### University of Montana

# ScholarWorks at University of Montana

Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers

**Graduate School** 

1962

# Borel sets and Baire functions

Ralph Lee Bingham The University of Montana

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

### **Recommended Citation**

Bingham, Ralph Lee, "Borel sets and Baire functions" (1962). *Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers*. 8033. https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd/8033

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

### BOREL SETS AND BAIRE FUNCTIONS

Ъy

RALPH LEE BINGHAM

B.A., Montana State University, 1955 Presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts

### MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

1962

Approved by:

William M. Myers Chairman, Board of Examiners

Jean, Graduate School

والمنبع للأمور الوقيقيتين بنيات التقاف فيدعدوننا بالت

AUG 1 1 1962

Date

UMI Number: EP38834

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



UMI EP38834

Published by ProQuest LLC (2013). Copyright in the Dissertation held by the Author.

Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC. All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code



ProQuest LLC. 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106 - 1346

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is deeply indebted to Dr. William Myers for his generous assistance, abundant patience, and for his meticulous reading of the manuscript.

R. L. B.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	l
II.	BOREL SETS $F_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ AND $G_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$	9
III.	HAUSDORFF SETS $P_{\prec}$ AND $Q_{\prec}$	26
IV.	BAIRE FUNCTIONS	34
٧.	RELATION BETWEEN BOREL SETS AND	
	BAIRE FUNCTIONS	54
BIBLIOGRAPHY		84

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

In this manuscript the general properties of the Borel sets and the Baire functions will be discussed, and several important relationships between the two will be shown.

The family of Borel sets is defined to be the collection of all sets of type  $F_{\alpha}$  and  $G_{\alpha}$ , for all ordinals  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the smallest uncountable ordinal number. Sets of type  $F_0$  are closed sets, and sets of type  $G_0$  are open sets. The sets of type  $F_{\alpha}$  and  $G_{\alpha}$ , for any ordinal  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , are then defined by transfinite induction and discussed in general in Chapter II. The Hausdorff sets of type  $P_{\alpha}$  and  $Q_{\alpha}$  are then defined by transfinite induction, and the relationships between the family of Borel sets and the family of Hausdorff sets are shown. It is then proven that these two families of sets are identical.

In Chapter IV, the Baire functions of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , for all ordinals  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , are defined by transfinite induction where a function of type  $f_0$  is a continuous function. Relationships between the Borel sets and the Baire functions are then shown. One of the more important theorems proved in the final chapter is: If f is a Baire function defined on a complete metric space A, then

-1-

(1) f is continuous on a subset S of A relative to S,

(2) S is a countable intersection of open sets in A,

(3) S is dense and of the second category in A,

(4) the complement of S is of the first category in  $A_{\bullet}$ 

It will be assumed that the reader is familiar with basic topological concepts and with the fundamental properties of cardinal numbers and ordinal numbers. We will now define some frequently used terms in order to facilitate the reading of the manuscript.

A set is any collection of objects which we shall call <u>elements</u> or <u>points</u>. If x is an element of the set E, we write  $x \in E$ . If x is not an element of the set E, we write  $x \notin E$ . A set E is said to be a <u>subset</u> of a set F if every element of E is an element of F, and we write  $E \subset F$ .

The <u>union</u> of a collection of sets is understood to be the set of all elements which belong to at least one of the sets over which the union is extended. The union of two sets E and F is denoted by E U F. The union of a finite collection of sets  $E_1$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, is denoted by  $\underset{i=1}{\overset{n}{\cup}}E_i$ . The union of an infinite sequence of sets  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ ,  $E_3$ ,..., written  $\{E_n\}$ , is denoted by  $\underset{n=1}{\overset{\infty}{\cup}}E_n$ . In general, let B be an arbitrary set, and suppose that with each element b  $\in$  B, there is  $\therefore$   $E_b$ . This yields an indexed collection of sets. The union of the sets of this collection is denoted by  $\bigcup_{b \in B} \mathbf{E}_{b}$ .

The <u>intersection</u> of a collection of sets is understood to be the set of all elements which belong to each of the sets over which the intersection is extended. The intersection of two sets E and F is denoted by  $E \land F$ , where  $x \in [E \land F]$  if and only if  $x \in E$ and  $x \in F$ . The intersection of a finite collection of sets  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n$ , is denoted by  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} E_i$  and of an infinite sequence  $\{E_n\}$  by  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . In a manner similar to that used for an arbitrary union we denote the intersection of an arbitrary indexed collection of sets by  $\bigcap_{b \in B} E_b$ .

For two sets E and F, the <u>difference</u> of the two sets is the set of all elements belonging to F but not to E, and is written F-E.

The largest (smallest) number in a set E of real numbers will be denoted by max [E] (min [E] ), if one exists. The least upper bound (greatest lower bound) of a set E of real numbers will be denoted by l.u.b.[E](g.l.b. [E] ), if one exists.

A set A is a <u>metric space</u> if with any ordered pair of points x and y belonging to A there is associated a real number, called the distance between these points and denoted by d(x,y), with the following properties:

(1)  $d(x,y) \geq 0$  for all  $x,y \in A$ ,

(2) d(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y,

- (3) d(x,y) = d(y,x) for all  $x,y \in A$ .
- (4)  $d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$  for all  $x,y,z \in A$ .

In a metric space A, the <u>complement</u> of a set  $E \subset A$ , denoted by C(E), is the difference A-E.

A point x is said to be the <u>limit</u> of an infinite sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a metric space A if for every  $\geq > 0$ there is some positive integer N such that if n > Nthen  $d(x,x_n) < \epsilon$ . If the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has a limit x, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to <u>converge</u> to x, and we write  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ . Given a metric space A, then  $\{x_n\}$  is a <u>Cauchy sequence</u> in A if for every

 $\mathcal{E} > \mathcal{O}$  there exists some positive integer N such that if m,n > N then  $d(x_m, x_n) < \mathcal{E}$ . A metric space A is <u>complete</u> if every Cauchy sequence in A converges in A.

The set of all real numbers is a complete metric space, where d(x,y) = |x-y| for any real numbers x and y.

The empty set is denoted by  $\oint$ . Sets E and F are said to be <u>disjoint</u> if  $E \cap F = \oint$ .

If  $x \in A$ , where A is any metric space, and if r is any real number, then the <u>neighborhood</u> of the point x with <u>radius</u> r is the set of all  $y \in A$  such that d(x,y) < r, and this neighborhood is denoted by N(x,r). A point x is an <u>interior point</u> of a set E if and only if for some r > 0,  $N(x,r) \subset E$ . A set E is <u>open</u> if and only if every  $x \in E$  is an interior point of E.

In a metric space A, a point x is called a <u>limit point</u> of a set E if and only if for every r > 0,  $N(x,r) \land E$  contains at least one point different from x. This is equivalent to saying  $N(x,r) \land E$  is an infinite set for each r > 0. A set E is said to be <u>closed</u> if and only if every limit point of E is contained in E.. The <u>derived set</u> E' of a set E is the set of all limit points of E. The <u>closure</u>  $\overline{E}$  of a set E is the set  $E \lor E^{i}$ .

Given sets E and F in a metric space A such that  $E \subseteq F \subseteq A$ , then E is <u>closed in F</u> (relative to F) if and only if  $(E' \land F) \subseteq E$ . A set  $E \subseteq F$  is <u>open in F</u> if and only if for every  $x \in E$  there is some r > 0such that  $(N(x,r) \land F) \subseteq E$ . Set  $E \subseteq F$  is <u>dense in F</u> if and only if for every  $x \in F$  and every r > 0,  $N(x,r) \land E \neq \beta$ . A set  $E \subseteq F$  is <u>nowhere dense in F</u> if and only if for every  $x \in F$  and every  $\varepsilon > O$ there is some  $y \in F$  and some S > 0 such that  $(N(y, S) \land F) \subseteq (N(x, \varepsilon) \land F)$  and  $N(y, S) \land E = \beta$ .

A set E is said to be of the <u>first category in F</u> if it is the union of a sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of nowheredense sets in F. If E is not of the first category in F, E is said to be of the <u>second category in F</u>.

It will be assumed throughout that we are working with real-valued functions. A function f defined on a set S is said to be continuous at a point a & S <u>relative to S</u> if and only if for every  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x \in S$  and  $x \in N(a, \delta)$  $|f(x) - f(a)| < \mathcal{E}$  . A function f is said to then be continuous on a set S relative to S if and only if f is continuous at every point x & S relative to S. If f is defined on a set S, and  $F \subset S$ , then f is continuous at a point a & S relative to F if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x \in [N(a, \delta) \cap F]$  then  $|f(x) - f(a)| < \delta$ . A function f defined on a set S is said to be continuous on a set E relative to F, where ECS and FCS, if and only if f is continuous at every point x & E relative to F.

A function f defined on a set S is said to be <u>uniformly continuous on S relative to S</u> if for every  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x_y \mathcal{E} S$ and  $d(x,y) < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \mathcal{E}$ .

If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of functions, where each function  $f_n$  is defined on a set S, then <u>the sequence</u> of functions  $\{f_n\}$  is said to converge at a  $\mathcal{E}$  S to a limit function f defined at a, and we write  $f(a) = \underset{n \to \infty}{\lim} f_n(a)$ , if and only if for every  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  there exists an integer N > 0 such that if  $n \geq N$  then  $|f_n(a) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$ . The sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$ converges on a set  $E \subset S$  to a limit function f defined on E, and we write  $f = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n$  on E, if and only if the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges to f at every point  $a \in E$ .

The sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$ , where each function  $f_n$  is defined on a set S, is said to <u>converge</u> <u>uniformly on the set S</u> to a limit function f defined on S, if and only if for every  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  there exists an integer N>0 such that if  $n \ge N$  then  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \mathcal{E}$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Any set which is finite or which can be put into one-to-one correspondence with the set of all positive integers is said to be <u>countable</u>. Any set which is not countable is said to be <u>uncountable</u>.

Two properties of ordinal numbers which will be relied upon heavily are:

(1) For every set of ordinal numbers, there is an ordinal number which is greater than every ordinal number in the set, and which is less than any other ordinal number with this same property, i.e. there is a definite next larger ordinal number for any set of ordinal numbers.

(2) If E is a countable set of ordinal numbers of countable sets, the next larger ordinal number is also an ordinal number of a countable set. The ordinal number  $\omega$  is the ordinal number of the set of all positive integers ordered according to increasing magnitude.  $\omega$  is the smallest transfinite ordinal number. The set of all finite or countable ordinal numbers ordered according to increasing magnitude is a well-ordered set with ordinal number  $\Lambda$ .  $\Lambda$  is the smallest uncountable ordinal number.

The principle of transfinite induction will be relied upon heavily in the following work, and is as follows:

If M is any well-ordered set and if S is a subset of M such that

(1) if a is the first element of M, then a & S, and

(2) for any element  $y \in M$ , if all elements  $x \in M$  preceding y are in S, then  $y \in S$ , then S = M.

The larger of two ordinal numbers  $\propto$  and  $\beta$ will be represented by max ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ).

A sequence of sets  $\{E_n\}$  is called nonincreasing (nondecreasing) if  $E_i \supset E_{i+1}$  ( $E_i \subset E_{i+1}$ ) for every positive integer i.

-8-

### CHAPTER II

### BOREL SETS F AND G

In this chapter we will define the Borel sets of type  $F_{\alpha}$  and  $G_{\alpha}$ , and will prove several important properties of these sets. It will be assumed throughout that we are working within a metric space A, unless otherwise stated.

Each ordinal  $\ll < \Lambda$  will be designated as being either even or odd, but not both, by use of transfinite induction, in the following manner.

(1)  $\propto = 0$  is defined to be even, and not odd.

(2) Suppose that  $\ll \langle \mathcal{N} \rangle$ , and that every ordinal  $\beta < \propto$  has been designated as being either even or odd, but not both.

(a) If  $\prec$  has no immediate predecessor, then  $\propto$  is designated as being even, and not odd.

(b) If  $\propto$  has an immediate predecessor,  $\alpha$  -1, then  $\alpha$  is designated as being even (odd), and not odd (even), if  $\alpha$  -1 is odd (even).

<u>Definition</u>: A set is a Borel set of type  $F_0(G_0)$ if and only if it is a closed (open) set. Suppose that  $\propto < \Omega$ , and that Borel sets of type  $F_\beta$  and  $G_\beta$  have been defined for all  $\beta < \alpha$ .

(1) If  $\propto$  is odd, a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha}$  (G<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>) is defined to be a countable union (intersection) of Borel sets, each of lower type  $F_{\beta}$  (G<sub> $\beta$ </sub>) for some

-10-

ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$ . We note that the sets of the countable union (intersection) need not all be of the same type; we require only that they all be of lower type than  $F_{\alpha}$  ( $G_{\alpha}$ ).

(2) If  $\propto$  is even, a Borel set of type  $F_{\propto}$  ( $G_{\propto}$ ) is defined to be a countable intersection (union) of Borel sets, each of lower type  $F_{\mathcal{G}}$  ( $G_{\mathcal{G}}$ ), for some ordinal  $\mathcal{G} < \propto$ . The same remark applies as at the end of (1).

We thus define Borel sets of type  $\mathbb{F}_{\prec}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{\prec}$ by transfinite induction for all  $\prec < \mathcal{N}$ .

<u>Theorem 2.1:</u> Every Borel set of type  $F \propto (G \prec)$ is a set of type  $F_{\mathcal{G}}(G_{\mathcal{G}})$  if  $\alpha < \beta < \Lambda$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose set E is of type  $F_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \beta < \Lambda$ . If  $\beta$  is odd, E is of type  $F_{\beta}$  since  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_n = E$  for each n. If  $\beta$  is even, E is of type  $F_{\beta}$  since  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_n = E$  for each n.

Suppose set E is of type  $G_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \beta < \Lambda$ . If  $\beta$  is odd, E is of type  $G_{\beta}$  since  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_n = E$  for each n. If  $\beta$  is even, E is of type  $G_{\beta}$  since  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_n = E$  for each n.

We will designate  $\Lambda$  as being even since it has no immediate predecessor. Sets of type  $F_{\Lambda}$  and  $G_{\Lambda}$  will be defined to be countable intersections and countable unions of sets of type  $F_{\alpha}$  and  $G_{\alpha}$ , respectively, for  $\alpha < \Lambda$ . <u>Theorem 2.2:</u> Every set of type  $F_{\mathcal{A}}$  (G<sub> $\mathcal{A}$ </sub>) is of type  $F_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  (G<sub> $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ </sub>) for some  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} < \mathcal{A}$ .

<u>Proof:</u> Suppose set E is of type  $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then  $E = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$  is of type  $F_{\alpha_n}, \alpha_n < \mathcal{A}$ . Since for each n,  $\alpha_n$  is an ordinal number of a countable set, the ordinal number  $\alpha$ immediately succeeding the set of ordinals  $\alpha_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , is also an ordinal number of a countable set. Therefore E is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \mathcal{A}$ , if  $\alpha$  is even. If  $\alpha$  is odd, then  $\alpha + 1$  is even, and E is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha} + 1 \cdot$ 

It can be shown in a similar manner that no new sets are obtained by taking sets of type  $G_{\mathcal{A}}$  .

<u>Theorem 2.3:</u> The complement of every set of type  $F_{\prec}$  ( $G_{\sim}$  ) is a set of type  $G_{\prec}$  ( $F_{\prec}$  ), for every  $\sim < \Lambda$ .

<u>Proof:</u> The theorem is true for  $\propto = 0$  since the complement of a closed set is an open set and the complement of an open set is a closed set. Now suppose the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$  for some  $\alpha < \Omega$ .

Suppose  $\propto$  is even. If set E is of type  $F_{\alpha}$ , then  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$  is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . By our induction assumption, each set  $C(E_n)$  is of type  $G_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ .

Therefore C(E) is a Borel set of type  $G_{\prec}$  since  $C(E) = C(\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} C(E_n)$ .

Suppose set E is of type  $G_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha$  even. Then  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$  is a Borel set of type  $G_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . By our induction assumption, each set  $C(E_n)$  is of type  $F_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . Therefore C(E) is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha}$  since  $C(E) = C(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C(E_n)$ .

-12-

If  $\propto$  is an odd ordinal, the induction process can be carried out in a similar manner.

Therefore, by transfinite induction, the theorem is true for every ordinal  $\propto < \mathcal{A}$  .

<u>Theorem 2.4</u>: If  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is odd, the union (intersection) of a countable number of sets of type  $F_{\alpha}(G_{\alpha})$  is a set of type  $F_{\alpha}(G_{\alpha})$ . If  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is even, the intersection (union ) of a countable number of sets of type  $F_{\alpha}(G_{\alpha})$  is a set of type  $F_{\alpha}(G_{\alpha})$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $\ll < \Lambda$  is odd, and  $\mathbf{E} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_n$ , where for each n,  $\mathbf{E}_n$  is of type  $\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\propto}}$ . Then for each n,  $\mathbf{E}_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_{n,m}$ , where for each m,  $\mathbf{E}_{n,m}$  is a set of type  $\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\propto}}, \boldsymbol{\sim}_{n,m} < \boldsymbol{\propto}$ . Therefore E is a Borel set of type  $\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{\propto}}$  since  $\mathbf{E} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_{n,m}$ , and the sets  $\{\mathbf{E}_{n,m}\}$  constitute a countable collection.

