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Abstract: Starting in a well known theorem concerning medians of triangle and using the ‘What If Not?’ strategy, we describe an example of an activity in which some relations among segments and areas in triangle were revealed. Some of the relations were proved by means of Affine Geometry.

Keywords: Affine geometry; Problem solving; Problem posing; Triangle theorems; Generalizations

1. Introduction

The 'What If Not (WIN) strategy (Brown and Walter, 1990) is based on the idea that modifying an attribute of a given statement could yield a new and intriguing conjecture which consequently may result in some interesting investigation. Using interactive geometrical software, let us apply the WIN strategy to the theorem: The three medians of a triangle divide it into 6 triangles possessing the same area. This paper presents some results obtained by
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modifying the premises so that each side of the triangle is divided into \( n \) equal segments instead of two. More precisely, given a triangle ABC, with sides \( a, b, c \) each divided into \( n > 2 \) equal segments. Each of the \( \frac{1}{n} \) dividing point is connected to the opposite vertex (Fig. 1). Unlike the case of medians \( (n = 2) \), in this modified version, there appears to be some quadrangles as well. Is there anything particularly interesting about the new parts? – That is what we are set to examine.

Figure 1: Schematic description of the problem
Let us first look at the particular case \( n=3 \), and then generalize it for any value of \( n \).

2. The case of \( n = 3 \)

Figure 2 demonstrates the case of \( n=3 \).

![Diagram](image)

Figure 2: Schematic description of the case: \( n=3 \)

\[
BE = ED = DA \quad AI = IH = HC \quad CG = GF = FB.
\]

Let \( S_1 = \text{area}(JMK) \); \( S_2 = \text{area}(BEJ) \); \( S_3 = \text{area}(EAKJ) \); \( S_4 = \text{area}(AIK) \);

\( S_5 = \text{area}(KICL) \); \( S_6 = \text{area}(LCG) \); \( S_7 = \text{area}(BJLG) \)

Based on measurements taken by means of dynamic geometry software, the following conjectures as regards to areas and segments were raised:

\[
KJ = JB \quad LK = KA \quad JL = LC
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[
S_2 = S_4 = S_6
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
In order to prove the above conjectures, we join $KD$, $LH$ and $JF$ (Fig. 3) to generate triangles $BDK$, $AHL$ and $CF$.

As follows we prove the claim: $EJ \parallel DK$; $IK \parallel HL$; $GL \parallel FJ$.

**Proof:**

Let $S_3(1) = \text{area}(EDKJ)$; $S_3(2) = \text{area}(DAK)$; $S_5(1) = \text{area}(IHLK)$;

$S_5(2) = \text{area}(HCL)$; $S_6(1) = \text{area}(GFJL)$ and $S_7(2) = \text{area}(FBJ)$ (Fig. 3).

We employ Affine Geometry to prove this claim:

Let $AC$ be on the $x$-axis, and $AB$ on the $y$-axis, while the unit scale on the $x$-axis is the length of $AC$ and the unit scale on the $y$-axis is $AB$. Hence, the coordinates of the vertices are:
For the equation of line $CE$ we get:

\[
\frac{x-x_E}{x_C-x_E} = \frac{y-y_E}{y_C-y_E} \Rightarrow \frac{x-0}{1-0} = \frac{y-\frac{2}{3}}{0-\frac{2}{3}} \Rightarrow y_{CE} = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3}x
\]

And for line $BI$:

\[
\frac{x-x_B}{x_J-x_B} = \frac{y-y_B}{y_J-y_B} \Rightarrow \frac{x-0}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{y-1}{0-1} \Rightarrow y_{BI} = 1-3x
\]

Thus the coordinates of $J$ ($BI \cap CE$) are:

\[
y = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3}x \Bigg\rvert \frac{1}{3} = \frac{7}{3} \Rightarrow x = \frac{1}{7} \Rightarrow y = \frac{4}{7} \Rightarrow J\left(\frac{1}{7}, \frac{4}{7}\right)
\]

Vectors $\vec{JF}$ and $\vec{AG}$ are:

\[
\vec{JF} = (x_F - x_J, y_F - y_J) = \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{3} - \frac{4}{7}\right) = \left(\frac{4}{21}, \frac{2}{21}\right);
\]

\[
\vec{AG} = (x_G - x_A, y_G - y_A) = \left(\frac{2}{3} - 0, \frac{1}{3} - 0\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)
\]

Therefore: $\vec{JF} = \frac{2}{7} \cdot \vec{AG}$, and hence vectors $\vec{JF}$ and $\vec{AG}$ are parallel.

By symmetry considerations: $IK \parallel HL$ and $EJ \parallel DK$. This proves

(1) $KJ = JB$; $LK = KA$; $JL = LC$.

Notice that parallelism is not affected by affine transformations.

