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Vestimentiferan tubeworms thriving in sulfidic deep-sea hydrothermal vents and cold seeps are constrained by their nutritional reliance on chemosynthetic endosymbionts. In a recent phylogenetic study using 16S ribosomal DNA, we found that endosymbionts from vent and seep habitats form two distinct clades with little variation within each clade. In the present study, we used two different approaches to assess the genetic variation among biogeographically distinct vestimentiferan symbionts. DNA sequences were obtained for the noncoding, internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions of the rRNA operons of symbionts associated with five different genera of vestimentiferan tubeworms. ITS sequences from endosymbionts of host genera collected from different habitats and widely distributed vent sites were surprisingly conserved. Because the ITS region was not sufficient for distinguishing endosymbionts from different habitats or locations, we used a DNA fingerprinting technique, repetitive-extragenic-palindrome PCR (REP-PCR), to reveal differences in the distribution of repetitive sequences in the genomes of the bacterial endosymbionts. Most of the endosymbionts displayed unique REP-PCR patterns. A cladogram generated from these fingerprints reflected relationships that may be influenced by a variety of factors, including host genera, geographic location, and bottom type.

Invertebrates that are endemic to the highly sulfidic, reducing environments at deep-sea hydrothermal vents and cold seeps are commonly associated with chemosynthetic endosymbiotic bacteria (7, 18, 19). These bacteria oxidize the reduced sulfur compounds that are abundant in hydrothermal fluid (8, 36, 46). The resultant energy produced by the endosymbiont is coupled to the production of carbon sources that support the growth and maintenance of the invertebrate hosts (6, 8, 37, 48). The metabolic link between the invertebrate hosts and their endosymbionts has obvious implications for the dispersal and colonization strategies employed by the host organisms.

Vest endemic host organisms may employ one of three different mechanisms for transmission of their endosymbionts to the next generation. Previous studies have shown that the Vesicomyid bivalves form species-specific associations with endosymbiotic bacteria (12, 13, 16). Host specificity is maintained in these associations by vertical transmission of the bacteria through the egg from the parent to the offspring (3, 35). The endosymbiont and host phylogenies are congruent, which is consistent with a vertical mode of symbiont transmission (3, 4, 12, 35). In contrast, results from several studies suggest that endosymbiont transmission in vestimentiferan tubeworms occurs horizontally (5, 17), possibly through ingestion of bacteria upon larval settlement (28, 42). It is also possible that horizontal transmission in vestimentiferan-bacterial symbioses could occur through infection of new recruits with bacteria previously associated with established congeners (20), although potential mechanisms for this transfer have not been determined. If horizontal transmission is indeed the mechanism for bacterial acquisition in vestimentiferans, we expect that biogeographic variation would exist among symbionts acquired from the same host species collected in geographically isolated vent and seep sites. Conversely, if vestimentiferan larvae settle in the same locations where their symbionts are acquired, we expect that vestimentiferans living at the same site would harbor identical symbionts.

In a recent study, genetic variation in vestimentiferan endosymbionts was investigated by comparing sequences of the 16S rRNA genes of bacteria collected from various species of hydrothermal vent and cold seep tubeworms (20). The results showed a marked phylogenetic distinction between vestimentiferan endosymbionts collected from hydrothermal vents and soft-bottom, cold seep environments. This study suggested that vestimentiferans acquire one of two distinct species of free-living bacteria depending on whether they settle on basaltic, hydrothermal vent sites or sedimneted, cold seep sites. A third, unique bacterial species was discovered in a tubeworm that inhabited a sedimented whale fall. Significant genetic variation was not found among symbionts found within each of the habitat types. Symbionts from three different host genera collected from five different hydrothermal vent sites along the East Pacific Rise (EPR) had identical 16S rRNA gene sequences.