If  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is odd and  $\mathbf{E} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_n$ , where for each n,  $\mathbf{E}_n$  is a set of type  $\mathbf{G}_{\alpha}$ , then  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{C}(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{E}_n)$ . Each set  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{E}_n)$  is of type  $\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}$  by theorem 2.3. Therefore by the first part of the proof  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{E})$  is a Borel set of type  $\mathbf{F}_{\alpha}$ . Therefore E is of type  $G_{\sim}$  by theorem 2.3.

The proof of the second part of the theorem where  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is even is entirely analogous.

<u>Theorem 2.5</u>: If  $\ll < \Lambda$  is odd, the union (intersection) of a finite number of sets of type  $F_{\ll}(G_{\ll})$  is a set of type  $F_{\ll}(G_{\ll})$ . If  $\ll < \Lambda$  is even, the intersection (union) of a finite number of sets of type  $F_{\ll}(G_{\ll})$  is a set of type  $F_{\ll}(G_{\ll})$ .

<u>Proof</u>: This theorem is an immediate consequence of theorem 2.4 .

<u>Theorem 2.6</u>: If  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is odd, the intersection (union) of a finite number of sets of type  $F_{\alpha}(G_{\alpha})$  is a set of type  $F_{\alpha}(G_{\alpha})$ . If  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is even, the union (intersection) of a finite number of sets of type  $F_{\alpha}(G_{\alpha})$  is a set of type  $F_{\alpha}(G_{\alpha})$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $\ll < \Lambda$  is odd, and the two sets A and B are of type  $F_{\alpha}$ . Then  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , where for each n,  $A_n$  is of type  $F_{\alpha,n}, \alpha_n < \alpha$ , and  $B = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m$ , where for each m,  $B_m$  is of type  $F_{\beta,n}, \beta_m < \alpha$ . Then  $S = A \cap B =$  $(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \cap (\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap B_m)$ . Choose  $\alpha_{n,m}$  to be an even ordinal such that  $\alpha_{n,m} \ge \alpha_n$ ,  $\alpha_{n,m} \ge \beta_m$ , and  $\alpha_{n,m} < \alpha$  for all indices n and m. Then each set  $A_n \cap B_m$  is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha,n,m}, \alpha_{n,m} < \alpha$ , by theorem 2.5. Therefore set S is of type  $F_{\alpha}$ .

Suppose  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is odd, and  $S = A \cup B$  where sets A and B are both of type  $G_{\alpha}$ . Then  $C(S) = C(A) \cap C(B)$ where C(A) and C(B) are sets of type  $F_{\alpha}$  by theorem 2.3. Therefore by the first part of the proof C(S) is a set of type  $F_{\alpha}$ . Hence set S is of type  $G_{\alpha}$  by theorem 2.3. If  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is even, it can be proved in a similar manner that the union of two sets of type  $F_{\alpha}$  is a set of type  $F_{\alpha}$ , and the intersection of two sets of type  $G_{\alpha}$  is a set of type  $G_{\alpha}$ .

We have shown the theorem is true for two sets. The proof is completed by the use of finite induction.

<u>Theorem 2.7</u>: For every ordinal  $\propto < \Lambda$  every set of type  $F_{\propto}(G_{\propto})$  is a set of type  $G_{\propto+1}$   $(F_{\propto+1})$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose E is a closed set. If  $E = \oint$ , then  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_n = \oint$  for each n, is of type  $G_1$  since  $\oint$  is both open and closed. Suppose E is not empty. Then let  $E_n = \bigcup_{x \in E} N(x, \frac{1}{n})$ . Since each neighborhood  $N(x, \frac{1}{n})$ is an open set, and the union of any collection of open sets is an open set, for each positive integer n,  $E_n$  is an open set. Also,  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , for if  $x \in E$ , then  $x \in E_n$ for each n, and hence  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . On the other hand, suppose  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , then  $x \in E_n$  for each n. Hence for each n there exists some  $x_n \in E$  such that  $d(x, x_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$  and  $x \in E$ , which means  $x \in E$  since E is a closed set.

For any ordinal  $\propto < \Lambda$ , assume every set of type  $F_{\beta}$ is of type  $G_{\beta+1}$ , for all  $\beta < \propto$ .

If  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is odd, and set E is of type  $F_{\alpha}$ , then  $E = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$  is of type  $F_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . By our induction assumption, each set  $E_n$  is of type  $G_{\alpha_n+1}, \alpha_n+1 < \alpha+1$ . Since  $\alpha+1$  is even, E is a set of type  $G_{\alpha+1}$ . Suppose  $\ll < \Lambda$  is even, and set E is of type  $F_{\alpha}$ . Then  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$ is of type  $F_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . By our induction assumption, each set  $E_n$  is of type  $G_{\alpha+1}$ , where  $\alpha_n+1 < \alpha+1$ . Since  $\alpha+1$  is odd, E is a set of type  $G_{\alpha+1}$ .

Therefore, by transfinite induction, every set of type  $F_{\alpha}$  is a set of type  $G_{\alpha+1}$  for all ordinals  $\alpha < \Lambda$ .

Now consider E to be a set of type  $G_{\alpha}$ , for any ordinal  $\alpha < \Lambda$ . Then C(E) is a set of type  $F_{\alpha}$  by theorem 2.3, and by the proof above also a set of type  $G_{\alpha+1}$ . Therefore by theorem 2.3 E is a set of type  $F_{\alpha+1}$ .

<u>Theorem 2.8:</u> The family of all Borel sets forms the smallest system of sets such that:

(1) All closed sets are in the system,

(2) the union of any countable collection of sets in the system is in the system,

(3) the intersection of any countable collection of sets in the system is in the system.

<u>Proof</u>: Condition (1) is satisfied by the system of Borel sets because of the definition of sets of type  $F_0$ . Suppose  $E = \prod_{n=1}^{\omega} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$ is a Borel set. By our previous theorems, each  $E_n$ is of type  $F_{\prec}$ , for a certain ordinal  $\prec_n < \mathcal{A}$ .

-15-

There exists an odd ordinal  $\propto$  such that  $\ll < \Lambda$  and  $\ll_n < \ll$  for every n. Therefore E is a Borel set of type  $F_{\prec}$ . Hence condition (2) is satisfied.. Likewise, any countable intersection of Borel sets is a Borel set.

To prove that the system of Borel sets is the smallest system satisfying these conditions, we will show that any system satisfying these conditions contains all the Borel sets.

Suppose S is any system of sets satisfying the three conditions of the theorem. Every Borel set of type  $F_0$  is in S because of condition (1). Assume that all sets of type  $F_{\mathcal{B}}$  are in S, for all ordinals  $\mathcal{B} < \alpha < \mathcal{A}$  . Suppose  $\alpha$  is odd, and E is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha}$ . Then  $E = \prod_{n=1}^{\omega} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$  is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . By our induction assumption each set  $E_n$  is in S. Then E is in S since S satisfies condition (2). On the other hand, suppose  $\alpha$  is even and E is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha}$ . Then  $E = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$  is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . By our induction assumption each set  $E_n$ is in S. Then E is in S since S satisfies  $F_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . By our induction assumption each set  $E_n$ is in S. Then E is in S since S satisfies condition (3).

Therefore, by transfinite induction, all the Borel sets of type  $F_{\prec}$ , for any ordinal  $\prec < \mathcal{A}$ , belong

to S. Since every Borel set is a Borel set of type  $F_{\prec}$ , for some ordinal  $\prec < \Lambda$ , by theorem 2.7, S contains all the Borel sets.

We note that if in condition (1) of theorem 2.8, "closed" is replaced by "open", the resulting theorem is also true.

<u>Theorem 2.9</u>: A Borel set of type F<sub>1</sub> is either of the first category in a metric space A, or else contains a neighborhood.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose E is any Borel set of type  $F_1$ . Then  $E = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$  is a closed set in the metric space A. If each set  $E_n$  is nowhere dense in A, then E is of the first category in A.

Suppose E is of type  $F_1$  and not of the first category in A. Then there exists some positive integer N such that the set  $E_N$  is not nowhere dense in A, where  $E = \underset{n=1}{\overset{\sim}{\sim}} E_n$ , each set  $E_n$  closed in A. Then there is some r > 0 and some  $x_0 \in A$  such that every nonempty open set  $R \subset N(x_0, r)$  contains points of  $E_N$ . Hence, consider any  $x_1 \in N(x_0, r)$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $N(x_1, \varepsilon) \cap E_N \neq \phi$ . Therefore  $x_1 \in \overline{E}_N$  which implies  $N(x_0, r) \subset \overline{E}_N$ . Hence  $N(x_0, r) \subset E_N$ , since  $E_N$  is a closed set, and  $N(x_0, r) \subset E$ .

-18-

<u>Definition</u>: Given any bounded set F,  $\Delta$  (F) = 1.u.b.  $\int d(x,y) d(x,y)$  where x and y range over F, is called the <u>diameter</u> of set F.

<u>Theorem 2.10 (Cantor's Theorem)</u>: Given a nonincreasing sequence of nonempty sets  $\{F_n\}$ , where each  $F_n$  is a closed and bounded subset of the complete metric space A, with the additional requirement that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta(F_n) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n \neq \emptyset$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $\{F_n\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence of nonempty sets satisfying the conditons of the theorem. For each set  $F_n$ , choose  $x_n \in F_n$ , thus obtaining a sequence of points  $\{x_n\}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varDelta(F_n) = 0$ , given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is some N > 0 such that  $\varDelta(F_N) < \varepsilon$ .

Since  $\{F_n\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence of sets,  $x_n \in F_n \in F_N$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Moreover,  $\Delta(F_N) < \mathcal{E}$ and  $x_n, x_m \in F_N$  imply that  $d(x_n, x_m) < \mathcal{E}$  for all n, m > N. Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in A, and since A is a complete space, there is some  $x \in A$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ .

Let M be any positive integer. If  $n \ge M_{p}$ then  $x_n \in F_n \subset F_M$ . Therefore  $x \in \overline{F}_M$ , and since each set  $F_M$  is closed,  $x \in F_M$  for any positive integer M. Therefore  $x \in n \stackrel{\sim}{\cong} F_n$ , i.e.  $\stackrel{\sim}{n=1} F_n \neq \emptyset$ . <u>Theorem 2.11 (Baire's Theorem)</u>: If H is a nonempty Borel set of type  $G_1$  in a complete metric space A, then H is of the second category relative to itself.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose H is a nonempty Borel set of type  $G_1$ , then  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ , where for each n,  $G_n$  is open in A. Suppose H is of the lst category relative to itself, then  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ , where for each n,  $H_n$ is nowhere dense in H.

Suppose  $x_0 \in H_0$  then consider  $N(x_0, 1) \cap H$  which is open relative to H. Since  $H_1$  is nowhere dense in H, there exists a nonempty set  $F_1 \subset H$  open relative to H such that  $F_1 \subset \left[N(x_0, 1) \cap H\right]$  and  $F_1 \cap H_1 = \emptyset$ .

Since  $F_1$  is open in H, there is some set  $R_1$ open in the space A such that  $F_1 = R_1 \cap H$ . Suppose  $x_1 \in F_1$ . Since  $R_1$  is open in A, there is some  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $N(x_1, \delta_1) \subset R_1$ .

Let  $Q_1 = N(x_1, \delta_1) \cap H$ . Set  $Q_1$  is nonempty since  $x_1 \in Q_1$ . Also, since  $F_1 \cap H_1 = \emptyset$  and  $Q_1 \subset F_1 \subset [N(x_0, 1) \cap H]$ ,  $Q_1 \cap H_1 = \emptyset$ .

Now  $x_1 \in F_1 \subset H$  implies  $x_1 \in G_1$ , and since  $G_1$ is open in A there is some  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $\overline{N(x_1, \varepsilon_1)} \subset G_1$  where  $\varepsilon_1 < \min \left[\delta_1, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ . Define the set  $S_1 = N(x_1, \varepsilon_1) \cap H$ . Then  $\overline{S_1} \subset \left[\overline{N(x_1, \varepsilon_1)} \cap \overline{H}\right]$ where  $\overline{N(x_1, \varepsilon_1)} \subset N(x_1, \delta_1)$ . Therefore  $\left[\overline{S_1} \cap H_1\right] \subset \left[\overline{N(x_1, \varepsilon_1)} \cap \overline{H} \cap H_1\right] = \left[\overline{N(x_1, \varepsilon_1)} \cap H_1\right]$   $\begin{array}{c} \left( \mathbb{N}(x_{1}, \delta_{1}) \cap \mathbb{H}_{1} \right) = \left[ \mathbb{N}(x_{1}, \delta_{1}) \cap \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{H}_{1} \right] = \left[ \mathbb{Q}_{1} \cap \mathbb{H}_{1} \right] \\ \text{i.e.} \quad \overline{S_{1}} \cap \mathbb{H}_{1} \quad \mathbf{C} \quad \mathbb{Q}_{1} \cap \mathbb{H}_{1} \quad \text{which implies} \quad \overline{S_{1}} \cap \mathbb{H}_{1} = \mathbf{0} \\ \text{since} \quad \mathbb{Q}_{1} \cap \mathbb{H}_{1} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{Also,} \quad x_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{1} \subset \mathbb{H} \quad \text{and} \\ S_{1} = \mathbb{N}(x_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{1}) \cap \mathbb{H} \quad \text{imply} \quad x_{1} \in S_{1}, \text{ therefore } S_{1} \quad \text{is} \\ \text{nonempty.} \quad \text{We also note} \end{array}$ 

$$\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{1} \subset \left[\overline{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \varepsilon_{1})} \cap \overline{\mathbf{H}}\right] \subset \left[\mathbf{G}_{1} \cap \overline{\mathbf{H}}\right] \subset \mathbf{G}_{1}.$$

To summarize, we have the following situation:  $S_1 = N(x_1, \varepsilon_1) \land H$  is a nonempty bounded set, open in H, for which  $\overline{S_1} \subset G_1$  and  $\overline{S_1} \land H_1 = \emptyset$ .

Since the set  $H_2$  is nowhere dense in H, and  $S_1$  was constructed to be a nonempty set open in H, there exists a nonempty set  $F_2 \subset H$  open in H, such that  $F_2 \subset S_1$  and  $F_2 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$ .

Since  $F_2$  is open in H, there is some set  $R_2$ open in A such that  $F_2 = R_2 \cap H$ . Suppose  $x_2 \in F_2$ . Since  $R_2$  is open in A, there is some  $\delta_2 > 0$ , where  $\delta_2 < \epsilon_1 - d(x_1, x_2)$ , such that  $N(x_2, \delta_2) \subset R_2$ . Let  $Q_2 = N(x_2, \delta_2) \cap H$ . Set  $Q_2$ is nonempty since  $x_2 \in Q_2$ . Also, since  $F_2 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$ and  $Q_2 \subset F_2 \subset S_1$ ,  $Q_2 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$ .

Now  $x_2 \in F_2 \subset H$  implies  $x_2 \in G_2$ , and since set  $G_2$  is open in A there is some  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  such that  $\overline{N(x_2, \varepsilon_2)} \subset G_2$  where  $\varepsilon_2 < \min \left[ \delta_2, \frac{1}{4} \right]$ .  $\left( \varepsilon_2 < \delta_2 < \varepsilon_1 - d(x_1, x_2) \right)$ Define  $S_2 = N(x_2, \varepsilon_2) \cap H$ . Then  $\overline{S_2 \subset \left[ \overline{N(x_2, \varepsilon_2)} \cap \overline{H} \right]}$  where  $\overline{N(x_2, \varepsilon_2)} \subset N(x_2, \delta_2)$ .

Therefore 
$$\left[\overline{S_2} \cap H_2\right] \subset \left[\overline{N(x_2, \varepsilon_2)} \cap \overline{H} \cap H_2\right] = \left[\overline{N(x_2, \varepsilon_2)} \cap H_2\right]$$
  
 $\subset \left[N(x_2, \delta_2) \cap H_2\right] = \left[\overline{N(x_2, \delta_2)} \cap H \cap H_2\right]$   
 $= \left[Q_2 \cap H_2\right] = \phi$ .  
Also,  $x_2 \in S_2$ ,  $\overline{S_2} \subset \left[\overline{N(x_2, \varepsilon_2)} \cap \overline{H}\right] \subset \left[G_2 \cap \overline{H}\right] \subset G_2$ ,  
and  $\overline{S_2} \subset \overline{S_1}$ , since  $\varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1 - d(x_1, x_2)$  implies  
 $S_2 \subset S_1$ .

-21-

Thus, for n = 1, 2, 3, ..., we define nonempty sets  $S_n = N(x_n, \varepsilon_n) \cap H$ , where  $0 < \varepsilon_n < \min \left[ \delta_n, \frac{1}{2^n} \right]$ and  $0 < \delta_n < \varepsilon_{n-1} - d(x_n, x_{n-1})$ , with the following properties:  $S_n$  is nonempty, open in H, and bounded;  $\overline{S_n} \subset G_n$ ,  $\overline{S_n} \cap H_n = \phi$ , and  $\overline{S_n} < \overline{S_{n-1}}$ .

Furthermore, the nonincreasing sequence  $\{\overline{S_n}\}$ of nonempty-closed-bounded sets has the property that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta(\overline{S_n}) = 0$ . This is because the diameter  $\Delta(\overline{S_n}) \leq 2 \cdot \epsilon_n$ , where  $\epsilon_n < \frac{1}{2^n}$ , implies that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ .

