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Dissection of the Role of the Stable Signal Peptide of the Arenavirus Envelope Glycoprotein in Membrane Fusion

Emily L. Messina,a,b Joanne York,a and Jack H. Nunberga
Montana Biotechnology Centera and Division of Biological Sciences,b The University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, USA

The arenavirus envelope glycoprotein (GPC) retains a stable signal peptide (SSP) as an essential subunit in the mature complex. The 58-amino-acid residue SSP comprises two membrane-spanning hydrophobic regions separated by a short ectodomain loop that interacts with the G2 fusion subunit to promote pH-dependent membrane fusion. Small-molecule compounds that target this unique SSP-G2 interaction prevent arenavirus entry and infection. The interaction between SSP and G2 is sensitive to the phylogenetic distance between New World (Junin) and Old World (Lassa) arenaviruses. For example, heterotypic GPC complexes are unable to support virion entry. In this report, we demonstrate that the hybrid GPC complexes are properly assembled, proteolytically cleaved, and transported to the cell surface but are specifically defective in their membrane fusion activity. Chimeric SSP constructs reveal that this incompatibility is localized to the first transmembrane segment of SSP (TM1). Genetic changes in TM1 also affect sensitivity to small-molecule fusion inhibitors, generating resistance in some cases and inhibitor dependence in others. Our studies suggest that interactions of SSP TM1 with the transmembrane domain of G2 may be important for GPC-mediated membrane fusion and its inhibition.

Arenaviruses comprise a diverse family of enveloped negative-strand RNA viruses that are endemic to rodent populations worldwide. Infection can be transmitted to humans to cause severe acute hemorrhagic fevers with high morbidity and mortality. Lassa fever virus (LASV) is prevalent in western Africa, infecting a half-million persons annually (26). Five species of New World (NW) hemorrhagic fever viruses are distributed throughout South America, including the Junin virus (JUNV) in Argentina. New arenavirus species frequently emerge from rodent reservoirs (5, 9, 11). In the absence of effective vaccines or therapies, the hemorrhagic fever arenaviruses are recognized to pose significant threats to public health and biodefense. Accordingly, these viruses are classified as Category A priority pathogens, and JUNV has additionally been determined by the Department of Homeland Security to pose a Material Threat to the U.S. population.

Arenavirus entry into the host cell is mediated by the virus envelope glycoprotein (GPC) (Fig. 1). Upon binding to a cell surface receptor (reviewed in references 10 and 29), the virion is endocytosed, and GPC-mediated fusion of the viral and endosomal membranes is activated upon acidification in the maturing endosome. GPC is synthesized as a precursor glycoprotein and cleaved by the cellular SKI-1/S1P protease in the Golgi (22, 25) to generate the receptor-binding (G1) and transmembrane fusion (G2) subunits. The mature GPC complex is metastable and thus primed to mediate membrane fusion in response to acidic pH. Upon activation, GPC undergoes a series of conformational changes leading to formation of a trimer-of-hairpins structure (14, 20, 41) and fusion of the viral and cellular membranes (reviewed in references 19 and 39). The arenavirus GPC is unique among class I envelope glycoproteins in that it retains its cleaved signal peptide as a third subunit (13, 15, 47).

The 58-amino-acid stable signal peptide (SSP) of GPC contains two hydrophobic segments that span the membrane and are joined by a short ectodomain loop (Fig. 1) (2). The cytoplasmic N terminus of SSP is myristoylated, while the penultimate C-terminal cysteine (C57) coordinates with a zinc-binding domain in the cytoplasmic tail of G2 to form an intersubunit structure that anchors SSP in the GPC complex (6, 43). SSP association masks endogenous endoplasmic reticulum (ER) retention/retrieval signals in the cytoplasmic domain of G2 to facilitate GPC transport through the Golgi (1), whereupon the precursor is proteolytically cleaved and transported to the cell surface for virion assembly.

Our studies suggest that pH-induced activation of the mature GPC complex is controlled by a unique interaction between the short ectodomain loop of SSP and the G2 fusion subunit. Side chain substitutions that reduce positive polarity at SSP K33 depress the pH required to trigger membrane fusion (46), and this phenotype can be rescued by secondary mutations in G2 (45). Importantly, this SSP-G2 interaction provides a molecular target for small-molecule compounds that stabilize the prefusion GPC complex, thereby preventing pH-induced activation in the endosome (4, 23, 24, 42). The different classes of fusion inhibitors demonstrate distinct patterns of specificity against New World (NW) and Old World (OW) arenaviruses yet share a binding site on GPC (4, 23, 37, 42). Sequence variation at the nominal SSP-G2 interface likely accounts for the differences in species specificity (37, 42). Several of these fusion inhibitors have recently been shown to protect against lethal arenavirus disease in animal models (4, 8).

