

University of Montana

## ScholarWorks at University of Montana

---

ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007

ASUM Student Government

---

10-7-1998

### Documents from the October 7, 1998 meeting of the Associated Students of the University of Montana (ASUM)

University of Montana--Missoula. Associated Students

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.umt.edu/asum>

**Let us know how access to this document benefits you.**

---

#### Recommended Citation

University of Montana--Missoula. Associated Students, "Documents from the October 7, 1998 meeting of the Associated Students of the University of Montana (ASUM)" (1998). *ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007*. 240.

<https://scholarworks.umt.edu/asum/240>

This is brought to you for free and open access by the ASUM Student Government at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007 by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@mso.umt.edu](mailto:scholarworks@mso.umt.edu).



Associated Students  
University of Montana

Suite 105  
University Center

Missoula, MT 59812  
(406) 243-2451

ASUM SENATE AGENDA  
October 7, 1998  
COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY - HB01

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - September 30, 1998
4. PUBLIC COMMENT
5. PRESIDENT'S REPORT
  - a. Scott Cole - Vice-Pres. Of Administration and Finance
  - b. Karin Orseck - Candidate for Justice of the Peace
  - c. Keith Glaes and Jeff Turner - Campus Recreation Survey
  - d. Alumni Association
  - e. Lobbyist - Butte/Dillon
  - f. Credit Cap
  - g. Other
6. VICE PRESIDENT'S REPORT
  - a. Jake Sticka - COT
  - b. Committee Appointments and Committee Openings
  - c. Extracurricular Extravaganza
  - d. Student Group Recognition
  - e. Senate Class
  - f. Alcohol Recommendations Reminder
  - g. Senate Meeting 10/14/98 - Gallagher Business Building 201
  - h. Other
7. BUSINESS MANAGER'S REPORT
  - a. Travel Special Allocations
    - \*Campus Crusade for Christ \$231/\$120
    - \*Woodsmen's Team \$2,400/\$680
    - \*Men's Soccer \$498/\$98
  - b. Zero-based Carryover
    - \*Committee to Save the Six-Mil Levy
  - c. Other
8. COMMITTEE REPORTS
9. UNFINISHED BUSINESS
  - a. SB7-98/99 Resolution to Amend the \$100 Course Retake Fee
  - b. SB13-98/99 Resolution to Support University System Student Senate Approval of All Bonds on Said University Campuses
  - c. SB14-98/99 Resolution to Alter Policy on Withdrawals
  - c. SB15-98/99 Resolution to Oppose Differentiated Tuition
10. NEW BUSINESS
11. COMMENTS
12. ADJOURNMENT

## ASUM SENATE TALLY SHEET

DATE October 7, 1998

## SENATE MEMBERS

ROLL  
CALL

Arguments

CHAD BALLENTINE

P	X
P	U
P	U
P	U
P	U
P	U
P	N
P	U
P	U
P	U
<del>P</del> closed	-
6:14	U
6:11	U
un- covered	-
un- covered	-
P	U
P	U
P	U
P	U
P	N

## ASUM OFFICERS

BARRETT KAISER

President

PATIENCE LLEWELLYN

Vice-President

BRAD McCALL

Business Manager

P	<del>W</del>				
P	<del>W</del>				
P	W				

### FACULTY ADVISORS

PROFESSOR AUSLAND

9	4					

## ASUM SENATE MINUTES

October 7, 1998

Mt. Sentinel Room

Chair Llewellyn called the meeting to order at 6:05 p.m. Present: Kaiser, Llewellyn, McCall, Ballentine, Bekier, Bell, Brown, Christensen, Connolly, Driscoll, Hopkins, Kosena, Lamb, Mahan (6:14), Murphy (6:11), Squire, Struve, Sutherland, Tietz and Woodall. Unexcused were Myers and Pressley. Excused was Lindeman.

The minutes of the September 30 meeting were approved.