Therefore, by theorem 2.10, there is some point x such that  $x \in \widetilde{\bigcap_{n=1}^{n} \overline{S_n}}$ , which implies  $x \in H = \widetilde{\bigcap_{n=1}^{n} G_n}$ since each  $\overline{S_n} \subset G_n$ . But  $x \in \overline{S_n}$  implies also that  $x \notin H_n$  for each n, since  $\overline{S_n} \cap H_n = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $x \notin H = \widetilde{\bigcup_{n=1}^{n} H_n}$ .

Thus we have a contradiction, and we conclude that our assumption that H is of the first category relative to itself is false. Therefore H is of the second category relative to itself. <u>Theorem 2.12:</u> If H is a Borel set of type  $G_1$ in a complete metric space A, where  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  with each  $H_n$  open and dense in A, then H is also dense in A.

<u>Proof:</u> Assume  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ , where for each n,  $H_n$  is open and dense in a complete metric space A. To prove H is also dense in A, we must show that every neighborhood in A contains a point of H.

Consider any  $x_0 \in A$ , and for any  $\mathcal{E}_0 > 0$ some neighborhood  $N(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$ . Since  $H_1$  is dense in A, there is some  $x_1 \in N(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$  such that  $x_1 \in H_1$ . Since  $N(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$  is an open set, there is some  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $N(x_1, \varepsilon_1) < N(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$ . Also since  $H_1$  is open in A, there is some  $\delta_1 > 0$ such that  $N(x_1, \delta_1) < H_1$ . Choose a  $\delta'_1, 0 < \delta'_1 <$ min  $\left[\varepsilon_1, \delta_1, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ . Then  $\overline{N(x_1, \delta_1)} < N(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$  and  $\overline{N(x_1, \delta_1)} < H_1$ .

We proceed to define  $\overline{N(x_n, \mathscr{F}_n)}$  by induction in the following manner. Assume  $\overline{N(x_i, \mathscr{F}_i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ , have been defined such that there is some  $x_i \in N(x_{i-1}, \mathscr{F}_{i-1})$  such that  $\overline{N(x_i, \mathscr{F}_i)} \subset \overline{N(x_{i-1}, \mathscr{F}_{i-1})}$ and  $\overline{N(x_i, \mathscr{F}_i)} \subset H_i$ . Since  $H_n$  is dense in A, for each n, there is some  $x_n \in N(x_{n-1}, \mathscr{F}_{n-1})$  such that  $x_n \in H_n$ . Since  $N(x_{n-1}, \mathscr{F}_{n-1})$  is open in A, there is some  $\mathcal{E}_n > 0$  such that  $N(x_n, \mathcal{E}_n) \subset N(x_{n-1}, \mathscr{F}_{n-1})$ . Also since  $H_n$  is open in A, there is some  $\mathscr{E}_n > 0$  such that  $N(x_n, \delta_n) \subset H_n$ . Choose  $\delta_n < \min \left[ \mathcal{E}_n, \delta_n, \frac{1}{2^n} \right]$ ,  $\delta_n > 0$ . Then  $\overline{N(x_n, \delta_n)} \subset \overline{N(x_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1})}$ and  $\overline{N(x_n, \delta_n)} \subset H_n$ .

Thus we obtain a nonincreasing sequence  $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \overline{N(x_n, \mathcal{N}_n)} \end{array} \right\}$  of nonempty-closed-bounded sets with the additional property that the diameter

 $\Delta \overline{N(x_n, \mathscr{S}_n)} < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \text{ which implies}$   $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta \overline{N(x_n, \mathscr{S}_n)} = 0.$ 

Therefore, by theorem 2.10, there is some  $x \in A$ such that  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{N(x_n, \mathscr{F}_n)}$ . Therefore  $x \in N(x_o, \mathscr{E}_o)$ , and since  $\overline{N(x_n, \mathscr{F}_n)} \subset H_n$  for each n,  $x \in H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ .

<u>Theorem 2.13:</u> If  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  in a complete metric space A, where each  $H_n$  is a Borel set of type G<sub>1</sub> and dense in A, then H is of type G<sub>1</sub> and dense in A.

<u>Proof:</u> Suppose  $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ , where for each n,  $H_n$  is of type  $G_1$  and dense in the complete metric space A. Then for each n,  $H_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{n,m}$ , where for each m,  $H_{n,m}$  is open in A. Furthermore, since each set  $H_n$  is dense in A and  $H_n \subset H_{n,m}$  for all m, each set  $H_{n,m}$  is dense in A. Also, by theorem 2.4, H is a Borel set of type  $G_1$ . Therefore, by theorem 2.12, H is dense in A.

<u>Theorem 2.14</u>: If E is a Borel set of type  $G_1$  and dense in a complete metric space A, then E is of the second category in A and C(E) is of the -24-

first category in A.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose E is any Borel set of type  $G_1$  and dense in the complete metric space A. By theorem 2.3 the set C(E) is of type  $F_1$  in A. By theorem 2.9 O(E) is either of the first category in A, or else contains a neighborhood. But C(E)cannot contain a neighborhood since E is dense in A. Therefore C(E) is of the first category in A, i.e. a countable union of nowhere dense sets in A.

Suppose E is also of the first category in A, i.e. a countable union of nowhere dense sets in A. Then the complete metric space A would be of the first category relative to itself since  $A = E \cup C(E)$ implies A also is a countable union of nowhere dense sets in A. Therefore we have a contradiction since by Baire's Theorem 2.11 the complete metric space A must be of the second category relative to itself.

Therefore set E is not of the first category in A, and hence is of the second category in A.

<u>Theorem 2.15</u>: In the space A of all real numbers, the set of all rational (irrational) numbers is a Borel set of type  $F_1(G_1)$ , but is not a set of type  $G_1(F_1)$ .

<u>Proof:</u> Let R be the set of all rational numbers, then C(R) is the set of all irrational numbers. Since R is a countable set, and any countable set is of type  $F_1$ , R is a Borel set of type  $F_1$ . (This is because each element of a countable set may be thought of as being a one-element set, which is closed.) Hence C(R) is a Borel set of type  $G_1$ , by Theorem 2.3.

Suppose R is also of type  $G_1$ . Since the set of all real numbers A constitutes a complete metric space, and since R is dense in A, by theorem 2.14 R is of the second category in A. But since R is of type  $F_1$  and does not contain a neighborhood, by theorem 2.9, R is of the first category in A. Therefore we have a contradiction, and the set R of all rational numbers is not a Borel set of type  $G_1$ . Also, the set of all irrational numbers C(R) is not a Borel set of type  $F_1$ .

### CHAPTER III

## HAUSDORFF SETS $P_{\prec}$ AND $Q_{\prec}$

In this chapter we will define the Hausdorff sets of type  $P_{\sim}$  and  $Q_{\sim}$ , and will prove several important properties of these sets. We will also show that the family of Borel sets and the family of Hausdorff sets are identical. It will be assumed throughout that we are working within a metric space A, unless otherwise stated.

Hausdorff sets of type  $P_{\alpha}$  and  $Q_{\alpha}$  are defined by transfinite induction in the following manner.

<u>Definition</u>: A set is of type  $P_0(Q_0)$  if and only if it is an open (closed) set. Suppose that  $\propto < \Omega$  and that Hausdorff sets of type  $P_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $Q_{\mathcal{B}}$  have been defined for all ordinals  $\mathcal{B} < \propto \cdot$ . Then by transfinite induction a set is of type  $P_{\alpha}(Q_{\alpha})$  if and only if  $\mathbf{E} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_n$  ( $\mathbf{E} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_n$ ), where for each n,  $\mathbf{E}_n$  is a set of type  $Q_{\alpha}(P_{\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . We note that the sets of the countable union (intersection) need not all be of the same type.

<u>Theorem 3.1</u>: For every  $\ll < \Lambda$ , every set of type  $P_{\mathcal{B}}(Q_{\mathcal{B}})$  is also a set of type  $P_{\alpha}(Q_{\alpha})$ if  $\beta < \alpha$ . <u>Proof</u>: For  $0 < \beta < \alpha < \Lambda$ , if set E is -26-

of type  $P_{\beta}$ , then  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{-27-1} E_n$ , where for each n,  $E_n$  is of type  $Q_{\beta_n}$ ,  $\beta_n < \beta$ . But  $\beta_n < \beta$ implies  $\beta_n < \propto$ , therefore E is of type  $P_{\alpha}$ .

Likewise, for  $0 < \beta < \alpha < \beta_{n}$ , if set E is of type  $Q_{\beta}$ , then  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{n} E_{n}$ , where for each n,  $E_{n}$  is of type  $P_{\beta_{n}}$ ,  $\beta_{n} < \beta$ . Therefore  $\beta_{n} < \alpha$  and set E is of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ .

Suppose (S = 0. A set E of type  $P_0$  is an open set, and as such is a Borel set of type  $G_0$ . Then by theorem 2.7, E is of type  $F_1$ , i.e. a countable union of closed sets. Therefore, by definition, E is of type  $P_1$ . Likewise, if set E is of type  $Q_0$ , i.e. a closed set, then E is a Borel set of type  $F_0$ , and hence of type  $G_1$  by theorem 2.7. Since E is a countable intersection of open sets, E is of type  $Q_1$ .

Now, if  $0 < \alpha < \Lambda$ , then, since every set of type  $P_0(Q_0)$  is of type  $P_1(Q_1)$ , since  $0 < 1 \le \alpha < \Lambda$ , and since  $0 < \beta < \alpha < \Lambda$ implies that every set of type  $P_{\beta}(Q_{\beta})$  is of type  $P_{\alpha}(Q_{\alpha})$ , it follows that every set of type  $P_0(Q_0)$  is of type  $P_{\alpha}(Q_{\alpha})$ .

This completes the proof of theorem 3.1. Theorem 3.2: The complement of a set of type  $P_{\alpha}$  ( $Q_{\alpha}$ ) is a set of type  $Q_{\alpha}$  ( $P_{\alpha}$ ), for all -28-

ordinals  $\propto < \Lambda$ 

<u>Proof</u>: The theorem is true for  $\ll = 0$  since the complement of a closed set is an open set, and the complement of an open set is a closed set. Assume the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\ll < \propto$ where  $\ll < \Lambda$ .

Suppose set E is of type  $P_{\alpha}$ . Then  $\mathbf{E} = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}_n$ , where each  $\mathbf{E}_n$  is of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . Therefore  $C(E) = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} C(E_n)$ , where each set  $C(E_n)$ is of type  $P_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ , by our induction assumption. Therefore C(E) is a set of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \Lambda$ .

Suppose set E is of type  $Q_{\propto}$ . Then  $\widetilde{E} = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where each  $E_n$  is of type  $P_{\propto_n}$ ,  $\ll_n < \propto$ . Therefore  $C(E) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C(E_n)$ , where each set  $C(E_n)$ is of type  $Q_{\ll_n}$ ,  $\ll_n < \propto$ , by our induction assumption. Therefore C(E) is a set of type  $P_{\propto}$ ,  $\propto < \Lambda$ .

Therefore the theorem follows by transfinite induction.

<u>Theorem 3.3</u>: For all ordinals  $\propto < \Lambda$ , the union (intersection) of a finite or countable collection of sets of type  $P_{\alpha}(Q_{\alpha})$  is a set of type  $P_{\alpha}(Q_{\alpha})$ .

<u>Proof</u>: The theorem is true for  $\propto = 0$  since the union of any collection of open sets is an open set and the intersection of any collection of closed sets is a closed set.

Suppose  $0 < \alpha < \Lambda$  and  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where each set  $E_n$  is of type  $P_{\alpha}$ . Then  $E_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\omega} E_{n,m}$ , where each set  $E_{n,m}$  is of type  $Q_{\alpha_{n,m}}$ ,  $\alpha_{n,m} < \alpha$ . Therefore  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\omega} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\omega} E_{n,m}$  is of type  $P_{\alpha}$ . The same is true if E is a finite union of sets of type  $P_{\alpha}$ .

Suppose  $0 < \alpha < \Lambda$  and  $E = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where each set  $E_n$  is of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ . Then  $E_n = \bigwedge_{m=1}^{\infty} E_{n,m}$ , where each set  $E_{n,m}$  is of type  $P_{\alpha_{n,m}}$ ,  $\alpha_{n,m} < \alpha$ . Therefore  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} E_{n,m}$  is of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ . The same is true if E is a finite intersection of sets of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ .

<u>Theorem 3.4</u>: For any ordinal  $\ll < \bigwedge$ , the union (intersection) of a finite number of sets of type  $Q_{\alpha}$  ( $P_{\alpha}$ ) is a set of type  $Q_{\alpha}$  ( $P_{\alpha}$ ).

<u>Proof</u>: The theorem is true for  $\propto = 0$  since the union of a finite collection of closed sets is a closed set and the intersection of a finite collection of open sets is an open set. Suppose  $\propto$  is any ordinal number such that  $0 < \propto < \Lambda$ .

Suppose E and F are two sets of type  $P_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ . Then  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where each set  $E_n$  is of type  $Q_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_n < \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ , and  $F = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m$ , where each set  $F_m$  is of type  $Q_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_m}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_m < \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ . Then

 $\mathbf{E} \cap \mathbf{F} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{E}_n \cap \mathbf{F}_m) . \text{ Let } \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n,m} = \max \left[ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n,\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}_m \right],$ then by theorem 3.1 both  $\mathbf{E}_n$  and  $\mathbf{F}_m$  are sets of type  $\mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n,m}}, \ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n,m} < \boldsymbol{\alpha} , \text{ and by theorem 3.3, } \mathbf{E}_n \cap \mathbf{F}_m$ is a set of type  $\mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n,m}}, \ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n,m} < \boldsymbol{\alpha} . \text{ Therefore}$   $\mathbf{E} \cap \mathbf{F} \text{ is a set of type } \mathbf{P}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}.$ 

Suppose E and F are two sets of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ . Then by theorem 3.2, C(E) and C(F) are two sets of type  $P_{\alpha}$ . Therefore  $\left[C(E) \cap C(F)\right]$  is a set of type  $P_{\alpha}$  by the proof above, and by theorem 3.2 again,  $C\left[C(E) \cap C(F)\right] = E \cup F$  is a set of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ .

Now that the theorem has been shown true for two sets, the theorem can be proved for any finite number of sets by using finite induction.

<u>Theorem 3.5</u>: For all ordinals  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , every set of type  $P_{\alpha}(Q_{\alpha})$  is a set of type  $Q_{\alpha+1}(P_{\alpha+1})$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $\propto < \Lambda$  and E is a set of type  $P_{\propto}$ . Then  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_n = E$  for each n, is of type  $Q_{\alpha+1}$ . Likewise, if E is a set of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ , then  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_n = E$ for each n, is of type  $P_{\alpha+1}$ .

<u>Theorem 3.6</u>: For  $\ll < \Lambda$ , the difference of two sets of type  $P_{\ll}(Q_{\approx})$  is both a set of type  $P_{\ll+1}$  and a set of type  $Q_{\leftarrow+1}$ . <u>Proof</u>: Suppose S = E - F, where E and F

are sets of type  $P_{\prec}$ ,  $\prec < \Lambda$ . Then  $S = E \cap C(F)$  where E is of type  $P_{\prec+1}$  by theorem 3.1 and C(F) is of type  $Q_{\prec}$  by theorem 3.2. But then C(F) is of type  $P_{\prec+1}$  by theorem 3.5, and therefore S is of type  $P_{\prec+1}$  by theorem 3.4. Also, E is of type  $Q_{\prec+1}$  by theorem 3.5, and C(F)is of type  $Q_{\prec+1}$ , by theorem 3.1, since C(F)was shown above to be of type  $Q_{\prec}$ . Therefore S is also of type  $Q_{\prec+1}$  by theorem 3.3.

The same can be shown true for the difference of two sets of type  $Q_{\alpha}$  by taking set complements.

<u>Theorem 3.7</u>: If  $\ll < \bigwedge$  is any even (odd) ordinal, Borel sets of type  $F_{\ll}$  are identical to the Hausdorff sets of type  $Q_{\ll}$  ( $P_{\bowtie}$ ), and Borel sets of type  $G_{\ll}$  are identical to the Hausdorff sets of type  $P_{\bigstar}$  ( $Q_{\bigstar}$ ).

<u>Proof</u>: The theorem is true for  $\ll = 0$  by definition of the two families of sets. To prove the theorem for  $\ll < \bigwedge$ , assume the theorem is true for all ordinals,  $\bowtie < \ll$ .

Suppose  $\prec$  is an even ordinal, and set S is of type  $F_{\prec}$ . Then  $S = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ , where for each n,  $S_n$ is of type  $F_{\prec_n}$ ,  $\prec_n < \prec$ . If  $\prec_n$  is even,  $S_n$ will be of type  $Q_{\prec_n}$  by our induction assumption. Therefore  $S_n$  will be of type  $P_{\prec_n+1}$ ,  $\prec_n +1 < \prec$ , by theorem 3.5 If  $\prec_n$  is odd,  $S_n$  will be of type

-31-
$P_{\prec_n}, \prec_n < \prec$ , by our induction assumption. In any case S is of type  $Q_{\varkappa}$ .

If  $\propto$  is even, and T is of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ , then  $T = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ , where for each n,  $T_n$  is of type  $P_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . If  $\alpha_n$  is even,  $T_n$  will be of type  $G_{\alpha_n}$  by our induction assumption. Therefore  $T_n$ will be of type  $F_{\alpha_n+1}$ ,  $\alpha_n+1 < \alpha$ , by theorem 2.7. If  $\alpha_n$  is odd,  $T_n$  will be of type  $F_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ , by our induction assumption. In any case T is of type  $F_{\alpha}$ .

