University of Montana

ScholarWorks at University of Montana

Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers

Graduate School

1956

An analysis of attitude for success in teaching as measured by the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory

Alfred John Murray The University of Montana

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Murray, Alfred John, "An analysis of attitude for success in teaching as measured by the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory" (1956). *Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers*. 6007. https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd/6007

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

AN ANALYSIS OF ATTITUDE FOR SUCCESS IN TEACHING AS MEASURED BY THE MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

by

ALFRED JOHN MURRAY

B. A. Montana State University, 1950

Presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Education

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

1956

Approved by: aminers Chairman of Graduate Dean. School 6 Date

UMI Number: EP36808

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



UMI EP36808

Published by ProQuest LLC (2013). Copyright in the Dissertation held by the Author.

Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC. All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code



ProQuest LLC. 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 - 1346

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPT	PER P.	AGE
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Significance of the Problem	1
	Purpose of this Study	l
	Definition of Terms Used	2
	Attitude	2
	Graduate group	3
	Under-graduate group	3
II.	PROCEDURES	4
	Use of Related Literature	4
	Collection of Deta	5
	Delimitations	5
	Administration of the Minnesota Teacher	
	Attitude Inventory	5
	Limitations and assumptions	8
	Background information on the development of	
	the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory	9
	Treatment of Data	14
	Statistical technique	14
	Categories used	15
III.	THE FINDINGS	17
	Relationships Among Graduate and Under-graduate	
	Categories	17
	-ii-	

.

CHAPTER		PAGE
All graduates and under-graduates	•	17
Graduates and under-graduates by sex	٠	18
Relationships Among Graduate Categories	•	19
All graduates by sex	•	19
All graduates by age	•	20
Merital Status of all graduates	•	22
All graduates by amount of graduate work	•	23
All graduates by type of work	•	25
All graduates by level of teaching effort .	•	27
All graduates by years of experience	٠	29
All graduates by size of system	•	32
The Relationship Between the Under-graduate		
Category	•	33
All under-graduates by sexes within the group	p	33
Findings Related to Other Studies	•	35
IV. SUMMARY, CONJECTURES AND SUGGESTION	•	38
Summery	•	38
Areas of significant difference in paired		
means		38
Areas of no significant difference in paired	-	
means		38
Conjectures	•	30
Suggestion	•	40
	٠	- 4 0

-iii-

•

CHAPTER																								Ρ	AGE
BIBLIOGR.	PE	IY	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	41
APPENDIX	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	43
APPENDIX	В	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	52
APPENDIX	C	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	54
APPENDIX	D	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	57

-iv-

.

.

.

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
I.	Means, Deviations and Ranges for Graduate and	
	Under-graduate Groups on the Basis of Major	
	Groups and Sex	. 17
II.	Significance Levels for Difference of Paired	
	Means for Graduate and Under-graduate Cate-	
	gories on the Basis of Major Groups and Sex	. 18
III.	Means, Deviations and Ranges for Graduate	
	Categories on the Basis of Age	. 21
IV.	Significance Levels for Difference of Paired	
	Means of Graduate Categories Compared on the	
	Basis of Age	. 21
٧.	Means, Deviations and Ranges for Graduate	
	Categories on the Basis of Marital Status .	. 22
VI.	Significance Levels for Difference of Paired	
	Means of Graduate Categories Compared on the	
	Basis of Marital Status	. 23
VII.	Means, Deviations and Ranges for Graduate	
	Categories on the Basis of Amount of Graduate	
	Work	. 24
VIII.	Significance Levels for Difference of Paired	
	Means of Graduate Categories Compared on the	
	Basis of Amount of Graduate Work	. 25
	- 7-	

.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

1

-vi-

TABLE	PA	AGE
IX.	Means, Deviations and Ranges for Graduate	
	Category on the Basis of Type of Work	26
х.	Significance Levels for Difference of Paired	
	Means of Graduate Category Compared on the	
	Basis of Type of Work	26
XI.	Means, Deviations and Ranges for Graduate	
	Categories on the Basis of Level of Teaching	
	Effort	28
XII.	Significance Levels for Difference of Paired	
	Means of Graduate Categories Compared on the	
	Basis of Levels of Teaching Effort	29
XIII.	Means, Deviations and Ranges for Graduate	
	Categories on the Basis of Years of Experience	30
XIV.	Significance Lavels for Difference of Paired	
	Means of Graduate Categories Compared on the	
	Basis of Teaching Experience	31
XV.	Means, Devictions and Ranges for Graduate	
	Category on the Basis of Size of System	32
XVI.	Significance Lovels for Difference of Paired	•
	Means of Graduate Category Compared on the	
	Basis of Size of System	33
XVII.	Means, Deviations and Ranges for the Under-	
	graduate Category on the Basis of Sex	34

1

TABLE

XVIII.	Signific	enc	e Le	eve	els	f	or	D	if	fe	re	enc	e	of	Ē	ai	ire	эđ			
	Means	of	the	Ur	nde	r-	gr	ađ	lue	te		lat	e	,01	•y	or	1	the	Э		
	Basis	of	Sex	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	34

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

1

-vii

PAGE

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

I. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PROBLEM

Present-day interest in teaching has focused attention upon personal characteristics which seem to play a vital part in the selection of teachers. Marks in college courses, amount of education, and intelligence ratings have been correlated with teaching success, but the elusive quality of teacher attitude had been an unexplored area regarding its relationship to teaching success.

Finding the best kinds of people for the teaching profession has become an increasingly important task for those who must prepare personnel for the vocation as well as for those who must select the teacher who will best adjust to a particular communal society.

II. PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY

It was the purpose of this study to use the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory to determine what differences, if any, existed in the attitude patterns of members of an under-graduate group taking an introductory course in education and in a graduate group of experienced teachers. This particular under-graduate group was chosen on the

-1-

assumption that bias would be least evident in such a group since <u>Education 20</u>, <u>Introduction to Education</u>, is a required under-graduate course for students who wish to qualify for either an elementary or secondary teaching certificate.

The graduate students enrolled in <u>Education 285</u>, <u>Methods of Research</u>, were used as the graduate group. Since this course is required of all graduate students in education it was also assumed that bias would be least evident. The attitude patterns were based on: (1) the scores received by both groups as a whole and (2) scores received by the sexes in both groups. The graduate group was further analyzed to determine what differences, if any, existed in attitude based on: (1) sexes within the group, (2) age, (3) marital status, (4) amount of graduate work, (5) classroom end administrative work, (6) levels of teaching, (7) experience, and (8) size of system. The under-graduate group was singly analyzed with regard to the scores received by the sexes within the group, since other information concerning the under-graduate group did not exist.

III. DEFINITION OF TERMS USED

<u>Attitude</u>. Since the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory¹ was used exclusively in this study, the description of <u>IA sample of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory</u> appears in Appendix A.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

-2-

attitude given by the authors was used.

However it can be assumed that the attitudes of a teacher are the result of the inter-action of this multitude of factors (i.e., academic and social intelligence, general knowledge and abilities, social skills, personality traits, energy, values, and teaching techniques) and, therefore, that attitudes afford a key to the prediction of the type of social atmosphere a teacher will maintain in the classroom.²

<u>Graduate group</u>. Throughout this study, graduate group was defined as students enrolled in <u>Methods of</u> <u>Research at Montana State University for the summer quarter</u> of 1956.

<u>Under-graduate group</u>. The under-graduate group was drawn from students enrolled in the <u>Introduction to Educa-</u> <u>tion</u> class at Montana State University for 1955 and 1956 all of whom had taken the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory. From the total class membership a sample was selected to conform to the graduate group by sex. This sample was then defined as the under-graduate group for this study.

²Walter W. Cook, Carroll H. Leeds, and Robert Callis, <u>Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory Manual</u> (New York: The Psychological Corporation, 1951), pp. 3-4.