**Public Comment** - None

### President's Report

- a. Kaiser thanked everyone for coming to COT for the Senate meeting. Since Vice-President Cole wasn't present, he said he would have him speak later in the meeting if he came.
- b. Justice of the Peace candidate Karen Orzeck explained what the JP position does and presented her ideas for it if elected.  
(The Chair recognized Mahan and Murphy.)
- c. Consulting firm representative Jeff Turner explained the survey done for Campus Recreation and showed the results (Exhibit A). Focus groups will be meeting to discuss it more.
- d. Barrett said he serves as an ex-officio member on the Alumni Board of Directors. He recently spent a couple days at their meetings.
- e. The lobbyist agreement with Butte and Dillon is not yet resolved. Kaiser and Darrow will speak with their student Senates next week.
- f. Figures have been received from Vice-President Hollmann regarding credit cap appeal results.
- g. The van will leave for Helena at 6 a.m. Saturday for the Social Security Conference.

### Vice-President's Report

- a. Llewellyn introduced Jake Sticka, who will be holding office hours in the ASUM COT office. His e-mail is: [steak@selway.umd.edu](mailto:steak@selway.umd.edu)
- b. The following slate of committee appointments was approved on a motion by **Hopkins-Bekier**: UC Board - Julie McFarlane, Alice Blood; Information Technology - Chris Woodall; Scholarship and Financial Aid - Marcus Kosena, T. J. Frickle; Budget and Finance - Charles Harvey; Student Computer Fee - Charles Harvey; Art Siting - Alice Blood; Pantzer Award - Julie McFarlane; Campus Recreation and Sports - Brian Graves; Diversity Advisory Council - Brian Graves; Student Complaint - Brian Graves. Llewellyn needs more volunteers for committee positions.
- c. ASUM will share a table with SPA at the Extracurricular Extravaganza tomorrow. Volunteers are needed to sit at the table.
- d. Programs are applying for recognition as groups. Board on Membership will be determining what to do about the situation.
- e. Directors of Legal Services and ChildCare will be speaking at the Senate class tomorrow night.
- f. Llewellyn asked Senators to prepare questions for the Alcohol Advisory Committee next week when recommendations for changes will be offered.
- g. Next week's meeting will be held in Gallagher 201. There is a \$50 fee for a room in the Continuing Education building next door to the UC.

### Business Manager's Report

- a. Travel Special Allocation
  - \*Campus Crusade was awarded \$120 for personal car mileage.
  - \*Woodsmen's Team was awarded \$680 toward motor pool charges.

**ASUM Senate Minutes**

October 7, 1998

page two

- \*Men's Soccer Team was awarded \$250 for lodging. **Kaiser-Struve** moved to amend B & F recommendation of \$98 to \$250. Previous question call by **Squire** failed on a division call. Previous question call by **Sutherland** passed, and the amendment passed. Previous question call by **Kaiser** passed, and the amended amount of \$250 passed.
- b. Zero-based Carryover  
A request for \$2001 for the Six-mil Levy is in committee.

**Committee Reports**

- a. COT - Woodall is trying to get more input from COT students.
- b. SPA - Struve thanked Senators for help with voter registration, when 350 more were registered. Voter guides will be distributed, and volunteers are needed for getting out the vote on November 3 through phone calls. SPA Director Kobos noted that Bob Brown's office needs help with calls regarding the six-mil levy. Talk to Kobos to volunteer. Woodall will be driving a van to the Social Security Conference on Saturday at 6 a.m.
- c. University Affairs - Bell reported that SB7, 14 and 15 are in committee, and Hopkins withdrew SB13. The next meeting is Tuesday at 2 p.m.
- d. Board on Membership - Sutherland said committee is reviewing applications.
- e. Financial Aid - Bekier said committee is working on appeals. Few appeals are approved.
- f. Sports Union - Kosena said committee is starting up.
- g. Diversity - Ballentine reported that they met with Amie Thurber. The committee is getting directions on their role.
- Committee of Chairs - Llewellyn said they worked on procedural matters.
- h. ASCRC - Llewellyn said they are discussing requests for catalog changes.
- j. Faculty Senate - Llewellyn will attend the meeting tomorrow at 3:20 in the Gallagher Building