The observation of so little sequence variation among endosymbiont 16S rRNA genes suggests that all tubeworm endosymbionts may belong to a single species. However, the conservative nature of the 16S rRNA gene often renders it inadequate for distinguishing among conspecific strains of bacteria (50). Therefore, it is not surprising that Feldman et al. (20) did not find significant genetic variation within the habitat clades. It is possible that significant genetic variation exists...
between endosymbionts of geographically distributed vestimentiferan hosts but that these species- or strain-level differences have not been detected by approaches that have been employed to date.

The goal of our study was to resolve the question of genetic variation among widely distributed vestimentiferan endosymbionts by utilizing two molecular techniques that have historically proven useful for comparing closely related strains of bacteria. Our first approach entailed sequencing of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, a noncoding region within the rRNA that often contains genetic variation sufficient for differentiating species of prokaryotes (2). Our second approach involved using a DNA fingerprinting technique that utilizes repetitive extragenic palindromes (REPs) as priming sites to generate diagnostic banding patterns from prokaryotic genomic DNA (25, 45). The REP-PCR technique is particularly useful for investigations of bacterial symbioses because REP sequences have been found only in bacterial DNA; phage and eukaryotes do not contain REP elements (25). By virtue of these repetitive elements, symbiont DNA can be selectively amplified from a mixed population of symbiont and host DNA to allow analysis of bacterial population diversity. Our results provide information on the extent of genetic variation among the symbionts, as well as further evidence to support a horizontal mode of symbiont acquisition among the vestimentiferan tubeworms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection. Vestimentiferans were collected with the aid of submersibles from several locations, including two sites in the Western Pacific Ocean, four sites along the EPR, two sites in the Pacific Northwest, one site along the Galapagos Rift (GR), two sites along the western coast of California and Mexico, and two locations in the Gulf of Mexico (GOM) (Table 1). Samples included in this study were chosen to represent the entire range of host vestimentiferan distribution. Habitat types included hydrothermal vent sites with a basaltic substrate, cold seep habitats with a sedimented bottom, and one sedimented site associated with a whale carcass (Table 1). All samples were carried to the surface in chilled seawater (0.5 to 2°C).

DNA extraction. The symbiont-containing trophosome tissue from each vestimentiferan host was aseptically removed, and a small portion of it was homogenized in 5 M guanidinium isothiocyanate. Prior to DNA extraction, 25 μl of a 20% Chelex 100 (47) solution was added to the homogenate and incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The samples were briefly spun to remove the Chelex 100. Total bacterial DNA was extracted from 100 μl of guanidinium isothiocyanate homogenate using the IsoQuick DNA purification kit (Orca, Bothell, Wash.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and quantified spectrophotometrically.

In addition to symbiont DNA, host DNA from three different genera was extracted from vestimentiferan tissue (non-symbiont-containing tissue) using the same extraction protocol to serve as controls. A number of the DNA samples used in this study (e.g., 18°S Riftia pachyptila, Guaymas Vent and Seep [GY-V and GY-S, respectively] Escarpia spicata, whale E. spicata, Gorda Lamellibrachia sp., 21°N Oasia alvinae, and GY-V R. pachyptila) were prepared separately at Rutgers University according to previously published protocols (20).

16S rRNA gene characterization. The methods used to PCR amplify, purify, and sequence the 16S rRNA symbiont genes were as described in the work of Feldman et al. (20). DNA sequence alignments were initially constructed using Pileup and then optimized (minimizing overall alignment differences) by eye in the SeqLab environment (Genetics Computing Group). Insertions and deletions were eliminated from the alignment before phylogenetic trees were determined. Phylogenetic trees for the symbiont 16S rRNA genes were computed using fastDNAm analysis (21, 34). For the maximum likelihood analyses, operational taxonomic unit input order was randomized and global rearrangements were performed at each bootstrap replications.

ITS region characterization. PCR amplification, cloning, and sequencing were performed on ITS transcripts using two ITS-specific primers (ITS16F-G1 and ITS23R-L1) and the methods used to PCR amplify, purify, and sequence the 16S rRNA genes were as described in the work of Feldman et al. (20). DNA sequence alignments were initially constructed using Pileup and then optimized (minimizing overall alignment differences) by eye in the SeqLab environment (Genetics Computing Group). Insertions and deletions were eliminated from the alignment before phylogenetic trees were determined. Phylogenetic trees for the symbiont ITS rRNA genes were computed using fastDNAm analysis (21, 34). For the maximum likelihood analyses, operational taxonomic unit input order was randomized and global rearrangements were performed at each bootstrap replications.