-3-

CHAPTER II

PROCEDURES

I. USE OF RELATED LITERATURE

Literature in the field of teacher attitudes as related to success or non-success in teaching was scarce. The Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory appeared to be the only well organized and current attempt to measure success by this criterion.

In 1929, Elizabeth Hunt Morris used a trait index in comparison with other kinds of data to attempt to estimate what would appropriately be designated as significant factors of the "teaching personality," In the findings of this study the following was cited:

Attitudes may be considered as the active aspects of traits. Attitudes as complex reaction-tendencies are perhaps the most important measures to get of individuals because: (a) they include his various characteristics, the <u>blend</u> of his traits; (b) they are actual response-tendencies not relatively passive potentialities; and (c) in their blending they include essentially the important <u>feeling</u> aspects of the individual.¹

Acceptance of attitudes as a basic premise for success in teaching is evident, but the problem of measurement of

¹Elizabeth Hunt Morris, <u>Personal Traits and Success</u> in <u>Teaching</u> (New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1929), pp. 60-61.

-4-

attitudes must include the manner in which these attitudes affect the students.

Bernice Baxter derived a scale whereby a rater could observe the teacher while in direct contact with the children, and afforded means for recording observable evidence of the way in which the children reacted to the teacher whose work was being evaluated.²

From the evidence presented, a test of teacher success would include a measure of teacher attitudes and the effect these attitudes had upon students. The Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory was the only test in present use which fulfilled this requirement.

II. COLLECTION OF DATA

Delimitations. The study was confined to two groups composed of graduate and under-graduate students at Montana State University. Each group totaled fifty-five students, and included twenty-one females and thirty-four males. The under-graduate group had been in attendence during the school year 1955-1956, and the graduate group during the summer guarter of 1956.

Administration of The Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory. The Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory was

²Bernice Baxter, "Rating Teacher's Personal Effectiveness," <u>The National Education Association Journal</u> XXVII (August, 1938), p. 81.

-5-

administered following the directions included in the manual accompanying the Inventory booklets. In addition to the score sheet for the Inventory, the graduate group was requested to fill out a questionnaire form which furnished further information to identify the group for this study.³ This is a systematic sample from a random start.

Numbers were written in consecutive order from G one to G sixty and placed in a box from which each graduate student drew. The number drawn was written on the Inventory answer sheet and on the questionnaire form to identify the secre received on the Inventory with pertinent questionnaire information.

Score sheets of one hundred sixty under-graduates were available for this study. To facilitate and expedite the study, it was decided to choose the under-graduate group to conform by sex to the graduate group. The under-graduate score sheets were separated by sex and it was found that the total group was composed of eighty-five male under-graduate score sheets and seventy-five female under-graduate score sheets. Each under-graduate score sheet was assigned a separate coded number. These numbers ran from one to eighty-five in the male under-graduate subdivision and from one to seventy-five in the under-graduate female subdivision.

-6-

³A sample of the questionnaire which the graduates filled out appears in Appendix E.

It was further decided to select the particular score sheets to be used in this study by employing a random sample technique to both the male and female score sheets separately.

The numbers one to five were arbitrarily chosen to serve as a basis from which to begin the selection. For the male score sheets the number three was drawn and selection of the male score sheets for this study was started with the score sheet which bore coded number three, and was continued through score sheet eighty-five with every fifth score sheet removed for this study. The procedure was continued on the second drawing, starting with score sheet five and was continued through score sheet eighty at which point a total of thirty-four under-graduate male score sheets had been selected. This number of under-graduate male Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory score sheets was then equal to the number of graduate male Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory score sheets.

The under-graduate female score sheets were selected in a similar manner. For the female score sheets the number two was drawn and selection of the female score sheets for this study was started with the score sheet which bore coded number two and was continued through score sheet seventy-two with every fifth score sheet removed for this study. The procedure was continued on the second drawing

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

-7-

starting with score sheet three and was continued through score sheet twenty-seven at which point a total of twentyone under-graduate female score sheets had been selected. This number of under-graduate female Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory score sheets was then equal to the number of graduate female Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory score sheets.

Limitations and assumptions. The limitations of this study were due to two factors: (1) the small number of cases available for consideration and (2) the necessity of this information becoming part of an extended study. The reliability (.92) and validity (.60) of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory as listed by the authors were assumed to be correct.⁴

Carroll H. Leeds,⁵ retested the validity of the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory by selecting one hundred teachers and obtaining ratings on these teachers from each of three sources: (1) principals under whom the teachers were serving, (2) classroom observation on the part of an investigator, and (3) attitudes of pupils toward each individual teacher. After combining the three ratings

4Walter W. Cook, Carroll H. Leeds, and Robert Callis, <u>Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory Manual</u> (New York: The Psychological Corporation, 1951), pp. 3-4.

^bCarroll H. Leeds, "A Scale for Measuring Teacher-Pupil Attitudes and Teacher-Pupil Rapport," <u>Psychological</u> <u>Monographs</u>, LXIV (November, 1951), p. 312.

-8-

multiple correlation of .595 between the Inventory and the three criteria was obtained. Determined by use of the Spearman-Brown formula, the reliability coefficient for the entire instrument was found to be .885.

<u>Background information on the development of the</u> <u>Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory</u>. In constructing the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory the authors deemed it necessary to define the extremes of the scale. The characteristics of desirable teacher-pupil relations were defined as well as the undesirable relations between teachers and pupils.

It is assumed that a teacher ranking at the high end of the scale should be able to maintain a state of hermonious relations with his pupils characterized by mutual affection and sympathetic understanding. The pupils should like the teacher and enjoy school work. The teacher should like the children and enjoy teaching. Situations requiring disciplinary action should rarely occur. . .

At the other extreme of the scale is the teacher who attempts to dominate the classroom. He may be successful and rule with an iron hand, creating an atmosphere of tension, fear and submission; or he may be unsuccessful and become nervous, fearful and distraught in a classroom characterized by frustration, restlessness, inattention, lack of respect, and numerous disciplinary problems. . . .⁶

The first problem faced by the authors of the test was to develop items which might discriminate between teachers who were able to maintain high and low rapport with pupils. Five areas of socio-educational literature were canvassed in

⁶Cook, Leeds, and Callis, op. cit., p. 3.

order to obtain an adequate sampling of attitudes. A total of seven hundred fifty-six items were constructed from these areas and placed in two "try-out" inventories. The five areas were:

- 1. Moral status of children in the opinion of adults.
- 2. Discipline and problems of conduct in the classroom and elsewhere, and methods employed in dealing with such problems.
- 3. Principles of child development and behavior related to ability, achievement, learning, motivation, and personality development.
- 4. Principles of education related to philosophy, curriculum, and administration.
- 5. Personal reactions of the teacher, likes and dislikes, sources of irritation, etc.⁷

In answer to the question of whether or not these items are actually measuring attitudes or knowledge, Leeds has said the following:

Consideration of the system of classification will reveal a rough attempt to identify psychological factors in personality structure and function, as well as to make a differentiation relative to the ideational content of items. It was believed, for example, that the categories "Moral status" and "Personal reactions of teacher" related to responses that involved more of the affective nature and less of the cognitive, than did the other categories. The feeling component in these areas would seem to be stronger than the intellectual element. At least, the subject-matter that would make

Walter W. Cook, "Personality Characteristics of Successful Teachers," <u>The American Association of Colleges</u> for <u>Teacher Education</u>, Seventh Yearbook of the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (Chicago, Illinois: American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, 1954), p. 64.

up the content of items under these two categories was less objective and less well established as ideational principles. However, the composition of psychological reactions to statements of opinion, as expressed in terms of affective and intellectual elements, is still essentially a matter of conjecture.⁸

The first experimental form of the Inventory was constructed by selecting one hundred sixty-four items from the original seven hundred fifty-six. This experimental form of the Inventory was administered to a random sample of one hundred teachers of grades four through six inclusive and their scores correlated with three outside criteria of teacher-pupil rapport. The three outside criteria were: (1) rating of the teachers by their pupils, (2) rating of the teachers by their principal, and (3) rating of the teachers by a specialist in the area of teaching effectiveness.