Referring to the notations in Fig. 3 we shall now prove that:

\[
\frac{S_1(1)}{S_2} = 3
\]
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\[ \frac{S_3(2)}{S_2} = 2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

\[ S_3(1) = S_5(1) = S_7(1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

\[ S_3(2) = S_5(2) = S_7(2) \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Since \( EJ \parallel DK \) and \( IK \parallel HL \) and \( GL \parallel FJ \) we get:

\( \triangle BEJ \approx \triangle BDK \); \( \triangle AIK \approx \triangle AHL \); \( \triangle CGL \approx \triangle CFJ \). The similarity ratio is 2.

Consequently, \( S_1 = 3 \cdot S_2 \) and similarly: \( S_1(1) = 3 \cdot S_3(1) \); \( S_3(1) = 3 \cdot S_5 \). As a result, (6) is proved,

In addition, since \( \text{area}(BDK) = 2 \cdot \text{area}(DKA) \) we get:

\[ S_2 + S_3(1) = 2 \cdot S_3(2) \Rightarrow S_2 + 3 \cdot S_2 = 2S_3(2) \Rightarrow S_3(2) = 2 \cdot S_2. \]

Similarly \( S_3(2) = 2 \cdot S_4 \) and \( S_7(2) = 2 \cdot S_6 \).

Thus (7) is proved.

The above relations are summarized in Fig. 4.
We shall now prove that: (2) \( S_2 = S_4 = S_6 \)

Since \( \text{area}(BAI) = \text{area}(ACG) = \frac{1}{3} \text{area}(ABC) \) it follows that:

\[
6 \cdot S_2 + S_4 = 6 \cdot S_4 + S_6 = 6 \cdot S_6 + S_2 \Rightarrow 5 \cdot S_4 = 6 \cdot S_2 - S_6 \quad ; \quad 6 \cdot S_4 = 5 \cdot S_6 + S_2
\]

Thus: \( S_4 = 6 \cdot S_6 - 5 \cdot S_2 \). Therefore:

\[
6 \cdot S_4 + S_6 = 6 \cdot (6 \cdot S_6 - 5 \cdot S_2) + S_6 = 6 \cdot S_6 + S_2 \Rightarrow
\]

\[
36 \cdot S_6 - 30 \cdot S_2 + S_6 = 6 \cdot S_6 + S_2 \quad ; \quad 31 \cdot S_6 = 31 \cdot S_2 \Rightarrow S_2 = S_6.
\]

Now: \( S_4 = 6 \cdot S_6 - 5 \cdot S_2 = 6 \cdot S_6 - 5 \cdot S_6 = S_6 \).

Hence (2) \( S_2 = S_4 = S_6 \) is proved.

Following the above we obtain: \( S_3(1) = S_5(1) = S_7(1) \), \( S_3(2) = S_5(2) = S_7(2) \), which imply that we also proved (3) \( S_3 = S_5 = S_7 \),

We shall now show that: (4) \( \frac{S_1}{S_2} = 3 \).

Proof:

\( \triangle ADK \approx \triangle AEL \Rightarrow 4 \cdot \text{area}(ADK) = \text{area}(AEL) \), hence if \( \text{area}(ADK) = 2 \cdot S_2 \) then \( \text{area}(AEL) = 8 \cdot S_2 \). Thus: \( \text{area}(AEL) = 2 \cdot S_2 + 3 \cdot S_2 + S_1 = 8 \cdot S_2 \Rightarrow S_1 = 3 \cdot S_2 \), and (4) is proved.
The relations obtained are summarized in Fig. 5.

It is now left to prove (5) \( \frac{BK}{KI} = \frac{AL}{LG} = \frac{CJ}{JE} = 6 \). Clearly:

\[
\frac{\text{area}(BKA)}{\text{area}(AKI)} = \frac{BK \cdot h}{KI \cdot h} = \frac{6 \cdot S_2}{S_2} = 6 \Rightarrow \frac{BK}{KI} = 6.
\]

And \( \frac{AL}{LG} = \frac{CJ}{JE} = 6 \), stems from symmetry considerations.

Thus we complete the proof for all the connections that were discovered for the case of \( n = 3 \).

3. The general case

We shall now examine the general case, in which \( n = k \).

For the general case we will show that the following patterns hold:

\[
\frac{JK}{BJ} = k - 2
\] (10)
\[ S_2 = S_4 = S_6 \]  
\[ S_3 = S_5 = S_7 \]  
\[ \frac{S_1}{S_2} = k(k-2)^2 \]  
\[ \frac{BK}{KI} = k(k-1) \]  

The terminology refers to Figure 6 and Figure 3.

In order to prove (10)-(14) we join KD, LH and JF to generate triangles BDK, AHL and CF.

\[ E \] is the \( \frac{1}{k} \) point of BD, \( I \) is the \( \frac{1}{k} \) point of AH and \( G \) is the \( \frac{1}{k} \) point of CF (Fig. 6).