* See the work of Feldman et al. (20) for a map of the sample distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host species</th>
<th>Collection site</th>
<th>Latitude; longitude</th>
<th>Substrate, community type</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. pachyptila</td>
<td>18°S, EPR (18S)</td>
<td>18°36'S; 113°24'W</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>2,637</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. pachyptila</td>
<td>9°N, EPR (9N)</td>
<td>9°49'N; 104°17'W</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>2,516</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. pachyptila</td>
<td>Guaymas Basin vent (GY-V)</td>
<td>26°59'N; 111°24'W</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>2,016</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. pachyptila</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>6°48'N; 80°13'N</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>2,451</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. pachyptila</td>
<td>13°N, EPR (13N)</td>
<td>12°49'N; 103°56'W</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>2,630</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oasia alvinae</td>
<td>21°N, EPR (21N)</td>
<td>20°47'N; 109°08'W</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>2,577</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. jerichonana</td>
<td>13°N, EPR (13N)</td>
<td>12°49'N; 103°56'W</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>2,630</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. jerichonana</td>
<td>9°N, EPR (9N)</td>
<td>9°49'N; 104°17'W</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>2,516</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgea piscesae</td>
<td>JDF</td>
<td>45°58'N; 130°01'W</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamellibrachia sp.</td>
<td>Green Canyon, GOM</td>
<td>27°41'N; 91°32'W</td>
<td>Sedimented, seep</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamellibrachia sp.</td>
<td>Gorda Ridge (Gorda)</td>
<td>42°45'N; 126°42'W</td>
<td>Sedimented, seep</td>
<td>2,847</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. laminata</td>
<td>WFE</td>
<td>26°02'N; 84°55'W</td>
<td>Sedimented, seep</td>
<td>3,243</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. spicata</td>
<td>Santa Catalina Basin (whale)</td>
<td>33°12'N; 118°30'W</td>
<td>Sedimented, whale fall</td>
<td>1,240</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. spicata</td>
<td>Guaymas Basin vent (GY-V)</td>
<td>26°59'N; 111°24'W</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent</td>
<td>2,016</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. spicata</td>
<td>Guaymas Basin seep (GY-S)</td>
<td>26°59'N; 111°24'W</td>
<td>Sedimented, seep</td>
<td>2,016</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. columna</td>
<td>Lau Basin, Hine Hina (Lau-Fiji)</td>
<td>22°32'N; 170°43'W</td>
<td>Sedimented, seep</td>
<td>1,859</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undescribed species</td>
<td>Nikko Scamoumt (Nikko)</td>
<td>23°05'N; 142°20'E</td>
<td>Basaltic, vent?</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See the work of Feldman et al. (20) for a map of the sample distribution.
