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Short Communication

Royal Manas National Park, Bhutan: a hot spot for wild felids

Tshering Tempa, Mark Hebblewhite, L. Scott Mills, Tshewang R. Wangchuk, Nawang Norbu, Tenzin Wangchuk, Tshering Nidup Pema Dendup, Dorji Wangchuk, Yeshi Wangdi and Tshering Dorji

Abstract The non-uniformity of the distribution of biodiversity makes allocation of the limited resources available for conservation of biodiversity a difficult task. Approaches such as biodiversity hotspot identification, endemic bird areas, crisis ecoregions, global 200 ecoregions, and the Last of the Wild are used by scientists and international conservation agencies to prioritize conservation efforts. As part of the biodiverse Eastern Himalayan region, Bhutan has been identified as a conservation priority area by all these different approaches, yet data validating these assessments are limited. To examine whether Bhutan is a biodiversity hot spot for a key taxonomic group, we conducted camera trapping in the lower foothills of Bhutan, in Royal Manas National Park, from November 2010 to February 2011. We recorded six species of wild felids of which five are listed on the IUCN Red List: tiger Panthera tigris, golden cat Pardofelis temminckii, marbled cat Pardofelis marmorata, leopard cat Prionailurus bengalensis, clouded leopard Neofelis nebulosa and common leopard Panthera pardus. Our study area of 74 km² has c. 16% of felid species, confirming Bhutan as a biodiversity hot spot for this group.
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A practical conservation approach is to prioritize the focus of conservation efforts. Approaches that spatially prioritize biodiversity conservation such as hotspots (Myers et al., 2000), endemic bird areas (Stattersfield et al., 1998), crisis ecoregions (Hoekstra et al., 2005), global 200 ecoregion (Olson & Dinerstein, 1998) and the Last of the Wild (Sanderson et al., 2000) are used by international conservation organizations to prioritize and allocate conservation efforts. These approaches have been successful in influencing donors and funding agencies to invest in these regions (Brooks et al., 2006; Grenyer et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2006). Although each approach uses different criteria, Bhutan, as part of the biodiverse Eastern Himalayan region, has been recognized as a conservation priority area by all these five approaches (Olson & Dinerstein, 1998; Stattersfield et al., 1998; Myers et al. 2000; Hoekstra et al., 2005).

Although Bhutan is recognized as part of most biodiversity conservation priority networks, few efforts have been made to document the country’s biodiversity. Most of the existing information, particularly for mammals, is based on historical samples, anecdotal sources and sign surveys carried out in a few protected areas. These methods have detected common and large mammals but missed rare and elusive species such as most wild felids. Breakthroughs in camera-trapping technology have made it possible to study many of these rare and elusive species and the method has become commonplace for the inventory of many terrestrial species (Srbek-Araijo & Garcia, 2005; Azlang & Lading, 2006; Tobler et al., 2008), including felids and other carnivores (O’Connell et al., 2010).

In Bhutan the only previous published camera-trap study was of the tiger Panthera tigris and common leopard Panthera pardus in the central part of the country (Wang & Macdonald, 2009). Here we report the results of a camera-trap survey, with a focus on wild felids, in the lower foothills of Bhutan, in Royal Manas National Park.

A grid of 2.5 × 2.5 km cells was overlain on our study (Fig. 1) area using ArcGIS v. 9.3 (ESRI, Redlands, USA). Clusters of 30 cells were systematically sampled close to Manas base camp, taking into consideration logistic and security constraints. Within a cell we set up cameras in locations based on the presence of felid sign (mostly of tiger)
such as pug-marks, scrape marks, scent marks and scats. To maximize the probability of capturing wild felids we placed cameras along trails and river basins and beds that had the highest density of signs within a grid cell. At each station two cameras (passive infra-red Reconyx HC 500 Hyperfire; RECONYX, Inc., Wisconsin, USA) were set 6–7 m apart at a height of 45 cm, to photograph both flanks of any passing animal (Karanth, 1995). Camera sensitivity was set very high, to record all species. The first camera trap was established on 7 November 2010 and the last on 17 November 2010, and we used data from 17 November 2010 to 12 February 2011. Camera traps were monitored twice per month, whenever possible, to replace batteries and renew camera memory cards.

Images were classified into independent events based on several criteria. If the same animal was captured multiple times within 1 minute then it was classified as a single event. If two or more animals were captured in a single image, all animals were considered independent events. For tigers, common and clouded leopards Neofelis nebulosa we identified individuals based on stripe patterns on flanks, head, tail and limbs. For all wild felids we calculated photographic rates as number of independent events divided by total trap nights (Carbone et al., 2001; Rovero & Marshall, 2009).

From a total of 2,036 trap nights we confirmed the presence of six species of wild felids (Table 1, Supplementary Plate S1) and 28 other species of terrestrial mammals (Supplementary Table S1, Plate S2) in our study area of 74 km². Of the six species, the common leopard was captured by almost all camera stations and had the highest photographic capture rate (Table 1). Of the smaller felids, leopard cat was the most common. Marbled cat Pardofelis marmorata and Asiatic golden cat Pardofelis temminckii were the least common. Although, our study was not designed for estimating abundance, we detected 10 individual tigers, 20 individual common leopards and seven individual clouded leopards.

**TABLE 1** The six species of wild felid photographed by camera trap (Supplementary Plate S1) in Royal Manas National Park (Fig. 1), with their Red List category (IUCN, 2012), number of photographic events, photographic rates (number of independent events divided by total trap nights) and number of days required for one event, ordered by photographic rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Red List category*</th>
<th>Number of events</th>
<th>Photographic rate</th>
<th>No. of days required for 1 event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leopard Panthera pardus</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leopard cat Prionailurus bengalensis</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiger Panthera tigris</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clouded leopard Neofelis nebulosa</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>113.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asiatic golden cat Pardofelis temminckii</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>678.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marbled cat Pardofelis marmorata</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>678.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LC, Least Concern; NT, Near Threatened; VU, Vulnerable; EN, Endangered
Our study indicates that Royal Manas National Park is a hotspot of wild felid diversity. Comparable wild felid diversity has been documented in the nearby Jeypore-Dehing rainforest in the north-eastern Indian state of Assam (CEPF, 2010) and from the Yungas biosphere of Argentina (Di Bittetti et al., 2011). Both of these studies were conducted in much larger areas (500 km² in India, > 1,000 km² in Argentina). We consider that the six species of felid detected in the Park is a minimum as we also expect that at least two other species, the jungle cat Felis chaus and fishing cat Prionailurus viverrinus, inhabit the area because they are known to be in Manas National Park, India, which borders our study area (Roy, 1992). A camera-trap survey in Manas National Park in India from November 2010 to February 2011 reported five species of felids, including the jungle cat (Borah et al., 2012). Three months after our survey a jungle cat was captured just west of our study area within the Royal Manas National Park. We may not have detected the fishing cat because we did not place camera trap near their typical riverine habitat.

Conservation organizations and institutions have to allocate their resources to areas where conservation impact can be maximized in terms of number of species conserved per unit cost (Wilson et al., 2006). Our results show that Royal Manas National Park is a diverse hotspot for wild felids. If the objective of conservation is to save the greatest number of species from extinction, then focusing conservation efforts and resources in areas such as Manas will be most effective in meeting conservation objectives.
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