Sequence variation between OW and NW arenavirus species may also affect the ability of one SSP to function in the context of a heterotypic GPC complex. For instance, recombinant JUNV virions in which SSP and the G1G2 precursor are heterotypic are not viable (3). We have exploited this interspecies incompatibility between LASV and JUNV GPCs to identify determinants in SSP required for membrane fusion activity. We found that SSP asso-
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cation, proteolytic maturation, and transport to the cell surface are promiscuous in interspecific hybrid GPCs and that heterotypic SSPs support these functions in the context of either JUNV or LASV G1G2 precursors. Preservation of pH-dependent membrane fusion, however, requires a specific homotypic match in the first transmembrane domain (TM1) of SSP. We propose that this amphipathic helical region of SSP interacts with the transmembrane domain of G2 and thus contributes to the pH-dependent membrane fusion activity of arenavirus GPC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids. GPC from the pathogenic MC2 isolate of JUNV (17) and from the Josiah isolate of LASV (23) was expressed using the minimal T7 promoter sequence in pcDNA 3.1-based vectors (Life Technologies). In order to obviate concerns regarding signal peptidase cleavage of SSP in the chimeric GPC constructs, SSP and G1G2 open reading frames were expressed from separate plasmids, taking advantage of the ability of the two polypeptides to associate in trans to reconstitute the functional GPC complex (12, 44). The G1G2 precursor was directed to the membrane using the conventional signal peptide of human CD4, as previously described (44). An innocuous FLAG affinity tag was appended to the C terminus of GPC open reading frame and subunit organization, and comparison of JUNV and LASV SSP amino acid sequences. (Top left) Amino acid residues are numbered according to the sequences of JUNV GPC. The mature subunits (SSP, G1, and G2) are labeled, as are the signal peptidase (SPase) and SKI-1/SIP cleavage sites. The myristoylation site at glycine-2 is labeled, and the transmembrane regions in SSP and G2 are shaded. The two heptad-repeat sequences in G2, and the hinge-region disulfide-bonded loop, are drawn in black. (Bottom left) The amino acid sequences of JUNV and LASV SSP are compared. The two hydrophobic membrane-spanning regions (h51 and h52) are shaded, and conserved residues referred to in the text are boxed (myristoylation motif, P12, E17, K33, and C57). Asterisks below the sequences indicate helical folds predicted by the PROFsec algorithm as implemented by PredictProtein (reliability score, ≥7) (31). (Right) Schematic model for the subunit organization of the tripartite GPC complex. SSP is shown spanning the membrane twice (2); the myristoylated N-terminus is presumed to be associated with the cytoplasmic face of the membrane, and the penultimate C-terminal C57 residue participates in a bimolecular zinc-finger motif in G2 (6, 43). The K33 position in the ectodomain of SSP modulates pH-dependent membrane fusion and sensitivity to small-molecule fusion inhibitors (42). The two heptad-repeat regions in the G2 ectodomain are shown in black. The relative placement of the three transmembrane regions is arbitrary and the drawing is not to scale.

Analysis of GPC-dependent membrane fusion. The recombinant vaccinia virus-based assay for GPC-mediated cell-cell fusion was performed as previously described (46). Briefly, Vero cells infected by vTF-7-3 and expressing GPC are cocultured with cells infected with a recombinant vaccinia virus vCB21R-lacZ bearing the β-galactosidase gene under the control of the T7 promoter (28). Cell-cell fusion is triggered by exposure to medium adjusted to pH 5.0 and detected through β-galactosidase expression in the newly formed syncytia. Fusion is quantitated by chemiluminescence using the GalactoLite Plus substrate (Life Technologies). Fusion inhibition by small-molecule SIGA compounds was determined as previously described (42) and GraphPad Prism software was used for nonlinear regression calculations using a single-slope dose-response model constrained to 100% fusion in the absence of inhibitor.