We first prove that: \( EJ \parallel DK \); \( IK \parallel HL \); \( GL \parallel FJ \).

Proof:

We employ Affine Geometry to prove this claim:
Let $AC$ be on the $x$-axis, and $AB$ on the $y$-axis. The unit scale on the $x$-axis is the length of $AC$ and the unit scale on the $y$-axis is $AB$. Consequently, the coordinates of the vertices are:

$A(0,0) ; B(0,1) ; C(1,0) ; E(0, \frac{k-1}{k}) ; I(\frac{1}{k},0) ; G(\frac{k-1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}) ; F(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{k-1}{k})$

For the equation of $CE$ we get:

$$\frac{x-x_c}{x_c-x_E} = \frac{y-y_c}{y_c-y_E} \Rightarrow \frac{x-0}{1-0} = \frac{y-\frac{k-1}{k}}{0-\frac{k-1}{k}} \Rightarrow y_{CE} = \frac{k-1}{k} - \frac{k-1}{k} x$$

And for $BI$:

$$\frac{x-x_B}{x_I-x_B} = \frac{y-y_B}{y_I-y_B} \Rightarrow \frac{x-0}{1} = \frac{y-1}{0} \Rightarrow y_{BI} = 1-ky$$

Therefore, for the coordinates of $J(CE \cap BI)$ we get:

$$y = \frac{k-1}{k} - \frac{k-1}{k} x$$

$$y=1-ky$$

Thus we get:

$$y = \frac{(k-1)^2}{k^2-k+1} \Rightarrow y = \frac{1}{k^2-k+1} \Rightarrow J\left(\frac{1}{k^2-k+1}, \frac{(k-1)^2}{k^2-k+1}\right)$$

Vectors $\vec{JF}$ and $\vec{AG}$ are:

$$\vec{JF} = (x_F-x_J, y_F-y_J) = (\frac{1}{k}, \frac{k-1}{k^2-k+1}, \frac{k-1}{k^2-k+1}, \frac{(k-1)^2}{k^2-k+1}) = \frac{(k-1)^2}{k(k^2-k+1)} \cdot \frac{k-1}{k(k^2-k+1)}$$

$$\vec{AG} = (x_G-x_A, y_G-y_A) = (\frac{k-1}{k}, 0, \frac{1}{k}, 0) = (\frac{k-1}{k}, k)$$

Thus we get: $\vec{JF} = \frac{k-1}{k^2-k+1} \cdot \vec{AG}$, and hence vectors $\vec{JF}$ and $\vec{AG}$ are parallel.

By symmetry considerations $IK \| HL$ and $DK \| EJ$. Thus we have proved that:

(10) $JK = (k-2) \cdot BJ$. Similarly $KL = (k-2) \cdot AK$; $LJ = (k-2) \cdot CL$.

We will now prove (11) $S_2 = S_4 = S_6$. 
From the parallelism it follows that $\triangle BEJ \approx \triangle BDK; \triangle AIK \approx \triangle AHL; \triangle CGL \approx \triangle CFJ$ with a similarity ratio $\frac{1}{(k-1)}$

In addition, since area $(BAI) = area (ACG) = area (CBE) = \frac{1}{k}area(ABC)$

we get: $\frac{S_3(1) + S_4}{S_2} = \frac{S_4(1) + S_4}{S_4} = \frac{S_7(1) + S_6}{S_6} = (k-1)^2 \Rightarrow S_3(1) = (k^2 - 2k) \cdot S_2$.

Similarly, $S_4(1) = (k^2 - 2k) \cdot S_4; S_7(1) = (k^2 - 2k) \cdot S_6$

Furthermore, $(k-1) \cdot S_3(2) = S_2 + S_3(1) \Rightarrow S_3(2) = (k-1) \cdot S_2$.

Since area$(BKD) = (k-1) \cdot area(DKL)$ then $\frac{BD \cdot h}{2} = k - 1. \ (k \geq 2)$

As a result: $(k-1) \cdot S_4(2) = S_4 + S_3(1) \Rightarrow S_4(2) = (k-1) \cdot S_4$ and

$(k-1) \cdot S_7(2) = S_6 + S_3(1) \Rightarrow S_7(2) = (k-1) \cdot S_6$

From the above relations we get: $(k^2 - k) \ S_2 + S_4 = (k^2 - k) \cdot S_4 + S_6 = (k^2 - k) \cdot S_6 + S_2$.

Thus: $S_4 = \frac{(k^2 - k) \cdot S_2 - S_6}{k^2 - k - 1} \Rightarrow \frac{(k^2 - k)(k^2 - k) \cdot S_2 - S_6}{k^2 - k - 1} + S_6 = (k^2 - k) \cdot S_6 + S_2$

$(k^4 - 2k^3 + k + 1) \cdot S_2 = (k^4 - 2k^3 + k + 1) \cdot S_6 \Rightarrow S_2 = S_6$

By symmetry considerations $S_2 = S_4$. Hence (11) $S_2 = S_4 = S_6$.

