopes in that region compared to our site, resulting from differences in precipitation patterns (2200 vs. 3400 mm mean annual precipitation), steepness of slope angles (mean 6° vs. 20°), and subsurface infiltration rates (Elsenbeer 2001). In general, tropical areas that possess high rainfall rates, steep terrain, and high rates of physical denudation have the greatest potential for erosion to mediate variation in nutrient cycling and availability with topography. This could include (but is not limited to): forested areas in Central America (Mores et al. 2012), Taiwan (Stolar et al. 2007), the Andean foothills (Dosseto et al. 2006), and Southeast Asia (Hovius et al. 1998). In low-gradient tropical regions where denudation rates are slower and dominated by chemical weathering (Stallard 1988), differences in nutrient availability along topographic sequences are more likely to be driven by transport and transformation of solutes (Lohse and Dietrich 2005; Chaves et al. 2009) or differences in soil type and redox status that affect soil nutrient retention capacity (Tieszen et al. 1994).

We do not assert that all ridges or hillcrests will be N-rich compared to hillslopes; instead, we suggest that spatial variation in soil erosion regimes (where present) may drive considerable heterogeneity in N cycling and availability, as has been shown for P and other rock-derived nutrients. In lowland tropical forests, these dynamics could be added to the growing list of reasons why it is challenging to identify a single, specific limiting nutrient (Cleveland et al. 2011, Townsend et al. 2011). More broadly, elucidating links between N constraints and geomorphology will improve our fundamental understanding of N dynamics in complex terrain. In the context of environmental perturbations such as reactive N deposition and changes in climate, a better understanding of geomorphic controls on N availability and losses will help us predict biogeochemical responses in steepland ecosystems.
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