The results of the ratings were then correlated with the scores made by the one hundred randomly selected teachers on the experimental form of the Inventory. The single criterion measure which had the highest relationship with the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory was the specialist's rating. This correlation was .49. The next highest was the pupils' ratings, .45, and the lowest was the principal's ratings, .43.

⁸Carroll H. Leeds, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 4.

-11-

In 1956, Clinton R. Meek⁹ reported that a fairly substantial correlation of .56 existed between the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory and the more often used F-Scale. This was in line with a correlation of .38 reported by Piers for graduate students at George Peabody College for Teachers.

In a recent study an attempt was made to determine whether or not certain personal variables were related to teaching attitudes. Three hundred teachers including one hundred superior teachers, one hundred inferior teachers and one hundred rendomly selected teachers, were requested to fill out a personal data sheet as well as the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory. Information was obtained relative to age, sex, nationality, marital and parental status, training, teaching experience, grade level, subject taught, size of school system, liking for teaching, and whether or not a course in mental hygiene had been taken. Separate analyses were made for each of these groups of teachers. Findings may be summarized as follows:

- 1. In these three groups of teachers--sex, nationality, marital and parental status showed little or no relationship to teacher's attitude toward pupils.
- 2. Teachers in grades 1-3 tended to score higher than those in grades 4-6, who in turn scored higher than

⁹Clinton R. Meek, "Some Factors Related to Teacher Attitude Change," <u>The College of Education Record</u>, XLI (June, 1956), p. 134.

-12-

senior high school teachers; junior high school teachers (grades 7-8) scored lowest of all.

- 3. The teachers in the unselected group who liked teaching "very much" scored higher than those who liked it "fairly well."
- 4. Teachers who had had courses in mental hygiene scored significantly higher than those who had not in the superior group. This was not true in the inferior group.
- 5. There was no relationship between MTAI scores and intelligence. The correlations tended to cluster around .12 and were not significant at the five per cent level.¹⁰

Robert Callis conducted a study in 1950 using the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory and reached the following conclusions:

- 1. Attitudes measured by the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory are of sufficient stability to warrent further investigation as to their efficiency in predicting teacher-pupil relations and in pre-training selection of teachers.
- 2. There are significant differences in teacher-pupil attitude among subjects classified by their major curriculum and that differences are present in about the same magnitude at the beginning of professional training as at the end of it.
- 3. Attitudes measured are rather well formed by the time the subject enters pre-professional training and influenced to only a minor extent by training and the first half year of teaching. A small group of attitudes are affected significantly by training and another group, still smaller, affected by experience.11

10 Walter W. Cook, op. cit., p. 69.

¹¹Robert Callis, "Changes in Teacher-Pupil Attitudes Related to Training and Experience," <u>Educational</u> and <u>Psycho-</u> <u>logical</u> <u>Measurement</u>, X (October, 1949), p. 727.

III. TREATMENT OF DATA

<u>Statistical techniques</u>. The statistical techniques employed on the basic data were to gather the scores received by the graduate group and those received by the under-graduate group, and to treat each group separately and by categories in determining the following: (1) the number of cases in each group, (2) the range of each group, (3) the summation of the scores received on the score sheets by each group, (4) the mean of each group, (5) the summation of the squares of the scores received by each group, (6) the standard deviation of the mean of each group.

Normality was assumed and F tests used to determine homogeneity by use of a two tailed test of significance of variances. The information received from working the basic data was then fed into unpooled formulas to determine "t" (uncorrected) values for the difference in means of the main groups and paired categories within the main groups. The "t" (uncorrected) values which were found were used to determine significance through use of Table XII of the <u>Hendbook of Probability and Statistics with Tables</u> by Burington end May.¹² In a majority of the cases involved the

Richard S. Burington, and Donald C. May, Jr., <u>Handbook of Probability and Statistics with Tables</u> (Sandusky, Ohio: Handbook Publishers, Inc., 1953), p. 283.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

-14-

significance or non-significance of the "t" (uncorrected) value was immediately evident from the table. For a "t" (uncorrected) value approaching significance, the Cochran and Cox formula was applied to determine a more nearly exact "t" value.¹³

<u>Categories used</u>. Comparisons were made between major groups on the following bases: (1) total graduate group and total under-graduate group, (2) male graduate group and male under-graduate group, (3) female graduate group and female under-graduate group, (4) female graduate group and male graduate group, and (5) male under-graduate group and female under-graduate group.

Each major group was further categorized and the resulting subdivisions compared within the respective group.

Comparisons within the graduate group were based on the information received from the questionnaire each graduate student had submitted with the Minnesote Teacher Attitude Inventory score sheet. This information was arranged according to frequency and appropriate subdivisions selected for comparison.¹⁴ The subdivisions were based on: (1) age, (2) merital status, (3) amount of graduate work, (4)

13Allen L. Edwards, <u>Statistical Methods for the</u> <u>Behavioral Sciences</u> (New York: Rinehart and Company, Inc., 1954), p. 274.

¹⁴The data in Appendix C show the frequencies of the graduate subdivisions.

-15-

classroom and administrative work, (5) levels of teaching, (6) teaching experience, and (7) size of system.

Information concerning the under-graduate group was not as extensive as the information pertaining to the graduate group and, consequently, just one subdivision was possible. This subdivision of the under-graduate group was based on the sexes within the group.

-16-

CHAPTER III

THE FINDINGS

I. RELATIONSHIPS AMONG GRADUATE AND UNDER-GRADUATE CATEGORIES

<u>All graduates and under-graduates</u>. The data of Table I indicate that the mean for the total graduate group was higher than the mean for the total under-graduate group. The standard deviation of the graduate group was not significently higher than the standard deviation of the under-graduate group (F = 1.35, $m_1 = 55$, $m_2 = 55$), but the ranges of the two groups were more nearly equal with the graduate range slightly higher than the range of the under-graduate group.

TABLE I

MEANS, DEVIATIONS AND RANGES FOR GRADUATE AND UNDER-GRADUATE GROUPS ON THE BASIS OF MAJOR GROUPS AND SEX

		GRADUA	res	UN	NDER-GRADUATES					
Measure	All	Male	Female	AIT	Male	Female				
Mean	40.2	36.1	46.8	33.0	22.8	49.4				
S.D.	33.6	33.1	33.1	29.0	27.9	20.6				
S.E.M.	4.5	5.7	7.2	3.9	4.8	4.5				
N	55.	34.	21.	55.	34.	21.				
Range	131.	131.	122.	129.	112.	81.				

-17-

The findings of Table II show a lack of significance in the difference of the means of the graduate and undergraduate groups at any of the confidence levels used.

TABLE II

SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR DIFFERENCE OF PAIRED MEANS FOR GRADUATE AND UNDER-GRADUATE CATEGORIES ON THE BASIS OF MAJOR GROUPS AND SEX

Groups compared	"t" Value	Signific et .05.01	oance	Degrees of freedom	Higher group
All graduates and All under-graduates	1.20	****		55 and 55	Graduates
M ale graduates an d Male under-graduates	1.78			34 end 34	Graduates
Female graduates Female under-graduates	.31			21 and 21	Under- graduates
Male graduates and Female graduates	1.19			34 and 21	Female graduates
Male under-graduates and Female under- graduates	4.05	yes yes	yes	34 and 21	Female under- graduates

<u>Graduates and under-graduates by sex</u>. The data of Table I indicate a higher mean for the graduate male group than for the under-graduate male group. The standard deviation of the graduate males was not significantly higher than the standard deviation for the under-graduate males $(F = 1.41, m_1 = 34, m_2 = 34)$, but the range of the graduate group was lower than the range for the under-graduate group. The mean of the graduate female group was higher than the mean of the under-graduate female group. The standard deviation of the graduate female group was significantly higher than the standard deviation of the under-graduate female group (F = 2.58, $m_1 = 21$, $m_2 = 21$, tested at .02), but, as in the case of the male groups, the graduate female group range was lower than the under-graduate female group range.