Suppose  $\ll$  is an odd ordinal, and set S is of type  $F_{\alpha}$ . Then  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ , where for each n,  $S_n$  is of type  $F_{\alpha_n}, \alpha_n < \alpha$ . If  $\alpha_n$  is odd,  $S_n$  will be of type  $P_{\alpha_n}$  by our induction assumption. Therefore  $S_n$  will be of type  $Q_{\alpha_n+1}, \alpha_n+1 < \alpha$ , by theorem 3.5 If  $\alpha_n$  is even,  $S_n$  will be of type  $Q_{\alpha_n}, \alpha_n < \alpha$ , by our induction assumption. In any case S is of type  $P_{\alpha}$ .

A set of type  $P_{\alpha}$  is shown to be of type  $F_{\alpha}$ , if  $\alpha$  is an odd ordinal, by similar reasoning. Suppose  $\alpha < \Lambda$  is any even ordinal and S is a Borel set of type  $G_{\alpha}$ . Then by theorem 2.3, C(S) is of type  $F_{\alpha}$ . By the first part of the proof C(S) is of type  $Q_{\alpha}$ . Therefore by theorem 3.2 S is of type  $P_{\alpha}$ . Likewise, a set of type  $P_{\alpha}$  is shown to be a Borel set of type  $G_{\alpha}$ , for any even

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

-33-

ordinal  $\ll < \Lambda$  .

Similarly, it can be shown that the sets of type  $Q_{\prec}$  and the Borel sets of type  $G_{\prec}$  are identical, for any odd ordinal  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , by taking complements.

Hence the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\ll < \bigwedge$  by transfinite induction.

## CHAPTER IV

## BAIRE FUNCTIONS

The Baire functions are a class of functions which are defined in an analogous manner to the Borel sets. It will be assumed throughout that we are working with real-valued functions defined on a metric space, unless otherwise stated.

Definition: A function is a Baire function of type  $f_0$  if and only if it is a continous function. A function is a Baire function of type  $f_1$  if and only if it is the limit of a convergent sequence of continuous functions. Suppose that  $\propto < \Lambda$ , and that Baire functions of type  $f_{\beta}$  have been defined for all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ . Then a function is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$  if and only if it is the limit of a convergent sequence of functions, each of type  $f_{\beta}$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$ . We note that all of the functions of the convergent sequence need not be of the same type. By transfinite induction, this defines Baire functions of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , for all ordinals  $\alpha < \Lambda$ .

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Then f(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$  since  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ , where  $f_n(x) = f(x)$  for each n.

<u>Theorem 4.2:</u> For every  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , the sum and product of two functions of type  $f_{\alpha}$  are Baire functions of type  $f_{\alpha}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: The theorem is true for  $\measuredangle = 0$  since the sum and product of two continuous functions are continuous.

Consider  $\propto < \Lambda$  and assume the theorem is true for all ordinals  $(\beta < \propto \ .$  If f(x) and g(x) are both of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , then  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ and  $g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$  where, for each n,  $f_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ , and  $g_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\beta_n}$ ,  $\beta_n < \alpha$ . Let  $\delta_n = \max(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$  for each n. Since  $\delta_n < \alpha$  for each n, by our induction assumption each function  $f_n(x) + g_n(x)$  or  $f_n(x) \cdot g_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\delta_n}$ ,  $\delta_n < \alpha$ .

Since  $f(x) + g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n(x) + g_n(x)$  and  $f(x) \cdot g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n(x) \cdot g_n(x)$ , it follows that the functions f(x) + g(x) and  $f(x) \cdot g(x)$  are both Baire functions of type  $f_{\prec}$ ,  $\prec < \Lambda$ .

Therefore, by transfinite induction, the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\prec < \bigwedge$  .

<u>Theorem 4.3</u>: For every ordinal  $\ll < \Lambda$ , the difference of two functions of type  $f_{\prec}$  is a Baire function of type  $f_{\prec}$ . <u>Proof</u>: The theorem is true for  $\alpha = 0$  since the difference of two continuous functions is a continuous function. Consider any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \Lambda$ . Suppose f(x) and g(x) are both of type  $f_{\alpha}$ . Since any constant function is continuous, -1 is of type  $f_0$ . By theorem 4.1, -1 is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ . Hence -g(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$  by theorem 4.2. It then follows that f(x) - g(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$  by theorem 4.2.

<u>Theorem 4.4</u>: For every ordinal  $\propto < \Lambda$ , if f(x) is of type f then |f(x)| is of type f .

<u>Proof</u>: Consider the Baire function f(x) of type  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \Lambda$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , f(x) is a continuous function. By definition this means given any  $x_0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(x,x_0) < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ . Hence  $||f(x)| - |f(x_0)|| \leq |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ and the function |f(x)| is also a continuous function. Therefore the theorem is true for  $\alpha = 0$ .

Assume the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\Im < \curvearrowright$ . If f(x) is of type  $f_{\triangleleft}$ ,  $\backsim < \bigwedge$ , then  $f(x) =_{n \to \infty} f_{n}(x)$  where for each n,  $f_{n}(x)$  is of type  $f_{\triangleleft, \rightarrow \infty}$ ,  $\bowtie_{n} < \varpropto$ . Then by our induction assumption each function  $|f_{n}(x)|$  is of type  $f_{\triangleleft, n}$ ,  $\bowtie_{n} < \backsim$ . But  $|f(x)| = |_{n} \lim_{n \to \infty} f_{n}(x)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |f_{n}(x)|$ , therefore |f(x)| is of type  $f_{\prec}$ . Therefore by transfinite induction, the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\alpha < \Lambda$ .

<u>Theorem 4.5</u>: For every ordinal  $\ll < \Lambda$ , if f(x) and g(x) are of type  $f_{\ll}$ , then max [f(x),g(x)] and min [g(x),f(x)] are of type  $f_{\ll}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: By theorems 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 the functions f(x) + g(x) and |f(x) - g(x)| are of type  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \Lambda$ . Hence the functions  $\max [f(x),g(x)] =$ 1/2 [f(x) + g(x)] + 1/2 |f(x) - g(x)| and  $\min [f(x),g(x)] = 1/2 [f(x) + g(x)] - 1/2 |f(x)-g(x)|$ are of type  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , by theorems 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3.

<u>Theorem 4.6</u>: For every  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , if f(x)is of type  $f_{\alpha}$  and never equal to 0, then  $\frac{1}{f(x)}$ is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: The theorem is true for  $\alpha = 0$ , as the reciprocal of a nonzero continuous function is a continuous function. Consider  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , and suppose the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ .

Suppose f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\prec}$ ,  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all x. Let  $Q(x) = [f(x)]^2$ . Since  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all x, Q(x) > 0 for all x. Also, Q(x) is of type  $f_{\prec}$  by theorem 4.2. We shall show that  $\frac{1}{Q(x)}$  is of type  $f_{\prec}$ , and hence  $\frac{1}{f(x)}$ is of type  $f_{\prec}$ , by theorem 4.2, since  $\frac{1}{f(x)} = f(x) \cdot \frac{1}{Q(x)} \cdot$ Since Q(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , Q(x) =  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ where, for each n,  $f_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ 

where, for each n,  $f_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\varkappa_n}, \overset{\sim}{n} < \varkappa$ . Let  $g_n(x) = \max \left[ f_n(x), \frac{1}{n} \right]$ , then  $g_n(x) > 0$  for all x and  $g_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\varkappa_n}, \overset{\sim}{n} < \varkappa$ , by theorems 4.1 and 4.5. Also,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x) = \max \left[ Q(x) , 0 \right] = Q(x)$  and  $\frac{1}{Q(x)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{g_n(x)}$  where, for each n,  $g_n(x) > 0$ . But by our induction assumption each function  $\frac{1}{g_n(x)}$  is of type  $f_{\varkappa_n}, \overset{\sim}{n} < \varkappa$ . Therefore the function  $\frac{1}{Q(x)}$  is of type  $f_{\varkappa_n}$ .

Hence  $\frac{1}{f(x)}$  is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , and the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\alpha < \Lambda$  by transfinite induction.

The following lemma will be needed to prove theorem 4.7.

Lemma 4.1: For every ordinal  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , if f(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$  on a metric space A and  $|f(x)| \leq k$  for every  $x \in A, k > 0$ , then f(x) =  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ , where each function  $f_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha_n}, \alpha_n < \alpha$ , and  $|f_n(x)| \leq k$  for every  $x \notin A$ . <u>Proof</u>: If the function f(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}, \alpha < \Lambda$ , then  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$  where for each n,  $g_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha_n}, \alpha_n < \alpha$ . For each n define  $h_n(x) = \min \left[g_n(x), k\right]$ and  $f_n(x) = \max \left[h_n(x), -k\right]$  for k > 0. Then by definition  $f_n(x) \leq k$  and  $f_n(x) \geq -k$ , therefore  $|f_n(x)| \leq k$  for every  $x \in A$ . By theorems 4.1 and 4.5 each function  $f_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha_n}, \alpha_n < \alpha$ . Now  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $x_0 \in A$  there exists some positive integer N depending upon  $x_0$ , such that if n > N then  $|f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ .

Suppose any  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  is given. Then since  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$ , for any  $x=x_0$  there is some N > 0, depending upon  $x_0$ , such that if n > N then  $/g_n(x_0) - f(x_0)/<\mathcal{E}$ . Therefore  $f(x_0) - \mathcal{E} < g_n(x_0) < f(x_0) + \mathcal{E}$  or  $-k - \mathcal{E} < g_n(x_0) < k + \mathcal{E}$ since  $/f(x_0)| \leq k$ .

Suppose that  $g_n(x_0) \le k$ , then  $h_n(x_0) = g_n(x_0)$ by definition, and  $/h_n(x_0) - f(x_0)/<\mathcal{E}$ .

Suppose instead that  $g_n(x_0) > k$ , then by definition  $h_n(x_0) = k$ , and since  $|f(x_0)| \leq k$ ,  $0 \leq h_n(x_0) - f(x_0) < g_n(x_0) - f(x_0) < \mathcal{E}$ . Hence  $|h_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \mathcal{E}$  for n > N and for any value of  $g_n(x_0)$ .

Suppose  $h_n(x_0) \ge -k$ , then by definition  $f_n(x_0) = h_n(x_0)$ , and  $|f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| = |h_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \mathcal{E}$ . Suppose instead that  $h_n(x_0) < -k$ , then by definition  $f_n(x_0) = -k$ , and since  $|f(x_0)| \le k$ ,  $0 \ge f_n(x_0) - f(x_0) > h_n(x_0) - f(x_0) > -\mathcal{E}$ . Therefore  $|f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \mathcal{E}$  for n > Nand for any value of  $h_n(x_0)$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  at  $x_0$ , and therefore at any point  $x \in A$ since  $x_0$  was arbitrarily chosen.

Since the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}\$  was constructed so that  $|f_n(x)| \leq k$  for all  $x \in A$ , for each n, and each function  $f_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\varkappa_n}, \varkappa_n < \varkappa$ , the lemma is proved.

<u>Theorem 4.7</u>: For every ordinal  $\ll < \Lambda$ , the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence of functions of type  $f_{\ll}$ , on a metric space  $\Lambda$ , is a Baire function of type  $f_{\ll}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Let  $\{f_n(x)\}\$  be a uniformly convergent sequence of functions of type  $f_{\checkmark}$ , where  $f(x) = \underset{\rightarrow \infty}{\lim} f_n(x)$ . Let any  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\{f_n(x)\}\$  is uniformly convergent, there exists some N > 0 such that for every  $n \ge N$ ,  $|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon/3$  for every  $x \in A$ .

Suppose  $\propto = 0$  and  $n \geq N$ . Now since each function  $f_n(x)$  is continuous on A, if a  $\in A$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(x,a) < \delta$  then  $|f_N(x) - f_N(a)| < \epsilon/3$ . Suppose  $d(x,a) < \delta$ , then then

$$|f(x) - f(a)| \le |f(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(a)| + |f_N(a) - f(a)|$$
  
  $+ |f_N(a) - f(a)|$   
  $< \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon$ .

-40-

This shows that f(x) is continuous at  $a \in A$  and therefore continuous on the space A. Therefore the theorem is true for  $\propto = 0$ .

Now suppose  $0 < \alpha < \Lambda$ , and  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  uniformly, with  $f_n(x)$  of type  $f_{\alpha}$  for each n. Choose a convergent series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k_i$  of positive real numbers, say  $k_i = 1/2^i$ , such that  $k_{i+1} < k_i$ , for all i. Then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} k_i = 0$ . Now, from the definition of uniform convergence, for each  $k_i > 0$  there exists some  $m_i > 0$  such that if  $n,m \ge m_i$  then  $|f_n(x) - f_n(x)| < k_i$  for all  $x \in A$ , or  $|f_n(x) - f_{m_i}(x)| < k_i$  for all  $n \ge m_i$  and for all  $x \in A$ , where  $m_i < m_{i+1}$  for all positive integers i.

We thus define a uniformly convergent subsequence  $\begin{cases} f_{m_{i}}(x) \\ of \quad \{f_{n}(x)\} \\ where \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} f_{m_{i}}(x) = \\ f_{m_{i}}(x) \\ f_{n}(x) = f(x). & \text{Let} \quad \{f_{m_{i}}(x)\} \\ f_{m_{i}}(x) \\ f_{m_{i}}(x) = f(x). & \text{Let} \quad \{f_{m_{i}}(x)\} \\ where, f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_{i}(x). \\ where, f(x) = g_{1}(x) \\ g_{n+1}(x) \\ f_{n+1}(x) \\ g_{n+1}(x) \\ g_{n+1}(x$ 

converges uniformly to  $f(x) - g_1(x)$ . Furthermore, since  $\{f_{m_i}(x)\} = \{g_i(x)\}$ , each function  $g_i(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , and the function  $g_{n+1}(x) - g_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha}$  for every n by theorem 4.3.

Therefore, by lemma 4.1, since  $|g_{n+1}(x) - g_n(x)| < k_n$  for every  $x \in A$ , there exists a sequence  $\{g_{n,m}(x)\}$  such that  $|g_{n,m}(x)| < k_n$  for every m and  $x \in A$ , and  $g_{n+1}(x) - g_n(x) = \min_{m \to \infty} g_{n,m}(x)$  where each function  $g_{n,m}(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha_{n,m}}$ ,  $\alpha_{n,m} < \alpha$ .

Now define  $h_m(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} g_{n,m}(x)$  and let  $\approx_m = \max \left[ \approx_{1,m}, \infty_{2,m}, \dots, \infty_{m,m} \right]$ . Then, by theorem 4.2, for every value of m,  $h_m(x)$  is of type  $f_{\approx_m}$ , where  $\approx_m < \propto$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  be given. Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k_i$  is a convergent series there exists some M > 0 such that  $\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} k_n < \mathcal{E}/3$ . Therefore for every  $x \in A$ ,

$$\left| f(x) - g_{1}(x) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n}(x) \right] \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n}(x) \right] - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n}(x) \right] \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \left| g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n}(x) \right|$$

$$< \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{k_{n}}{k_{n}} < \frac{\varepsilon/3}{s}.$$

Now suppose m > M, then since  $h_m(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} g_{n,m}(x)$ ,  $|h_m(x) - \sum_{n=1}^{M} g_{n,m}(x)| \le \sum_{n=M+1}^{m} |g_{n,m}(x)|$   $< \sum_{n=M+1}^{m} k_n \le \sum_{n=M+1}^{m} k_n < \mathcal{E}/3 \text{ for all } x \in A.$ Also, for every  $x \in A$ ,  $|\sum_{n=1}^{M} [g_{n+1}(x) - g_n(x)] - \sum_{n=1}^{M} g_{n,m}(x)|$   $= |\sum_{n=1}^{M} [g_{n+1}(x) - g_n(x) - g_{n,m}(x)]|$  $\le \sum_{n=1}^{M} |g_{n+1}(x) - g_n(x) - g_{n,m}(x)|$ 

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Consider 
$$x_0 \in A$$
, then since for each n  
 $g_{n+1}(x) - g_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_{n,m}(x)$ , there exists some  
 $c_n > 0$  such that if  $m \ge c_n$ , then  
 $\int g_{n+1}(x_0) - g_n(x_0) - g_{n,m}(x_0) \le \varepsilon/3M$  for  
 $n = 1, 2, \dots, M$ . Let  $M' = \max [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_M]$ ,  
then  $m \ge M'$  implies  $m \ge c_n$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots, M$ .  
Take  $m \ge M'$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{M} |g_{n+1}(x_n) - g_n(x_0) - g_{n,m}(x_0)| \le M' \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and  
 $\int_{n=1}^{M} [g_{n+1}(x) - g_n(x)] - \sum_{n=1}^{M} g_{n,m}(x)| \le \varepsilon/3$   
at  $x_0 \in A$ .