In order to circumvent biosafety concerns associated with the use of vaccinia viruses, we developed an alternative fusion reporter assay based on expression of T7 polymerase in BSR T7/5 cells. In this format, GPC-expressing BSR T7/5 cells were cocultured with human 293T cells transfected with the internal ribosome entry site (IRES)–containing pT7EM-Luc reporter plasmid expressing luciferase under the control of the T7 promoter (34), which was kindly provided by Yoshiharu Matsuura (Osaka University). Following a 5-min exposure to medium adjusted to pH 5.0, the coculture was continued at neutral pH for 12 h to allow for luciferase expression. Cell-cell fusion was detected using the luciferase assay kit substrate (Promega). Consistency of GPC expression was monitored by immunohistochemical staining. Results from this novel fusion reporter assay were validated in parallel experiments using the well-established vaccinia virus-based assay.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Divergence between JUNV and LASV SSPs. A comparison of the amino acid sequences of JUNV and LASV SSPs reveals a high degree of sequence divergence, as well as an overall conservation of sequence motifs (Fig. 1). The two hydrophobic domains (h/h1 and h/h2) in each are separated by a short region containing the conserved K33 residue (46). A myristoylation motif and zinc-coordinating cysteine (C57) are present in both N- and C-terminal cytoplasmic domains, respectively (2). Both SSPs are also predicted to possess similar secondary structure features, with two helical regions interspersed by an unstructured ectodomain loop. Despite these similarities, fewer than 40% of the amino acids are identically conserved.

Hybrid GPC containing heterologous SSP and G1G2. Reverse-genetics studies have shown that hybrid GPC complexes are functional for arenavirus infection if and only if SSP is homotypic with the TM and C-terminal cytoplasmic domains of G2 (3). To investigate the molecular basis for this finding, we characterized the assembly, transport, and function of hybrid GPCs. Taking advantage of the observation that SSP can associate in trans with the G1G2 precursor to reconstitute the functional GPC complex (12, 47), we coexpressed JUNV or LASV SSP with the reciprocal G1G2 precursors, which contained the conventional signal peptide of human CD4 (44, 47).

Membrane fusion activity of the homologous and heterologous hybrid GPCs was determined using a biosafe modification of the well-characterized vaccinia virus-based cell-cell fusion assay (47). BSR T7/5 cells expressing the bacteriophage T7 polymerase (7) were cotransfected with pcDNA3.1-based plasmids expressing SSP and the G1G2 precursor under the control of the T7 promoter. These cells were then cocultured with 293T fusion reporter cells expressing luciferase in a T7 polymerase-dependent manner. Cell-cell fusion was initiated by exposing the coculture to medium adjusted to pH 5.0, and luciferase expression in the newly formed syncytia was determined following continued incubation at neutral pH. Using this assay, we verified that neither of the two heterotypic GPC hybrids (JUNV SSP with LASV G1G2 or LASV SSP with JUNV G1G2) was able to mediate membrane fusion (Fig. 2A). Concordant cell-cell fusion results were obtained using the vaccinia virus-based fusion reporter assay (reference 47 and data not shown).

Hybrid GPC assembles and is transported to the cell surface. To determine the molecular basis of heterotypic incompatibility, we first investigated the ability of SSP to associate with the G1G2 precursor. It is possible that the absence of membrane fusion activity reflects an inability of SSP to bind the heterologous G1G2 precursor, thereby preventing GPC transport through the Golgi, proteolytic maturation, and cell surface expression. To assess GPC biogenesis, SSP and the G1G2 precursor were expressed in trans, and metabolically labeled GPC was immunoprecipitated (44) using MAb directed to either JUNV G1 (33) or the C-terminal FLAG tag on LASV G2. We found that GPC protein synthesis was markedly reduced in BSR T7/5 cells relative to that typically seen in Vero cells infected with recombinant vaccinia viruses expressing T7 polymerase (16, 47), presumably reflecting the absence of mRNA capping in the cytosol of transfected BSR T7/5 cells. Nevertheless, SDS-PAGE analysis showed that SSP association in the heterotypic GPCs was similar to that in the homotypic complex (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, this association was sufficient to promote
a significant degree of proteolytic maturation of the heterologous
G1G2 precursor (Fig. 3A).