The findings of Table II show a lack of significance in the difference of the means of the graduate group and the under-graduate group at any of the confidence levels used in this study.

II. RELATIONSHIPS AMONG GRADUATE CATEGORIES

<u>All graduates by sex</u>. The data of Table I indicate a higher mean for the graduate female group than for the graduate male group. The standard deviations of the two groups were not significantly different (F = 1.00, $m_1 = 34$, $m_2 = 21$), but the graduate male group standard deviation was the larger. The range of the graduate male group was higher than that of the female graduate group.

The findings of Table II show a lack of significance in the difference of the means of the graduate male group and the graduate female group at any of the confidence levels used.

-19-

All graduates by age. The data of Table III indicate that the mean for the graduate age group forty-five and over was the largest, followed by the means of the graduate age groups thirty and over, thirty to forty-four, and twenty-nine and under respectively. A marked difference in mean existed between the twenty-nine and under group and the forty-five and over group, but the thirty to forty-four group and forty-five and over group had more nearly equal means. The standard deviations of the four groups were more nearly equal than were the means, and no significant differences were found. The F values were: twenty-nine and under group and thirty and over group (F = 1.15, m_1 = 30, m_2 = 25), twenty-nine and under group and thirty to forty-four group (F = 1.21, m1 = 16, m2 = 25), twenty-nine and under group and forty-five and over group (F = 1.02, $m_1 = 25$, $m_2 = 14$), and thirty to fortyfour group and forty-five and over group (F = 1.23, $m_1 = 16$, m₂ = 14). The ranges differed greatest between the twentynine and under group and the thirty and over group.

The findings of Table IV show a lack of significance in the difference of the means of the graduate age categories at any of the confidence levels used in this study.

-20-

TABLE III

MEANS, DEVIATIONS AND RANGES FOR GRADUATE CATEGORIES ON THE BASIS OF AGE

		AGE IN	YEARS	
Measure	29 and under	30 and over	30 to 44	45 and over
Mean	32.9	46.2	44.4	48.3
S.D.	31.6	33.9	34.7	31.2
S.E.M.	6.3	6.2	8.7	8.3
N	25,	30.	16.	14.
Range	114.	125.	119.	122.

TABLE IV

SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR DIFFERENCE OF PAIRED MEANS OF GRADUATE CATEGORIES COMPARED ON THE BASIS OF AGE

Age groups	"t" value	Sign	ifica at .01	nce .001	Degrees of freedom	Higher group
29 and under 30 and over	1.50				25 and 30	30 and over
29 and under 30 to 44	1.15				25 and 16	30 to 44.
29 and under 45 and over	1.47			an <u>14</u> an	25 and 14	45 and over
30 to 44 45 end over	.33	÷			16 and 14	45 and over

<u>Marital status of all graduates</u>. The data of Table V indicate that the mean for the single graduate group was higher than the mean for the married graduate group. The standard deviations of the married graduate group and the single graduate group were more nearly equal with the married graduate group standard deviation the larger, but no significance was found (F = 1.28, $m_1 = 17$, $m_2 = 38$). The range for the married graduates was higher than the

TABLE	V
-------	---

MEANS, DEVIATIONS AND RANGES FOR GRADUATE CATEGORIES ON THE BASIS OF MARITAL STATUS

	MARITAL STATUS			
Measure	Married	Single		
Mean	35.6	50.3		
S.D.	32.9	29.0		
S.E.M.	5.3	7 .0		
N	38.	17.		
Range	131.	106.		

The findings of Table VI indicate no significance in the difference of the means of the married graduate group and the single graduate group on the confidence levels used in this study.

TABLE VI

SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR DIFFERENCE OF PAIRED MEANS OF GRADUATE CATEGORIES COMPARED ON THE BASIS OF MARITAL STATUS

Marital status	"t" value	Sig .05	nific at .01	ance .001	Degrees of freedom	Higher group
Married and Single	1.66				38 and 17	Single

<u>All graduates by amount of graduate work.</u> The data of Table VII indicate that the mean for the graduates who had two or more quarters of graduate study was higher than the mean for the graduates who had one or less quarters of graduate work. The standard deviation of the graduate group which had two or more quarters of graduate study was significantly higher than the standard deviation of the graduate group which had one or less quarters of graduate work (F = 2.52, $m_1 = 16$, $m_2 = 34$, tested at .10). The range of the group with two or more quarters of graduate work was lower than that of the group with one or less quarters of graduate work. The total number of returns in this category was incomplete, since only fifty of the fifty-five graduate students who took the test and filled out the questionnaire answered this particular item.

TABLE VII

MEANS, DEVIATIONS AND RANGES FOR GRADUATE CATEGORIES ON THE BASIS OF AMOUNT OF GRADUATE WORK

Measure	AMOUNT OF GRAN	DUATE WORK IN QUARTERS 2 or more
Mean	38.3	42.3
S.D.	19.2	30.5
S.E.M.	3.3	7.6
N	34.	16.
Range	131.	100.

The findings of Table VIII show a lack of significance on the confidence levels used in this study in the difference of the means of the graduate group which had

two or more quarters of graduate work and the graduate group which had one or less quarters of graduate work.

2 or more

GRADUATE CATEGORIES COMPARED ON THE BASIS OF ALOUNT OF GRADUATE WORK							
Graduate work	"t" Value	Sig .05	nific et .01	ance	Degrees of freedom	Higher group	
0 to 1 and	.48				34 en d	2 or	

16

more

Τ	ABLE	1	Π	1]	Ľ

-25-

SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR DIFFERENCE OF PAIRED MEANS OF

All graduates by type of work. The data of Table IX indicate that the mean for the group which was composed of graduates who both taught and did part-time administrative work was considerably higher than the mean for the group composed of graduates who taught full time. The standard deviations of the two groups were more nearly equal with the standard deviation of the graduate group of teacher-administrators the larger, but no significance was found (F = 1.16, $m_1 = 13$, $m_2 = 40$). The range of the graduate group of full-time teachers was higher than the range of the graduate teacher-administrator group. Two of the fifty-five questionnaires were not answered in this category since two of the graduate students indicated they had no previous teaching experience.

-26--

TABLE IX

Measure	Teaching full time	TYPE OF WORK Teaching and administration
Mean	37.7	45.6
S.D.	32.9	35.5
S.E.M.	5.2	9. 8
N	40.	13.
Range	128.	125.

MEANS, DEVIATIONS AND RANGES FOR GRADUATE CATEGORY ON THE BASIS OF TYPE OF WORK

5

The findings of Table X indicate no significance at any of the confidence levels used in this study in the difference of the means of the full-time teaching graduate group and the teaching and administration graduate group.

Type of work	"t" Value	Sig .05	nific st .01	ance	Degrees of freedom	Higher group
Teaching full time and Teaching and administration	.71				40 and 13	Teaching and admin- istration

TABLE X SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR DIFFERENCE OF PAIRED MEANS OF

GRADUATE CATEGORY COMPARED ON THE BASIS OF TYPE OF WORK

All graduates by level of teaching effort. The data of Table XI indicate that the mean for the graduate group of teaching level one to six exceeded the means of the two other graduate groups of teaching levels, the seven to nine group and the ten to twelve group. The mean for the graduate group of teaching level ten to twelve was higher than the mean for the graduate group of teaching level seven to nine. The standard deviation of the graduate group of teaching level ten to twelve was the largest followed by the standard deviation of the graduate groups of teaching levels seven to nine and one to six respectively. The standard deviation of the greduate group of teaching level one to six was lower than that of the other two levels. Significance was found for the difference of standard deviations for the greduate group of teaching levels one to six and seven to nine (F = 3.98, m_1 = 19, m_2 = 10, tested at .02), and one to six and ten to twelve (F = 5.51, $m_1 = 22$, m₂ = 10, tested at .02). However, no significance was found between the difference of standard deviations for the graduate group of teaching levels seven to nine and ten to twelve (F = 1.38, $m_1 = 22$, $m_2 = 19$). The range of the graduete group of teaching level ten to twelve was the largest followed by the seven to nine group and the one to six, respectively. Four of the fifty-five questionnaires were not complete in regard to this item. Two of these were

-27- -
incomplete because the graduate students listed no previous teaching experience in answer to this question.