**Unfinished Business**

- a. SB7-98/99 Resolution to Amend the \$100 Course Retake Fee - in committee
- c. SB13-98/99 Resolution to Support University System Student Senate Approval All Bonds on Said University Campuses - withdrawn
- c. SB14-98/99 Resolution to Alter Policy on Withdrawals - in committee
- b. SB15-98/99 Resolution to Oppose Differentiated Tuition - in committee

**New Business**

- a. Resolution to support I 1-37
- b. Resolution to support Plan B of buffalo issue

**Comments**

The meeting adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

*Carol Hayes*  
Carol Hayes  
ASUM Office Manager

Exhibit A



BRAILSFORD & DUNLAVEY

Facility Planners • Project Managers  
Creatives for Building Community

fax

DATE: October 5, 1998  
TO: Keith Glaes  
Director of Campus Recreation  
COMPANY: University of Montana  
FAX: 406.243.6482  
FROM: Jeffrey Turner  
# OF PAGES: (including this cover)  
MESSAGE: Keith,

Enclosed please find the following:

- Results of the 438 telephone surveys in percentages
- the Depth & Breadth analysis highlighting the preferred activity spaces
- Cross Tab of the Survey Demographics vs. Selected Project Options
- Demographic breakdown of the survey results vs. the university demographics

We will present this data to you on Wednesday but here are some of the key findings.

#### **How reliable are the survey results?**

The statistical significance of the results is very good. The margin of error is less than 5%, therefore, the findings will allow us to project the referendum outcome with great precision and program the facility in accordance with student demand with a high degree of confidence.

Demographically, the sample population is a close match with the campus population as a whole.

### **What did we learn about the students current opinions and use of the existing facilities?**

About 36% of the student body uses the current recreation facilities at least once a week. Approximately 50% never utilize the existing facilities. This number may be skewed somewhat by new freshman who have not "discovered" the current facilities.

The number one negative factor in regards to the current recreational facilities is the parking. This may have been magnified by the current parking situation in lieu of the renovation to the Fieldhouse.

### **What did we learn about students' recreational preferences?**

The highest demanded activities were weight and fitness spaces. Indoor jogging, lap swimming, aerobics, and racquetball/handball followed. (See depth and breadth analysis for exact order)

### **What did we learn about desired facility concepts and the acceptability of fees?**

Approximately 89% of students are willing to raise their student fees to support a new recreation facility. 70% chose Option A or Option B showing support for the more comprehensive options.

The majority of the students thought that this was a medium priority for the university indicating that there are other issues which are viewed as a higher priority for the students. This indicates that the university must work hard to get people to vote on this issue.

The support for the project and the associated fee is strong among all demographic categories. Enclosed is the fee option vs. different demographic groups.

Approximately 25% of the students surveyed currently belong to an off-campus health club including 16% who pay over \$26 a month.

Hopefully, this summary and the attached information will help you tomorrow. We look forward to sharing our detailed analysis of the data with you on Wednesday and Thursday.

# UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA

## RECREATION CENTER SURVEY

1. How often do you use the On-Campus recreational facilities, including the Rec. Annex, Scheiber Gym, Grizzly Pool, and Mc Gill Hall?

FREQUENCY				
Daily	2-4 times /week	Once /week	Sometimes	Never
6.6%	21.7%	7.5%	14.8%	49.5%

2. On average, how much time do you allot when using On-Campus recreation facilities each time you go?

DURATION					
1/2 Hour or less	1/2 Hour to 1 Hour	1 Hour to 1 1/2 Hours	1 1/2 Hours to 2 Hours	Over 2 Hours	Not Applicable
1.6%	11.4%	10.8%	9.4%	6.8%	50.0%

3. On a scale of 1 to 5, 1 being poor, 2 being fair, 3 being good, 4 being excellent, and 5 indicating you have no knowledge of that aspect evaluate the following conditions of the current recreational facilities?