Flow cytometry was used to confirm trafficking of the hetero-
typic GPC hybrids to the cell surface. Due to the low level of
expression of LASV GPC in BSR T7/5 cells (Fig. 3A), we used a
well-characterized pCAGGS plasmid vector (27) for these studies
of GPC transport (38). Cell surface accumulation of heterologous
GPC hybrid was found to be similar to that of the homotypic
protein in both cases (Fig. 3B). As GPC transport does not require
proteolytic cleavage (1, 36), these findings assess an independent
function of SSP association. Conversely, transit of GPC through
the Golgi, as evidenced by proteolytic cleavage, is predictive of cell
surface expression. Despite substantial sequence divergence, both
heterologous SSPs were capable of promoting the assembly and
maturation of the hybrid GPC complex, as well as its transport to
the plasma membrane. This result agrees with previous studies
using a recombinant LASV GPC encoding JUNV SSP (3). Because
very low levels of mature GPC are sufficient to support membrane
fusion activity (2, 40), we surmise that the partial reductions in
SSP association and proteolytic cleavage in the heterotypic GPC
hybrids are by themselves insufficient to explain the complete loss
of fusogenicity. This conclusion is reinforced by the overall lack of
correlation between variations in proteolytic cleavage and mem-
brane fusion activity in studies using chimeric SSP molecules (see
below).

Interchange of the ectodomain loop in SSP. We have previ-
ously shown that the short ectodomain loop of SSP is critical for
pH-dependent membrane fusion and its inhibition by small-mol-
ecule inhibitors (42, 46). This region of JUNV SSP is defined by
charged residues at the ectodomain termini of TM1 and TM2
(K33 and K40, respectively) (Fig. 1). We therefore subdivided SSP
into three regions for purposes of constructing chimeras: region 1
included the myristoylated N terminus of SSP and TM1 (residues
M1 to K33), region 2 comprised the ectodomain loop (K33 to K40
in JUNV, T40 in LASV), and region 3 contained TM2 and the
short cytoplasmic domain bearing C57 (to the C-terminal T58).
All combinations of the three JUNV and LASV subdomains were
constructed (Fig. 2A), and the chimeric SSPs were named accord-
ing to the three regions. For instance, JJJ represents the wild-type
JUNV SSP and JLJ signifies a chimera in which the ectodomain
from LASV was fused to regions 1 and 3 of JUNV SSP. For clarity,
we will refer to recombinant SSPs as chimeras and reserve the term
hybrid for the reconstituted GPC complex.

As anticipated from the fully heterotypic GPC hybrids (Fig.
3A), all of the chimeric SSPs associated with the JUNV G1G2
precursor and supported proteolytic cleavage in BSR T7/5 cells

![FIG 3](jvi.asm.org) JUNV and LASV hybrid GPCs are properly assembled, proteolytically matured, and transported to the cell surface. Combinations of JUNV and LASV
SSPs and G1G2 precursor proteins were coexpressed in BSR T7/5 cells, and the metabolically labeled GPCs were immunoprecipitated for SDS-PAGE analysis
(46). GPCs containing JUNV G1G2 were precipitated using the G1-directed MAb BF11 (33), and those containing LASV were collected using a FLAG tag
appended to the C terminus of G1G2. (A) The immunoprecipitated proteins were resolved using a 4–12% NuPAGE Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen). The JUNV and
LASV G1G2 precursors and coprecipitated SSPs are indicated. The mature G1 and G2 glycoproteins are heterodisperse and are better resolved as polypeptides
following deglycosylation using PNGaseF (New England BioLabs) (bottom). The unequal recovery of G1 and G2 subunits seen in some experiments using
G1-directed (JUNV) or G2-directed FLAG (LASV) antibodies likely reflects partial dissociation of the complex during immunoprecipitation. While GPC
expression in BSR T7/5 cells is adequate for membrane fusion activity, protein levels are low, and carets are used to distinguish background bands manifest in
mock-transfected cells. (B) Cell surface accumulation of JUNV and LASV GPC hybrids expressed in Vero cells using a pCAGGS plasmid (27, 38) was determined
by flow cytometry. GPC was detected using JUNV or LASV G1-specific MAbs (BE08 [33] or L52 134-23 [32], respectively) and a FITC-conjugated secondary
antibody. Cells expressing JUNV SSP (thin line) or LASV SSP (thick line) are compared with those in which the cell surface transport of the respective G1G2
precursor is prevented by the absence of SSP (shaded histogram) (1).
FIG 4 JUNV GPCs containing chimeric SSPs are properly assembled and proteolytically matured. JUNV G1G2 was coexpressed with the indicated chimeric SSPs in BSR T7/5 cells, and metabolically labeled GPC was immunoprecipitated for SDS-PAGE analysis as described in Fig. 3. The G1G2 precursors and coprecipitated SSPs are indicated (top). The mature G1 and G2 glycoproteins are heterodisperse and are better resolved as polypeptides following deglycosylation using PNGaseF (New England BioLabs) (bottom).

