University of Montana

[ScholarWorks at University of Montana](https://scholarworks.umt.edu/)

[Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, &](https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd) Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Canadia Control of Craduate School [Professional Papers](https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd) Craduate School

1937

Axioms for geometry and analysis

William A. White The University of Montana

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd](https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd?utm_source=scholarworks.umt.edu%2Fetd%2F8070&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Let us know how access to this document benefits you.](https://goo.gl/forms/s2rGfXOLzz71qgsB2)

Recommended Citation

White, William A., "Axioms for geometry and analysis" (1937). Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers. 8070. [https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd/8070](https://scholarworks.umt.edu/etd/8070?utm_source=scholarworks.umt.edu%2Fetd%2F8070&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Student Theses, Dissertations, & Professional Papers by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.](mailto:scholarworks@mso.umt.edu)

AXIOMS FOR GECLETRY AND ANALYSIS

by

William A. White

B. A., Montana State University

presented in partial fulfillment of the re-

quirement for the degree of Master

of Arts

Montana State University

1937

Approved:

 $\sqrt{\Delta}$
 $W.$ B. E $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$

Chairman of Board of Examiners.

Chairman of Committee on Graduate Study

UMI Number: EP38871

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

UMI EP38871

Published by ProQuest LLC (2013). Copyright in the Dissertation held by the Author.

Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC. All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code

ProQuest LLC. 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, Ml 48106 - 1346

IN APPRECIATION

The author takes this occasion to thank Dr. N. J. Lennes for critically reading this paper and furnishing valuable advice and guidance in its writing. The arthor wishes also to thank Dr. Lennes and the Euthematics Department for allowing him the use of the Mathematics Library and many of their personal books.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

--

INTRODUCTION

"Every demonstrative science," says Aristotle, "must start from indemonstrable principles. (1) In mathematics these "indemonstrables" are called axioms, postulates, or assumptions. Aristotle adds, "otherwise, the steps of demonstration would be endless." The body of propositions representing a science constitutes a closed unit, and any effort to prove every proposition would result in a "vicious circle". Any of the propositions in a mathematical science can serve as the foundation so long as the rest of the propositions can be deduced from them. For the beginner in any logical science it is necessary to start with notions which he already understands or can easily acquire. This was Suclid's policy in his "Elements." This was also Hilbert's aim in his "Grundlagen der Geometrie." On the other hand Veblen's "Axioms for Geometry" assumes a tutored student with a developed skill in logical deduction.

Many sets of axioms have been worked out for geometry and analysis. Only a few are listed here, and those with the primary purpose of establishing the foundations of the mathematical sciences and the secondary purpose of dis laying the variability of choice of foundations.

T. L. Heath, The Thirteen Books of Euclid (3 vols., London, 1908), I, p. 145. $\mathbf{1}_{\bullet}$

Pure mathematics is sometimes classified into three branches; algebra, geometry, and analysis. For the purpose of this paper algebra and analysis are synonymous. There is no change in notation involved in pessing from algebra to analysis, the introduction of the theory of limits being the chief distinction. We will therefore treat pure mathematics as only two sciences, geometry and analysis. To the student of analysis even this distinction fades.

 σ

GSOLSTRY

History

Like our number system, geometry had its origin before the dawn of recorded history. The Rhind Papyrus of the sixteenth century B. C. **contains formulae for the areas of the rectangle, triangle, trapesoid,** and circle. Egyptian progress in geometry was due to a need for it **in surveying and architecture. Thales, a Creek, is reported to have learned Egyptian geometry and taken it to Greece. To Thales (about 600 B.C.), likewise, geometry was a practical science. It enabled him to measure the distance of a ship from shore. Pythagoras (about 540** B. C.) and his followers added much to the known science of geometry. **They stated and proved many theorems, the most famous of which was the** Pythagorean theorem. Hippocrates in his efforts to "square the circle" **stated end proved many theorems pertaining to the circle, Plato about 400 B.C*), is credited with putting geometry on a sound logical basis. Arehytas (about 350 B.C.), in his efforts to duplicate the cube, developed and proved several theorems pertaining mostly to mean proportionals.**

Euclid (about 300 B.C.) was the master mind who assembled all the known theorems of geometry, added some, and using the logic of Plato, constructed the science of geometry. That his work was good is evidenced

1. This historical sketch follows in a general way D. N. Smith, **History of I.'fthematics (2 vols., Boston, 1525), II, Chap. V,**

by the feet that hla book has been in use with very little change for 2200 years. He selected a few of the propositions to be used as fundamental statwaents without proof *,* **and upon these built the whole science of geometry. Controversy centered on his fifth axiom^^^** from the time of Duclid until abmost the present. Critics were **unanimously of** the opinion that the fifth axiom could be proved a **consequence of the other axioiaa, Modern mathematicians have further established the excellence of Euclid's work by showing that complete and consistent sciences of geometry can be constructed esauming a different fifth axioms.**

Ko important additions were made to Euclid's geometry until in the seventeenth century Fermat and Descartes invented the analytic geometry. Analytic geometry, and later the application of the calculus to geometry, epened up large fields and added much to the **science of geometry. Finally, in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, mathematicians turned again to Euclid's method and established various logical foundations for the science of geometry.**

Few substantial, improvements were made in Euclid's axioms. The essential difference being that modern geometers chose to show that **there is no one foundation for geometry,**

1, See page 6 ,

4.

EUCLID'S AXIEES (1)

Euclid assumes the existence of various geometrical figures. Ke starts by defining them, probubly intending to show with what his **geox&etry shall deal. He has twenty-three ouch definitions. He then lists five postulates, Ihese are bis starting hypotheses for geometry. They are followed by five axioms which he considered obvious truths, true in any science, lodern philosophers prefer to consider nothing obviously trwe in any science, Axicmie, like postulates, now serve only as starting hypotheses for a science, Euclid may then be said to have ten axioms ea a foundation for his "Elements" and his fifth postulate is customarily called his fifth axiom.**

Definitions

1. A point is that which has no part.

2, A line is breadthlesa length,

3, The extremities of a line are points,

4, A straight line is a line which lies evenly with the points on itself.

5, A surface is that which has length and breadth only.

6 , The extremities of a surface are lines,

7, A Plane surface is a surface which lies evenly with the straight lines on itself,

8 , A plane angle is the inclination to one another of two lines in a plane which meet one another and do not lie in a straight line. 9, And when the lines containing the angle are straight, the

angle is called rectilineal.

1 0 . % e n a straight line set up on a straight line makes the adjacent angles equal to one another, each of the equal angles is right, and the straight line standing on the other is called a perpendicular to **that on which it stands,**

11, An obtuse angle is an angle greater than a right angle,

^n acute angle is an angle less than a right angle,

12. A **boundery** is that which is an extremity of anything.

1, T, L, Heath, The Thirteen Hooks of Euclid, (3 vole,, London, 1908), I, p. 153.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

5.

14. A figure is that which is contained by any boundary or **boundaries.**

15, A circle is a plane figure contained by one line such that all the straight lines falling upon it from one point among those lying within the figure are equal to one arother.

