

University of Montana

ScholarWorks at University of Montana

Interscholastic Meet Announcements and
Programs, 1904-1966

University of Montana Publications

8-1928

Montana Public High School Debating League Announcement, 1928-1929

State University of Montana (Missoula, Mont.). Interscholastic Committee

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umt.edu/interscholastic_meet_publications

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

State University of Montana (Missoula, Mont.). Interscholastic Committee, "Montana Public High School Debating League Announcement, 1928-1929" (1928). *Interscholastic Meet Announcements and Programs, 1904-1966*. 137.

https://scholarworks.umt.edu/interscholastic_meet_publications/137

This Announcement is brought to you for free and open access by the University of Montana Publications at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in Interscholastic Meet Announcements and Programs, 1904-1966 by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

op. 2


archives

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA BULLETIN

STATE UNIVERSITY SERIES

NUMBER 299

MONTANA PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DEBATING LEAGUE



ANNOUNCEMENT 1928-1929

Plan to attend the Christmas meeting of the league in Helena
at the time of the State Teachers' Meeting.



MISSOULA, MONTANA
August, 1928

Published monthly at Missoula, Montana. Entered as second-class matter
at the postoffice at Missoula, Montana, under Act of Congress Aug. 24, 1912.



THE UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA

MELVIN A. BRANNON, Chancellor of the University

The University of Montana is constituted under the provisions of Chapter 92 of the Laws of the Thirteenth Legislative Assembly, approved March 14, 1913 (effective July 1, 1913).

The general control and supervision of the University are vested in the State Board of Education. The Chancellor of the University is the chief executive officer. For each of the component institutions there is a local executive board.

Montana State Board of Education

JOHN E. ERICKSON, Governor	Ex-Officio President
L. A. FOOT, Attorney General	Ex-Officio
MAY TRUWPER, Supt. of Public Instruction.....	Ex-Officio Secretary
W. B. RHOADES..... (1928)	W. M. BICKFORD..... (1930)
W. S. DAVIDSON..... (1928)	JAMES H. ROWE..... (1930)
JOHN DIETRICH..... (1929)	JOHN HURLEY..... (1931)
FRANK ELIEL..... (1929)	W. M. JOHNSTON..... (1931)

The University comprises the following institutions, schools and departments:

The State University, Missoula

Established February 17, 1892, and consisting of

The College of Arts and Sciences	The School of Business Administration
The School of Law	The Summer Session
The School of Pharmacy	The Biological Station
The School of Forestry	(Flathead Lake)
The School of Journalism	The Public Service Division
The School of Music	The Graduate Division

Charles H. Clapp, President

The State College, Bozeman

Established February 16, 1893, and consisting of

The College of Agriculture	The School of Music
The College of Engineering	The Secondary School of Agriculture
The College of Applied Science	The Agricultural Experiment Station
The College of Household and Industrial Arts	The Agricultural Extension Service

Alfred Atkinson, President

The State School of Mines, Butte

Established February 17, 1893, and consisting of

The Course in Mining Engineering	The Course in Metallurgical Engineering
The Bureau of Mines and Metallurgy	
George W. Craven, President	

The State Normal College, Dillon

Established February 23, 1893, and consisting of

The Two-years Course	The Summer Quarter
The Teachers' Service Division	
Sheldon E. Davis, President	

The Eastern Montana Normal School, Billings

Established March 12, 1925, and consisting of

The Two-years Course	The Summer Quarter
Lynn B. McMullen, President	

For publications and detailed information concerning the different schools and colleges address the president of the particular institution concerned. Communications intended for the Chancellor of the University should be addressed to the State Capitol, Helena, Montana.

Montana Public High School
Debating League

OFFICIAL ENTRY BLANK

The..... High School
hereby applies for membership in the MONTANA PUBLIC
HIGH SCHOOL DEBATING LEAGUE for the year 1928-
1929.

Enclosed is { draft
check for two dollars and fifty cents
postal order

(\$2.50) in payment of the membership fee.*

.....
Principal.

Notice—This blank must be mailed before October 17, 1928

*Increase of membership fee was voted by the league at the
May, 1927, meeting in Missoula.

Montana Public High School Debating League Summary of Provisions

GENERAL PROVISIONS

- I. All accredited four-year public high schools are eligible for membership in the Montana Public High School Debating League.
- II. Applications for membership in the League must be mailed to the President by October 15th. The membership fee is \$2.50.
- III. The postcard vote by League members in November, 1921, favored one official question for both district and state contest.
- IV. Other matters pertaining to the conduct of the League will be found noted in the Constitution.