Parallel metabolic labeling studies using LASV GPC again showed poor expression but nonetheless allowed similar conclusions (not shown). These findings were further validated using recombinant vaccinia virus to drive GPC expression (reference 47 and data not shown). We conclude that the sequence requirements for SSP association and proteolytic maturation are relatively relaxed in interspecific GPC chimeras.

Despite its critical role in membrane fusion, interspecific exchange of the ectodomain loop had little effect on the fusion activity of hybrid GPCs (Fig. 2A). LJL did not restore fusion activity to the JUNV G1G2 hybrid (~3% of wild-type JUNV GPC) and likewise the reciprocal LJL SSP in the LASV G1G2 hybrid (<1% of wild-type LASV GPC). Conversely, replacement of the ectodomain in JUNV SSP with that of LASV (JJL) had a relatively small effect on the fusion activity of the hybrid JUNV GPC (~40% of JUNV GPC). The reciprocal hybrid, LASV G1G2 bearing LJL SSP, retained a lower albeit significant level of activity (~10% of LASV GPC). We conclude that a homotypic ectodomain loop in SSP is neither sufficient nor absolutely necessary to support membrane fusion by the hybrid GPC complex.

Region 1 of SSP is essential for membrane fusion activity. Analysis of the remaining SSP chimeras did reveal an important role for the N-terminal region 1 in SSP function. Only LASV hybrids containing the homologous region 1 (LJL, LLJ, and LJL) showed significant membrane fusion activity (Fig. 2A). Whereas the LJL hybrid supported ~10% of wild-type activity (above), the latter two SSP chimeras promoted cell-cell fusion at levels comparable to those of native LASV GPC. The reciprocal pattern was seen with the JUNV G1G2 precursor and the LJL, JLL, and JLL chimeras. In JUNV GPC, SSP bearing a mismatch in regions 1 and 2 (LLJ) unexpectedly also exhibited fusion activity (~20% of the wild type). By comparison, all hybrids displayed similar patterns of GPC expression (Fig. 4). Taken together, these results indicate that homotypic pairing in SSP region 1 is paramount for membrane fusion activity. Region 2 appears to contribute somewhat to activity when the homologous region 1 is present (JLJ and LLJ), whereas homology in region 3 is relatively unimportant. The reciprocal relationship between JUNV and LASV hybrids validates the importance of region 1 as a determinant of GPC-mediated membrane fusion.

The apparent indifference to sequence variation in region 3 is consistent with previous results from mutational studies (2). Triplet alanine replacements in TM2 of JUNV SSP (44FQF46 and 47FVF49 mutants) have no effect on fusogenicity. Similarly in the short C-terminal cytoplasmic tail of SSP, only the conserved C57 side chain is essential for membrane fusion activity (44). Collectively, these observations suggest a lack of sequence specificity in the function of region 3. However, the presumed helical nature of TM2 appears to be important, as SSP association is completely abrogated by single amino acid deletions that are expected to alter the register of the helix (2).
Figure 5 Helical-wheel projections of the amphipathic TM1 of JUNV and LASV SSP. The drawing was prepared using DrawCoil 1.0 (18). This view is from the N terminus of TM1 (P12) and extends through K33 in the SSP ectodomain. Polar residues are highlighted in gray.

AlaV24 mutants strongly suggests that TM1 participates in side path conformational changes during pH-induced activation and its inhibition by small-molecule fusion inhibitors are mediated through interactions between SSP and the G2 fusion subunit (42, 45, 46). We therefore examined the sensitivity of hybrid GPCs to inhibition by the four chemically distinct fusion inhibitors discovered by SIGA Technologies (Corvallis, OR) (4, 23, 37, 42). These compounds share a binding site (37) but differ in their specificity toward NW and OW arenaviruses: ST-294 and ST-761 are active only against NW viruses, ST-161 is specific for LASV, and ST-193 is broadly inhibitory against both OW and NW arenaviruses (4, 23, 37, 42).