16, And the point is called the centre of the circle,

IT, A dianeter of the circle is any strairht line drawn through the centre and terminated in both directions by the circ mference of the circle, and such a straight line also bisects the circle,

18, A eemleircle is the figure contained by the diameter and the circumference cut off by it. And the centre of the semi-circle **is the same as that of the circle.**

19, Rectjlineal figures are those which are contained by str'ight lines, trilateral figures being those contained by three, quadrilaterel those contained by four, and multilateral those con**tained by more than four straight lines,**

20. Cf trileterel figures, an <u>equilateral triangle</u> is that **whieh has its thres sides equal, and» isosceles triangle that which** has two of its sides alone equal, and a scalene triangle that which **has its three sides unequal.**

21, Further, of trllateml figures, e ri;{ht-angled triangle is that which has a right angle, an obtuse-angled triangle that **which has an obtuse angle, and on acute-angled triangle that which has its three angles acute.**

22, Of quadrilateral figures, a square is that which is both equilateral and right-angled; an oblong that which Is right-angled but not equilateral; a rhombus that which is equilateral but not right-angled; and a rhmiboid that which has its opposite sides and angles equal to one another but is neither equilateral nor rightangled. And let quadrilaterals other than these be celled trapssia.

23, Parallel straight lints are straight lines which, being in the same plane and being produced indefinitely in both directions, do not meet one another in either direction.

Postulates

Let the following be postulated:

1. To draw a straight line from any point to any point.

2 . Te produce a finite straight line continuously in a straight line.

3. To describe a circle with any centre and distance.

4. lhat all right angles are equal to one another.

5. That, if a straight line falling on two straight lines meke the interior angles on the same sides less than two right angles, the two straight lines, if produced indefinitely, meet on thet side on whieh are the angles less than the two right angles.

Axioma

Things which are equal to the same thing are also equal $1.$ to one another.

2. If equals be added to equals, the wholes are equal.
3. If equals be subtracted from equals, the remainders are equal.

4. Things which coincide with one another are equal to one

another.

5. The whole is greater than the part.

RILP"RT*3 A 1:1:3 (1)

Hilbert leaves point, straight line, plane, between and congruent undefined. He relates them into a geometry by means of axioms. The axioms he lists in five groups which he proves to be mutually independent. Group One he calls the **axioms** of combination. Here he asserts the **existence of points, lines, planes, and solids. Group Two ho cnlla the axioms of order. Here he implies that the points of a straight line** form a linearly ordered dense set. Group Three is Euclid's parallel **axiom stated somewhat differently.** Group Four he calls the axioms of **congruence. They serve to establish the congruence of linear segments.** Group Five he calls his axiom of continuity. Here he lists the **Arehimedien axiom end the axiom of completeness, Euclid stated the** Archimedian axiom: "Two magnitudes are said to have a ratio, if they are such that a multiple of either may exceed the other." The axiom **of completeness restricts the validity of the other exloms to a systom made up only of points, straight lines end planes,**

Hilbert has twenty-one axioms in all. He proves that they do not eontetn contradictions by submitting a geometry, known to bo valid, that satisfies all of them. He proves thet each group of exiomo is independent of the others by submitting a geometry that fails to satisfy only that group.

1. David Hilbert, "Grundlegen der Geometrie," third edition, 1905. **Quoted from the English translation by E* J, Townsend, The Foundation of Geometry, Chicago, 1910***

The Axioms

Group I

I, 1. Two distinct points A and B always completely determine **a straight line a.** We write AB = a or BA = a.

Instead of "determine", we may also employ other forms of exiression; for example, we may say <u>A</u> "lies upon" a, A, "is a point of" *<u>2</u>. 2 "goes through" A "and through" B, a "joins" A "and" or "with "* **etc.** If A lies upon a and at the same time upon another straight line **b**, we make use also of the expression: "The straight lines" a "and" \underline{b} have the point \underline{A} in common," etc.

If 2. Any two distinct points of a straight line completely determine that line; that is, if AB = a and AC = a, where B \neq **C, then is also BC =a.**

If 3. Throe points A, B, C not situated In the same straight line always completely determine a plane, \leq **.** We write ABC = \mathcal{X}_n .

We wenploy also the expressions: \overline{A} , \overline{B} , \overline{C} , "lie in" \times ; \overline{A} , \overline{B} , \overline{C} , **"**ere points of" \times , etc.

If 4. Any three points A, B, Ç of a plm:e which do not lie in the same straight line, completely determine that plane.

I, 5. If two points \underline{A} , \underline{B} of a straight line \underline{a} lie in a plane \underline{C} , then every point of **g** lies in α .

In this case we say: "The straight line \underline{e} lies in the plane $\underline{\alpha}_{\bullet}$ " etc. $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ **6.** If two planes α , β have a point Λ in common, then they have at least a second point B-in⁷ common.

If 7. Upon every straight line thure exists at least two points, in every plane at least three points not lying in the some straight line, and in space there exist at least four points not lying in a plane.

Group II

The axioms of this group define the idea expressed by the word "between," and Lake possible, upon the basis of this idea, an order of **sequence of the points upon a straight line, in a plane, and in space. The points of a straight line have a certain rslation to one another wi.ich the word "between" serves to describe. The axioms of this group ere as follows ***

II, 1. If A, B,C are points of a straight line and ^ lies between \underline{A} and \underline{C} , then \underline{B} lies also between \underline{C} and \underline{A} .

II, 2. If and C are two points of a straight line, then there exists at least one point E lying between A and C and at least one point 2 so situated that *£* **lies between A and D.**

II, 3. Of any three points sltuetod on a straight line, there is always one end only one which lies between the other two.

II, 4.(1) Any four points A, B, 2, £ of a straight line can always be so arranged that <u>B</u> shall lie between \underline{A} **and** \underline{C} **and also between** \underline{A} **and** \underline{D} **,** end, furthermore, that C shall lie between A and D and also between B and D.

1. This axiom was proved by E. H. Moore to be a consequence of previously stated axioms. (Transactions of the American **Mathematical Society, vol. Ill, 1302),**

DEFINITION: We will call the system of two points A and B. lying upon a straight line, a segment and denote it by \overline{AB} or \overline{BA} . The points lying between A and B are called the points of the segment AB or the points lying within the segment AB. All other points of the **strsLight line are referred to as the points lying outside the segment** AB. The points A and B are called the extremities of the segment AB.

II, 5. Let Λ , \underline{B} , \underline{C} be three points not lying in the same straight line and let a be a straight line lying in the plane ABC and net passing through any of the points A_2 B_3 C_4 . Then, if the straight line a passes through a point of the segment $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}_p$ it will also pass through **either a point of the segment gC or a point of the segment AC.**

Group III

The introduction of this axiom simplifies greatly the fundamental principles of geometry and facilitates in no small degree its development. This axiom may be expressed as follows:

III. In a plane α there can be drawn through any point Λ , lying **outside of a straight line a, one and only one straight line whieh does not intersect the line a.**

Ihis straight line is celled the parallel to a through the given point £-.

Group IV

The axioms of this group define the idea of congruence or displacement•

Sogmonts stand in a certain relation to one another which is described by the word "congruent."

IV, 1. If \underline{A} , \underline{B} are two points on a straight line \underline{a} , and if \underline{A}^* **is a point upon the same or another straight line a*, then, upon a** given side of \underline{A}^* on the straight line \underline{a}^* , we can always find one and only one point B^* so that the segment AB (or BA) is congruent to the **segment A*B*.** We indicate this relation by writing

 $AB \equiv A'B'$.