PROVISIONS FOR LOCAL DEBATES

At the annual meeting May 9th, 1924, the office of district director was established to secure more local control. It will be the duty of the district director, with the cooperation of the principals of the schools in his district and, if necessary, of the League president, to arrange all pairings and schedules in his district. The League president will appoint the directors after the entries for the debating year are made.

There will probably be twelve or sixteen districts this year. These districts will be grouped into four sections, each under the supervision of a sectional supervisor. His duties will be to arrange the inter-district debates in his section. The four sectional champions will come to Missoula for the finals in May, 1929.

PROVISIONS FOR THE FINAL DEBATE

(Adopted May 13, 1921, and amended May 9, 1924, and December 28, 1926).


I. The four winning inter-district teams will be sent to Missoula in Interscholastic week for the final debate. These teams coming to Missoula will consist of two men each. These teams will be paired by lot on the day of the contest and given sides of the question. The debaters are not to confer with their coaches after the pairing off.

II. The four teams will debate in one evening and the judges will select from the four competing teams, the one as winner which does the best debating work as a team, and the individual speaker who ranks highest.

III. Each speaker in the final contest will be limited to ten minutes for constructive debate and six minutes for rebuttal.

IV. The second set of teams shall not hear those that debate before them.

V. The Interscholastic Committee of the University will pay the railroad fare to Missoula and return (meals and sleeper not included) for a total of three contestants (whether in declamation, athletics, or debate) from any school whose debate team having won an inter-district debate, competes in the interscholastic debate at Missoula.



Prizes and Awards

Prizes and awards are annually given to the winners in the final state contest.

1. A souvenir cup, offered by the University of Montana, will be awarded to the winner of the first place in the final debate contest. The high school winning the cup shall hold it until the time set for the next regular final contest, at which time the cup shall be returned to the University, so that it may be given to the high school that next wins the state championship. Any school winning the cup three times shall become the permanent owner of it.

2. A gold medal, given for former Governor Joseph M. Dixon, will be awarded to the best debater in the final contest.

3. A gold medal, given by the University, will be awarded for the best essay written by a member of the graduating class of the accredited state high schools.

4. A scholarship in the State University, given by John M. Keith, amounting to \$100, will be awarded to one of the high school debaters participating in the final contest of the High School Debating League, the choice to be made by the president of the University. The scholarship will be paid to the student in two installments, one at the beginning of the first semester, the other at the beginning of the second semester, of the first year of his enrollment in the University. Only members of the graduating classes will be eligible.

5. The State Board of Education grants a scholarship "to the best individual debater in the final contest not receiving the Keith prize."

Debating in 1927-1928

THE STATE DISTRICT CONTESTS

Sixty high schools entered the League in 1926-1927 and were grouped into sixteen districts, which in turn were grouped into four sections. The question debated was: Resolved, that the child labor amendment to the constitution as proposed and rejected by the several states should be adopted.

Schedule of District Debats

NORTHERN SECTION

District I		District II	
Kalispell	Whitefish	Augusta	Simms
Libby	Bye	Cascade	Fort Benton
		Belt	Bye
District III		Shelby is undistricted	
Havre	Harlem		
Hinsdale	Glasgow		
Saco	Bye		

EASTERN SECTION

District IV		District VI	
Antelope	Bainville	Ismay	Plevna
Richey	Sidney	Baker	Ekalaka
District V			
Glendive	Wibaux		
Terry	Mildred		

SOUTHERN SECTION

District VIII		District VIII	
Harlowton	Ryegate	Bearcreek	Belfry
Hedgesville	Broadview	Red Lodge	Joliet
Sumatra	Bye	Fromberg	Laurel
District IX			
Winnifred	Moore		
Stanford	Judith Gap		

SOUTHWESTERN SECTION

District X		District XII	
Billings	Hysham	Victor	Hamilton
Park City	Rapelje	Missoula	Philipsburg
Big Timber	Columbus		
District XI			
Townsend	Belgrade		
Whitehall	Twin Bridges		
Butte	Bye		

DISTRICT WINNERS

1—Flathead County	7—Ryegate
2—Augusta	8—Laurel
3—Harlem	9—Judith Gap
4—Richey	10—Billings
5—Dawson County	11—Whitehall
6—Plevna	12—Missoula

SECTIONAL WINNERS

Northern—Harlem	Southern—Billings
Eastern—Richey	Southwestern—Whitehall

FINAL STATE CONTEST

The final contest was held at the State University in Missoula on the afternoon of May 9.