Measure	LEVEL OF 1 to 6	TEACHING EFFORT 7 to 9	BY <u>GRADES</u> 10 to 12
Mean	63.2	32.0	37.8
S.D.	15.3	. 30.6	36.0
S.E.M.	4.8	7.0	7.7
N	10.	19.	22.
Range	81.	107.	131.

TABLE XI

MEANS, DEVIATIONS AND RANGES FOR GRADUATE CATEGORIES ON THE BASIS OF LEVEL OF TEACHING EFFORT

The findings of Table XII show significance at the .Ol confidence level in the difference of the means of the graduate groups of teaching levels one to six and seven to nine. Significance was also evident in the difference of the means of the graduate groups of teaching levels one to six and ten to twelve, but at the .O5 confidence level. No significance was found in the difference of means of the graduate groups of teaching levels seven to nine and ten to twelve.

TABLE XII

SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR DIFFERENCE OF PAIRED MEANS OF GRADUATE CATEGORIES COMPARED ON THE BASIS OF LEVELS OF TEACHING EFFORT

Levels of teaching effort	"t" ⊽alue	Sig .05	n ific at .05	ance	Degrees of freedom	Higher group
l to 6 and 7 to 9	3.66	yes	yes		10 and 19	l to 6
1 to 6 and 10 to 12	2.80	yes			10 end 22	1 to 6
7 to 9 and 10 to 12	.52	***			19 and 22	10 to 12

<u>All graduates by years of experience</u>. The data of Table XIII indicate the mean for the graduate group with eleven or more years of teaching experience was the largest, followed by the means of the group with four to ten years teaching experience and the group with zero to three years teaching experience, respectively. The standard deviations were more nearly equal with the standard deviation of the graduate group with from four to ten years experience the largest, the zero to three years experience next and the group with teaching experience of eleven years or more the

smallest. No significance was found between any of the differences of standard deviations. The F values were: for the zero to three and four to ten years of experience $(F = 1.23, m_1 = 20, m_2 = 20)$, for the zero to three and eleven or more years of experience $(F = 1.01, m_1 = 20, m_2 = 14)$, and for the four to ten and eleven or more years of experience $(F = 1.24, m_1 = 20, m_2 = 14)$. The ranges of the three groups varied directly with experience. The most experienced group range was the highest, the intermediate group next and the least experienced the lowest.

TABLE XIII

	TEACHI	NG EXPERIENC	E IN YEARS
Measure	0 to 3	4 to 10	ll or more
Mean	31.4	43.2	49.1
S.D.	31.4	34.8	31.2
S.E.M.	7.2	7.8	8 .4
N	20.	20.	14.
Range	114.	119.	122.

MEANS, DEVIATIONS AND RANGES FOR GRADUATE CATEGORIES ON THE BASIS OF YEARS OF EXPERIENCE

The findings of Table XIV show a lack of significance in the difference of the means of the graduate categories based on experience at any of the confidence levels used in this study.

TABLE XIV

SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR DIFFERENCE OF PAIRED MEANS OF GRADUATE CATEGORIES COMPARED ON THE BASIS OF TEACHING EXPERIENCE

Teaching experience	"t" Value	Sigr .05	nifica et .01	ance .001	Degrees of freedom	Higher group
0 to 3 and 4 to 10	1.11				20 and 20	4 to 10
0 to 3 and 11 or more	1.16				20 and 14	ll or more
4 to 10 and 11 or more	.52	50 ~ Ja			20 and 14	ll or more

<u>All graduates by size of system</u>. The questionnaire item concerning size of system was the fill-in type of item. The results of the frequency chart showed a range in size of systems from one hundred fifty-seven to fifty thousand. This difference in size of systems constituted singularity in many instances, so it was decided to categorize into two more meaningful groups. The two groups selected were five hundred and under and five hundred one and over.

The data of Table XV indicate the mean for the graduate group from schools of five hundred and under was larger than the mean of the graduate group from schools of five hundred one and over. The standard deviations of the groups were more nearly equal with the inverse of the means relationship existing. However, no significance was found between the differences of standard deviations (F = 1.24, $m_1 = 27$, $m_2 = 24$). The ranges of the two groups differed by three points with the group from the larger schools possessing the higher range.

TABLE XV

Measure	<u>NUMBER OF PUPIL</u> 500 and under	<u>S IN THE SYSTEM</u> 501 and over
Mean	42.8	35.4
S.D.	31.7	35.8
S.E.M.	6.5	6.8
N	24.	27.
Range	125.	128.

MEANS, DEVIATIONS AND RANGES FOR GRADUATE CATEGORY ON THE BASIS OF SIZE OF SYSTEM

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

-32-

£

The findings of Table XVI show a lack of significance in the difference of the means of the graduate group from systems of five hundred and under and the graduate group from systems of five hundred one and over, on the confidence levels used in this study.

TABLE XVI

Size of system	"t" Value	Sig .05	nific at .01	ance .001	Degrees of freedom	H i gher group
500 and under and 501 and over	•78			# 	24 and 27	500 and under

SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR DIFFERENCE OF PAIRED MEANS OF GRADUATE CATEGORY COMPARED ON THE BASIS OF SIZE OF SYSTEM

III. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE UNDER-GRADUATE CATEGORY

<u>All under-graduates by sexes within the group</u>. The data of Table XVII indicate that the mean for the under-graduate female group was more than twice as large as the mean for the under-graduate male group. The standard deviations of the two groups were more nearly equal with the undergraduate male group standard deviation the larger. No significance of the difference of the standard deviations was found (F = 1.67, $m_1 = 34$, $m_2 = 21$). The range of the under-graduate male group was considerably larger than the range of the under-graduate female group.

TABLE XVII

,	CATEGORY ON T	HE BASIS OF	F SEX	
Measure	·	Male	<u>SEX</u> Female	
Mean		22.8	49,4	
S.D.		27.9	20.6	
S.E.M.		4.8	4.5	
N		34.	21.	
Range		112.	81.	

MEANS DEVI ATTONS AND RANGES FOR THE INDER-GRADITATE

The findings of Table XVIII show a significance in the difference of the means of the under-graduate male group and the under-graduate female group at the .001 confidence level.

TABLE XVIII

SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS FOR DIFFERENCE OF PAIRED MEANS OF THE UNDER-GRADUATE CATEGORY COMPARED ON THE BASIS OF SEX

Sex	"t" velue	Sig .05	nific at .01	ance.	Degrees of freedom	Higher group
Male and Female	4.05	yes	уе s	yes	21 and 34	Under- graduate female

FINDINGS RELATED TO OTHER STUDIES

ŧ

A difference in choice of categories both major and subdivisional for this study and other studies conducted using the Minnesote Teacher Attitude Inventory allowed the following comparisons to be made: (1) grade levels, (2) sex, (3) marital status, (4) training, and (5) experience.

Cook's¹ study found significance in the difference of paired means of teachers of grades one to three and four to six, and in the difference of paired means of teachers of grades four to six and high school teachers. The findings of this study were similar to those of Cook, although the subdivisions used were different. A significance at the .Ol level of confidence was found in the difference of paired means of the graduate groups of teaching levels one to six and seven to nine and a significance at the .O5 level of confidence found in the difference of paired means of the graduate groups of teaching levels one to six and ten to twelve. In both Cook's study and this study the means of elementary teachers were found to be largest and the means of junior high school teachers smallest of ell the groups

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

-35-

lWalter W. Cook, "Personality Characteristics of Successful Teachers," The American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, Seventh Yearbook of the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (Chicago, Illimois: American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, 1954), p. 64.

with regard to teaching levels.