Zvory segment ie congruent to itself; thatla, we always havo $AB \equiv AB$

Te can state the above axiom briefly by saying that every segment **cam be laid off upon a given side of a given point of a given straight lina in one and only one way.**

IV_s $2.$ If a segment AB is congruent to the segment A^*B^* and also to the segment A"B", then the segment A'B' is congruent to the segment A^mB^m ; that is, if $AB \equiv AⁿBⁿ$ and $AB \equiv AⁿBⁿ$, then $AⁿBⁿ \equiv A^mBⁿ$.

IV, 3. Let and be two segments of a straight li **e a which have no points in common aside from the point B, and furthermore, let A^{*B*} and B^{*}C^{*} be two segments of the same or of another straight line $\overline{\mathbf{a}^*}$ having, likewise, no point other than $\underline{\mathbf{B}}^*$ in common. Then, if $\overline{AB} \equiv A^*B^T$ and $BC \equiv B^*C^T$, we have $AC \equiv A^*C^T$.

DEFINITIONS: Let ∞ be any erbitrary plane and h_n k any two distinct half-rays lying in α and emanating from the point α so as to form a part of two different straight lines. We call the system formed by these two half-rays in h_0 k an angle and represent it by the symbol \angle (h, k) or \angle (k, h). From exions II, 1-5, it follows reedily that the half-rays h and k, taken together with the point C_5 divide the remaining points of the plane Z into two regions having the following property: If A is a point of one region and B a point of the other, then every broken line joining A and B either payses through Q or has a point in common with one of the half-rays h_j k . If, however, A_1 A^* both lie within the same region, then it is always possible to join these two points by a broken line which neither passes through Q nor has a point in common with either of the half-rays h, k. the of these two regions is distinguished from the other in that the segment joining any two points of this region lies entirely within the region. The region so characterized is celled the interior of the angle (h. k). To distinguish the other region from this, we call it the exterior of the angle The half rays h and k are called the sides of the angle, and $(h_{n,k})$. the point Q is called the vertex of the angle.

IV, 4. Let an angle $(\underline{h_2}, \underline{k})$ be given in the plane α and let a straight line a' be given in a plane X^* . Suppose also that, in the plane X^* , a definite side of the straight line a' be assigned. Denote by \underline{h}^* a half-ray of the straight line \underline{e}^* emanating from a point $\underline{0}^*$ of this line. Then in the plane α^* there is one and only one half-ray k^* such that the angle (h_k, k^*) , or $(k^* \hbar)$, is congruent to the angle (h^*, k') and at the same time all interior points of the angle (h^*, k') lie upon the given side of $\underline{\mathbf{e}}^*$. We express this relation by means of the notation

 \angle (h, k) \equiv \angle (h',k'). Every angle is congruent to itself; that is, \angle (h, k) = \angle (k',k).

or

 \angle (h, k) \equiv \angle (k, h).

We say, briefly, that every engle in a given plane can be laid off upon a given side of a given helf-ray in one end only one way. IV, 5. If the angle (h, k) is congruent to the angle (h', k')

and to the angle (h^m, k^n) ; that is to say, if \angle $(h, k) \equiv \angle$ (h^r, k^r) and \angle (h, k) $\equiv \angle$ (h", k"), then \angle (h", k") $\equiv \angle$ (h", k").

Suppose we have given a triangle APC. Denote by h, k the two half-rays emanating from \underline{A} and passing respectively through \underline{B} and \underline{C} . The angle (h_2, k) is then said to be the angle included by the sides AB and AC, or the one opposite to the side BC in the triangle ABC. It contains all of the interior points of the triangle ARC and is represented by the symbol \angle BAC, or by \angle A.

IV, 6. If, in the two triangles APC and $A'B*C'$, the congruences $AB \equiv A^*B^*$, $AC \equiv A^*C^*$, $\angle BAC \equiv \angle B^*A^*C^*$ hold, then the congruences \angle ABC= \angle A'B'C' and \angle ACB= \angle A'C' B'also hold.

Group V

 $\textbf{(1)}_{\text{max}}$

This axiom makes possible the introduction into geometry of the **idee of continuity* In order to state this axiom, uo must first establish a convention concerning the equality of two segments. For this purpose, we cen either base our idea of equality upon the axioms relating to the congruence of sog; ents and define us "equcl" the eorrespondirgly congruent eegioents, or upon the basis of gr ups I and II, we may determine** how, by suitable constructions, (a segment is to be leid off from e. point **of a given etreight line so that a new, definite segment is obtained *equal** to it* In conformity \Tith such a convention, the axiom of Archimedes may be stated aa follows;**

V, 1, Let be eny point upon a straight line between the arbitrarily chosen points Λ and Σ . Take the points A_2 , A_3 , A_4 , so that Al lies between A and A₂, A₂ between A₁ and A₃, A₃ between A₂ and A₄, etc. Moreover, let the segments AA1, A₁A₂, A₂A₃, A₃A₄, **be equal to one another. Then among this series of points, there always exists a certain point** A_n **such that** B **lies between** \underline{A} **and** \underline{A}_n **.**

V, 2* To a system of points, straight lines, end planes, it is Impossible to add other elements in such a manner that the system thus generalized shall form a new geometry obeying all of the five groups of axioms. In other words, the elements of geometry form a system **which is not susceptible of extension, if we regard the five groups of** axioms as valid.

1, Axiom V, 1 introduces a week type of continuity. A line must also satisfy the <u>Dedikind Cut</u> to be continuous. See **page 26.**

FISRI'S AXIOMS (1)

Pieri leaves only point and motion undefined. He groups points **into a set S and postulates the results of various motions. Having defined the straight line in terms of motion and points he defines the plane as the set of all lines Joining sides and points of the triangle formed by three non-oollinear lines. He defines the sphere as the class of all points P** which can be transformed into a point B by all the **motions which leave A fixed. ^ Is defined as the center of the sphere. From this definition the circle, midpoint of a straight line and distance can be defined. Adiom eleven defines perpendicular and asserts the uniqueness of a perpendicular from a point to a line. The first thirteen axioms define betweenness and line segments. Axiom sixteen is the triangle-transversal axiom. Axiom seventeen is a restatement of the Archimedean axiom.**

Ihe Axioms

1 . "Oie class ^ contains at least two distinct points.

2. Given any motion Munich establishes a correspondence between **every point P and a point** \mathbf{P}^* **, there exists another motion** \mathbf{A}^{T} **, which** makes every point P' correspond to P_+ . The motion \mathcal{M}^H is called the inverse of <u>the</u>

3. The resultant of two motions μ **and** \sqrt **performed successively is equivalent to a single motion.**

4. Given any two distinct points A and B, there exists an **effective motion which leaves A and B fixed.**

5 . If there exists an effective motion which leaves fixed three points A_2 , B_3 , C_4 , then every motion which leaves A and B fixed leaves **£ fixed.**

1. Mario Pieri, Della Geometria elementare come sistema ipotetica**deduttivei monografia del punto e del mote. Memorie della R, Academia delle Soienxa di Torino, (1899). The axioms are** from J. W. Young, Fundamental Concepts of Algebra and **Geometry. (New York, 1934), p. 155-163,**

 $\mathbf{6}$ **are three non-collinear points and <u>D</u> is a point of** the line **BC** distinct from **B**, the plane ABD is contained in the plane ABC.