Billings won the state championship and the right to hold the University cup during 1928-1929.

The individual awards made by the judges were as follows:

To Arthur Cline, Billings, the Dixon gold medal for the best individual debating in the final contest. Chester Huntley, Whitehall, was chosen as second best speaker.

The Keith scholarship has not yet been awarded.

The Board of Education scholarship has not yet been awarded.

PROPOSITION FOR 1928-1929

Resolved, that in the United States we are attempting to give too many people a college education.

The University Library can lend much of the material listed in the bibliography. Bound magazines cannot be sent out, but if articles desired are in magazines which are not bound these can be obtained. A deposit fee of four dollars should be sent to the Librarian with the first request for material. This fee will be refunded, less postage and fines for mutilation or loss of books or magazines, when the borrower no longer desires material.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Note: This question was debated last year by Southwestern University and the State University. A copy of the debate, with a brief and bibliography, appears in *The Debater's Annual for 1927-1928*, published by the H. W. Wilson Co., of New York City.

This is a limited bibliography.

Bibliographies

- U. S. Education Bureau. Library Leaflet No. 24. July 1924. List of references on the money value of education. Many of the general references in this bibliography may be useful, particularly the list on pages 4-5, "College and University Education," which contains more than twenty entries.
- U. S. Education Bureau. Library Leaflet No. 28. Dec. 1924. List of references on higher education. Many of the general references in the bibliography may be useful, but the list on pages 22-24, "Limitation of Attendance," and the one on pages 28-29, "Value of Higher Education," contain references particularly pertinent to the question for debate. Each list contains more than 20 entries.
- U. S. Education Bureau. Library Leaflet No. 35. Sept. 1927. List of references on higher education. This bibliography is similar to Leaflet No. 28 in subject material and arrangement. The section on "Limitation of Attendance," page 20, contains at least five entries and the section, "Value of Higher Education," pages 36-37, has more than 15 entries dealing directly with the subject for debate. These bibliographies may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., for five cents each.

General References

- Canley, Henry Seidel. *College sons and fathers*. N. Y. Harpers, 1915. p. 138-158.
- American Federationist. 29:843-4. Nov. 1922. For higher education.
- American School Board Journal. 69:49. Nov. 1924. Ultimate causes of university growth. A. C. Roberts.
- Bankers Magazine. 111:892. Dec. 1925. Does a college education pay?
- Christian Science Monitor. 20:1-4. 6. 13. March 5-10, 12-14, 16-17. Relation of education to income. E. W. Lord.
- Current History. 25:75-81. Oct. 1926. Rising cost of American education. C. F. Thwing.
- Current History. 27:478-88. Jan. 1928. Educational factory for mass production. H. E. Barnes.
- Education. 47:513-29. May 1927. College records and success in life. H. A. Smith.
- Educational Record. 8:202-9. July 1927. Foreign light on American education. V. G. Gildersleeve.
- Educational Review. 64:183-95. Oct. 1922. Can we pay for education? E. B. Stevens.
- Educational Review. 75:204-7. April 1928. Eliminate or educate? H. E. Stone.
- Forbes. 21:16-18. June 1. 1928. Are college men wanted? F. S. Clark.
- Forum. 56:311-16. Sept. 1916. Does the American college pay dividends on the investment? D. S. Jordan.
- Harper's Monthly Magazine. 146:821-4. May 1923. Overcrowding the colleges.
- Harper's Monthly Magazine. 155:529-38. Oct. 1927. Over population of the college. J. R. Angell.
- Journal of the National Education Association. 17:84. March 1928. Shall college doors be closed?
- Literary digest. 91:33. Oct. 16, 1926. Students swamping our colleges.