Bootstrap values of method with 100 bootstrap replicates. Each number on a branch indicates the number of times (out of 100) that the node was supported by the bootstrap analysis. Only Lamellibrachia Thiobacillus hydrothermalis and final concentrations of 1.3 Tris-HCl, 6.7 mM MgCl₂, 6.7 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 67 mM KCl, 160 μM mercaptoethanol (29), 160 μg of DNA-grade bovine serum albumin per ml, 10% dimethyl sulfoxide, 1.25 mM (each) four deoxynucleoside triphosphates, 10 pmol of each REP primer, 50 ng of symbiont or host DNA and inhibition of the DNA samples used in our ITS and REP-PCR analyses were amplified from each of the vestimentiferan symbionts with PCR using two universal REP primers, REPIR-I (5' ICGICTTATCIGGCCTAC-3') (45) and REP2-I (5'-ICGICTTATCIGGCCTAC-3') (44). Each 25-μl REP-PCR mixture contained 50 ng of symbiont or host DNA and final concentrations of 1X Gitschier buffer [16.6 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 67 mM Tris-HCl, 6.7 mM MgCl₂, 6.7 mM EDTA, 30 mM β-mercaptoethanol (29)], 160 μg of DNA-grade bovine serum albumin per ml, 10% dimethyl sulfoxide, 1.25 mM (each) four deoxynucleoside triphosphates, 10 pmol of each REP primer, and 2 U of Taq polymerase (Gibco). One cycle of 95°C for 7 min was performed to denature the genomic DNA, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 44°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 8 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 15 min. PCR products were performed with an MJ-Minicycler (MJ Research, Inc.). Reaction products (7.5 μl) were analyzed via agarose gel electrophoresis. A digital image of each gel was captured and stored using the Alpha Imager 2000 Documentation and Analysis System (Alphalnotech Corp., San Leandro, Calif.). Each gel image was analyzed using the software package GelCompar (version 4; Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). This software was used to normalize the molecular weight of each of the bands in each gel to all of the others based on the inclusion of the same set of molecular weight markers on each gel. Once normalized, all of the fingerprints in the database were compared to each other using an unweighted-pair-group-method-with-averages clustering algorithm and Jaccard coefficient.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. GenBank accession numbers for the new ITS sequences reported in this paper are as follows: AF076795, Ridgella piscesae endosymbiont, West Florida Escarpment (WFE); AF076797, Lamellibrachia sp. endosymbiont, GOM-10; AF076799, E. spicata endosymbiont, GY-S; AF076799, R. pachyptila endosymbiont, GY-V; AF076800, T. jerichonana endosymbiont, 9N; AF076801, R. pachyptila endosymbiont, 9N; AF076802, T. jerichonana endosymbiont, 13N; AF076803, R. pachyptila endosymbiont, 13N; AF076804, Lamellibrachia sp. endosymbiont, Gorda; AF076805, R. pachyptila endosymbiont, GR; AF076806, R. pachyptila endosymbiont, 18S; AF076807, O. alvinae endosymbiont, 21N; AF076808, E. spicata endosymbiont, whale; AF076809, undescribed species endosymbiont, Nikko Seamount; AF076810, L. columna endosymbiont, GY-V; AF076811, Lamellibrachia sp. endosymbiont, GOM-12; AF076812, E. spicata endosymbiont, GY-V; AF076813, Lucina floridana endosymbiont. Accession numbers for the three 16S rRNA sequences generated for this study are as follows: AF165909, E. spicata endosymbiont, GY-V; AF165907, undescribed species endosymbiont, Nikko Seamount; and AF165908, E. spicata endosymbiont, GY-S.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