7* If ^ end B ore two distinct points, there exists a motion which leaves \triangle fixed and transforms \triangle into another point of the straight line ΔB_{\bullet}

8. If <u>A</u> and <u>B</u> are distinct points, and if two motions exist which **leaves A fixed and transfona B into another point of the line AB, the latter point Is the same for both motions.**

9. If Λ , and E -are two distinct points, there exists a motion which transforms \underline{A} into \underline{B} and which leaves fixed a point of the line *£ë-*

1 0 . If A, B, g are 'Uiree non-collinear points, there exists a motion which leaves A end g fixed end which transforms C into another point of the plane ABC.

11. If A, B, G are three non-collinear points and D and E are points of the plane ABC common to the sphere \underline{c}_A and \underline{c}_B , and distinct from C_2 , the two points **D** and **E** coincide.

12. If A, B, g are non-collinear points, there exists at least one point not in the plane ABC.

13. If \underline{A}_9 \underline{B}_9 \underline{C}_9 \underline{D} are four points not in the same plane, there **exists a motion which leaves A end g fixed and which trensforma g into a point of the plane ABC.**

14. If A, B, C, g are four distinct collinear points, the point g isnot **a** point of one and only one of the intervals AB , AC , BC .

15. If A_9 B_9 C_9 are three collinear points, and C_9 is between A_1 and \underline{B}_9 no point can be between \underline{A} and \underline{C} and between \underline{B} and \underline{C} at the same time.

16. If A, B, C are three non-collinear points, every straight line of the plane ABC idiioh has a point in cocmon v.ith the interval ig must also have a point in common with the interval AC or the interval BC» provided the straight line does not pass through eny of the points A# 2**» £•**

17. If g is any class of points contai ed in the interval AB. there exists in this interval a point \underline{X} **such that no point of** \underline{G} **is between X** and \underline{P}_2 and such that for every point X between \underline{A} and \underline{X}_2 there is a point of C between Y and X or coincident with X .

Veblen has twelve axioms based on the undefined terms point and **ardor. Ihs definitions given along with the axioms serve to show the manner in which the various concepts are introduced. Veblen has** fewer axioms than Hilbert but the geometry is correspondingly more **difficult to derive.**

Ihe Axioms

(1). 'fhere exist at least two distinct points,

(2). If points A_2 , B_3 , C_4 are in the order A_3 , B_5 , C_5 , they are in the order \underline{C} , \underline{B} , \underline{A} .

 (3) . If points \underline{A} , \underline{B} , \underline{C} , are in the order \underline{ABC} , they are not in **the order BOA.**

 (4) . If points $A_2 \nightharpoonup B_2$ $\subset \nightharpoonup$ are in the order ABC. then A is distinct **from C.**

%^5), If A and B are any two distinct points, there exists a point \underline{C} , such that \underline{A} , \underline{B} , \underline{C} , are in the order \underline{ABC} .

Dof* lé Ihe line *^* **consists of A and B and all points** *^* **in** one of the possible orders ABX, AXB, XAB. The points X in the order AXB constitute the segment AB_0 . A and B are the end points of the **segmenté**

 (6) . If <u>C</u> and <u>D</u> $(C \neq D)$ lie on the line AB , then A lies on **the line CD.**

(7) If there exist three distinct points, there exist three points A, B, C, not in the orders ABC, PCA, or CAB.

Def. 2. Three distinct points not lying on the same line are the vertices of a triangle ABC, whose sides are the segments AB, **EC. CA, and whose boundary consists of its vertices and the points of its sides.**

(8). If three distinct points A, **B**, C, do not lie on the same line, and \underline{D} and \underline{S} are two points in the orders $\underline{\mathrm{ECD}}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{CSA}}_0$ then a point **F** exists in the order AFB and such that \overline{D} , \overline{E} , \overline{F} , lie on the **same line.**

Def. 5. A point <u>Q</u> is in the interior of a triangle if it lies **on a segment, the end points of wliich tare points of different sides** of the triangle. The set of such points **Q** is the interior of the **triangle.**

Def. 6 . If \underline{A} , \underline{B} , \underline{C} form a triangle, the plane $\underline{A\text{P}C}$ consists of **all points collinear with any two points of the sides of the triangle,**

1. Oswold Veblen, Axioms for Ceometry. In Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 5 (1904) p. 346.

(9). If ther* exist three points not lying in the seme line, there exists & plane ABC such thet there is a point D not lying in the plane. ABC.

Def, 7, If B, C, and £ are four points not lying in the same plane, they form a tetrahedron **ABCD** whose faces are the interiors of the triangles ABC, BCD, CDA, DAB (if the triangle exist) whose **vertices are the four points A, B, C, and D and whose edges are the segments AB, BC, CD. DA. AG. BD« The points of faces, edges, and vertices constitute the surface of the tetrahedron,**

Def. 8 . If *Jif* **B,** *Qf* **and** *Q* **are the vertices of a tetrahedron, the space ABCD consists of all points collinear with eny two points of the faces of the tetrahedron,**

(10). If there exist four points neither lying in the same line nor lying in the same plane, there exists a space $A^{\circ}CD$ **such that** there is no point E not collinear with two points of the space, $A^{n}CD*$

(11), If there exists an infinitude of points, there exists a certain pair of points \underline{A} , \underline{C} such that if $[\underline{C}^{-}]$ is any infinite set of segments of the line AC, having the property that each point which is $A_9 \nsubseteq$ or a point of the segment AC is a point of a segment σ_3 , then there is a finite subset $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \ldots, \sigma_n$ with the seme **property,**

(12). If **a** is any line of any plane \leq there is some point \subseteq of α through which there is not more than one line of the plane α which **doBss not intersect a.**

Comparison

Euclid defines a point (Dof. 1) but builds his geometry without postulating its existence or any quality it might possess. Modern **geometers prefer to leave the notion of point undefined but postulate Its existence.**

Euclid defines a straight line (Def. 4) and then postulates its existence. (Ax. 1). Hilbert considers it a fundamental notion, but postulates its uniqueness. Fieri postulates the existence of a plenary motion which leaves two points fixed (Ax. 4) end defines a line 88 the set of all points that remain fixed in auch a motion. Veblen postulates the existence of an ordered set of points (Ax. 5) and defines a line as such a set.

A plane surface is defined by Euclid but considered fundamental enough to not warrant postulating. Hilbert, assuming the existence of points, postulates the uniqueness of the plane determined by three non-collinear points (Ax. I. 3). Fieri and Veblen establish the existence of non-collinear points by axioms and define the plane in terms of three such points (After Ax. 6 end Ax. 8 respectively).

Angles are defined by Euclid (Def. 8) end the equality of all right angles is postulated (Ax. 4). He further establishes the congruence of identical figures in axiom 9. Hilbert defines angle as the system of two half-lines emanating from one point end postulates their congruence (Ax. IV. 4). Fieri and Veblen define angles and their congruence in terms of point relations that have been established by exioms.

17.

