

University of Montana

ScholarWorks at University of Montana

UM Graduate Student Research Conference (GradCon)

Apr 12th, 2:30 PM - 3:50 PM

CROSS SYSTEM RELIABILITY OF COSMED K4b2 vs. PARVO 2400 TRUE ONE METABOLIC SYSTEMS

Kyle R. Cochrane

University of Montana, kyle.cochrane@umontana.edu

Steven Gaskill

University of Montana - Missoula

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.umt.edu/gsrc>

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Cochrane, Kyle R. and Gaskill, Steven, "CROSS SYSTEM RELIABILITY OF COSMED K4b2 vs. PARVO 2400 TRUE ONE METABOLIC SYSTEMS" (2014). *UM Graduate Student Research Conference (GradCon)*. 13.
<https://scholarworks.umt.edu/gsrc/2014/posters/13>

This Poster Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in UM Graduate Student Research Conference (GradCon) by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

CROSS SYSTEM RELIABILITY OF COSMED K4b2 vs. PARVO 2400 TRUE ONE METABOLIC SYSTEMS

K.R. Cochrane, S.E. Gaskill, FACSM
University of Montana, Missoula, MT

The measure of oxygen consumption (VO_2) via indirect calorimetry is used to evaluate energy expenditure in laboratory and field settings. During the development of a new fitness test for Wildland Firefighting, we needed to compare laboratory VO_2 testing using a Parvo medics 2400 True One metabolic cart with field data collected with a Cosmed K4b2 system. The field test VO_2 data were consistently slightly higher than the lab data at identical work rates during 20 kg load carriage. **PURPOSE:** To examine the validity of the Cosmed with the Parvo metabolic system and the ACSM equations. **METHODS:** Thirty subjects (17 male; 13 female) participated in the study. Upon arrival to the lab, subjects were outfitted with a 20kg. backpack and performed a five-minute warm up at a self-selected intensity. Subjects then completed two identical five-minute steady state stages while wearing the backpack. Each stage was randomly selected for monitoring order with the Cosmed and Parvo system. Subjects walked on a treadmill at an assigned speed between 2-3.5 MPH (mean=3.1 \pm 0.4 MPH) and grade between 3-9% (mean=6.0 \pm 2.5%). VO_2 , V_e and O_2 extraction data using the Cosmed or Parvo metabolic systems and estimated VO_2 (ACSM prediction equations) were analyzed using a one-way repeated measures ANOVA or dependent t-tests (for comparisons of V_e and O_2 extraction). Significance was established at $p < 0.05$. **RESULTS:** The Cosmed measured a higher VO_2 compared to the Parvo and ACSM equations (27.5 \pm 5.7, 25.4 \pm 5.6, and 25.5 \pm 5.5 $\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$, respectively, $p < 0.05$). The V_e for Cosmed was higher compared to the Parvo (44.4 \pm 14.3 vs. 40.6 \pm 13.4 $\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$, respectively, $p < 0.05$). There was no difference between the Cosmed or Parvo for percent expired O_2 . **CONCLUSION:** Although the Cosmed VO_2 and V_e values were slightly higher than the Parvo values, the differences were small and within a reasonable range for energy expenditure estimation in a field vs. laboratory setting. We are currently validating V_e in the two systems.

CROSS SYSTEM RELIABILITY OF COSMED K4b2 vs. PARVO 2400 TRUE ONE METABOLIC SYSTEMS

During the development of a new fitness test for Wildland Firefighting, we needed to compare laboratory VO_2 testing using a Parvo medics 2400 True One metabolic cart with field data collected with a Cosmed K4b2 system. The field test VO_2 data were consistently slightly higher than the lab data at identical work rates during 20 kg load carriage. **PURPOSE:** To examine the validity of the Cosmed with the Parvo metabolic system and the ACSM equations. **METHODS:** Thirty subjects (17 male; 13 female) participated in the study. Upon arrival to the lab, subjects were outfitted with a 20kg. backpack and performed a five-minute warm up at a self-selected intensity. Subjects then completed two identical five-minute steady state stages while wearing the backpack. Each stage was randomly selected for monitoring order with the Cosmed and Parvo system. Subjects walked on a treadmill at an assigned speed between 2-3.5 MPH (mean=3.1 \pm 0.4 MPH) and grade between 3-9% (mean=6.0 \pm 2.5%). VO_2 , V_e and O_2 extraction data using the Cosmed or Parvo metabolic systems and estimated VO_2 (ACSM prediction equations) were analyzed using a one-way repeated measures ANOVA or dependent t-tests (for comparisons of V_e and O_2 extraction). Significance was established at $p < 0.05$. **RESULTS:** The Cosmed measured a higher VO_2 compared to the Parvo and ACSM equations (27.5 \pm 5.7, 25.4 \pm 5.6, and 25.5 \pm 5.5 $\text{ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$, respectively, $p < 0.05$). The V_e for Cosmed was higher compared to the Parvo (44.4 \pm 14.3 vs. 40.6 \pm 13.4 $\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$, respectively, $p < 0.05$). There was no difference between the Cosmed or Parvo for percent expired O_2 . **CONCLUSION:** Although the Cosmed VO_2 and V_e values were slightly higher than the Parvo values, the differences were small and within a reasonable range for energy expenditure estimation in a field vs. laboratory setting. We are currently validating V_e in the two systems.