As hybrid GPCs heterotypic in SSP region 1 are nonfunctional, we focused our attention on the ectodomain loop, a region previously shown to affect inhibition (42). Substitution of the JUNV ectodomain loop in LASV GPCs [J]L and [JexL]JL] abrogated inhibition by the LASV-active compounds ST-161 and ST-193 (not shown). In contrast, replacement of the ectodomain loop in JUNV GPCs (JLL, JLJ) showed no significant effects on sensitivity; all were inhibited by ST-294, ST-761, and ST-193 and resistant to ST-161 (not shown). Structural differences at the hybrid inhibitor-binding site likely contribute to the differing contributions of the heterotypic SSP ectodomain in LASV and JUNV GPC. None of the hybrids displayed de novo sensitivity to inhibition.

We utilized the panel of alanine mutations in JUNV TM1 to further identify specific side chains that may influence sensitivity to inhibition. The triplet-alanine mutant (the 29IAI31 mutant), with substitutions adjacent to the SSP ectodomain and the critical K33 residue, was found to be unchanged in its sensitivity to ST-294 and ST-193 and resistance to ST-161 (Fig. 6 and Table 1). Similarly, individual alanine mutations at Q16, E17, and S27 on the hydrophilic face of TM1 did not significantly alter the pattern of inhibition. By contrast, alanine substitutions toward the cytosolic terminus of TM1 (P12A and T13A) engendered resistance to ST-193 without qualitative or quantitative changes in the effects of ST-294, ST-761, or ST-161 (Fig. 6 and Table 1). Furthermore, the N20A mutant was now strikingly dependent on ST-193 for wild-type fusion activity. Fusion was enhanced by the addition of ST-193 in a dose-dependent manner. Maximal activity approaches that of the wild-type JUNV GPC at ~10 μM ST-193, at which point inhibitory and/or cytotoxic effects may intervene. Sensitivities to ST-294 and ST-161 remain unaffected. The diversity in the effects of these different amino acid substitutions highlights the multiplicity of determinants for fusion inhibition within the GPC complex (42, 45).

We suggest that ST-193 binding to N20A compensates for structural changes induced by the mutation, thereby facilitating on-path conformational changes during pH-induced activation.

**TM1 forms an amphipathic helix.** A helical-wheel projection diagram reveals distinct hydrophobic and hydrophilic faces to the extended TM1 helices in JUNV and LASV SSPs (Fig. 5). By contrast, TM2 of SSP (K40/T40 to G54) is uniformly hydrophobic. As TM2 was found to be highly stabilizing, and the F14R and A25R mutants were indeed defective in membrane fusion (Fig. 2C). The identical mutant in LCMV SSP also showed significant fusion activity (~30% of the wild type) but did not promote entry by recombinant virus-like particles (35). Thus, a proline-dependent articulation between the cytosolic N terminus and TM1 is not required for fusogenicity per se.

The P12A mutant was found to possess wild-type fusion activity (Fig. 2C). The identical mutant in LCMV SSP also showed significant fusion activity (~30% of the wild type) but did not promote entry by recombinant virus-like particles (35). Thus, a proline-dependent articulation between the cytosolic N terminus and TM1 is not required for fusogenicity per se.
of membrane fusion. Mutations in G2 previously reported to increase the pH of activation in the K33Q mutant to wild type without themselves affecting pH sensitivity may act similarly (45). Among these compensatory G2 mutations, two (D400A and F427A) also engender resistance to ST-193 and ST-294 (reference 42 and J.Y. and J.H.N., unpublished data). Based on the dependence of the N20A mutant on the presence of inhibitor, we infer that resistance at the two G2 positions, as well as that in P12A and T13A SSP mutants, may reflect a balance of inhibitory and compensatory consequences of ST-193 binding rather than a simple loss in binding affinity.

**Conclusions.** Our previous studies have shown that the short ectodomain loop of SSP and its interactions with G2 are important determinants for both pH-dependent membrane fusion and its inhibition by small-molecule compounds (42, 46). The present study identifies the critical role of the first membrane-spanning domain of SSP in these events. By characterizing a series of SSP chimeras containing JUNV and LASV sequences, we demonstrate that a homotypic pairing between TM1 and G2 is required for GPC-mediated membrane fusion. We propose that multiple intersubunit contacts between these transmembrane helices serve to position the critical K33 side chain in the SSP ectodomain for pH-sensitive interactions with the G2 ectodomain. Small-molecule compounds that stabilize these interactions in the prefusion GPC complex have been shown to prevent pH-induced fusion activation in the endosome and thereby inhibit arenavirus entry. Detailed knowledge of the atomic interactions between SSP and G2 in the membrane-anchored GPC trimer will be important for understanding the mechanism of pH-dependent membrane fusion and guiding the design of potent and broadly active small-molecule fusion inhibitors.
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