Euclid in his postulate 3 established the continuity of space by saying "any center and distance". This is his nearest approach **to the continuity of a line. Hilbert in V, 1 and Fieri in 17 present e type of continuity, Veblen in 11 states his axiom of continuity in the form usually known as the Heine»Borel proposition,**

Euclid's postulate 5» the parallel axiom, has hed an interesting history.⁽ⁱ⁾ Euclid was apparently apprehensive of it for he avoided using it until the 2¹th theorem when it could no longer be avoided. **Several Greek cocmentators attacked the propriety of using it as an axiom and tried to deduce it from the other postulates and axl ms. In the eighteenth century an Italian, Saccheri, attacked its independence** by assuming the axiom false and developing a geometry that would con**tradict itself somewhere. Hu never succeeded in showing a contradiction but thought he did. In the nineteenth century Bolyai and Lobatchevsky working independently made the assumption that there is an infinite number of lines through a point parallel to a line. On this hypothesis they built a complete logical science of geometry, of v-hich Euclidean geometry was a liiulting case. This established the independence of Euclid's 5th axiom. Later Reimann built a geometry on the assumption that there exists no line through a point not on a given line parallel to the given line. All these geometries satisfy our perception of specs as nearly as we are able to observe. Therefore, there is no question as to which is true. But Euclidean geometry admits of easier development**

1. This paragraph follows in a general way J. Young, Fundamental Concepts of Algebra and Geometry. (New York, 1934), chep III,

***0 all modern geometers have included Euclid's 5th postulate in •ome form in their axicmskor geometry.**

Euclid said Hilbert postulate the congruence of figures regardless of their continuity. Fieri end Veblen postulate the existence of coincident points and define congruence in terms of order among these points.

Euclid proves in his geometry that the diagonals of a parallelogram bisect each other, tacidly assuming that they meet. Hilbert in II, 5, Fieri in 16, and Veblen in 8 present the so-called triangle-tranversal axiom from *which* **Euclids assumption can be proved.**

Euclid has only ten axioms, but he assumes some things, sub rose, which are now preferably stated explicitly. Hilbert has twenty-one axioms not all of which are entirely independent. Fieri has seventeen axioms, probably independent of each other. Veblen has only twelve axioms, and they are mutually independent. His approach aemss the most logical from the standpoint of primitiveness of concept. Add a few axions to simplify some of the proofs, end Veblen's set would afford the best method for building upon known concepts. Compare for example Hilbert's undefined terms point, line, plane, between, and congruent; Fieri*s undefined terme point and motion and Veblen*a undefined terms of point and order. Obviously Veblen has selected the simplest fundamental notions upon which to base his axioms.

ANALYSIS

Hivtorleal

err

Analyaim ia **purely aa arithmetle method ^operation, finding lie Justification in the science of numbers. However, the first approach to the method of analysis was made in the field of geometry long before numbers, as then understood, could handle the method. The Greeks invented the method of exhaustion in the fifth century B. C. As an exemple, they found the area of a circle by inscribing a polygon then enlarging the inscribed figure by successive doubling of the nusber of sides until a limit had been sufficiently approached. In principle they set up an infinite converging bounded sequence and assumed its** sum had a definite limit. It as consistent in the Greeks to assume **that such a series had a limit because they naturally believed that space was continuous. They never adequately explained how one was to completely exhaust an area with a variable sum that approached that area as a limit but never quite reached that limit. Archimedes in 225 B. C. proved rigorously by the method of exhaustion, that the area of a parabolic segment is four thirds of the triangle with the same base and vertex or two-thirds of the circumscribed parallelogram. In each ease he proved that the area could be neither more nor less than** the area which that formula gives. Therefore, the area given by that **formula is the true area.**

In the seventeenth century Kepler and Cavalieri made the next approach toward the method of analysis. Their theory was that spece **end lines were made up of "indivisibles", A surface, for instance, is**

Bade up of lines (the indivisibles). An infinite number of these **lines are summed to obtain the area of the surface. Cavalieri showed that the area of a triangle vas one-half the area of a parallelogram** with the same base and altitude as follows:⁽¹⁾ Calling the smallest **indivisible element of the triangle 1 , the next larger 2 , the next 3 ,** and so on to **n** the base. The area of the triangle is therefore $1 + 2 + 3$ $+n_2$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ $n(n + 1)$. But each element of the parallel**ogram is n, and there are n of them as in the triangle, and so the area is** n^2 **.** Then the ratio of the area of the triangle to the area of the **parallelogram is** $\frac{1}{2}n (n+1)$ **:** $n^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 1/n)$. But $\frac{1}{2}(1 + 1/n) = \frac{1}{2}$ as **B** \rightarrow ∞ . The method of indivisibles provided a shorthand treatment **for the method of exhaustion but still lacked definite proof that the limit sought existed. Neither vas it shownthat the indivisibles existed. There were also certain other naive assumptions that we need not describe here.**

Leibnis Invented the notation that is used today. He indicated the sum of Cavalieri's indivisibles by the integral sign, send the **Inverse operation by d. In 1676 he published a manuscript containing** such statements as $\overline{dx}^3 = 3x^2$, $\overline{d\sqrt{x}} = \overline{dx}$

Newtons works, published in 1687 end 1704, show two methods used for analysis. He first used the method of indivisibles. In order to show that his infinitesimals existed he changed from the method of indivisibles to that of fluxions. This method can be pictured geometrically as a point flowing along a curve. He finds the ratio of its X velocity to its x velocity at any point on the curve, assuming

1. Smith, History of Mathematics, Ginn and Co., Vol. II, p. 6:7

'ttïet a moving body has a definite velocity at every instant of time. He thus avoided an existence proof for hie two infinitésimale. He interpreted the ratio geometrically like modern mathematicians do as the limiting slope of a secant through two points on a curve as the distance between the points becomes small. Newton called integration the **method of quadrature, end the solution of differential equations he called the inverse method of tangents.**

Newton and Leibnis devised an analysis that worked in most cases. Their method was week in that no one had shown that the number system was eontinuous, a necessary property of the domain of the variable.

Since the time of Newton and Leibnis the number system has been enlarged to include all its possible limits. The very small constant, **as Leibnis conceived the infinitesimal, has gone into disrepute to be replaced with Newton* s theory of limits. Newton* s theory is still held that as two variables approach limiting aalues, if the ratio of their rates of change approaches a limit, this limit has a definite value.**

;**2**.

Genetic Development

Following is a list of definitions wherein the fundamental notions **of analysis are developed. Ihe system of real numbers so far as needed is built up by the "genetic" method.**

A set (class, assemblage, body) we will leave undefined. It represents a fundamental idea. All things possessing a common **characteristic are said to constitute a set.**

One-to-one correspondence also represents a fundamental notion. Counting objects is the process of establishing a one-to-one correspondence between the obj cte and the system of positive integers.

Counting con not be logically defined in more fundamental termes Its validity must be granted to afford a starting point in mathematics.

%hen an element belongs to a set it possesses the characteristic necessary to define it as a mmnber of that set.

A subset, $[a_1]$, (1) of a set $[a]$ is a set such that every element, $\underline{\mathbf{a}}_1$, of $[\underline{\mathbf{a}}_1]$ belongs to the set $[\underline{\mathbf{a}}]$.

If set [a] can be put into one-to-one correspondence with set [b] then the sets $[a]$ and $[b]$ are said to be <u>equivalent</u>.