Now, for  $x_0 \in A$ , and M > 0 and M' > 0 defined as above, if m > max [M,M], then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(x_{0}) - g_{1}(x_{0}) - h_{m}(x_{0}) \right| \\ \leq \left| f(x_{0}) - g_{1}(x_{0}) - \sum_{n=1}^{M} \left[ g_{n+1}(x_{0}) - g_{n}(x_{0}) \right] \right| \\ + \left| \sum_{n=1}^{M} \left[ g_{n+1}(x_{0}) - g_{n}(x_{0}) \right] - \sum_{n=1}^{M} g_{n,m}(x_{0}) \right| \\ + \left| h_{m}(x_{0}) - \sum_{n=1}^{M} g_{n,m}(x_{0}) \right| \\ \leq \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon . \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $f(x) - g_{f}(x) = \lim_{m \to \infty} h_{m}(x)$ where each function  $h_{m}(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha_{m} < \alpha$ . Therefore  $f(x) - g_{1}(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , and by theorem 4.2, since  $g_{1}(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , f(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ . <u>Definition</u>: If a function f(x) is defined on a set S, then f(x) is <u>lower-semicontinuous at c E S</u> <u>relative to S</u> if for every E > 0 there is a  $\delta > 0$  -44

such that if  $x \in S$  and  $d(x,c) < \delta$  then f(x)>  $f(c) - \varepsilon$ . The function f(x) is <u>upper-semi-</u> <u>continuous at  $c \in S$  relative to S</u> if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x \in S$ and  $d(x,c) < \delta$  then  $f(x) < f(c) + \varepsilon$ . <u>Definition</u>: The function f(x) is said to be <u>lower-</u> <u>semicontinuous</u> (upper-semicontinuous) on <u>S</u> relative <u>to S</u> if it is lower-semicontinuous (upper-semicontinuous) at every  $x \in S$  relative to S.

<u>Theorem 4.8</u>: If  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of continuous functions on a metric space A, and if for each  $x_0 \in A$ ,  $\{f_n(x_0)\}$  is a sequence which is bounded above, then the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$ converges to a function f(x) which is lower-semicontinuous on A.

<u>Proof:</u> Suppose  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a sequence satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Then, for any  $c \in A$ ,  $\{f_n(c)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of real numbers, bounded above, and  $f(c) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(c)$  exists.

Now, to show f(x) is lower-semicontinous at  $c \in A$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, then there is some N > 0 such that for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $|f_n(c) - f(c)| < E/2$ , so that  $f_N(c) > f(c) - E/2$  since  $f(c) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(c)$ . Since  $f_N(x)$  is continuous on A, there is a  $\delta > 0$ such that if  $d(x,c) < \delta$  then  $|f_N(x) - f_N(c)| < E/2$ , i.e.  $f_N(x) > f_N(c) - E/2$ . Hence, for any  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  there exists some  $\delta > 0$  and some N > 0 such that if  $d(x,c) < \delta$ then  $f_N(x) > f_N(c) - \mathcal{E}/2 > f(c) - \mathcal{E}/2 - \mathcal{E}/2 =$   $f(c) - \mathcal{E}$ . But since  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence,  $f(x) \ge f_N(x)$  and  $f(x) > f(c) - \mathcal{E}$  for all  $x \in A$  such that  $d(x,c) < \delta$ . Therefore f(x)is lower-semicontinuous at  $c \in A$ , and therefore lower-semicontinuous on A.

<u>Theorem 4.9:</u> Every lower-semicontinuous function f(x) defined on a metric space A and bounded below, is the limit of a nondecreasing sequence of continuous functions on A.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose f(x) is bounded below and lowersemicontinuous on a metric space A. Define  $g_n(x) = g.l.b. [f(y) + n \cdot d(x,y)]$  for every positive integer n, where y varies over the entire space A.

To show that each  $g_n(x)$  is uniformly continuous, consider any two points  $x_1, x_2 \in A$ . Then  $g_n(x_1) = g.1.b. [f(y) + n^{\circ}d(x_1, y)]$  $\leq g.1.b. [f(y) + n^{\circ}d(x_1, x_2) + n^{\circ}d(x_2, y)]$  $= g.1.b. [f(y) + n^{\circ}d(x_2, y)] + n^{\circ}d(x_1, x_2)$  $= g_n(x_2) + n^{\circ}d(x_1, x_2)$ . By interchanging  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , we obtain  $g_n(x_2) \leq g_n(x_1) + n^{\circ}d(x_1, x_2)$ . Therefore  $|g_n(x_1) - g_n(x_2)| \leq n^{\circ}d(x_1, x_2)$  for each n.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

-45-

Let  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  be given, then choose  $\delta = \mathcal{E}/n$ . Now, if  $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$ , then  $|g_n(x_1) - g_n(x_2)| < n \delta = \mathcal{E}$ . Therefore for each n each function  $g_n(x)$  is uniformly continuous on A, and therefore continuous on A.

Next, we note that  $\{g_n(x)\}\$  is a nondecreasing sequence, i.e.  $g_{n+1}(x) \ge g_n(x)$  for all n, since  $g_{n+1}(x) = g.l.b. [f(y) + (n+1) \cdot d(x,y)]$  $= g.l.b. [f(y) + n^{\circ}d(x,y) + d(x,y)]$  $\ge g.l.b. [f(y) + n^{\circ}d(x,y)]$  $= g_n(x)$ .

Finally, we must show that  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$ . This will be done by showing the following: (1)  $f(x) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ , and (2)  $f(x) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . <u>Proof of (1)</u>: For every n,  $g_n(x) = g.l.b. \int f(y) +$  $n^{d}(x,y) \leq f(x)$ . Therefore  $f(x) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$ . <u>Proof of (2)</u>: Suppose  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  is given. Since f(x) is lower-semicontinuous on A, for any  $x_0 \in A$  there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(x_0,y) < \delta$  then  $f(y) > f(x_0) - \epsilon$ . g.l.b.  $[f(y) + n^{d}(x_{0}, y)] \geq f(x_{0}) - \varepsilon$ Hence if  $d(x_0, y) < S$ . Since f(x) is bounded below, there exists some real number M such that f(x) > Mfor every x  $\mathcal{E}$  A. Now, there exists some N > 0 such that  $M + n\delta > f(x_0) - \varepsilon$  for all n > N.

Hence if n > N and  $d(x_0, y) \ge \delta$  then  $f(y) + n \cdot d(x_0, y) > M + n \delta > f(x_0) - \varepsilon$ . Hence  $g.l.b. [f(y) + n.d(x_0, y)] \ge f(x_0) - \varepsilon$  if  $d(x_0, y) \ge \delta$  and n > N.

Therefore  $g_n(x_0) = g.l.b. [f(y) + n.d(x_0,y)]$   $\geq f(x_0) - \varepsilon$  if n > N. Therefore  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x) \geq f(x)$ for all  $x \in A$ .

It follows then that  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$ .

<u>Definition</u>: For any real valued function f(x)defined on a metric space A, the set E[f(x) > k] $(E[f(x) \le k])$  is the set of all  $x \in A$  such that f(x) > k  $(f(x) \le k)$ .

<u>Theorem 4.10(a)</u>: The function f(x) defined on a metric space A is lower-semicontinuous on A relative to A if and only if, for every real number k, the set  $E\left[f(x) > k\right]$  ( $E\left[f(x) \le k\right]$ ) is open (closed) relative to A.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose f(x) is lower-semicontinuous on A relative to A. Let k be any real number and suppose  $c \in A$ , with f(c) > k. There is some  $\epsilon > 0$ such that  $f(c) - \epsilon > k$ . Since f(x) is lowersemicontinuous at  $c \in A$  relative to A, there is some

 $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d(x,c) < \delta$  then  $f(x) > f(c) - \varepsilon$ . Hence there is a neighborhood  $N(c, \delta)$  such that for every  $x \in N(c, \delta)$ ,  $f(x) > f(c) - \varepsilon > k$ . Thus E[f(x) > k] is open relative to A. Suppose the set E[f(x) > k] is open relative to A. for every real number k. Let  $c \in A$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then since  $E[f(x) > f(c) - \epsilon]$ is open relative to A, there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $x \in N(c, \delta)$ ,  $f(x) > f(c) - \epsilon$ . Therefore f(x) is lower-semicontinuous at  $c \in A$ relative to A, and therefore lower-semicontinuous on A relative to A.

Since  $E\left[f(x) \le k\right] = C(E\left[f(x) > k\right])$ , and the complement of an open set is a closed set, it follows that f(x) is lower-semicontinuous on A if and only if for every real number k the set  $E\left[f(x) \le k\right]$  is closed relative to A.

<u>Definition:</u> For any real vlaued function f(x)defined on a metric space A, the set  $E\left[f(x) < k\right]$  $\left(E\left[f(x) \ge k\right]\right)$  is the set of all  $x \in A$  such that f(x) < k  $(f(x) \ge k)$ .

<u>Theorem 4.10 (b)</u>: The function f(x) defined on a metric space A is upper-semicontinuous on A relative to A if and only if, for every real number k, the set E[f(x) < k] ( $E[f(x) \ge k]$ ) is open (closed) relative to A.

<u>Proof</u>: The proof of this theorem is similar to that of theorem 4.10 (a).

<u>Theorem 4.10 (c)</u>: A function f(x) is continuous on a metric space A relative to A if and only if, for every real number k, the sets  $E \int f(x) > k$  (E  $[f(x) \le k]$ ) and E [f(x) < k] (E $[f(x) \ge k]$ ) are open (closed) relative to A.

<u>Proof</u>: The proof of this theorem is an immediate consequence of theorems 4.10 (a) and 4.10 (b) since a continuous function is one which is at the same time lower-semicontinuous and upper-semicontinuous.

The following theorem is a generalization of theorem 4.9.

<u>Theorem 4.11</u>: Every lower-semicontinuous function f(x) defined on a metric space A (bounded or not) is the limit of a nondecreasing sequence of continuous functions on A.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose f(x) is unbounded and lowersemicontinuous on A.

Define  $Q(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 + |f(x)|}$ . Then |Q(x)| < 1,  $E \left[Q(x) > k\right]$  is empty if  $k \ge 1$ , and  $E \left[Q(x) > k\right] =$ A if  $k \le -1$ . Now, for  $0 \le k < 1$ ,  $E \left[Q(x) > k\right] = E \left[f(x) > k + k \cdot |f(x)|\right]$   $= E \left[f(x) - k \cdot f(x) > k\right]$   $= E \left[f(x) > \frac{k}{1 - k}\right]$ since  $k \ge 0$  implies Q(x) > 0 and f(x) > 0. For  $-1 \le k \le 0$ , and  $-1 \le Q(x) \le 0$ ,  $E \left[Q(x) > k\right] = E \left[f(x) + k \cdot f(x) > k\right]$   $= E \left[f(x) > \frac{k}{1 + k}\right]$  since Q(x) < 0implies f(x) < 0. Therefore, for  $-1 \le k \le 0$ , and  $|Q(x)| \le 1$ ,  $E \left[Q(x) > k\right] = E \left[f(x) > \frac{k}{1 + k}\right]$  since  $f(x) \ge 0$  if  $Q(x) \ge 0$ , by definition.

But since f(x) is lower-semicontinuous on A,  $E\left[Q(x) > k\right] = E\left[f(x) > \frac{k}{1-k}\right]$  is open relative to A, for  $0 \le k \le 1$ , and  $E\left[Q(x) > k\right] = E\left[f(x) > \frac{k}{1+k}\right]$  is open relative to A, for  $-1 \le k \le 0$ , by theorem 4.10 (a). Therefore  $E\left[Q(x) > k\right]$  is open relative to A for all real numbers k. Therefore, by theorem 4.10 (a), Q(x) is lowersemicontinuous on A.

Now, by theorem 4.9, since Q(x) is a bounded lower-semicontinuous function on A, Q(x) is the limit of a nondecreasing sequence  $\{g_n(x)\}\$  of continuous functions on A, i.e.  $Q(x) = \underset{n \to \infty}{\lim} g_n(x)$ , where for each n,  $g_n(x)$  is continuous on A, and  $g_{n+1}(x) \ge g_n(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Since  $\{g_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence,  $g_n(x) \leq Q(x) < 1$  for each n. Define  $f_n(x) =$ max  $[g_n(x), -1]$  for each n. Then for each n,  $f_n(x)$  is continuous on A and  $-1 \leq f_n(x) < 1$ . Also, by definition, for all n,  $f_n(x) \geq g_n(x)$ ,  $f_n(x) \leq Q(x)$ , and  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence since  $\{g_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence, for all  $x \in A$ .

Since  $Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x)$ , for any given  $\mathcal{E} > 0$ and  $x_0 \mathcal{E} A$  there exists some N > 0 such that if n > N then  $|g_n(x_0) - Q(x_0)| < \mathcal{E}$ . But since 
$$\begin{split} g_n(x) &\leq f_n(x) \leq Q(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{A}, \\ Q(x_0) - \mathcal{E} < g_n(x_0) \leq f_n(x_0) < Q(x_0) + \mathcal{E}, \quad \text{if } n > \mathbb{N}. \\ \text{Therefore } \left/ f_n(x_0) - Q(x_0) \right| < \mathcal{E} \quad \text{for } n > \mathbb{N}, \text{ and} \\ Q(x) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{A}. \end{split}$$

Now define  $v_n(x) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i-n+1}} \cdot f_i(x)$ . Since  $\left| \frac{1}{2^{i-n+1}} \cdot f_i(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{i-n+1}}$  for i = n, n + 1, ..., and  $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i-n+1}}$  is a convergent series of positive constants, then by the Weierstrass M-test, the series defining  $v_n(x)$  converges uniformly on A. Therefore  $v_n(x)$  is continuous on A for all n, since each function  $v_n(x)$  is the sum of a uniformly convergent series of continuous functions on A.

Since  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence and since  $i \stackrel{\Sigma}{=} 1 \quad \frac{1}{2^1} = 1$ , we have for all n and all  $x \notin A$ ,  $v_n(x) \ge i \stackrel{\Sigma}{=} n \quad \frac{1}{2^{1-n+1}} \cdot f_n(x) = f_n(x) \cdot \stackrel{\Sigma}{i=n} \frac{1}{2^{1-n+1}} = f_n(x) \cdot But \quad v_n(x) \le \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i-n+1}} \cdot Q(x) = Q(x)$  for all n and  $x \notin A$ . Therefore  $Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n(x)$ , since  $f_n(x) \le v_n(x) \le Q(x)$  for all n and all  $x \notin A$ , and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = Q(x)$ . Also,  $v_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{1-n}} \cdot f_1(x) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{1-n+1}} \cdot f_{i+1}(x)$ . Therefore  $v_{n+1}(x) \ge v_n(x)$  for all n, i.e. since  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence, so is  $\{v_n(x)\}$ . Suppose for some  $x_0 \notin A$ ,  $v_n(x_0) = -1$ . Then  $f_1(x_0) = -1$  for  $i = n, n+1, \ldots$ , which implies

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Define  $F_n(x) = \frac{n!}{1 - /v_n(x)/}$ . Then  $F_n(x)$  is continuous on A since  $v_n(x)$  is continuous on A, for all n. Furthermore,  $\{F_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence. Consider the following cases:

(1) Suppose  $v_n(x) \ge 0$ , then  $v_{n+1}(x) \ge 0$  and  $v_n(x) \cdot |v_{n+1}(x)| = |v_n(x)| \cdot v_{n+1}(x)$ . Therefore  $v_{n+1}(x) - |v_n(x)| \cdot v_{n+1}(x) \ge v_n(x) - v_n(x) \cdot |v_{n+1}(x)|$ , and  $F_{n+1}(x) = \frac{v_{n+1}(x)}{1 - |v_{n+1}(x)|} \ge \frac{v_n(x)}{1 - |v_n(x)|} = F_n(x)$ . (2) Suppose  $v_n(x) < 0$  and  $v_{n+1}(x) \ge 0$ , then  $F_{n+1}(x) = \frac{v_{n+1}(x)}{1 - |v_{n+1}(x)|} \ge 0 > \frac{v_n(x)}{1 - |v_n(x)|} = F_n(x)$ . (3) Suppose  $v_n(x) < 0$  and  $v_{n+1}(x) < 0$ , then  $|v_n(x)| \ge |v_{n+1}(x)|$  and  $1 - |v_{n+1}(x)| \ge 1 - |v_n(x)|$  > 0, since  $\{v_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{1 - |v_{n+1}(x)|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - |v_n(x)|} \text{ and } \frac{v_n(x)}{1 - |v_{n+1}(x)|} \geq \frac{v_n(x)}{1 - |v_n(x)|} \text{ since } v_n(x) < 0.$$
Therefore, since  $\{v_n(x)\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence,  $F_{n+1}(x) =$ 

-52-

$$\frac{\mathbf{v_{n+1}(x)}}{1 - /\mathbf{v_{n+1}(x)}} \ge \frac{\mathbf{v_n(x)}}{1 - /\mathbf{v_{n+1}(x)}} \ge \frac{\mathbf{v_n(x)}}{1 - /\mathbf{v_n(x)}} = \mathbf{F_n(x)},$$
  
where  $\mathbf{v_n(x)} < 0$  and  $\mathbf{v_{n+1}(x)} < 0.$   
Therefore,  $\{\mathbf{F_n(x)}\}$  is a nondecreasing se-

-53-

quence.

Finally,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{v_n(x)}{1 - |v_n(x)|}$  where  $|v_n(x)| < 1$ . Hence  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = \frac{Q(x)}{1 - |Q(x)|}$  since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n(x) = Q(x)$ . Since by definition Q(x) =  $\frac{f(x)}{1 + |f(x)|}$ ,  $f(x) = Q(x) \cdot [1 + |f(x)|] = Q(x) +$   $Q(x) \cdot [f(x)] = Q(x) + |Q(x)| \cdot f(x)$  where  $Q(x) \cdot |f(x)| =$   $|Q(x)| \cdot f(x)$  since Q(x) and f(x) have the same sign for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore  $Q(x) = f(x) - |Q(x)| \cdot f(x) = f(x) \cdot [1 - |Q(x)|]$ and  $f(x) = \frac{Q(x)}{1 - |Q(x)|}$  for all  $x \in A$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = f(x)$ , where  $\{F_n(x)\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of continuous functions on A, and f(x) is our original unbounded-lowersemicontinuous function defined on the metric space A.