- Literary digest. 95:22. Dec. 24, 1927. Whither trends our education mania?
- Nation's business. 13:56-60. Nov. 1925. Business and higher learning. J. H. Penniman.
- Nature. 109:425-6. April 1, 1922. University education in the United States of America.
- North American Review. 219:384-91. March 1924. Mass education. C. S. Baldwin.
- Outlook. 132:178-9. Oct. 4, 1922. Going to college.
- Outlook. 141:509. Dec. 2, 1925. Crowded colleges.
- Review of Reviews. 75:191-3. Feb. 1927. College expenses. C. F. Thwing.
- Saturday Evening Post. 193:35-6. Feb. 5, 1921. What a man gains in going to college. N. Butler.
- Saturday Evening Post. 197:33. Sept. 13, 1924. How about the college? Is it necessary to a young man's future? E. W. Bok.
- School and Society. 16:144-52. Aug. 5, 1922. Responsibility of the college and the university in a democracy. H. W. Holmes. Same condensed. National Education Association. Proceedings and Addresses. 1922: 245-52.
- School and Society. 21:536. May 2, 1925. The comparative cost of education.
- School and Society. 19:74-5. Jan. 19, 1924. College students in the United States.
- School and Society. 23:147-8. Jan. 30, 1926. Everybody going to college.
- School and Society. 23:565-8. May 1, 1926. Why do persons go to college? R. B. Hollingshead.
- School and Society. 23:752. June 12, 1926. Overflooded colleges.
- School and Society. 23:817-18. June 26, 1926. Sentiment or selection?
- School and Society. 24:207-9. Aug. 14, 1926. To educate or not to educate? D. J. Jones.
- School and Society. 25:25-32. Jan. 8, 1927. Statistics of registration in American universities and colleges, 1926. Raymond Walters.
- School and Society. 25:139-42. Jan. 29, 1927. College education. A. L. Lowell.
- School and Society. 25:263-65. Feb. 26, 1927. The selection of college students. Arthur J. Klein.
- School and Society. 25:592-6. May 21, 1927. Determination of fitness for college. W. Farand.
- School and Society. 25:613-17. May 28, 1927. Who should go to college? A. Cousens.
- School and Society. 26:410-14. Oct. 1, 1927. Who should go to college? F. L. McVey.
- School and Society. 26:647. Nov. 19, 1927. Cost of a four-year college course.
- School and Society. 27:206-7. Feb. 18, 1928. Students or standards? Plight of the state university. G. Wakeham.
- School Life. 9:97-8. Jan. 1924. University's service is essential. S. D. Brooks.
- School Life. 10:21-3. Oct. 1924. Trained minds are sources of untold benefit to all mankind. J. F. Abel.
- School Life. 11:183. June 1926. Attendance in higher institutions increasing heavily.
- School Review. 35:164-7. March 1927. Attendance in American colleges.
- School Review. 36:169-70. March 1928. Growth of American colleges.
- Sewanee Review. 35:419-30. Oct. 1927. Reflections on the American college. G. M. Baker.
- Socialist Review. P. 30-6. Feb. 1927. The reform of the universities: the conquest of higher education for all. C. R. de Gruchy.
- Survey. 49:252-3. Nov. 15, 1922. Is our education a national menace? J. K. Hart.
- U. S. Education Bureau. Higher Education Circular. 9. April 1918. Effect of the war on student enrollment.
- World Review. 4:172. April 25, 1927. Your college gates: will they be barred? R. Walters.
- World Review. 4:215. May 16, 1927. Everybody wants to go to college, but why? H. E. Hawkes.
- World's Work. 34:253. July 1917. What a college education is worth.
- World's Work. 49:240-1. Jan. 1925. Money value of a college education.

Affirmative References

- American Mercury. 3:481-7. Dec. 1924. Why go to college? R. Burton.
- Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors. 9:209-12. May 1923. Finance and the control of attendance. Henry Suzzalo.
- Harper's Monthly Magazine. 154:253-60. Jan. 1927. College and the exceptional man. B. De Voto.
- School and Society. 26:558-60. Oct. 29, 1927. Overproduction in higher education. B. Rudman.

School Review. 36:6-7. Jan. 1928. Who should go to college? Proposed national examination service. C. E. Seashore.
Scribner's Magazine. 73:556-60. May 1923. Are our universities over-populated? H. S. Pritchett.

Negative References

American School Board Journal. 71:68. Sept. 1925. Open door in education. F. D. Boynton.
Bookman. 60:1-4. Sept. 1924. Mass education. John Erskine.
Educational Review. 70:269-72. Dec. 1925. Turn out the unfit or fit 'em? D. A. Ward.
Review of Reviews. 76:637-8. Dec. 1927. Are book-worms to be the only college men? A. W. Crawford.
School and Society. 15:208-11. Feb. 5, 1922. Training the fittest. J. M. Gillette.
School and Society. 18:507-8. Oct. 27, 1923. Are there too many college students?
School and Society. 22:217-29. Aug. 22, 1925. Prospects of the American university. R. Pound.
School and Society. 25:327-34. March 19, 1927. Again the open door in education. F. D. Boynton.