The set $\left[\begin{array}{c}\n\underline{n}\n\end{array}\right]$ of all equivalent sets is symbolized by <u>n</u> which is **called the cardinal number of every one of the equivalent sets.**

Of two sets $[a]$ **and** $[b]$ **, if every element of** $[a]$ **can be put into one-to-one correspondence with elements of £ b 3 but every element of** *[b]* **can not be put into one-to-one correspondence with elements of ^a ^ then the**

1. The symbol $\begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix}$ to represent the set a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , $\cdots \cdots$, a_n **due to Veblen and Lennes, Infinitesimal Anrlyais. (New York, 1907).**

23.

cardinal number of [a] is said to be <u>less than</u> that of $\begin{bmatrix} b \end{bmatrix}$ **. Set** $\begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix}$ **is said to be equivalent to a part of** $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}$ **.**

Designating the cardinal numbers of sets [a] and [b] by <u>a</u> and <u>b</u>, the relation g less than \underline{b} is indicated $\underline{a} < b$.

If sets[a] and [b] are equivalent then $a = b$; otherwise $a \neq b$.

The definition given here for "less than" precludes more than one of the relations, $\underline{\mathbf{a}} = \underline{\mathbf{b}}$, $\underline{\mathbf{b}} \leq \underline{\mathbf{a}}$, and $\underline{\mathbf{a}} \leq \underline{\mathbf{b}}$ being true.

The set of cardinal numbers *[aj* **can now be put into one-to-one correspondence with the positive integers** *[n*J* **in such a way that of** any two elements of $\begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix}$, \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{g} in the relation $\underline{e} \leq d$ the corresponding **elements of numbers** g^{\prime} **,** d^{\prime} **of** $\int n^{\prime}$ *are in the relation* $g^{\prime} \leq d^{\prime}$ **.**

A set such that of any two of its elements a and b_0 $a = b_0$ $a \le b_0$ or $b \le a$ is said to be an ordered set.

Given two sets $[$ a $]$ and $[$ b $]$, form a set $[$ c $]$ such that every element **of** $\left[$ **a** $\right]$ and $\left[$ b $\right]$ is an element of $\left[$ o $\right]$ and every element of $\left[$ c $\right]$ is an **element of** $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} a \end{array}\right]$ **or** $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} b \end{array}\right]$ **.** Then of their cardinal numbers, $\underline{a+b} = \underline{c}$.

The set \int o \int is obviously unique regardless of order of elements. Then $\underline{\mathbf{a}} + \underline{\mathbf{b}} = \underline{\mathbf{b}} + \underline{\mathbf{a}}$.

Given two sets [a]and [b], form a set [c] by associating each **element of** $[$ **a** $]$ **with every element of** $[$ **b** $]$ **.** Then of their cardinal **numbers, eb — c.**

Associating each element of $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}$ **with every element of** $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} \end{bmatrix}$ **obviously brings the elements together in the same pairs as associating each element of** $[$ **a** $]$ **with every element of** $[$ **b** $]$ **.** Therefore, $\underline{ab} = \underline{ba}$.

Given three sets $[a]$, $[b]$, $[c]$, form a fourth set $[d]$ such that every element of $[a]$, $[b]$, or $[c]$ is an element of $[d]$ and **every element of £ d] la an element of £ a l * j[b7 » or [e] . Then the eardinal number of set [d] is unique regardless of the order in which** they were combined. Therefore, $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c) = a + b + c$.

Given three sets $[a]$, $[b]$, $[c]$, form the set $[a]$ then **associate each element of £abj with every element of £cj • A brief inspection will show that the same triples will appear had the set £ be 3 been formed and each element of £ a J associated with every element of** \lceil **bc** \rceil . Therefore $(ab)c = a(bc)$.

Given three sets $[a]$, $[b]$, $[c]$, form a new set $[d]$ such that **each element of** $[$ **a** $]$ **is associated with every element of** $[$ **b** $]$ **and** $[$ c $]$ **. The set£** *d j* **is evidently unique. Stated in cardinal numbers** $ab + ac = d = a(b + c).$

These definitions have established a system of positive integers and the primary rules of operation. Any set which can be put into oneto-one correspondence with the sot of positive integers is said to be denumerable or simply numerable.

Two integers a and b may be said to constitute the rational fraction e/b when properly associated.

Of two rational fractions a/b and a'/b' if $ab' = a'b$ then $a/b = a'/b'$, if $ab' \le a'b$ then $a/b \le a'/b'$, if $a'b \le ab'$ then $a/b' \le a/b$. The set of rational fractions is thus by definition an ordered set. It can be easily shown that the set of rational fractions is numerable.

«5,

From our rules of operation if $\frac{a}{b} \leq \frac{a}{b}$, then $a/b < \frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{b}$, a'/b' . **Therefore, between every pair of rational fractions those is another ni#ber# An ordered set possessing this property is said to be dense,**

The rule of operation $\underline{\mathbf{a}} + \underline{\mathbf{b}} = \underline{\mathbf{c}}$ defines <u>negative</u> numbers and **xero. b** is negative when $\underline{e} \leq \underline{e}$, and **b** is zero when $\underline{a} = \underline{c}$.

We have here built up roughly the system of rational numbers as it is known and used. When the Greeks finallly admitted fractions **they hed the positive part of this system as their arithmetic. Because a number existed between every pair of numbers the system would admit of very small numbers and thus appeared to correspond with the properties of space. Unlike space, however, this system of numbers is not continuous.**

Given a set $\begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix}$ divided into two (non-empty) subsets ($\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ such that for every element as of $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} a_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \leq a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and such that every element of $\begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix}$ is an element of $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \end{bmatrix}$ or $\begin{bmatrix} a_2 \end{bmatrix}$, Then there is an element \underline{X} which divides the two subsets. This statement **is celled the Dedekind Cut, A dense set which satisfies the Dedekind Cut is continuous. The element X is called the upper limit of subset** $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \end{bmatrix}$. Applied to the set of rational numbers the Dedekind Cut addd an indefinitely large set of numbers to the system, for the number X need **not be a rational number. This continuous set is celled the real number system.**

(1) **A variable is a symbol that represents any one of a set of A variable in analysis ia a symbol representing any one of** a set of numbers.

A constant is a symbol that represents a set of only one element. A constant in analysis is a number.

Any one element of a set represented by a variable is called a value of the variable.

Given two variables x and y. If to each value of x there corresponds one and only one value of **y** then **y** is said to be a onevalued function of x.

Given two variables *x* **and %. If to each value of g there** corresponds a set of values of y then y is said to be a many-valued **function of g.**

In analysis, if to every number represented by the variable x there corresponds one or more numbers represented by the variable \underline{x}_i , then **2 Is said to be a single-or many-valued function respectively of g.**

If to any value of x and any value of y there correspond one and only one value of $\boldsymbol{\underline{z}}$ then $\boldsymbol{\underline{z}}$ is said to be a single-valued function of **at and %.**

A segment ab is the set $[x]$ of all elements such that $\underline{s} \leq \underline{x} \leq \underline{b}$. A neighborhood of an element a is the segment $\overline{c}a$ such that $c < a < d$. **The element £ is said to be a limit of the set £c] if there are elements of £ e 7 other than a in every neighborhood of a.**

1. First stated in this form by Velen and Lennes, in Infinitesimal **Analysis. (New York, 1907) p. 44.**

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

27.