16S rDNA sequence variation among vestimentiferan endosymbionts. In order to maintain a comparable data set, many of the endosymbiont DNA samples used in our ITS and REP-PCR analyses are the same DNA samples used in the previous 16S rDNA study of geographically distinct endosymbionts (20). Our study also includes additional samples to expand the representation of symbiont distribution. In concordance with our expanded data set, a new 16S rDNA phylogenetic tree was generated to include three of the endosymbionts that were added: GY-V E. spicata, GY-S E. spicata, and the undescribed species from the Nikko Seamount (Fig. 1A). In this new analysis of 16S rDNA sequences, the symbionts continue to cluster into two main groups: group I includes all of the symbionts from seep- or sediment-dwelling host organisms, while group II includes all of the symbionts from vent-dwelling host fauna. These relationships are in accordance with our previous findings (20). Interestingly, the difference between vent and seep
symbionts is maintained between symbionts from the same host, *E. spicata*, collected from vent (GY-V) and seep (GY-S) habitats at a single site in Guaymas Basin. Likewise, symbionts from two different vestimentiferan hosts are closely related when collected from the same habitat type (e.g., 9N *R. pachyptila* and *T. jerichonana* symbionts, both from vent habitats).

### ITS sequence variation among vestimentiferan symbionts.

ITS amplifications of vestimentiferan symbionts were generally 550 bp in length, although some individuals yielded a second, larger PCR product of roughly 800 bp in length (data not shown). The larger amplicon did not match any ITS sequences in the database, and we found that its intensity in the total PCR product was reduced by the use of higher annealing temperatures. For these reasons, we believe that the larger product represented an artifact of the PCR rather than rRNA operon heterogeneity. To separate these two distinct amplicons, we cloned the ITS PCR amplification products and used only the smaller amplicon from each symbiont for sequence analysis. As in many bacteria, all of the endosymbiont ITS regions contained putative sequences for the tRNA genes for alanine and isoleucine (Fig. 2). Five of the symbionts contained prominent insertion sequences (approximately base position 312 in ITS region) that totaled approximately 50 bp (Fig. 2).

ITS sequences of endosymbionts collected from several vestimentiferan host genera were compared phylogenetically using the corresponding ITS sequence of the symbiont of the lucinid bivalve *L. floridana* as an outgroup. A similarity matrix of ITS sequences was constructed based upon a Kimura two-parameter distance estimate. Genetic distances were calculated based on 500 bp of aligned sequences after the nucleotide insertions and deletions were removed. The sequences were surprisingly conserved overall, with percent sequence similarity ranging from 87.0 to 100% (data not shown). The symbionts from *R. pachyptila* hosts collected from Guaymas Basin, 9°N, and 18°S displayed 100% sequence similarity.

Symbiont relationships were depicted as a cladogram based upon ITS sequence diversity (Fig. 1B). Because of the high similarity among the ITS sequences, many of the bootstrap values at branch points in the neighbor-joining analysis were low or insignificant. Only bootstrap values of ≥50% were reported. The ITS cladogram showed a prominent break within the symbionts: group I included the symbionts of *E. spicata* from the whale carcass, *L. columna* from the Lau-Fiji basin, the undescribed species from a vent site at the Nikko Seamount, one of the two *Lamellibrachia* spp. collected from a seep site in the GOM (GOM-12), and *E. laminata* from the seep site at Guaymas Basin; group II included the rest of the symbionts (Fig. 1B). Apart from differing in sequence from the members of group II, the symbionts in group I contained prominent insertion sequences that totaled approximately 50 bp (Fig. 2). The sequences of these insertions were nearly identical in all of the symbionts in which they were found. Groups I and II in the 16S rDNA cladogram (Fig. 1A) correlate well with groups I and II in the ITS cladogram (Fig. 1B), with one exception: *E. laminata* symbiont, WFE, appears in group I according to its 16S rDNA sequence and in group II according to its ITS sequence (Fig. 1).

To determine if there was a significant correlation between ITS sequence diversity and either geography or habitat type, we performed two- and three-way Mantel tests to compare distance matrices generated from pairwise differences between ITS sequences, geographic distances, or habitat types. The

---

**FIG. 2.** Alignment of a portion of the ITS region (bp 240 to 480). Putative sequences for the tRNA genes for alanine and isoleucine are indicated by the boxes. A 50-bp insertion that is present in five of the sequences is highlighted by boldface. Identical bases are indicated by dots, while gaps in the sequence alignment corresponding to insertions or deletions are indicated with dashes. *Lamellibrachia, Lamellibrachia.*
results of these Mantel tests are shown in Table 2. Despite the remarkable similarity among the ITS sequences, the genetic distances among ITS regions correlate significantly with geographic distances. Although none of the correlations were particularly strong ($r \leq -0.334$ for all tests), $P$ values from two different Mantel tests indicated that ITS sequence similarity and geography were significantly correlated ($P = 0.0439$, test 3; $P = 0.0480$, test 4). In contrast, $P$ values for a two-way Mantel test of ITS sequence similarity versus habitat type alone (test 1) or a three-way test versus habitat type with geography factored out (test 5) were not statistically significant ($P = 0.146$ and 0.102, respectively). Thus, ITS sequence similarity was significantly correlated with broad-scale endosymbiont geography ($P \leq 0.05$) but not significantly correlated with habitat type. In light of the fact that the ITS sequences for 9°N, 18°S, and Guaymas R. pachyptila were identical, our results suggest that the ITS region is variable enough to show broad-scale biogeographic variation but is not variable enough to distinguish among symbionts located along the same ridge axis. These results are in contrast to the results of Feldman et al. (20) and the new analysis of 16S rDNA relationships presented in this study, which suggest that symbiont sequence distances correlate with habitat type (basaltic, vent versus sedimented, seep substrates). Although our data suggest that the geographic distribution of the hosts may be a dominant influence in determining genetic variation of the endosymbionts, it is clear that several factors affect endosymbiont diversity.