Montana Public High School Debating League

PRESIDENT

Hugh Lindsey (University of Montana)

UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON DEBATE

Hugh Lindsey

Edmund L. Freeman

W. E. Maddock

COMMITTEE OF HIGH SCHOOL PRINCIPALS

J. G. Ragsdale, Butte

K. W. Bergan, Cascade

G. E. Kidder, Glendive

Constitution and By-Laws

PREAMBLE

The object of this league is improvement in debate among the students in the high schools of the state of Montana.

ARTICLE I

Name

This organization shall be known as the Montana Public High School Debating League.

ARTICLE II

Debating Districts

For the best interest of the contesting high schools of the state they shall be grouped on the basis of convenience and expense. The grouping for any year shall be by the President and the Committee of High School Principals. This grouping shall be final and shall be announced to the several schools prior to November 1.

ARTICLE III

Membership

Section 1. Any public high school in Montana which has been accredited by the State University may become a member of this League by applying to the President of the League.

Section 2. All schools seeking admission for any particular year must register statement of that fact with the President of the Debating League before October 15 of that year.

ARTICLE IV

Contests

Section 1. **Local, State or District Contests.** For local or district contests, the teams representing the high schools shall be paired by the President of the Debating League. The debates for the districts shall occur between the first of November and the first of March. In case more than one series of debates is necessary in any district, the time limit for each series shall be determined by the President and the Committee of High School Principals. (Revision of May 9, 1918).

Section 2. **Local or District Representatives to the State Contest.** The time and method of selecting the local representatives from the winning high school shall be left to the local high school.

Section 3. **Final Contest.** The final contest held among representatives of the teams winning in the state local contests shall occur between the fifteenth of April and the Wednesday of Interscholastic week at the State University or at some place in Missoula selected by the President of the league.

Section 4. Any school not ready to debate at the time specified in the constitution shall forfeit the debate.

ARTICLE V

Officers

Section 1. The officers of the League shall be a president, a League committee of three high school principals, and a University committee on debate.

Section 2. There shall be selected by the President a committee of three principals from the League high schools to co-operate with him in matters relative to the work of the League.

Section 3. The President shall be a member of the faculty of the State University.

It shall be the duty of the President—

(a) To preside at the annual meeting, and for the final contest, and when necessary, to call meetings of the Committee of High School Principals.

(b) To act as chairman of the University Committee on Debate.

(c) To pair the contesting high school teams on the basis of convenience and expense. If in the pairing of these teams the principals of any two schools should not agree upon the place of meeting within one week after their schools have been paired, the President shall appoint some one to determine the place of meeting by casting lots.

(d) To co-operate with the principals of schools that are to be represented in the final contest in making arrangements for that contest.

(e) To prepare and have printed each year, before October 1 (at the expense of the University), a bulletin containing the latest revision of the constitution and by-laws, the names and addresses of the officers, and such other matters as, in his judgment, may be helpful to the members of the League.

Section 4. The University Committee on Debate shall consist of the President of the Debating League and two other members of the faculty to be chosen by the President.

It shall be the duty of the Committee to co-operate with the President in matching the schools of the League in series, upon the basis of convenience and expense whenever called upon to do so.

ARTICLE VI

The Debaters

Section 1. The debaters shall be undergraduate students of the schools which they represent, and shall have passing grades to date in at least three full subjects (i. e., subjects that require at least four recitations a week) and that they are taking at the time of the contest.

Section 2. No student shall be eligible to take part in a League contest who has already debated for four years in the League contests of the state.

Section 3. No student shall be eligible to debate in any League contest who was more than twenty-one years of age at the beginning of the semester in which the debate occurs. Two weeks before the first debate the principals of the high schools in the League shall certify to the President as to the eligibility of their debaters.

Section 4. The team that shall represent any League high school shall be selected by that school in any manner agreed upon by the principals, teachers, and students of that school.

Section 5. At all contests the debaters shall be separated from the audience and shall receive no coaching while the debate is in progress.

Section 6a. At the district and inter-district contests each team shall be composed of two members and the time and order of the speeches shall be as follows:

1st speaker, affirmative, 10 minutes (introduction and direct argument).