The set of rational numbers together with the irrationals defined by the Dedekind Cut constitutes a set which contains all its limit points.

If & is a limit of the set represented by the variable x, then x is said to approach a upon the set.

If the number $\underline{0}$ is the limit of a function of \underline{x} as \underline{x} approaches \underline{a} , **that function Is on infinitesimal.**

The fundamental notions of analysis have been developed historically and intuitionolly thus far. How after a brief historical sketch we shall give a rigorous development of the number system by David Hilbert and E. V. Huntington.

ARITHESTIC

Historical

Ethnology of primitive cultures indicates that the positive integers were the first numbers to appear in civilization. The more backward races show more or less ability to count though that may be **the extent of their arithmetic ability. At the dawn of written** history, there were several systems of symbols for the positive integers **in existence. Cur system originated with the Hindus, all ten digits appearing for the first time in the year 876,**

Fractions, also, had appeared by the dawn of recorded history, doubtless growing out of a need for them in commerce. Early writings of the Babylonians, Egyptians, Chinese, and May ans show frequent use of the fraction. In the third century B, C. they first come to be regarded as true numbers. Our own method of writing fractions, excepting the bar between numerator and denominator, probably originated with the Hindus, about the fourth century A. D.

Incommensurable ratios were noticed by the Greeks in their studies of geometry. The Pythagoreans supposedly proved the incommensurability of $\sqrt{2}$ Our present notation, the radical sign appeared first in France in 1494. The trancendental number $\hat{\theta}$ was met in e forts to express the length of the circumference of a circle. Approximations for $\widehat{\pi}$ are given in the early writings of the four civilizations mentioned above: **Babylonian, Egyptian, Chinese and Mayan. The trancendence of** *'ft* **was** first proved in 1882 by F. Lindemann of Germany.

Negatlve numbers presented themselves to the late Greeks in **the solution of slgebrale equations. The Hindus in the seventh eentury were first to reeognise them as numbers. The minus sign originated with Tÿeho Brahe of Denmark in 1598.**

The operations addition and subtraction are fundamental to the system of positive integers, synonymous with counting. Multiplication **end division, though less fundamental, were recognized in the earliest writings of civilisation. The extraction of roots appeared as stated** before, among the Greek geometers. The relations "equals" and "less than^{*} are fundamental notions necessary to the system of positive **integers and granted, consciously or unconsciously, whenever numb ere» are used. As the number system expanded from positive integers to the system now in use, the various operations and relations were applied to each new branch. Their symbols, as used today, were invented in Europe in the fifteenth, sixteenth and seventeenth centuries.**

HILBERT'S AXIOLIS⁽¹⁾

Hilberts axioms for the real number system contain the undefined terms number, $f \rightarrow f$, and \sum . There are seventeen in all. No effort was made to obtain a minimum number of them so they were not mutually independent.

THEOREIS OF CONNECTION (1-12).

1. From the number a and the number b. there is obtained by "addition" a definite number g_s , which we express by writing $a + b = c$ or $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$.

2. There exists a definite number, which we call Q_p such that, for every number \underline{a}_0 we have $\underline{a} + 0 = \underline{a}$ and $0 + \underline{a} = \underline{a}_0$

3. If a and b are two given numbers, there exists one and only one number x_0 and also one and only one number y_7 such that we have respectively $a + x = b$, $y + a = b$.

4. From the number a and the number b, there may be obtained in another way, namely, by "multiplication", a definite number c, which we express by writing $ab = c$ or $c = ab$.

 $5.$ There exists a definite number, called $1.$, such that, for every number a_0 , we have $a \cdot 1 = a$ and $1 \cdot a = a_0$

6. If a and b are any arbitrarily given numbers, where a is different from Q_2 then there exists one and only one number \overline{x} and also one and only one number y such that we have respectively $ax = b$, $ya = b$.

If a, h, g are arbitrary numbers, the following laws of operation always hold:

7. $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c.$ $8. a + b = b + a$ 9. $a(bc) = (ab)c$ 10. $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ 11. $(a + b)c = ac + bc$ 12. $ab = ba.$

THEORIES OF ORDER $(13 - 16)$

13. If a, b are any two distinct numbers, one of these, say a, is always greater $\sqrt{7}$ than the other. The other number is said to be the smaller of the two. We express this relation by writing a $>$ b and b $<$ a. 14. If $a > b$ and $b > c$, then is also $a > c$. 15. If $a > b$, then is also $a + c > b + c$ and $c + a > c + b$.

16. If $a > b$ and $c > 0$, then is also ac > bo and ca > cb.

1. David Hilbert, Grundlagen

THEOREMS OF ARCHIMEDES (17)

17. If a, b are any two arbitrary numbers, such that a >0 and $b > 0$, it is always possible to add a to itself a sufficient number of times so that the resulting sum shall have the property that $a \neq a \neq a +$ $... + a > b.$

HUNTINGTON'S $_{\text{AXTOLS}}(1)$

Huntington has prepared sets of axioms for various systems. His set for the real number system consists of only fourteen which he proves are mutually independent. The set given here is for the system of real and complex numbers. This set was chosen because it offers a complete foundation for the number system of which the science of analysis treats. Huntington calls the complete number system the set of complex mumbers and classifies the real number system as a subset of the complex numbers. The set of complex numbers admits of the operations of addition and multiplication. The subset of real numbers admits of the relation of The undefined terms $Y_{\bullet} \subseteq_{\bullet} \biguplus_{\bullet} \subseteq_{\bullet} \leq$ correspond respectively to the order. complex numbers, the real numbers and the relations \pm_9 , $\overline{\chi}_9$ \leq as ordinarily understood.

DIFINITIONS

Definition 1. If there is a uniquely determined element & such that \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{z}_2 the \mathbf{g} is called the zero-element, or zero. Definition 2. If there is a unique zero-element $\underline{\mathbf{z}}$ (see definition 1), and if there is a uniquely determined element y, different from sero, and such that $u \cdot u = u$, the \underline{u} is called the unit-element, or unity. Definition 3. If there is a unique zero-element g (definition 1), and if a given element a determines uniquely an element a^* such that $a + a^* = s_0$ then \mathbf{a}^* is called the negative of \mathbf{a}_i and is denoted by $-\mathbf{a}_i$.

1. E. V. Huntington, <u>Set of Postulates for Crdinary Complex</u> Algebra,
in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 6 (1905) p. 222.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

さど。

Definition 4. If there is a unique zero-element $\boldsymbol{\underline{s}}$ and a unique unit-element y- (see definition 1 and 2), and if a given element a, different from $\frac{z_0}{z_0}$ determines uniquely an element \underline{e}^* such that $a \cdot a'' = u$, then \underline{a}'' is called the reciprocal of \underline{a}_0 and is denoted by $\underline{1/a}_0$.

AXIOMS

The first seven postulates, giving the general laws of operation in the system, are to be understood to hold only in so far as the elements, sums and products involved are elements of \underline{K} . POSTULATE I, l. $a + b = b + a$. POSTULATE \tilde{x} , 2. $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$. POSTULATE I_2 3. If $a + b = a + b$ ^{*}, then $b = b$ ^{*}. POSTULATE I_7 4. a. b = b \cdot a. POSTULATE I, 5. (a, b) . c . a . (b . e).