Cook did not find significance in the difference of paired means of male and female groups of experienced teachers. This study reaffirmed Cook's findings since no significance was found in the difference of paired means of the graduate group based on sex. Conversely in the study, significance at the .OOl level of confidence was found in the difference of paired means of the under-graduate group based on sex, with the under-graduate female group mean larger than the under-graduate male group mean. No comparison was possible in this regard because Cook dealt solely with experienced teachers and not with under-graduate students who had had no teaching experience.

Agreement in a lack of significance of the difference of paired means of single and married teachers in Cook's study and single and married graduate group members in this study was found.

Callis² found that attitudes measured were rather well formed by the time the subject entered pre-professional training and were influenced to only a minor extent by training and the first half year of teaching. This study agreed with these findings since no significance was found

2Robert Callis, "Changes in Teacher-Pupil Attitudes Related to Training and Experience," Educational and Psychological Measurement, X (October, 1949), p. 727.

-36-

in the difference of peired means of the graduate groups based on training and the graduate groups based on experience in teaching.

2

CHAPTER IV

SUMMARY, CONJECTURES AND SUGGESTIONS

SUMMARY

Areas of significant difference in paired means. In this study a significance at the .01 level of confidence was found in the difference of paired means of the graduate groups of teaching levels one to six and seven to nine, and a significance at the .05 level of confidence in the difference of paired means of the graduate groups of teaching levels one to six and ten to twelve. Significance at the .001 level of confidence was found in the difference of paired means of the graduate categories based on sex.

Areas of no significant difference in paired means. In this study the following areas showed no significance in the difference of paired means:

- 1. All graduates and all under-graduates.
- 2. Greduate males and under-graduate males.
- 3. Graduate females and under-graduate females.
- 4. Graduate females and graduate males.
- 5. Age and marital status of the graduate group.
- 6. Graduate work of the graduate group.
- 7. Classroom and administrative work of the graduate group.

-38-

8. Grade teaching levels seven to nine and ten to twelve of the graduate group.

Years of teaching experience of the graduate group.
Size of system for the graduate group.

CONJECTURES

Scores received by graduate students may have been influenced by the graduate student's ability, gained through training and experience, to anticipate the type of response which is most desired by the author of the test.

The significance of the difference of paired means of the under-graduate males and under-graduate females may have been influenced by the fact that the under-graduate males may not be considering teaching as a life's work, but as a "stopping off point" before entering some other profession. The under-graduate females may be thinking in a diametrically opposite way and may be considering teaching as a vocation for life. This may mean that they will not be influenced by other professional interests.

Significance in the difference of paired means of teaching levels one to six and seven to nine and teaching levels one to six and ten to twelve may be due in part to the intimete teacher-pupil relationships which prevail in the elementary grades as opposed to the more formal relationships which exist between the junior high school and high school teachers and their pupils.

SUGGESTION

Through larger groups, significance in the difference of paired means may be determined for some of the categories which appear to be insignificant. This program should be continued to make these larger groups available to future studies.

いいち たまちの ちょうちょう しょうちょう

BIBLIOGRAPHY

21 . Yu. an

.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Baxter, Bernice. "Rating Teacher's Personal Effectiveness," <u>National Education Association Journal</u>, XVII (August, 1938), p. 81.
- Eurington, Richard S., and Donald C. May, Jr. <u>Handbook of</u> <u>Probability and Statistics with Tables</u>. Sandusky, Ohio: <u>Handbook Publishers</u>, Inc., 1953. 332 pp.
- Callis, Robert. "Changes in Teacher-Pupil Attitudes Related to Training and Experience," <u>Educational</u> and <u>Psycho-</u> <u>logical Measurement</u>, X (October, 1949), pp. 718-727.
- Cook, Walter W. "Personality Characteristics of Successful Teachers," The American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, pp. 63-70. Seventh Yearbook of the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education. Chicago, Illinois: American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, 1954.
- Cook, Walter W., Carroll H. Leeds, and Robert Callis. The Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory Manual. New York: The Psychological Corporation, 1951. 15 pp.
- Edwards, Allen L. <u>Statistical Methods</u> for the <u>Behavioral</u> <u>Sciences</u>. New York: Rinehart and Company, Inc., 1954. 542 pp.
- Leeds, Carroll H. "A Scale for Measuring Teacher-Pupil Attitudes and Teacher-Pupil Rapport," <u>Psychological</u> <u>Monographs</u>, Vol. LXIV, No. 312 (November, 1951), p. 6.
- Meek, Clinton R. "Some Factors Related to Teacher Attitude Change," <u>The College of Education Record</u>, XLI (June, 1956), p. 9.
- Morris, Elizabeth H. <u>Personal Traits and Success in Teaching</u>. New Yok: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College, Columbia University, 1929. 75 pp.

-42-

APPENDIX A

•

DO NOT OPEN UNTIL TOLD TO DO SO

MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

Form A

WALTER W. COOK University of Minnesota CARROLL H. LEEDS Furman University ROBERT CALLIS University of Missouri

DIRECTIONS

This inventory consists of 150 statements designed to sample opinions about teacher-pupil relations. There is considerable disagreement as to what these relations should be; therefore, there are no right or wrong answers. What is wanted is your own individual feeling about the statements. Read each statement and decide how YOU feel about it. Then mark your answer on the space provided on the answer sheet. Do not make any marks on this booklet.

SA		υ	D	SD
I				l
SA	•	U	D	SD
			l	
SA	Ā	U	D	SD
ll	ll	1	1	
SA	Ä	Ū	ö	SD
	li	E	1	11
ii Sa	A	H U	D	SD
ļ				1
	SA 	SA A SA A SA A SA A SA A SA A SA A III SA A III	SA A U SA U U SA U U SA U	SA A U D SA A U D

Think in terms of the general situation rather than specific ones. There is no time limit, but work as rapidly as you can. PLEASE RESPOND TO EVERY ITEM.

Copyright 1951 The Psychological Corporation 522 Fifth Avenue New York 18, N. Y.

Printed in U.S.A.

51-161TB

-44-

	SA—Strongly agree A—Agree	U-Undecid or uncertai	ed DDisagree n SDStrongly disagree
1.	Most children are obedient.	16.	A pupil's failure is seldom the fault of the teacher.
2.	Pupils who "act smart" probably have high an opinion of themselves.	too 17.	There are times when a teacher cannot be blamed for losing patience with a pupil.
3.	Minor disciplinary situations should somet be turned into jokes.	im es 18.	A teacher should never discuss sex problems with the pupils.
4.	Shyness is preferable to boldness.	19.	Pupils have it too easy in the modern school.
5.	Teaching never gets monotonous.	20.	A teacher should not be expected to burden himself with a pupil's problems.
6.	Most pupils don't appreciate what a tea does for them.	cher 21.	Pupils expect too much help from the teacher
7.	If the teacher laughs with the pupils in an	mus-	in getting their lessons.
	out of control.	22.	A teacher should not be expected to sacrifice an evening of recreation in order to visit a child's home.
8.	A child's companionships can be too care supervised.	fully 23	Most nupils do not make an adequate effort
9.	A child should be encouraged to keep his and dislikes to himself.	likes	to prepare their lessons.
10	It cometimes does a child good to be critic	24.	Too many children nowadays are allowed to have their own way.
10.	in the presence of other pupils.	25.	Children's wants are just as important as those
11.	Unquestioning obedience in a child is desirable.	not 26	The teacher is usually to blame when pupils
12.	Pupils should be required to do more stud	ying	fail to follow directions.
	at nome.	27.	A child should be taught to obey an adult without question.
18.	The first lesson a child needs to learn i obey the teacher without hesitation.	is to 28.	The boastful child is usually over-confident of his ability.
14.	Young people are difficult to understand t days.	these 29.	Children have a natural tendency to be unruly.
1 5.	There is too great an emphasis upon "kee order" in the classroom.	ping 30.	A teacher cannot place much faith in the state- ments of pupils.
			GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE

-46-

	SA—Strongly agree A—Agree	U-Undecide or uncertain	ed DDisagree n SDStrongly disagree.
81.	Some children ask too many questions	s. 46 .	More "old-fashioned whippings" are needed today.
32 .	A pupil should not be required to stand reciting.	l when 47.	The child must learn that "teacher knows best."
33 .	The teacher should not be expected to age a child if the latter's parents are to do so.	o man- 48. unable	Increased freedom in the classroom creates confusion.
34.	A teacher should never acknowledge norance of a topic in the presence of his	49. his ig- pupils.	A teacher should not be expected to be sympathetic toward truants.
35.	Discipline in the modern school is not a as it should be.	50. s strict	Teachers should exercise more authority over their pupils than they do.
36 .	Most pupils lack productive imagination	51 .	Discipline problems are the teacher's greatest worry.
37.	Standards of work should vary with the	e pupil. 52.	The low achiever probably is not working hard enough and applying himself.
38.	The majority of children take their re bilities seriously.	sponsi- 53.	There is too much emphasis on grading.
39.	To maintain good discipline in the cla a teacher needs to be "hard-boiled."	ssroom 54.	Most children lack common courtesy toward adults.
4 0.	Success is more motivating than failur	e. 55.	Aggressive children are the greatest problems.
4 1.	Imaginative tales demand the same present as lying.	punish- 56.	At times it is necessary that the whole class suffer when the teacher is unable to identify the culprit.
42.	Every pupil in the sixth grade should sixth grade reading ability.	d have 57.	Many teachers are not severe enough in their dealings with pupils.
4 3.	A good motivating device is the critics parison of a pupil's work with that of pupils.	nl com- f other 58.	Children "should be seen and not heard."
44 .	It is better for a child to be bashful that "boy or girl crazy."	n to be 59.	A teacher should always have at least a few failures.
45 .	Course grades should never be lowe punishment.	red as 60.	It is easier to correct discipline problems than it is to prevent them.
			GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE

-47-

سي	SA—Strongly agree A—Agree	UUndeci or uncerta	ded D—Disagree ain SD—Strongly disagree
61.	Children are usually too sociable in room.	the class- 76	. There is too much leniency today in the hand- ling of children.
62.	Most pupils are resourceful when their own.	n left on 77	. Difficult disciplinary problems are seldom the fault of the teacher.
63.	Too much nonsense goes on in ma rooms these days.	any class-	. The whims and impulsive desires of children are usually worthy of attention.
64.	The school is often to blame in cases o	79 of truancy.	. Children usually have a hard time following instructions.
65.	Children are too carefree.	80	. Children nowadays are allowed too much free- dom in school.
66.	Pupils who fail to prepare their less should be kept after school to make t aration.	ons daily 81. this prep-	. All children should start to read by the age of seven.
67.	Pupils who are foreigners usually teacher's task more unpleasant.	make the 82	. Universal promotion of pupils lowers achieve- ment standards.
68.	Most children would like to use good	d English. 83	. Children are unable to reason adequately.
69.	Assigning additional school work is effective means of punishment.	s often an 84	A teacher should not tolerate use of slang expressions by his pupils.
70.	Dishonesty as found in cheating is one of the most serious of moral offe	85 probably mses.	. The child who misbehaves should be made to feel guilty and ashamed of himself.
71.	Children should be allowed more fr their execution of learning activities.	86. reedom in	. If a child wants to speak or to leave his seat during the class period, he should always get permission from the teacher.
72.	Pupils must learn to respect teachers other reason than that they are teach	s if for no 87. hers.	. Pupils should not respect teachers anymore than any other adults.
73.	Children need not always understand sons for social conduct.	d the rea-	. Throwing of chalk and erasers should always demand severe punishment.
74.	Pupils usually are not qualified to see own topics for themes and reports.	89. elect their	. Teachers who are liked best probably have a better understanding of their pupils.
75.	No child should rebel against autho	90. prity.	. Most pupils try to make things easier for the teacher.
			GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE

-48-

SAStrongly agree	U-Undecided	D—Disagree
AAgree	or uncertain	SD—Strongly disagree

-49-

- 91. Most teachers do not give sufficient explanation in their teaching.
- **92.** There are too many activities lacking in academic respectability that are being introduced into the curriculum of the modern school.
- 93. Children should be given more freedom in the classroom than they usually get.
- 94. Most pupils are unnecessarily thoughtless relative to the teacher's wishes.
- 95. Children should not expect talking privileges when adults wish to speak.
- 96. Pupils are usually slow to "catch on" to new material.
- 97. Teachers are responsible for knowing the home conditions of every one of their pupils.
- 98. Pupils can be very boring at times.
- 99. Children have no business asking questions about sex.
- 100. Children must be told exactly what to do and how to do it.
- 101. Most pupils are considerate of their teachers.
- 102. Whispering should not be tolerated.
- 103. Shy pupils especially should be required to stand when reciting.
- 104. Teachers should consider problems of conduct more seriously than they do.
- 105. A teacher should never leave the class to its own management.

- 106. A teacher should not be expected to do more work than he is paid for.
- 107. There is nothing that can be more irritating than some pupils.
- 108. "Lack of application" is probably one of the most frequent causes for failure.
- 109. Young people nowadays are too frivolous.
- 110. As a rule teachers are too lenient with their pupils.
- 111. Slow pupils certainly try one's patience.
- 112. Grading is of value because of the competition element.
- 113. Pupils like to annoy the teacher.
- 114. Children usually will not think for themselves.
- 115. Classroom rules and regulations must be considered inviolable.
- 116. Most pupils have too easy a time of it and do not learn to do real work.
- 117. Children are so likeable that their shortcomings can usually be overlooked.
- 118. A pupil found writing obscene notes should be severely punished.
- 119. A teacher seldom finds children really enjoyable.
- 120. There is usually one best way to do school work which all pupils should follow.

GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE

	SA—Strongly agree A—Agree	U-Undecide or uncertain	d D—Disagree SD—Strongly disagree
191.	It isn't practicable to base school work children's interests.	upon 1 36 .	A pupil should always be fully aware of what is expected of him.
122.	It is difficult to understand why some dren want to come to school so early is morning before opening time.	chil- 137. in the	There is too much intermingling of the sexes in extra-curricular activities.
123.	Children that cannot meet the school a	138. stand-	The child who stutters should be given the opportunity to recite oftener.
1 24 .	Children are usually too inquisitive.	139.	The teacher should disregard the complaints of the child who constantly talks about imag- inary illnesses.
125.	It is sometimes necessary to break pro made to children.	omises 140.	Teachers probably over-emphasize the ser- iousness of such pupil behavior as the writing of obscene notes.
1 26.	Children today are given too much fre	edom. 141.	Teachers should not expect pupils to like them.
127.	One should be able to get along with a any child.	ilmost 142.	Children act more civilized than do many adults.
128.	Children are not mature enough to make own decisions.	their 143.	Aggressive children require the most atten- tion.
129.	A child who bites his nails needs to be sh	amed. 144.	Teachers can be in the wrong as well as pupils.
130.	Children will think for themselves if peted.	ermit- 145.	Young people today are just as good as those of the past generation.
131.	There is no excuse for the extreme sension of some children.	itivity 146.	Keeping discipline is not the problem that many teachers claim it to be.
132.	Children just cannot be trusted.	147.	A pupil has the right to disagree openly with his teachers.
133.	Children should be given reasons for the strictions placed upon them.	he re- 148.	Most pupil misbehavior is done to annoy the teacher.
1 34 .	Most pupils are not interested in learning	ng. 149.	One should not expect pupils to enjoy school.
135.	It is usually the uninteresting and di subjects that will do the pupil the most	ifficult 150. good.	In pupil appraisal effort should not be dis- tinguished from scholarship.