1st speaker, negative, 10 minutes (direct argument and refutation).

2nd speaker, affirmative, 10 minutes (direct argument and refutation).

2nd speaker, negative, 10 minutes (direct argument and refutation).

1st speaker, negative, 5 minutes (rebuttal).

1st speaker, affirmative, 5 minutes (rebuttal).

2nd speaker, negative, 5 minutes (rebuttal).

2nd speaker, affirmative, 5 minutes (rebuttal).

No new argument allowed in the last four speeches.

The order of the speakers on either side in rebuttal may differ from that in the direct speeches.

Section 6b. Debaters shall not read their speeches in either the direct or rebuttal speeches: they may, however, use notes set down on sheets not larger than three by five inches in size.

Section 7. In case any two teams shall fail to agree on choice of sides within one week after notification by the director, they shall report to the President of the League, who shall immediately determine choice of sides by lot, and advise the two principals. In the manner of entertainment, alternation shall be the rule.

Section 8. Immediately preceding each debate the instructions to judges shall be read by the chairman to the judges and the audience.

ARTICLE VII

The Judges

Section 1. At each contest there shall be a board of three judges, who shall be selected on the basis of capability and impartiality to the schools competing in such contests. (Note Amendment 8.)

Section 2. Selection of Judges—

(a) **Local, State or District Contests.** At the time of filing of the official entry blank of the school for the year, each principal shall send to the President a list of judges. This list with those added by the President shall be submitted to the principals for approval on or before November 1st. The approved list, which must be returned on or before November 15th, shall constitute the official list of judges for the year. By mutual agreement schools may select judges not on the official list. (Revision of May 9, 1918.)

The principal of the school at which the debating is to be held shall notify the judges of their appointments and make all arrangements relative to their meeting at the place of debate except for provisions under Article IX of this Constitution.

(b) **Final Contest.** The judges shall be selected by the University Committee on Debate.

Section 3. Instructions.

(a) During the debate the judges shall sit apart from one another.

(b) They shall base their decision on the merits of the individual debaters, not on the merits of the question.

(c) Each judge, at the conclusion of the contest, without consultation with any other judge, shall rank the speakers. The grading of the speakers by the judges shall fall between 50% and 100%. The judges shall seal their decisions in envelopes and deliver them to the collecting officer.

ARTICLE VIII

Meetings and Elections

Section 1. The annual meeting shall be held at the University, at the time of the Interscholastic Meet. At this meeting, the President shall be elected to serve for a period of one year, or until his successor is elected. Each league High School shall be entitled to one vote. (Note Amendment 9.)

ARTICLE IX

Expenses

Section 1. At the local or district state contests the entertaining high school shall pay—

(a) The expenses of the judges.

(b) The hotel bills and railway mileage of the visiting teams (the two debaters) and one other person (chaperon or coach).

If, however, the two teams taking part in the contest shall find it more convenient or less expensive to meet at some half-way point, the two schools which are represented by these teams shall share proportionately the expense, or make some special arrangements for defraying the expenses for that particular debate.

Sec. 2. At the final contest the University shall pay—

(a) The expenses of the judges.

(b) The hotel bills of the contestants.

(c) The railway mileage of the contestants: provided that a sufficient amount

of the entertainment fund of the final contest should remain after paying the expenses of the entertainment, and the incidental expenses incurred by the President during the year.

ARTICLE X

Amendments

This constitution and by-laws may be amended at any annual meeting of a majority of the league high schools present. But no school shall have more than one vote.

1. Unless the questions shall have been determined at the annual meeting of the State Debating League, the President shall submit to the high school principals, before the publication of the bulletin, a list of six questions for debate. The League president shall select the question, being guided by this postcard vote. The question selected, with bibliography, shall be printed in the bulletin.

2. For local or district contests the statement of the questions for debate may be changed with the consent of the teams concerned. But the team desiring the change must restate the question and secure the consent of the other team.

3. It shall be considered dishonorable for one school to visit the debates of another school when these two schools are likely to meet on the same question.

4. It shall be considered dishonorable for any debater, in any manner, to plagiarize his speech.

5. It shall be considered dishonorable for any teacher or principal to assist debaters in any way except to direct them in the search for material, to train them in effective delivery and assist them in the organization of material.