Therefore (12) $S_5 = S_5 = S_7$ is also proved.

Consequently $S_3(1) = S_5(1) = S_3(1); \ S_3(2) = S_4(2) = S_3(2)$

We shall now prove that: (13) $S_1 = k(k - 2)^2 \cdot S_2$

Proof:

$$\frac{area \ (AEL)}{area \ (ADK)} = (k - 1)^2 \Rightarrow \frac{S_3(1) + S_4(2) + S_5}{S_3(2)} = \frac{(k - 1) \cdot S_2 + (k^2 - 2k) \cdot S_2 + S_1}{(k - 1) \cdot S_2}$$
Finally we prove that: (14) \[ \frac{BK}{KI} = \frac{AL}{LG} = \frac{CJ}{JE} = k(k-1). \]

Proof:

We will use the connection:

Area \( ABK \) = \( S_2 + S_3(1) + S_3(2) = S_2 + (k^2 - 2k) \cdot S_2 + (k-1) \cdot S_2 = (k^2 - k) \cdot S_2 \)

area \( AKI \) = \( S_2 \)

Hence: \[ \frac{\text{area}(ABK)}{\text{area}(AKI)} = \frac{BK \cdot h}{2KI \cdot h} = \frac{(k^2 - k) \cdot S_2}{S_2} = k(k-1) \Rightarrow \frac{BK}{KI} = k(k-1). \]

From symmetry considerations we get: \[ \frac{AL}{LG} = \frac{CJ}{JE} = k(k-1). \]

The findings can be summarized as follows:

The three \( k \)-ians of a triangle divide the it into seven sections. The relations between the measures of the areas are described in Figure 7.
5. Theorem concerning $k$-ians of triangle

Employing the WIN strategy once again, each side of the triangle can be divided into any number, $p$, $q$, and $r$, of equal segments. Vertex $A$ is connected to the $\frac{1}{p}$-point, vertex $B$ is connected to the $\frac{1}{q}$-point, and vertex $C$ is connected to the $\frac{1}{p}$-point, as shown in Fig. 8.

![Figure 8: schematic description of the $k$-ians](image)

In this case we get: $\frac{BY}{YL} = (p-1) \cdot q$ ; $\frac{AU}{US} = (r-1) \cdot p$ ; $\frac{CT}{TG} = (q-1) \cdot r$.

Proof:

Employ again Affine Geometry to prove this claim:

Let $AC$ be on the $x$-axis, and $AB$ on the $y$-axis. The unit scale on the $x$-axis is the length of $AC$ and the unit sale on the $y$-axis is $AB$. Consequently, the coordinates are:
The vectors: $\vec{AC} = \{1, 0\}$, $\vec{CB} = \{-1, 1\}$ ; $\vec{CS} = \{-\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\}$ ; $\vec{AS} = \vec{AC} + \vec{CS} = \{1 - \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\}$

The equations of lines $BL$ and $AS$: $BL: y - 1 = -qx$ ; $AS: y = \frac{x}{p - 1}$.

The coordinates of $Y$: $Y = BL \cap AS = \left(\frac{p - 1}{1 + q(p - 1)}, \frac{1}{1 + q(p - 1)}\right)$

The vectors $\vec{BY}$ and $\vec{YL}$ are:

$$\vec{BY} = \left\{\frac{p - 1}{1 + q(p - 1)}, \frac{-q(p - 1)}{1 + q(p - 1)}\right\} = q(p - 1) \cdot \left\{\frac{1}{q(1 + q(p - 1))}, \frac{-1}{1 + q(p - 1)}\right\}$$

$$\vec{YL} = \left\{\frac{1}{q}, -\frac{k}{1 + q(p - 1)}, -\frac{1}{1 + q(p - 1)}\right\} = \left\{\frac{1}{q(1 + q(p - 1))}, \frac{-1}{1 + q(p - 1)}\right\}$$

The last two results imply that $\vec{BY} = q(p - 1) \cdot \vec{YL}$. We leave to the reader to verify that $AU = (r - 1)p \cdot \vec{US}$; $CT = (q - 1)r \cdot \vec{TG}$.

In addition, we urge the reader to look for relations among areas that are formed as a consequence of the new division.

**Implication for class activities**

In this paper we describe a process which can be implemented on various well known mathematical theorems. Utilizing the WIN strategy, which is a useful tool which can easily be applied, combined with the working in an interactive computerized environment, enables the formulation of various inquiry activities such as the example given in this paper. Such an activity could be given as a long term project for developing inquiry skills and mathematical knowledge.
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