Historically, the ITS region has been useful for discriminating among strains of several bacterial species, including a hyperthermophilic archaeon (11), Rhizobium sp. (30), Bifidobacterium sp. (31), infectious Pseudomonas sp. (43), and Trichodesmium sp. (49). In the present study, we found that the ITS region was much more conserved than expected. Although the ITS region is usually very informative at the subspecies and/or strain level (11, 30, 31, 49), it has been unreliable in some cases. In studies of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (23) and with several Listeria isolates (15), the ITS region failed to differentiate between species and/or strains.

**REP-PCR fingerprints of the vestimentiferan symbionts.**

The REP-PCR technique is particularly well suited for investigations of bacterial symbiosis, in that it allows investigators to survey the symbiont genome in the presence of host DNA because the REP priming sites are exclusively bacterial (25). To confirm that REP sequences were not present in the genomes of the vestimentiferan hosts, we performed REP-PCR amplifications on DNA that was aseptically acquired from vestimentum (non-symbiont-containing) tissue from three different host genera (Escarpia, Riftia, and Tevnia). Most of these samples failed to amplify at all (data not shown). The exception was E. laminata, which produced a single band that did not correspond with any of the bands seen in the REP fingerprint of its endosymbiont.

Fingerprint patterns of the vestimentiferan endosymbiont DNA displayed remarkable variation (Fig. 3). Relationships based upon the number of shared bands among the fingerprint patterns were compared using GelCompar software and depicted as a cladogram with a corresponding, computer-generated gel image (Fig. 4). All of the symbionts included in the REP analysis displayed unique fingerprints, with the exception of 9°N R. pachyptila, and GR R. pachyptila, which exhibited indistinguishable patterns (Fig. 3 and 4). The REP analysis seems to divide the symbionts into four distinct groups, or clades (Fig. 4). Group I includes both Lamellibrachia symbionts from the GOM and the symbiont from Ridgea piscesae from the JDF. Group II includes the R. pachyptila symbionts and the symbiont from T. jerichonana. Group III includes all of the Escarpia symbionts, from both E. spicata and E. laminata. Group IV includes the endosymbionts from the undescribed species at Nikko Seamount and L. columna from the Lau-Fiji Basin, two distantly located sites in the western Pacific Ocean.

Upon closer examination, the REP analysis seems to reflect three different influences on endosymbiont differentiation: host genus, bottom or substrate type, and geography. Host genus seems to be the primary source of differentiation, as most of the clades break up into distinct groupings of congeners. The only clade where this breaks down is group II, which includes symbionts from Riftia and Tevnia host genera. This clade, however, supports previous studies using DNA-DNA hybridization and in situ hybridization that suggest that the endosymbionts of Riftia and Tevnia are closely related (5, 17).

The secondary influences for symbiont genetic differentiation seem to be geographic location and substrate type. Within clades of congeners, it appears that symbionts differ based upon where they are collected and/or the habitat type (basaltic or sedimented). In group II, all of the R. pachyptila symbionts collected from the hosts at northern EPR sites (i.e. GR, 9N, and GR) are less closely related to the R. pachyptila symbiont collected from a site 18°S along the southern EPR. Within the Escarpia symbiont clade (group III), both geographic location and substrate type seem to be at work: symbionts collected from hosts at the whale fall in the Santa Catalina Basin (whale), Guaymas Basin seep (GY-S), and WFE, all soft-bot-
tom substrate types, clade together and group away from the symbionts collected from the Guaymas Basin vent (GY-V). Within the soft-bottom grouping of *Escarpia* symbionts, it appears that the symbionts from California and Guaymas (both on the western coast of North America) group away from the symbiont from Florida (on the eastern coast). The symbionts from the Nikko Seamount and Lau-Fiji Basin are clearly different from the rest of the symbionts, presumably because they are so geographically removed from the rest of the collection sites.