6. Each high school in the league shall pay an annual fee of \$2.50 for current expenses.

7. Charts, if any are used, shall be removed at the conclusion of the speech which they were intended to supplement. Opponents shall be permitted the use of these charts if they so wish.

8. The schools of any district or any two competing schools may by mutual agreement use one judge instead of three.

9. A second annual meeting of the members of the League shall be held at the time and place of the State Teachers' Association, at which time any business affairs may be discussed and passed upon.

10. In inter-district debates, the home school shall pay one-third of the traveling expense of the visitors, unless the debate is held at a half-way point between the schools.

Instructions to Judges

The following sections from the Constitution of the Montana Public High School Debating League sum up the important matters to which judges should attend. A few explanatory notes are added in parenthesis.

VI. At all contests the debaters shall be separated from the audience and shall receive no coaching while the debate is in progress.

(It is far easier to deliver a memorized speech than to talk "extemporaneously" from notes. High school debating is valuable largely to the degree that it teaches young people to think and to express their thoughts effectively. Debaters who do "talk from their feet" should be given precedent over those who deliver memorized declamations. "Extemporaneous" speaking does not preclude, of course, the most thorough preparation. Judges will do much toward raising the standards of debate if they will distinguish between declamation and speaking from the floor.)

6a. No new argument will be allowed in any of the four last speeches. (New evidence may well be introduced into these rebuttal speeches, but new arguments are forbidden).

VII. 1. At each contest there shall be three judges who shall be selected on the basis of capability and impartiality.

3a. During the debate the judges shall sit apart from one another.

3b. They shall base their decisions on the merits of the debate, not on the merits of the question.

(This is necessary in the very nature of academic debating. Sides are often assigned by lot. The problem before the judges is not to decide which side is right, but rather which team has presented the truth on its side most effectively and persuasively. The "Suggestions to Judges" given below, are intended as further assistance in the application of this section.)

3c. Each judge at the conclusion of the contest, without consultation with any other judge, shall write on a card the word "affirmative" or "negative," seal it in an envelope, and deliver it to the presiding officer, who shall open the envelope in the sight of the two leaders and announce to the audience the decision.

SUGGESTIONS TO JUDGES

(To be read before each debate)

The purpose of these suggestions is to make clear to both judges and debaters what is expected from them, and thus to assist the judges in the performance of their task, often difficult and perplexing, and the debaters in their preparation and practice.

The distinction between "argument" and "presentation" is purely academic, but it is necessary and important. "A debate is not a declamatory contest; neither is it a dry, uninteresting statement of quotations, facts and figures. A good scholastic debate is a strong, effective presentation of a clear and logical thought upon the platform with opponent. A judge should carefully weigh both excellence in thinking and excellence in speaking; he should award his decision to the team which shows the most effective combination of the two in vital give-and-take discussion." Of course, skillful thinking is more important than skillful speaking, and should be so rated.

A. Argument

The matter of these pages is largely taken from the bulletin of the University of Wisconsin, "How to Judge a Debate." These pages have not been officially adopted by the Debating League, and schools are free to modify them as they please.

1. Each member of the teams should show general knowledge of both sides of the question.

2. The debaters should confine themselves to a few main issues of the question, avoiding the unimportant, so that the debate falls into a few sharply marged divisions and is not a mere jumble of statements.

3. They should define a clear-cut intelligent interpretation of the question early in the debate. If each side contends for a different interpretation of the question, the wise judge will agree with the side which upholds the most intelligent meaning, the meaning which an intelligent man attempting to arrive at the real issues would give to the question. Far-fetched, technical, quibbling interpretations should be discouraged.

4. Rebuttal should be confined to the main issues and may well be scattered through the debate. A marked difference in the style of the rebuttal speeches and those of direct argument is a sign of poor preparation.

B. Presentation

1. A vigorous, aggressive conversational style is best.

2. Grammar and diction should uniformly be good, but occasional slips, often indicative of extemporaneous speaking, should not be penalized.

3. Gestures should be simple and natural. It is better to have none than be artificial.

4. The signals to cease should be scrupulously attended to: every speaker should stop at once, even if in the middle of a sentence.

5. The greatest elements in effective presentation are earnestness and clear thinking: these may even overbalance roughness, awkwardness, and inexperience.

6. Debate is a contest, and the preference should be given to the team which actually meets the arguments of the opponents, which handles its notes with familiarity and command, which shows, in short, that its rebuttal is a matter of present thought and not of memorizing.