## CHAPTER V

RELATION BETWEEN BOREL SETS AND BAIRE FUNCTIONS

In this final chapter some of the important relationships between Borel sets and Baire functions will be discussed. It will be assumed throughout that we are working in a metric space, unless otherwise stated. It will be convenient to make the following definition.

<u>Definition:</u> For every ordinal  $\alpha < \Omega$ , a set S is of type  $A_{\alpha} (B_{\alpha})$  if and only if there is some Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$  and some real number k such that S = E [f(x) > k] $(S = E [f(x) \ge k])$ .

<u>Theorem 5.1</u>: For every  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , the complement of a set of type  $A_{\alpha}$  ( $B_{\alpha}$ ) is a set of type  $B_{\alpha}$  ( $A_{\alpha}$ ).

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $\ll < \Lambda$  and S is a set of type  $A_{\alpha}$ . Then there is a Baire function f(x)of type  $f_{\alpha}$  and a real number k such that S = E[f(x) > k]. But S = E[-f(x) < -k] and  $C(S) = E[-f(x) \ge -k]$ . By theorems 4.1 and 4.2, since f(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , -f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$ . Therefore C(S) is a set of type  $B_{\alpha}$ .

Likewise, the complement of a set of type  $B_{\sim}$ 

-54-

is a set of type  $A_{\sim}$  .

The following lemma will be of use in the proof of theorem 5.2.

<u>Lemma 5.1</u>: If  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  on A, then  $E[f(x) > k] = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} E[f_n(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}].$ 

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $x_0 \in A$  and  $f(x_0) > k$ . There exists a positive integer m such that  $f(x_0) > k + \frac{1}{m}$ . Since  $f(x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x_0)$ , for each  $\varepsilon = f(x_0) - k - \frac{1}{m} > 0$  there is some N > 0

such that if  $n \ge N$  then  $|f(x_0) - f_n(x_0)| \le \varepsilon$ , implying  $f_n(x_0) \ge f(x_0) - \varepsilon = k + \frac{1}{m}$ . Therefore, if  $x_0 \varepsilon \varepsilon [f(x) > k]$ , then  $x_0 \varepsilon \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \varepsilon \int_{m=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}$ .

Suppose that  $x \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} E\left[f_n(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}\right]$ where  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ . Then, for some m > 0,  $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} E\left[f_n(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}\right]$  and for some N > 0,  $x \in \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} E\left[f_n(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}\right]$ . This means  $x \in E\left[f_n(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}\right]$  for all  $n \ge N$ , and since  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ , then  $x \in E\left[f(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}\right] \subset E\left[f(x) > k\right]$ . Therefore  $E\left[f(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}\right] \subset E\left[f(x) > k\right]$ .  $k + \frac{1}{m}$ .

<u>Theorem 5.2</u>: For every finite even (odd) ordinal  $\propto$ , every set of type  $\mathbb{A}_{\propto}$  is a Borel set of type  $\mathcal{G}_{\propto}$  ( $\mathcal{F}_{\sim}$ ) and every set of type  $\mathcal{B}_{\sim}$  is a Borel set of type  $\mathcal{F}_{\propto}$  ( $\mathcal{G}_{\sim}$ ).

<u>Proof</u>: The theorem is true for  $\simeq = 0$ , since

by theorem 4.10 (c), a function f(x) is continuous if and only if for every real number k, the set of type  $A_0$  is open, and the set of type  $B_0$  is closed. Assume the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is any finite ordinal.

Suppose first that  $\propto$  is some finite odd ordinal. Let S be any set of type  $A_{\sim}$ . There is then a function f(x) of type  $f_{\alpha}$  and a real number k such that S = E[f(x) > k], where f(x) = $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$ , where for each n,  $f_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha_n}, \alpha_n < \alpha$  . By lemma 5.1,  $S = \bigotimes_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigotimes_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigotimes_{n=N}^{\infty} E\left[f_{n}(x) \geq k + \frac{1}{m}\right] . \text{ Since } \alpha_{n} \leq \alpha - 1$ for all n, each  $f_n(x)$  is a Baire function of type f Then by our induction assumption, since  $\propto -1$ is even, each set  $E\left[f_n(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}\right]$  of type  $B_{\alpha-1}$ , is a Borel set of type  $F_{\propto -1}$  By theorem 2.4 the intersection of a countable number of Borel sets of type  $F_{\alpha}$ -1 is a set of type  $F_{\alpha}$ -1 where  $\alpha$ -1 is even. Therefore set S, as a countable union of Borel sets of type  $F_{\alpha-1}$ , where  $\alpha$  is odd, is a Borel set of type Fa.

Suppose S is any set of type  $B_{\checkmark}$  where  $\backsim$ is some finite odd ordinal. By theorem 5.1, C(S) is of type  $A_{\checkmark}$ . Therefore by the first part of the proof, C(S) is a Borel set of type  $F_{\checkmark}$ . Then by theorem 2.3, S is a Borel set of type  $G_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  .

Suppose that  $\propto$  is some finite even ordinal and S is a set of type  $A_{\alpha}$ . Then, as in the first part of the proof, for  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  of type  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $S = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \prod_{n=N}^{\infty} E[f_n(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}]$ , where each  $f_n(x)$  is of type  $f_{\alpha-1}$ . But now  $\alpha - 1$  is odd and each set  $E[f_n(x) \ge k + \frac{1}{m}]$  of type  $B_{\alpha-1}$ , is a Borel set of type  $G_{\alpha-1}$  by our induction assumption. By theorem 2.4 the countable intersection of Borel sets of type  $G_{\alpha-1}$  is a Borel set of type  $G_{\alpha-1}$ , since  $\alpha - 1$  is odd. Therefore set S, as a countable union of sets of type  $G_{\alpha'-1}$ , where  $\alpha'$ is even, is a Borel set of type  $G_{\alpha'}$ .

Suppose S is a set of type  $B_{\alpha}$  where  $\alpha$ is some finite even ordinal. Then by theorem 5.1, C(S) is of type  $A_{\alpha}$ . Therefore, by the above part of the proof, C(S) is a Borel set of type  $G_{\alpha}$ . Therefore S is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha}$  by theorem 2.3.

Therefore the theorem is true for all finite ordinals  $\propto$  by finite induction.

The following lemma will be needed for the proof of the converse of theorem 5.2 .

<u>Lemma 5.2</u>: For every ordinal  $\ll < \Lambda$ , if S is a set of type  $A_{\swarrow}$  or  $B_{\bowtie}$ , there is a Baire function L(x) of type  $f_{\swarrow+1}$  in a metric space A, such that L(x) = 1 for every  $x \in S$  and L(x) = 0for every  $x \in O(S)$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $\alpha < \Lambda$  and S is a set of type  $A_{\alpha}$ . Then there is a function f(x) of type  $f_{\alpha}$  and a real number k such that S = E [f(x) > k]. Define g(x) = f(x) - k. Since f(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , so is g(x) by theorems 4.1 and 4.3. Furthermore, S = E [f(x) > k] =E [g(x) > 0]. Now define  $h(x) = \max [g(x), 0]$ . Then by theorems 4.1 and 4.5, h(x) is also a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$ . Finally, define  $f_n(x) =$  $\min [n:h(x),1]$ . Then  $f_n(x)$  is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$  for each n, and  $L(x) = \underset{n \to \infty}{\lim} f_n(x)$ is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha+1}$ , for all  $x \in A$ .

Consider  $x \in S$ , then g(x) > 0, h(x) = g(x), and  $f_n(x) = \min [n.g(x),1]$ . Therefore  $L(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in S$ . On the other hand, consider  $x \in C(S)$ . Then  $g(x) \leq 0$ , h(x) = 0, and  $f_n(x) = \min [0,1] = 0$ . Therefore  $L(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in C(S)$ .

Suppose now that S is a set of type  $B_{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha < \Omega$ . Then by theorem 5.1, C(S) is of type  $A_{\alpha}$ . Therefore, by the preceding part of the proof, there is some function g(x) of type  $f_{\alpha+1}$ such that g(x) = 1 for all  $x \in C(S)$  and g(x) = 0for all  $x \in S$ . Hence L(x) = 1 - g(x), which is also of type  $f_{\times +1}$ , is 1 for all  $x \in S$  and 0 for all  $x \in C(S)$ .

<u>Theorem 5.3</u>: For every finite even (odd) ordinal  $\propto$ , every Borel set of type  $G_{\alpha}$  ( $F_{\alpha}$ ) is of type  $A_{\alpha}$  and every Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha}$  ( $G_{\alpha}$ ) is of type  $B_{\alpha}$ .

**Proof:** The theorem is true for  $\ll = 0$ , for consider any open set E in a metric space A. For any  $x \in A$ , define f(x) = g.l.b. [d(x,y)]for all  $y \in C(E)$ , where f(y) = 0 for all  $y \in C(E)$ and f(x) > 0 for all  $x \in E$ . Therefore  $E = E \int f(x) > 0$ . Now for any given  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  and  $x_0 \mathcal{E} A$ , choose  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$ . If  $x \in N(x_0, \delta)$ , then  $d(x, x_0) < \delta = \epsilon$ . Therefore f(x) = 0 and  $0 < f(x_0) < \mathcal{E}$  if  $x \in \mathcal{O}(E)$ and  $x_0 \in E$ ,  $f(x_0) = 0$  and  $0 < f(x) < \epsilon$  if  $x \in E$  and  $x_0 \in C(E)$ , and  $f(x_0) = 0$  and f(x) = 0if  $x \in O(E)$  and  $x_0 \in C(E)$ . For  $x \in E$  and  $x_0 \in E$ , we have  $f(x) < f(x_0) + \in$  since  $d(x,y) \leq d(x,x_0) + d(x_0,y) < \mathcal{E} + d(x_0,y)$  for all yEC(E). Also, if  $x \in E$  and  $x_0 \in E$ ,  $f(x) > f(x_0) - \mathcal{E}$ since  $d(x,y) \ge d(x_0,y) - d(x,x_0) > d(x_0,y) - \varepsilon$ for all  $y \in C(E)$ . Therefore, f(x) is continuous on A since for any  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  and any  $x_0 \mathcal{E} A$  if  $x \in N(x_0, \delta)$  where  $\delta = \epsilon$  then  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ . Therefore, every Borel set of type Go is of type  $A_{0}$ , and by taking complements it can be shown

that every Borel set of type  $F_0$  is of type  $B_0$ , with the use of theorems 2.3 and 5.1. Assume the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a finite ordinal.

Suppose  $\alpha$  is a finite odd ordinal. Let S be any Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha}$ , then  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ , where for each n,  $T_n$  is of type  $F_{\alpha-1}$ . By our induction assumption, each set  $T_n$  is also of type  $B_{\alpha-1}$ , since  $\prec$  -l is a finite even ordinal. Therefore, by lemma 5.2, for each n there is some function  $f_n(x)$  of type  $f_{\alpha}$  such that  $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{2n}$  for every  $x \in T_n$ , and  $f_n(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in C(T_n)$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ , i.e.  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n(x)$ where  $s_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(x)$ . Now for any  $x \in A$ ,  $|f_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$  for all n, where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$  is a convergent geometric series of positive constants. Therefore, by the Weierstrass M-test, f(x) is a uniformly convergent series for all x & A. Therefore the sequence of partial sums  $\{s_n(x)\}$  is uniformly convergent on A. Since each  $f_n(x)$  is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , and  $s_n(x) = \overset{\ddot{z}}{\underset{i=1}{\xi}} f_i(x)$ , each  $s_n(x)$  is a Baire function of type  $f_{a}$  by theorem 4.2. Therefore, by theorem 4.7, f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  since it is the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence of Baire functions of type  $f_{\alpha}$ . Finally,  $S = E \int f(x) > 0$  since

 $\begin{array}{l} -61-\\ S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \quad \text{where each} \quad f_n(x) > 0 \\ \text{for all } x \in T_n, \quad \text{and each} \quad f_n(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \\ x \in C(T_n) \quad \text{Therefore the Borel set} \quad S \quad \text{of type} \\ F_{\alpha} \quad \text{, where} \quad \propto \quad \text{is a finite odd ordinal, is a set of} \\ \text{type} \quad A_{\alpha} \quad \end{array}$ 

Suppose S is a Borel set of type  $G_{\checkmark}$  where  $\approx$ is a finite odd ordinal. Then C(S) is a Borel set of type  $F_{\checkmark}$ , and by the first part of the proof also of type  $A_{\curvearrowright}$ . Therefore S is of type  $B_{\thickapprox}$ by theorem 5.1.

Suppose next that  $\propto$  is a finite even ordinal. Let S be a Borel set of type  $G_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ ; then  $S = \overset{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\overset{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\overset{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{n=1}}}S_{n}$ where each  $S_{n}$  is of type  $G_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}-1}$ . One now proceeds as before to show that every Borel set of type  $G_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is of type  $A_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  and every Borel set of type  $F_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is of type  $B_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ , if  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  is a finite even ordinal.

Therefore the theorem is true for all finite ordinals  $\propto$  by finite induction.

<u>Theorem 5.4</u>: For any finite even (odd) ordinal  $\alpha$ , a set is of type  $A_{\alpha}$  if and only if it is a Borel set of type  $G_{\alpha}$  ( $F_{\alpha}$ ), and a set is of type  $B_{\alpha}$  if and only if it is a Borel set of type  $F_{\alpha}$  ( $G_{\alpha}$ ).

<u>Proof</u>: This theorem is a combination of theorems 5.3 and 5.2.

<u>Theorem 5.5</u>: If f(x) is any Baire function

of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha$  is any finite even (odd) ordinal, then for every real number k the sets E[f(x) > k] and  $E[f(x) \ge k]$  are Borel sets of type  $G_{\alpha}$  ( $F_{\alpha}$ ) and  $F_{\alpha}$  ( $G_{\alpha}$ ) respectively.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $\ll$  is any finite even (odd) ordinal, and f(x) is any Baire function of type  $f_{\ll}$ . Then for every real number k the sets  $E \left[ f(x) > k \right]$ and  $E \left[ f(x) \ge k \right]$  are of type  $A_{\ll}$  and  $B_{\ll}$ respectively. But by theorem 5.2, this means that for every real number k the sets  $E \left[ f(x) > k \right]$  and  $E \left[ f(x) \ge k \right]$  are of type  $G_{\ll} (F_{\ll})$  and  $F_{\ll} (G_{\ll})$  respectively.

The following lemma will be needed for the proof of the converse of theorem 5.5.

Lemma 5.3: For  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , if E and F are disjoint sets of type  $B_{\alpha}$  in a metric space A, then there is a Baire function g(x) of type  $f_{\alpha}$ such that g(x) = 1 on E, g(x) = 0 on F, and 0 < g(x) < 1 for all other  $x \in A$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose  $\ll < \Omega$ , and E and F are two disjoint sets of type  $B_{\alpha}$ . Then there are Baire functions  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  of type  $f_{\alpha}$ such that  $E = E \left[ f_1(x) \leq 0 \right]$  and  $F = E \left[ f_2(x) \leq 0 \right]$ . The reason for this is that if a set S is of type  $B_{\alpha}$ , then there is some Baire function f(x) of type  $f_{\alpha}$  and some real number k such that  $S = E [f(x) \ge k]$ . Then  $S = E [f(x) - k \ge 0] = E [k - f(x) \le 0]$  where k - f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$  by theorems 4.1 and 4.3.

Let  $g_1(x) = \max [f_1(x), 0]$  and  $g_2(x) = \max [f_2(x), 0]$ . If  $x \in E$ , then  $x \in O(F)$ , and  $g_1(x) = 0$  and  $g_2(x) > 0$ . If  $x \in F$ , then  $x \in C(E)$ , and  $g_2(x) = 0$  and  $g_1(x) > 0$ . If  $x \notin E$  and  $x \notin F$ , then  $x \in [C(E) \cap C(F)]$  and  $g_1(x) > 0$  and  $g_2(x) > 0$ . The function  $g_1(x) + g_2(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . Let  $g(x) = \frac{g_2(x)}{g_1(x) + g_2(x)}$ , which is a Baire function of type  $f_{i}$  by theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.5, and 4.6. We note that g(x) = 1 for all  $x \in E$ , g(x) = 0 for all  $x \in F$ , and 0 < g(x) < 1 for all other  $x \in A$ .

We are now ready to prove the converse of theorem 5.5 .

<u>Theorem 5.6</u>: If  $\ll$  is a finite even (odd) ordinal and the function f(x) is such that for every real number k the sets E[f(x) > k] and  $E[f(x) \ge k]$  are of type  $G_{\ll}$  ( $F_{\ll}$ ) and  $F_{\ll}$  ( $G_{\ll}$ ), respectively, then f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\prec}$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose the sets E[f(x) > k] and  $E[f(x) \ge k]$  are of type  $G_{\alpha}$  ( $F_{\alpha}$ ) and  $F_{\alpha}$  ( $G_{\alpha}$ ), respectively, for every real number k and for some finite even (odd) ordinal  $\alpha$ . Then by theorem 5.3 the sets E[f(x) > k] and  $E[f(x) \ge k]$  are of type  $A_{\prec}$  and  $B_{\prec}$  respectively, for every real number k. The sets  $E[f(x) \le k]$  for every real number k, as complements of sets of type  $A_{\prec}$ , are of type  $B_{\prec}$  by theorem 5.1.