REP-PCR has been useful for genomic fingerprinting of various strains of bacteria, including *Actinobacillus* (1), *Rhizobium* (10, 30), *Legionella* (24), *Streptococcus* (44), *Bacillus* (26), and *Citrobacter diversus* (51) strains. In the present study, we found that the REP-PCR fingerprint analysis was sufficiently fine-scaled to reveal some interesting strain-level genetic variation among vestimentiferan symbionts. Presumably, this is because the REP analysis targets the whole genome, rather than a specific, relatively conservative region of the DNA. This physically maximizes the probability of finding strain-level variation. It should be noted that the symbiont of *O. alvinae* was not included in the REP analysis, because a reliable REP-PCR amplification and subsequent fingerprint pattern were not obtainable with this DNA. When the DNA was run on an agarose gel, it appeared sheared, and although it was sufficiently large enough for performance of the 550-bp ITS amplification, there was insufficient high-molecular-weight genomic DNA to support the REP analysis.

**Implications for symbiont relatedness and acquisition.** Previous studies have suggested that vestimentiferans acquire their symbionts through ingestion of free-living bacteria upon larval settlement (28, 42). If this mechanism alone is employed, one would expect that symbionts from two different vestimentiferan host species living at the same location would be identical or related more closely to each other than they are to other endosymbionts collected from distant vent sites. This, in fact, was not the case, as symbionts from 9°N *T. jerichonana* and 9°N *R. pachyptila* (both collected from the same rock) did not display identical ITS sequences or REP-PCR fingerprints. Instead, the symbionts grouped according to their respective host species as revealed through comparisons of shared bands in their REP-PCR fingerprints.

One explanation for this is that larvae could acquire their symbionts prior to attachment, either from a different substrate than where they eventually colonize or from the water column. This could enable two vestimentiferans that reside in the same site to harbor different symbionts. Alternatively, symbiont acquisition may be related to ecological succession. *T. jerichonana* is the first colonizer of new hydrothermal vent fields, in areas of the most intense diffuse flow (40). Presumably, this is because *T. jerichonana* is more tolerant (than *R. pachyptila*) of the high temperatures and elevated concentrations of H₂S that are present in newly formed vent sites. It is only after *T. jerichonana* has become established and the levels of reduced chemicals have attenuated that *R. pachyptila* begins to colonize a new hydrothermal vent site (40). The *T. jerichonana* and *R. pachyptila* hosts may simply acquire a different subset of a free-living chemosynthetic community due to temporal and/ or spatial consequences of ecological succession.

Specific host recognition mechanisms may exist that allow certain strains of endosymbiotic bacteria to survive in specific vestimentiferan hosts. Although these mechanisms have not been demonstrated in vestimentiferans, they could explain how two different hosts living on the same rock could harbor different symbionts. Alternatively, the larvae may acquire bacteria that have been released from congeners residing at the site of larval settlement, potentially through host decomposition. These mechanisms might yield REP-PCR fingerprint patterns that imply close relationships between endosymbionts of the same host genus. Because only one individual from each vestimentiferan genus was sampled from most of the locations, we cannot determine the extent of ITS sequence variation among endosymbionts at each site.

The extent of vestimentiferan symbiont relatedness and the host transmission mechanisms used to maintain these symbioses have remained ongoing questions. The results presented here demonstrate the existence of significant strain-level variation between endosymbionts of different vestimentiferan hosts collected from geographically separated areas. Further work to pinpoint the stage during vestimentiferan development when the symbiosis is first established will shed light on symbiont transmission and help to explain the genetic diversity among symbiont populations.
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