POSTULATE I, 6. If a . b = a . b', and a + a \neq a, then b = b'.

POSTULATE I, 7. a . (b + c) = (a · b) + (a · c).

POSTULATE I, 8. If a and h are elements of \underline{K}_0 , then a element of K . POSTULATE I, 9. There is an element \underline{x} in \underline{K} such that $x + x = x$.
POSTULATE I,10. If there is a unique zero-element \underline{s} in \underline{K} (see definition 1), then for every element a in K there is an element a' in K_a such that $a + a' = x_a$ POSTULATE I, 11. If a and h are elements of K_0 , then $a \cdot b$ is an element of K. POSTULATE I, 12. If there is a unique zero-element, $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$, in K (see definition 1), then there is an element \underline{y} in \underline{K}_2 different from \underline{z}_2 and such that $y \cdot y = y$. POSTULATE I,13. If there is a unique sero-element, \mathbf{z}_0 and a unique unity-element, u_j different from \underline{s}_j in \underline{K} (see definition $\overline{1}$ and 2), then for every element a in \underline{K}_2 provided a \neq x_2 there is an element a" in K such that $a \cdot a'' = u$. The Postulates I: 1 - 13 moke the class \underline{K} a field with respect to. and $+$. POSTULATE II, 1. If a is an element of C_0 then a is an element of K. The class C contains at least one element. POSTULATE II, 2. If a is an element of C_9 then there is an element POSTULATE II, 3. **b** in C_1 such that $a \neq b$. POCSULATE II, 4. If a and b are elements of C_9 then a + b, if it exists in \underline{K} at all, is an element of \underline{C} . POSTULATS II, 5. If a is an element of C_1 then its negative, \pm (see definition 3), if it exists in K at all is an element of C . POSTULATE II, 6. If a and b are elements of C_9 then a \overline{b}_9 if it exists in K at all, is an elament of C . POSTULATE II, 7. If a is an element of C_2 , then its reciprocal $1/a$ (see definition 4), if it exists in K at all, is an element of C .

The Postulates II: $1 - 7$, taken with the postulates I: 1 - 13,

m.ke the sub-class C_s like the class K_s a field with respect to $+$ and \cdot POSTULATE III, i. If a and b are elements of C_2 and $a \neq b_1$ then either $a < b$ or else $a > b$.
POSTULATE III, 2. If $a < b$, then $a \neq b$.

POSTULATE III, 3. If $\underline{\mathbf{a}}_1$, $\underline{\mathbf{b}}_2$ and $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$ are elements of $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_2$ and if $\underline{\mathbf{a}} \leq \underline{\mathbf{b}}$ and $b < c_2$ then $a < c_3$.

POSTULATE III, 4. If $/$ is a non-empty submiless in C_2 , and if there is an element \underline{b} in \underline{C} such that \propto b for every element \propto of \sqrt{a} . then there is an element \overline{X} in C having the following two properties with regard to the sub-class $\sqrt{ }$:

1⁰) if α is an element of β , then $\alpha < x$ or $\alpha = x$, while

if x^{\prime} is any element of C such that $x^{\prime} < X_0$ there is an 2⁰) \sum in \sum such that $\sum > \overline{x}$. element

The Postulates III: $1 - 4$ and II: $2 - 3$, taken with the redundent postulate III, 5 (which is here omitted), make the sub-class C a onedimensional continum with respect to \leq , in the sense defined by Dedekind.

POSTULATE IV, 1. If \underline{a} , \underline{x} , \underline{y} , $\underline{a + x}$, and $\underline{a + y}$ are elements of \underline{C} , and $x < y_0$ then $a + x < a + y_0$ whenever $a + x \neq a + y_0$

POSTULATE IV, 2. If \mathbf{a}_p b, and $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{h}$ are elements of C_p and $\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{s}$
and $\mathbf{b} > \mathbf{a}_p$ then $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} > \mathbf{s}$ (where \mathbf{a} is the zero-element of Definition 1).
The twenty-six postulate

equivalent to the class of all real numbers with respect to $f \cdot \cdot$ and ζ .

POSTULATE V. 1. If K is a field with respect to \pm and \pm , then there is an element i in K such that $j + j = -u_j$ where $-u$ is the negative of the unit-element of the field (see Definitions 2 and 3).

POSTULATE V, 2. If K and also C are fields with respect to \neq and f there is an element \pm such that $i + i = -u$ (see Postulate V, 1), Then for every element a in K there are elements \underline{x} and \underline{y} in \underline{C} such that $x + (i - y) = a.$

These twenty-eight postulates make the class \underline{K} equivalent to the class of all (ordinary) complex numbers with respect to $+$, $-$, and \leq .

GEOMETRY *k m* **ANALYSIS**

Asaumo that va have a complete system built up for the analysis ®f real maabers. Suppose ve define any set of three such numbers as a <u>point</u>, and the set of all sets of three numbers that satisfy an **equation of the form ax** f **by** f cx f **d** f as a plane, and the set of all sets which satisfy two such equations as **lines.** Further, suppose **we describe the relation that exists when no numbers satisfy the two equations as parallel planes, and define the set of all sets that satisfy an equation of the form** $(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 + (z - c)^2 + d = o$ **as a sphere. Ihe set of sets of numbers that satisfy both the equation for a plane and the equation for a sphere we can define as a circle. It is obvious that we can obtain a complete geometry from our analysis structure merely by definition. Furtheremore, the** operations in analysis remain valid in geometry. Linear order can be **defined in the geometry in such a way that the relations of order of analysis hold without change in geometry.**

©> construct a geometry for restricted relativity it Is only necessary to define a point as any set of four numbers. To extend the geometry to mechanics the point is defined as a set of **n** numbers.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Cajori, Florian in American Mathematical Monthly, XXIV, (1917) p. 145
- Cajori, Florian in American Mathematical Monthly, XXVI. (1919) p. 15
- Heath, T. L., The Thirteen Books of Euclid (3 vols., London, 1908), I. p. 153.
- Hedrick, E. R., Review of Hilbert's Grundlagen, in Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 9, (1903) p. 158-165.
- Hilbert, David, Grundlagen der Geometry, translation by Townsend, E. J. $(Chieago 1910)$.
- Hobson, E. W., On the Infinite and the Infinitesimal in Mathematical Analysis, in Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society. vol. XXXV (1902-1903). p. 117.
- Huntington, E. V., Set of Postulates for Crdinary Complex Algebra, in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 6, (1905) p. 222.
- Huntington, E. V., The Continum, (Cambridge, 1917)
- Lennes, N. J., Differential and Integral Calculus, (New York, 1931) $p.425 - 463.$
- Newson, M. W. in Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 2nd series, $vol_6 8$, p. 447. (1902)
- Smith, D. E., History of Mathematics, (2 vols., Boston, 1925) II.
- Soumer, J., Review of Hilbert's Grundlagen, in Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 6. (1900) p. 287-299.
- Veblen, Oswald, Axioms for Geometry in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 5 (1904), p. 346.
- Veblen and Lennes, Infinitesimal Analysis, (New York, 1907)
- Young, J. W., Fundamental Concepts of Algebra and Geometry, (New York, 1934)

 $35.$