Suppose first that 0 < f(x) < 1 for all x in the metric space A. We will refer to this as case (1). For any positive integer N, the disjoint sets  $E\left[f(x) \leq \frac{m}{N}\right]$  and  $E\left[f(x) \geq \frac{m+1}{N}\right]$  are of type  $B_{\prec}$  for every  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ . By lemma 5.3, for each m there is a Baire function  $g_{m,N}(x)$  of type  $f_{\alpha}$  such that  $g_{m,N}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in E\left[f(x) \ge \frac{m+1}{N}\right]$ ,  $g_{m,N}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in E\left[f(x) \leq \frac{m}{N}\right]$ , and  $0 < g_{m,N}(x) < 1$  for all other  $x \in A$ . Let  $h_{N}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{2} g_{i,N}(x)$  which, as a finite sum of Baire functions of type for , is of type  $f_{\boldsymbol{\propto}}$ . Suppose  $\frac{m}{N} \leq f(x) < \frac{m+1}{N}$ . Then  $g_{1,N}(x) = 1$  for  $i = 0, 1, ..., m-1, g_{1,N}(x) = 0$ for i = m+1, m+2, ..., N-1, and  $0 \le g_{m,N}(x) \le 1$ . Therefore, for  $\frac{m}{M} \leq f(x) < \frac{m+1}{M}$ ,  $f(x)-h_{N}(x) < \frac{1}{N}$  for all  $x \in A$ , since  $h_{N}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \int m + g_{m-N}(x) + 0$ implies  $\frac{m}{N} \leq h_N(x) < \frac{m+1}{N}$ . Since  $|f(x) - h_n(x)| < \frac{1}{N}$  for all  $n \ge N$ and for all  $x \in A$ ,  $\{h_n(x)\}$  is a uniformly convergent sequence of Baire functions of type f  $\sim$  , where

 $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} h_n(x)$ . Therefore f(x) is also a Baire function of type  $f_{\infty}$  by theorem 4.7, where 0 < f(x) < 1 for all  $x \in A$ . This completes the proof of case (1). We extend this to the general case by considering the additional three cases:

(2) 0 < f(x) < M, where M is any positive real number,

(3)  $-M_1 < f(x) < M_2$ , where  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  are positive real numbers, and

(4) f(x) unbounded.

<u>Proof of case (2)</u>: Assume 0 < f(x) < M for all  $x \in A$ , where M is any positive real number. Define  $Q(x) = \frac{f(x)}{M}$ . Then 0 < Q(x) < 1 for all  $x \in A$ . Suppose  $A_k = E\left[Q(x) > k\right]$  and  $B_k = E\left[Q(x) \ge k\right]$  where k is any real number. Then  $A_k = E\left[-\frac{f(x)}{M} > k\right]$  $= E\left[f(x) > kM\right]$  which is by assumption of type  $A_{\alpha}$  for all real numbers k and M, and  $B_k = E\left[\frac{f(x)}{M} \ge k\right]$  $= E\left[f(x) \ge kM\right]$  which is by assumption of type  $B_{\alpha}$  for all real numbers k and M. Since 0 < Q(x) < 1 for all  $x \in A$ , by case (1) we have that Q(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$ . But  $f(x) = M \cdot Q(x)$ , therefore f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$ , by theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

<u>Proof of case (3)</u>: Assume  $-M_1 < f(x) < M_2$ on A, where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are any positive real numbers. Define  $Q(x) = f(x) + M_1$ . Then  $0 < Q(x) < M_1 + M_2 = M$  for all  $x \in A$ . Suppose  $A_k = E \left[Q(x) > k\right]$  and  $B_k = E \left[Q(x) \ge k\right]$  where k is any real number. Then  $A_k = E \left[f(x) + M_1 > k\right] = E \left[f(x) > k - M_1\right]$  and  $B_k = E \left[f(x) \ge k - M_1\right]$  which are by assumption of type  $A_{\alpha}$  and  $B_{\alpha}$ , respectively, for all real numbers k and  $M_1$ . Since 0 < Q(x) < M for all  $x \notin A$ , by case (2) we have that Q(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$ . But  $f(x) = Q(x) - M_1$ , therefore f(x) is of type  $f_{\alpha}$  by theorems 4.1 and 4.3.

<u>Proof of case (4)</u>: Assume f(x) is unbounded on A. Define  $Q(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1+|f(x)|}$ . Then |Q(x)| < 1for  $x \in A$ . We will now show that Q(x) is of type  $f_{\triangleleft}$ . Suppose  $A_k = E \left[Q(x) > k\right]$  and  $B_k = E \left[Q(x) \ge k\right]$ where  $0 \le k < 1$ . Then  $A_k = E \left[f(x) > k + k \cdot |f(x)|\right] =$  $E \left[f(x) - k \cdot |f(x)| > k\right]$ . Since  $k \ge 0$  and Q(x) > k,

f(x) > 0 which implies |f(x)| = f(x). Then  $A_k = E\left[f(x) > \frac{k}{1-k}\right]$  and  $B_k = E\left[f(x) \ge \frac{k}{1-k}\right]$  which are by assumption of type  $A_{\alpha}$  and  $B_{\alpha}$ , respectively, for all real numbers  $k \neq 1$ .

Now suppose  $A_k = E\left[Q(x) > k\right]$  and  $B_k = E\left[Q(x) > k\right]$   $\geq k$  where -1 < k < 0 and Q(x) < 0. Then  $A_k = E\left[\frac{f(x)}{1+|f(x)|} > k\right] = E\left[f(x) - k \cdot |f(x)| > k\right]$ . Since k < 0 and Q(x) < 0, f(x) < 0 which implies |f(x)| = -f(x). Then  $A_k = E\left[f(x) + k \cdot f(x) > k\right]$  $\geq k = E\left[f(x) > \frac{k}{1+k}\right]$  and  $B_k = E\left[f(x) \ge \frac{k}{1+k}\right]$  which are by assumption of type  $A \propto \text{and } B \propto$ , respectively, for all real numbers k  $\neq$  -1.

Now suppose  $A_k = E\left[Q(x) > k\right]$  and  $B_k = E\left[Q(x) \ge k\right]$  where -1 < k < 0 and  $Q(x) \ge 0$ . Then  $A_k = E\left[f(x) > k + k \cdot |f(x)|\right] = E\left[f(x) - k |f(x)| > k\right]$ . Since  $Q(x) \ge 0$ ,  $f(x) \ge 0$ , which implies |f(x)| = f(x). Then  $A_k = E\left[f(x) - k \cdot f(x) > k\right] = E\left[f(x) > \frac{k}{1-k}\right]$ and  $B_k = E\left[f(x) \ge \frac{k}{1-k}\right]$ . But since -1 < k < 0implies  $\frac{k}{1+k} < \frac{k}{1-k} < 0$ , it is sufficient to say  $A_k = E\left[f(x) > \frac{k}{1+k}\right]$  and  $B_k = E\left[f(x) \ge \frac{k}{1+k}\right]$  which are by assumption of type  $A_{\checkmark}$  and  $B_{\bigstar}$ , respectively, for all real numbers  $k \ne -1$ .

Therefore  $\mathbb{E}\left[Q(x) > k\right]$  and  $\mathbb{E}\left[Q(x) \ge k\right]$  are of type  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , respectively, for all real numbers k and all  $x \in \mathbb{A}$ . We note that  $\mathbb{E}\left[Q(x) > k\right] = \mathbb{A}$ for all  $k \le -1$  and  $\mathbb{E}\left[Q(x) > k\right] = \emptyset$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . Since -1 < Q(x) < 1 for all  $x \in \mathbb{A}$ , by case (3) we have that Q(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\mathcal{A}}$ . But  $f(x) = \frac{Q(x)}{1 - I(2(x))}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{A}$  since by definition  $Q(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 + If(x)}$  which implies  $f(x) = Q(x) \cdot \left[1 + |f(x)|\right] = Q(x) + Q(x) \cdot |f(x)| = Q(x) + Q(x) \cdot f(x)$ , which implies  $Q(x) = f(x) - |Q(x)| \cdot f(x) = f(x) \left[1 - |Q(x)|\right]$ . Therefore  $f(x) = Q(x) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - IQ(x)}$ , where the second factor is a Baire function of type  $f_{\mathcal{A}}$  by theorems 4.1, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.6. Therefore f(x) is of type  $f_{\mathcal{A}}$  by theorem 4.2.
<u>Theorem 5.7</u>: For any real valued function f(x)defined on a metric space A, the set D of all points where f(x) is discontinuous on A is a Borel set of type  $F_1$ , and the set C of all points where f(x) is continuous on A is a Borel set of type  $G_1$ .

<u>Proof</u>: Given the function f(x) defined on the metric space A, we first define sets  $D_n$  such that a point  $z \notin D_n$  if and only if for every  $\delta > 0$ there are at least two distinct points x and y such that  $x,y \notin N(z, \delta)$  and  $|f(x) - f(y)| \ge \frac{1}{n}$ . Let D be the set of all points where f(x) is discontinuous on A, and C = C(D) the set of all points where f(x) is continuous on A. To prove that D is a Borel set of type  $F_1$ , we will show the following:

(1)  $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ , and (2) each  $D_n$  is a Borel set of type  $F_0$ . <u>Proof of (1)</u>: Choose any n = N and suppose  $z \in D_N$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$  there are two points  $x_{\delta}$  and  $y_{\delta}$  such that  $x_{\delta}$ ,  $y_{\delta} \in N(z, \delta)$  and  $|f(x_{\delta}) - f(y_{\delta})| \ge \frac{1}{N}$ . We would like to show that  $z \in D$ . Therefore

assume  $z \notin D$ . Then f(x) is continuous at x = z, and for  $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{3N}$  there is some  $\mathfrak{L} > 0$  such that if  $\begin{array}{l} x \in \mathbb{N}(z, \delta) \quad \text{then} \quad \left| f(x) - f(z) \right| < \mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{3\mathbb{N}} \\ \text{Hence, for the chosen points } x_{\delta}, y_{\delta} \in \mathbb{N}(z, \delta) \\ \text{we have } \left| f(x_{\delta}) - f(z) \right| < \frac{1}{3\mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and} \\ \left| f(y_{\delta}) - f(z) \right| < \frac{1}{3\mathbb{N}} \quad \text{Then} \\ \left| f(x_{\delta}) - f(y_{\delta}) \right| \leq \left| f(x_{\delta}) - f(z) \right| + \left| f(z) - f(y_{\delta}) \right| \\ < \frac{1}{3\mathbb{N}} + \frac{1}{3\mathbb{N}} = \frac{2}{3\mathbb{N}} < \frac{1}{\mathbb{N}} \end{array}$ 

But this is impossible since  $x \leq and y \leq were$ chosen to be points such that  $|f(x \leq ) - f(y \leq )|$  $\geq \frac{1}{N}$ . Therefore  $z \in D$ .

On the other hand, suppose  $z \in D$ . Then for some  $\mathcal{E}_0 > 0$  and every  $\delta > 0$ ,  $|f(x) - f(z)| > \mathcal{E}_0$ for some  $x \in N(z, \delta)$ . Choose some positive integer  $N \ge \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}$ , then  $\varepsilon_0 \ge \frac{1}{N}$  and  $|f(x) - f(z)| > \varepsilon_0 \ge \frac{1}{N}$ for every  $\delta > 0$  and some  $x \in N(z, \delta)$ . Therefore  $z \in D_N$  and hence  $z \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ .

<u>Proof of (2)</u>: For any positive integer N, suppose z is a limit point of  $D_N$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$  there is a point  $a \neq z$  such that  $a \in N(z, \delta)$ and  $a \in D_N$ . Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $0 < \epsilon < \delta - d(z, a)$ . Then since  $a \in D_N$  there are two points x and y such that  $x, y \in N(a, \epsilon)$ and  $|f(x) - f(y)| \ge \frac{1}{N}$ . But  $x, y \in N(z, \delta)$  since  $N(a, \epsilon) < N(z, \delta)$ . Therefore  $z \in D_N$  since  $\delta > 0$ was arbitrarily chosen, and each set  $D_n$  is closed. Therefore D is a Borel set of type  $F_1$  and C = O(D) is a Borel set of type  $G_1$  by theorem 2.3. The following definition and theorem will be needed for the proof of theorem 5.9.

<u>Definition</u>: Given  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  on a metric space A, the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is <u>uniformly convergent at a single point</u> a  $\mathcal{E}$  A if for every  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  there exists a  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  and some positive integer N such that if  $x \mathcal{E} N(a, \mathcal{E})$  then  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \mathcal{E}$ .

<u>Theorem 5.8</u>: Given  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  on a metric space A where for each n,  $f_n(x)$  is continuous at a  $\mathcal{E}$  A, then f(x) is continuous at a if and only if the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is uniformly convergent at a  $\mathcal{E}$  A.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose f(x) is continuous at a  $\mathcal{E} A$ . Then for every  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  there exists a  $\mathcal{E}_1 > 0$ such that  $|f(x) - f(a)| \leq \mathcal{E}$  if  $x \in \mathbb{N}(a, \mathcal{E}_1)$ . Since  $f(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(a)$ , there exists a positive integer N such that  $|f_n(a) - f(a)| \leq \mathcal{E}$  for all  $n \geq \mathbb{N}$ . Since each  $f_n(x)$  is continuous at a, for  $n = \mathbb{N}$  there exists a  $\mathcal{E}_2 > 0$  such that  $|f_N(x) - f_N(a)| \leq \mathcal{E}$  if  $x \in \mathbb{N}(a, \mathcal{E}_2)$ . Choose  $\mathcal{E} = \min \left[ \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2 \right]$ ; then for  $x \in \mathbb{N}(a, \mathcal{E})$ ,  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq |f_N(x) - f_N(a)| + |f_N(a) - f(a)| + |f(a) - f(x)| \leq \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E} = 3\mathcal{E}$ . Therefore the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is uniformly convergent at  $a \in A$ .

-70-

On the other hand, suppose  $\{f_n(x)\}\$  is uniformly convergent at x = a. Then, by definition, for every  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  there exists a  $\delta_1 > 0$  and some positive integer N such that  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \mathcal{E}$ if  $x \in N(a, \delta_1)$ . Since each  $f_n(x)$  is continuous at x = a, for n = N there exists a  $\delta_2 > 0$ such that  $|f_N(x) - f_N(a)| \leq \mathcal{E}$  if  $x \in N(a, \delta_2)$ . Choose  $\delta = \min [\delta_1, \delta_2]$ , then for  $x \in N(a, \delta)$  $|f(x) - f(a)| \leq |f(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(a)|$  $+ |f_N(a) - f(a)| \leq \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E} = 3\mathcal{E}$ .

Therefore f(x) is continuous at a  $\mathcal{E}$  A. Theorem 5.9: If f(x) is a Baire function of

type f<sub>1</sub> on a complete metric space A, the set of its points of continuity is dense in A.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_1$  on a complete metric space A, then  $c_1$  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  where for each n,  $f_n(x)$  is continuous on A. Suppose H is any nonempty open set in A.

We now define for each m, a set  $G(\frac{1}{m})$  by the condition that a  $\mathcal{E}G(\frac{1}{m})$  if and only if a  $\mathcal{E}$  H and there exists a  $\mathcal{S} > 0$  and some positive integer N such that if  $x \mathcal{E}[N(a, \mathcal{S}) \cap H]$  then  $/f_N(x) - f(x)/\leq \frac{1}{m}$ . Let E be the set of all points in H at which f(x) is continuous relative to H. Then by theorem 5.8 E is the set of all points in H at which the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is uniformly convergent relative to H. Moreover,  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G(\frac{1}{n})$  by definition of the sets  $G(\frac{1}{m})$ . Also, for each n,  $G(\frac{1}{n})$  is open in H, for suppose a  $\mathcal{E}G(\frac{1}{m})$ . Then there is a  $\delta > 0$ and some N > 0 such that if  $x \in [N(a, \delta) \cap H]$ then  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ . Consider  $b \in [N(a, \delta) \cap H]$ and choose  $\delta' = \delta - d(a,b)$ . Then  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$  for all  $x \in [N(b, \delta') \cap H]$ since  $[N(b, \delta') \cap H] \subset [N(a, \delta) \cap H]$ . Hence if  $b \in [N(a, \delta) \cap H]$ , then  $b \in G(\frac{1}{m})$ , i.e.  $[N(a, \delta) \cap H] \subset G(\frac{1}{m})$ . Therefore  $G(\frac{1}{n})$  is open in H for all values of n.

Since each set  $G(\frac{1}{n})$  is open in H,  $G(\frac{1}{n}) =$ H  $\land$  R<sub>n</sub> where each set R<sub>n</sub> is open in A. Since H is open in A, each  $G(\frac{1}{n})$  as the intersection of two open sets is also open in A.

We now define for each m, a set  $K(\frac{1}{m})$  by the condition that a  $\varepsilon K(\frac{1}{m})$  if and only if there is some  $\delta > 0$  and some positive integer N such that if  $x \in N(a, \delta)$  then  $/f_N(x) - f(x)/ \leq \frac{1}{m}$ .

Let S be the set of all points in A at which f(x) is continuous relative to A. Then by theorem 5.8, S is also the set of all points in A at which the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is uniformly convergent. Therefore  $S = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} K(\frac{1}{n})$  where each set  $K(\frac{1}{n})$  is open in A. Each set  $K(\frac{1}{n})$  is seen to be open in A in the same way that  $G(\frac{1}{n})$  was seen to be open in H.