•

.

APPENDIX B

PLEASE SUPPLY THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:

Write your code Your age ____ number here and also on your Sex (circle one) M answer sheet. F Marital status (circle one) S M How many quarters do you have beyond your B.A.? (circle one) 1 2 3 4 more Check one of the following three: If you did not teach last year, answer for the last year you did teach. (1) Did you teach classes full time last year? (2) Did you have full-time administrative or supervisory duties last year? (3) Did you have both teaching and administrative or supervisory

(3) Did you have both teaching and administrative or supervisory duties last year?

Encircle the <u>one</u> area that best describes the level on which you worked last year. 1-6 7-9 10-12 1-12

How many years have you taught?

What was the approximate total number of students in your system last year?

APPENDIX C

FREQUENCIES OF THE GRADUATE SUBDIVISION

<u>Age in</u>	Years	<u>Amount</u> of <u>Graduate</u> <u>Work</u> <u>In</u> <u>Quarters</u>	Type of Nork
200	401	09	Full time
210	411	125	teaching40
220	423	28	
231	430	3	Full time
241	440	4 or more =5	admin0
253	453	unmarked5	
266	460		Both teaching
274	471		and admin13
284	482		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
296	492		Did not teach
			before2
302	500		
311	512		
320	520		
333	530		
341	540		
350	550		
361	562		
372	571		
381	581		
390	590		
•	600		
	~ ~ ~		

Levels of Teaching Effort			Years	of	Experi	len	<u>ce</u>
by Grades							
	0	to	15		18	to	191
1 to 610	1	to	28		19	to	200
	2	to	32		20	to	210
7 to 919	3	to	47		21	to	221
	4	to	52		22	to	230
10 to 1222	5	to	63		23	to	242
	6	to	75		24	to	250
1 to 12 0	7	to	83		25	to	261
	8	to	92		26	to	273
unmarked 4	9	to	101		27	to	280
	10	to	113		28	to	290
	11	to	121		29	to	300
	12	to	131		30	to	310
	13	to	143		31	to	320
	14	to	150		32	to	331
	15	to	160		33	to	340
	16	to	170		34	to	350
	17	to	180				

.

FREQUENCIES OF THE GRADUATE SUBDIVISIONS (con't)

-56-

n }

<u>Size of System</u>

500 and under	23
501 to 1000	6
1001 to 1500	5
1501 to 2000	0
2001 to 2500	2
2501 to 3000	2
3001 to 3500	0
3501 to 4000	0
4001 to 4500	0
4501 to 5000	0
5001 to 5500	0
5501 to 6000	1
6001 to 6500	0
6501 to 7000]
7001 to 7500	0
7501 to 8000	3
10,000	
12,000	
25,000	
30,000	
50,000	
Great Fails	
No. of Elementary Stud	ents 8501
High School Students 1	501
unmarked	4

APPENDIX D

.

SCORES RECEIVED BY MAJOR GROUPS ON THE

MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

Grad	luate		Under-gr	aduate
	4.0		75	70
-26	42		-35	36
-20	47		-30	38
-19	48		-17	40
-14	52		-13	41
-12	55		-13	42
-10	58		-5	42
-4	59		0	44
-2	60		7	45
-1	62		10	45
10	64		10	47
12	65		13	48
12	65		13	50
13	67		14	51
17	69		15	51
19	69		16	52
21	70		17	53
22	74		19	56
23	74		20	59
23	77		22	63
24	7 8		22	72
29	79		24	72
29	86		29	75
32	86		30	76
33	87		31	76
33	95		31	76
35	101		31	89
37	104		35	93

42

SCORES RECEIVED BY ALL GRADUATES BY SEX ON THE

MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

Male		Female
-26	35	-20 86
-19	42	-10 ,86
-14	42	-4 101
-12	47	10
-2	55	21
-1	58	22
10	6 0	24
12	65	33
12	67	37
13	69	4 8
17	6 9	59
19	74	62
23	79	64
23	87	65
29	95	70
29	104	74
32		77
33		78

-59-

SCORES RECEIVED BY ALL UNDER-GRADUATES BY SEX ON THE

MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

Male			Fe	male
-35	24		13	5 76
-30	31		19	89
-17	36		22	93
-13	40		29)
-13	41		30)
-13	42		31	•
-5	45	. ·	31	-
0	45		35	5
7	47		36	}
10	48		42	2
10	50		44	:
13	51		52	
14	51		53	
15	56		59	
16	76		63	5
17	76		72	
20			72	;
22			75	

SCORES RECEIVED BY ALL GRADUATES BY AGE ON THE

MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

29 and Under	30 and Over	<u>30 to 44</u>	45 and Over
29 and Under -26 -19 -4 -2 -1 10 13 17 19 21 23 23 23	30 and Over -20 79 -14 86 -12 95 -10 101 10 104 12 22 24 33 33 33 37 10	<u>30 to 44</u> -14 -12 -10 12 24 33 42 47 55 58 59 69	45 and Over -20 10 12 22 33 37 48 62 64 65 77 79
29 29 32 35 42	42 47 48 55 58	70 78 95 104	86 101
60 65 67 69 74 74	59 62 64 65 69 70		
87	77 78		

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

.

SCORES RECEIVED BY ALL GRADUATES BY MARITAL STATUS ON THE

MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

Mar	ried	Single
-26 -29 -12 -12 -29 -12 -20 22 -29 -29 -20 -20 -29 -20 -29 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20 -20	42 47 48 55 58 59 60 65 67 69 70 74 78 79 87 104	-4 -1 10 21 22 23 33 37 62 64 69 74 77 86 86 95 101

SCORES RECEIVED BY GRADUATES BY LEVEL OF TEACHING ON THE

MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

1 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 12
21	-19 60	-26 64
22	-14 65	-20 65
48	-12 69	-10 67
55	-4 70	-1 69
62	10 87	10 78
74	12	12 79
77	19	13 95
86	23	17 104
86	24	23
101	33	29
	37	29
	42	35
	47	42
	59	58

-62-

SCORES RECEIVED BY ALL GRADUATES BY KIND OF WORK ON THE

MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

Full Teaching		Both Teaching and
		Administration
-26	25	-20
-20	20	
-TÅ	07	-16
-14	42	
-10	42	17
-4	4 8	29
-2	58	47
-1	59	55
10	60	65
12	62	65
12	64	69
13	67	77
19	69	87
21	70	104
22	74	
23	78	
23	79	
24	8 6	
29	86	
32	95	
33	101	

SCORES RECEIVED BY ALL GRADUATES BY SIZE OF SYSTEM ON THE

MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

500 and Under	501 and Over
-20	-26 79
-10	-19 86
-2	-14 101
10	-12
12	-4
13	-1
17	10
22	12
29	19
35	21
37	23
42	23
48	24
5 8	29
59	33
60	42
64	47
65	55
65	62
67	69
70	69
87	74
9 5	77
104	78

SCORES RECEIVED BY ALL GRADUATES BY AMOUNT OF GRADUATE WORK

ON THE MINNESOATA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

0	- 1	2 or more
-26	37	-20
-19	47	-12
-14	48	10
-10	55	12
-4	58	22
-2	6 0	24
-1	62	. 42
10	65	42
12	67	59
13	70	64
19	86	65
21	8 6	69
23	87	69
23	95	74
29	101	78
33	104	79
33		
35		

SCORES RECEIVED BY ALL GRADUATES BY NUMBER OF YEARS TAUGHT

ON THE MINNESOTA TEACHER ATTITUDE INVENTORY

0 - 3	4 - 10	ll and more
-26 69	-14 78	-20
-19 74	-12 86	10
-4 74	-10 95	12
-2 87	-1 104	22
10	17	33
12	19	37
13	21	59
23	24	62
23	42	64
29	47	65
29	48	77
33	55	79
35	60	86
42	65	101
58	69	
67	70	