-73-

We now have the following two relationships to show: (1)  $K(\frac{1}{n}) \cap H = G(\frac{1}{n})$ , and (2)  $G(\frac{1}{n}) \neq \emptyset$  for all positive integers n. <u>Proof of (1)</u>: Suppose first that

a  $\varepsilon \left[ K(\frac{1}{m}) \cap H \right]$ . Then a  $\varepsilon K(\frac{1}{m})$  which implies there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and N > 0 such that if  $x \varepsilon N(a, \varepsilon)$ then  $\left| f_N(x) - f(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ . If  $x \varepsilon \left[ N(a, \varepsilon) \cap H \right]$ , then  $x \varepsilon N(a, \varepsilon)$  and  $\left| f_N(x) - f(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ . Hence since a  $\varepsilon H$ , a  $\varepsilon G(\frac{1}{m})$ .

On the other hand, suppose a  $\mathcal{E} G(\frac{1}{m})$ , then a  $\mathcal{E}$  H and there is some  $\mathcal{S}_0 > 0$  and N > 0 such that if  $x \mathcal{E}\left[N(a, \mathcal{S}_0) \cap H\right]$  then  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ . Also, since H is open in A, for a  $\mathcal{E}$  H there is some  $\mathcal{S}_1 > 0$  such that  $N(a, \mathcal{S}_1) \subset H$ . Choose  $\mathcal{S} = \min \left[\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1\right]$ . Then  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ if  $x \in N(a, \mathcal{S})$ . Therefore a  $\mathcal{E} K(\frac{1}{m})$  and a  $\mathcal{E}\left[K(\frac{1}{m}) \cap H\right]$ . Therefore  $\left[K(\frac{1}{n}) \cap H\right] = G(\frac{1}{n})$  for all n. <u>Proof of (2)</u>: To show  $G(\frac{1}{n}) \neq \emptyset$  for all n, define sets  $F_m(\frac{1}{k})$  by requiring that  $x \in F_m(\frac{1}{k})$ if and only if  $x \in H$  and  $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{k}$ for all  $n \geq m$ .

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Suppose a  $\mathcal{E}$  H. Since  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  on H, for  $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2k}$  there is some m > 0 such that if  $n \ge m$ then  $/f_n(a) - f(a)/ \le \mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2k}$ . Hence  $/f_m(a) - f_n(a)/ \le /f_m(a) - f(a)/ + /f(a) - f_n(a)/ \le$  $2\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{k}$ , for all  $n \ge m$ . Therefore  $a \mathcal{E} H$  implies that  $a \mathcal{E} F_m(\frac{1}{k})$ . Therefore  $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(\frac{1}{k})$ .

But each function  $f_n(x)$  is continuous on H relative to H since each function  $f_n(x)$  is continuous on A. Hence for  $x \in H$  it follows from theorems 4.4 and 4.3 that  $\int f_m(x) - f_n(x) / f_n(x) /$ 

Since H is a nonempty set of type  $G_1$  in A, H is of the second category relative to itself, by Baire's theorem 2.11. Therefore there is some positive integer t such that  $F_t(\frac{1}{k})$  is not nowhere dense relative to H.

Since  $F_t(\frac{1}{k})$  is both closed and not nowhere dense in H,  $F_t(\frac{1}{k})$  contains a nonempty neighborhood relative to H, i.e. for some  $b \in H$  and some  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\left[ N(b, \delta) \land H \right] \subset F_t(\frac{1}{k})$ . If  $x \in \left[ N(b, \delta) \land H \right]$ then  $x \in F_t(\frac{1}{k})$  and  $\left| f_t(x) - f_n(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{k}$  for all

-75-

It is of interest to note that theorem 5.9 is also true if the metric space A is merely <u>locally</u> <u>complete</u>, i.e. if for each point  $p \in A$  there is an open set G containing p,  $G \subset A$ , such that  $\overline{G}$  is a complete metric space.

<u>Theorem 5.10</u>: For any ordinal  $\alpha < \Lambda$ , if f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$  on a complete metric space A, there is a Borel set S of type  $G_1$ , dense and of the second category relative to A, whose complement O(S) is of the first category relative to A, such that f(x) is continuous on S relative to S.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\prec}$  on a complete metric space A,  $\alpha < \Lambda$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , then f(x) is continuous on A. Then S = A, where A is of type  $G_1$ , dense, and of the second category relative to itself, and  $C(S) = \emptyset$  is of the first category relative to A. Assume the theorem is true for all ordinals  $\beta < \propto$  .

If f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_{\alpha}$  on A, then  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  where for each n,  $f_n(x)$ is of type  $f_{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$ . By our induction assumption, each  $f_n(x)$  is continuous on some set  $C_n$ relative to  $C_n$ , where each  $C_n$  is of type  $G_1$ , dense, and of the second category relative to A, and where each set  $D_n = A - C_n$  is of the first category relative to A. Also, since each set  $C_n$  is of type  $G_1$  in A and each set  $D_n = C(C_n)$ , then each  $D_n$ is of type  $F_1$  in A by theorem 2.3.

Consider  $D_0 = \overset{\sim}{n=1} D_n$ ; then  $D_0$  is of the first category relative to  $A_0$  and, by theorem 2.4, is a Borel set of type  $F_1$ . Let  $C_0 = A - D_0$ . Then  $C_0 = C(\overset{\sim}{n=1} D_n) = \overset{\sim}{n=1} C_n$ . Therefore  $C_0$  is a Borel set of type  $G_1$  and dense in A by theorem 2.13.

We have  $C_0 \subset C_n$  for each n since  $C_0 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ . Therefore each function  $f_n(x)$  is continuous on  $C_0$ relative to  $C_0$ , and  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  on  $C_0$ .

Let S be the set of points in  $C_0$  at which f(x) is continuous relative to  $C_0$ . By theorem 5.7, S is a Borel set of type  $G_1$  in  $C_0$ , which implies  $S = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ , where for each n,  $K_n$  is open in  $C_0$ . This means that for each  $K_n$  there is some set  $M_n$ open in A such that  $K_n = C_0 \land M_n$ . Therefore  $S = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} (C_0 \land M_n) = C_0 \land M_0 \text{ where } M = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n \text{ is a}$ Borel set of type  $G_1$  in A. Since  $C_0$  is a Borel set of type  $G_1$  in  $A_0$  S is a Borel set of type  $G_1$  in A by theorem 2.5.

Suppose  $H \subset C_0$  is any nonempty set open in  $C_0$ ; then  $H = C_0 \land Q$  where Q is some open set in A. Since Q is of type  $G_1$  in A, by theorem 2.1, and  $C_0$  is of type  $G_1$  in A, H is a Borel set of type  $G_1$  in A by theorem 2.5. Also, since  $H \subset C_0$ ,  $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  on H since f(x) = $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x)$  on  $C_0$ .

We now define for each m, a set  $G(\frac{1}{m})$  by the condition that a  $\mathcal{E} G(\frac{1}{m})$  if and only if a  $\mathcal{E}$  H and there exists a  $\mathcal{S} > 0$  and some positive integer N such that if  $x \mathcal{E} \left[ N(a, \mathcal{S}) \cap \overline{H} \right]$  then  $/f_N(x) - f(x)/ \leq \frac{1}{m}$ .

Let E be the set of all points in H at which f(x) is continuous relative to H. Then, by theorem 5.8, E is the set of all points in H at which the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is uniformly convergent relative to H. Moreover,  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G(\frac{1}{n})$  by definition of the sets  $G(\frac{1}{m})$ . Also, for each n,  $G(\frac{1}{n})$  is open in H. (This is shown in exactly the same way as in the proof of theorem 5.9.)

Since each set  $G(\frac{1}{n})$  is open in H,  $G(\frac{1}{n}) =$ H  $\land$  R<sub>n</sub> where each set R<sub>n</sub> is open in A. Since each set  $R_n$  is of type  $G_1$  in A, by theorem 2.1, and H is of type  $G_1$  in A, each set  $G(\frac{1}{n})$  is of type  $G_1$  in A by theorem 2.5. We now define for each m, a set  $K(\frac{1}{m})$  by the condition that a  $\mathcal{E} K(\frac{1}{m})$  if and only if a  $\mathcal{E} C_0$  and there is some  $\delta > 0$  and some positive integer N such that if  $x \mathcal{E} \left[ N(a, \delta) \cap C_0 \right]$  then  $\left| f_N(x) - f(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ .

Since S is the set of all points in  $C_0$  at which  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is continuous relative to  $C_0$ , again by the use of theorem 5.8, we have that S is also the set of all points in  $C_0$  at which the sequence  $\{f_n(\mathbf{x})\}$  is uniformly convergent relative to  $C_0$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{S} = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} K(\frac{1}{n})$  where each set  $K(\frac{1}{n})$  is open in  $C_0$ . Each set  $K(\frac{1}{n})$  is seen to be open in  $C_0$ in the same way that  $G(\frac{1}{n})$  was seen to be open in H. Since  $K(\frac{1}{n})$  is open in  $C_0$ ,  $K(\frac{1}{n}) = C_0 \wedge S_n$  where each set  $S_n$  is open in A. Since each set  $S_n$  is of type  $G_1$  in A, by theorem 2.1, and  $C_0$  is of type  $G_1$  in A, then each set  $K(\frac{1}{n})$  is of type  $G_1$ in A by theorem 2.5.

We now have the following two relationships to show:

(1) 
$$K(\frac{1}{n}) \cap H = G(\frac{1}{n})$$
, and  
(2)  $G(\frac{1}{n}) \neq \emptyset$  for all positive integers n.  
Proof of (1): Suppose first that a  $\mathcal{E}[K(\frac{1}{m}) \cap H]$ .  
Then a  $\mathcal{E} K(\frac{1}{m})$  which implies there is some  $\mathfrak{f} > 0$ 

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

and N > 0 such that if  $x \in [N(a, \delta) \cap C_0]$  then  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ . Also,  $a \in H$  and  $[N(a, \delta) \cap H] \subset [N(a, \delta) \cap C_0]$  since  $H \subset C_0$ . Therefore, if  $x \in [N(a, \delta) \cap H]$ , then  $x \in [N(a, \delta) \cap C_0]$  and  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ . Therefore  $a \in G(\frac{1}{m})$ .

On the other hand suppose a  $\mathcal{E} G(\frac{1}{m})$ , then a  $\mathcal{E}$  H and there is some  $\delta_0 > 0$  and N > 0 such that if  $x \in [N(a, \delta_0) \cap H]$  then  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ . Also, since H is open in  $C_0$ , for a  $\mathcal{E}$  H there is some  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $\left[ N(a, \delta_1) \cap C_0 \right] \subset H$ . Choose  $\delta = \min \left[ \delta_0, \delta_1 \right]$ . If  $x \in [N(a, \delta) \cap C_0]$ , then  $x \in [N(a, \delta_1) \cap C_0] \subset H$ and  $x \in [N(a, \delta_0) \cap H]$ . Then  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ where  $a \in H \subset C_0$ , if  $x \in [N(a, S) \cap C_0]$ . Therefore a  $\mathcal{E} K(\frac{1}{m})$  and a  $\mathcal{E} \int K(\frac{1}{m}) \cap H$ . Therefore  $\int K(\frac{1}{n}) \cap H = G(\frac{1}{n})$  for all n. <u>Proof of (2)</u>: To show  $G(\frac{1}{n}) \neq \emptyset$  for all n, we proceed exactly the same as we did in the same part of the proof of theorem 5.9, with the only difference being that each function  $f_n(x)$  is continuous H relative to H since each function  $f_n(x)$ on is continuous on C relative to C . This is because HCC<sub>o</sub>.

Since  $K(\frac{1}{n}) \cap H = G(\frac{1}{n}) \neq 0$ , for each n, and since H is an arbitrary open set in C<sub>0</sub>, each set  $K(\frac{1}{n})$  is dense in  $C_0$ . But  $C_0$  is dense in A, therefore each set  $K(\frac{1}{n})$  is dense in A.

Thus set S is of type  $G_1$  and dense in A by theorem 2.13, since  $S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K(\frac{1}{n})$ , where each set  $K(\frac{1}{n})$  is of type  $G_1$  and dense in A.

By theorem 2.14, S is of the second category relative to A and C(S) is of the first category relative to A. Since f(x) is continuous on S relative to C<sub>o</sub>, where  $S \subset C_o$ , then f(x) is continuous on S relative to S.

Therefore the theorem is true for all  $\propto < \Lambda$ by transfinite induction.

<u>Theorem 5.11</u>: If the set of points of discontinuity of f(x) defined on a metric space A is countable, then f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_1$  on A.

<u>Proof</u>: Let D be the set of all points where f(x) is discontinuous on a metric space A, and suppose D is a countable set. Let C be the set of all points where f(x) is continuous on A; then C = C(D) = A - D.

Since any countable set is a Borel set of type  $F_1$ , D is a Borel set of type  $F_1$ . (This is because each point of a countable set may be thought of as being a one-point set, which is closed. Then any countable set is the union of a countable number of closed sets, and therefore a Borel set of type  $F_1$ .)

For any real number k, consider  $B = B_1 \cup B_2$ where  $B = E \left[ f(x) > k \right]$ ,  $B_1 = B \cap D$ , and  $B_2 = B \cap C$ . Suppose  $a \in B_2$ ; then f(a) > k and  $a \in C$ . But  $a \in C$  implies that f(x) is continuous at x = a. Therefore, let  $\mathcal{E} = f(a) - k > 0$ ; then there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x \in N(a, \delta)$ then  $\left| f(x) - f(a) \right| < \mathcal{E}$ , i.e.  $f(x) > f(a) - \mathcal{E} = k$ for all  $x \in N(a, \delta)$ . Therefore  $N(a, \delta) \subset B$ . Let  $H = a \underset{E}{\leftarrow} B_2^{N(a, \delta)}$ . Then H is open in A and  $B_2 \subset H \subset B$ .

Now consider B = H U (B - H). Since  $B_2 \subset H \subset B$ , then  $(B - H) \subset (B - B_2) = B_1$ . Since  $B_1 \subset D$ , then  $(B-H) \subset D$ . But D is a countable set, therefore (B-H) is at most countable and hence a Borel set of type  $F_1$ . Also, H, being an open set in A, is of type  $G_0$ , and hence by theorem 2.7 is of type  $F_1$ .

Therefore, by theorem 2.5, B = H U (B-H) as the union of two sets of type  $F_1$  is also of type  $F_1$  for any real number k, i.e. E [f(x) > k] is a Borel set of type  $F_1$  for every real number k.

Likewise, a similar argument shows E [f(x) < k]is a Borel set of type  $F_1$  for every real number k. Therefore its complement,  $E [f(x) \ge k]$ , is a Borel set of type  $G_1$  for every real number k, by theorem

-81-

2.3 .

Hence f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_1$  by theorem 5.6.

<u>Theorem 5.12</u>: If B is a countable set in a metric space A, and f(x) defined on A is continuous on A-B relative to A-B, then f(x)is a Baire function of type  $f_2$  on A.

<u>Proof</u>: If B is a countable set, it is a Borel set of type  $F_1$ . Suppose f(x) is continuous on A-B relative to A-B, where A is some metric space.

For any real number k, consider  $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ where M = E [f(x) > k],  $M_1 = M \cap B$ , and  $M_2 =$  $M \cap (A-B)$ . The set  $M_2$  is open relative to A-B, by theorem 4.10 (c), since f(x) is continuous on A-B relative to A-B. Hence  $M_2 = (A-B) \cap H$  for some open set H in A. Since B is of type  $F_1$ , A-B is of type  $G_1$  by theorem 2.3. Also since H is open in A, H is of type  $G_0$ , and hence of type  $G_1$ . Therefore by theorem 2.5,  $M_2 = (A-B) \cap H$  as the intersection of two Borel sets of type  $G_1$  is also of type  $G_1$ , and hence of type  $G_2$ .

Since  $M_1 \subset B$ , and B is a countable set,  $M_1$ is at most countable and hence a Borel set of type  $F_1$ . Therefore by theorem 2.7,  $M_1$  is a Borel set of type  $G_2$ . Therefore M = E [f(x) > k] is a Borel set of type  $G_2$ , by theorem 2.5, since M is the union of two sets of type  $G_2$ . A similar argument shows E [f(x) < k] is a Borel set of type  $G_2$  for every real number k. Therefore its complement,  $E [f(x) \ge k]$ , is a Borel set of type  $F_2$  for every real number k, by theorem 2.3.

Hence f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_2$ , by theorem 5.6.

It is of interest to note the following example of a function satisfying the conditions of the last theorem, which is therefore a Baire function of type  $f_2$ , but is not of type  $f_1$ .

Choose the space A to be the set of all real numbers. Define f(x) = 0 if x is an irrational number, and f(x) = 1 if x is a rational number. Then f(x) is discontinuous at every point of A. Moreover, f(x) is continuous on the irrationals relative to the irrationals, where the rationals constitute a countable set. Therefore by the last theorem, f(x) is a Baire function of type  $f_2$  on A. If f(x) were also of type  $f_1$ , its points of continuity would be dense in A by theorem 5.9. But f(x) is discontinuous everywhere on A, hence f(x) is not of type  $f_1$  on A.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Goffman, Casper. <u>Real</u> <u>Functions</u>. New York: Rinehart, 1953.
- 2. Sierpinski, Waclaw. <u>General Topology</u>. Translated by C. Cecilia Krieger. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1952.
- 3. Kamke, E. Theory of Sets. New York: Dover Publications, Inc., 1950.
- 4. Hausdorff, Felix. <u>Set Theory</u>. Translated by John R. Aumann. New York: Chelsea Publishing Company, 1957.