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Don't Know
Variety of equipment and activities	5.3%	24.0%	25.6%	3.9%	40.9%
Availability of activity space (crowded conditions)	7.8%	20.3%	25.1%	2.7%	43.8%
Outdoor sports fields	1.1%	10.7%	31.1%	8.0%	48.9%
Convenience of hours	2.3%	12.6%	36.6%	8.0%	41.3%
Quality of services and activities	1.8%	9.1%	39.7%	7.1%	42.2%
Availability of parking	38.6%	12.1%	5.9%	1.1%	42.0%
Convenience of Rec Annex location	5.3%	14.8%	40.4%	7.5%	31.7%
Locker Rooms	3.7%	14.4%	32.4%	1.6%	47.8%
Safety	1.1%	7.8%	40.6%	7.5%	42.7%

4. I'm going to read you a list of activities that could be included in expanded recreational facilities at U of M, to gauge your interest in them. For each activity, I want to know how often you would prefer to participate in the activity, and what time of day you would prefer to participate. Please assume that the facilities would be available when you wanted to use them.

Activity	PART A How often would you prefer to use or participate in the following activities? (please select ONE frequency per activity)					PART B What time of day would you prefer to use the following activities? (please select ONE time per activity)									
	2-4 times /week	Once /week	Sometimes	Never		6-9 A.M.	9-12 A.M.	12-1 P.M.	1-4 P.M.	4-6 P.M.	6-9 P.M.	9-12 P.M.	Never		
	Daily														
Basketball	3.2%	13.0%	9.6%	10.0%	62.6%	0.5%	0.7%	0.9%	8.2%	9.6%	14.2%	1.1%	64.1%		
Free weights	8.0%	43.2%	10.3%	10.5%	27.7%	11.3%	8.5%	2.3%	12.3%	11.9%	15.8%	2.1%	28.1%		
Weight machines	4.3%	36.5%	9.8%	9.4%	37.4%	9.8%	4.3%	1.6%	10.3%	10.3%	20.5%	1.8%	40.0%		
Exercise machines	0.7%	36.0%	11.4%	9.4%	37.3%	11.4%	4.1%	1.4%	9.4%	11.6%	10.5%	2.3%	37.9%		
Aerobics (Jance, Step, etc.)	3.0%	18.7%	5.9%	56.0%	6.8%	2.1%	0.5%	4.1%	8.4%	10.7%	0.5%	68.4%			
Martial Arts (Karate, Judo, etc.)	2.3%	15.9%	7.1%	10.3%	63.0%	3.0%	2.1%	0.2%	3.0%	8.4%	17.4%	0.9%	64.6%		
Badminton	1.1%	4.3%	6.4%	10.5%	76.5%	1.4%	0.7%	0.7%	4.6%	5.5%	7.5%	0.7%	78.1%		
Racquetball	0.7%	14.2%	12.3%	16.9%	54.8%	3.4%	1.8%	0.7%	7.8%	9.1%	18.9%	1.1%	55.9%		
Indoor Volleyball	0.9%	11.2%	10.0%	13.9%	63.0%	0.7%	0.5%	0.5%	6.4%	7.3%	17.6%	1.4%	55.9%		
Tap swimming	3.7%	18.3%	8.4%	13.0%	55.9%	15.1%	2.5%	0.7%	4.6%	6.2%	12.6%	0.9%	56.6%		
Leisure swimming	1.8%	12.3%	10.0%	19.4%	55.5%	5.9%	2.3%	0.5%	5.7%	7.3%	19.4%	1.4%	56.4%		
Indoor jogging	4.8%	18.8%	4.1%	5.0%	66.9%	8.2%	1.8%	5.5%	6.2%	9.6%	0.7%	0.5%	79.0%		
Indoor soccer	2.1%	6.4%	7.1%	5.5%	78.5%	0.5%	0.2%	3.7%	5.3%	8.5%	1.4%	53.0%			
Bike/ski repair	0.7%	4.6%	9.6%	32.4%	50.9%	3.2%	2.7%	1.1%	10.3%	7.3%	16.4%	1.4%	53.0%		
Sports climbing (rock or wall)	1.8%	9.8%	9.6%	13.2%	64.4%	2.3%	2.1%	0.7%	5.5%	5.0%	16.4%	1.6%	65.5%		

5. If you could participate in the activities you just selected at the time you want as often as you like, how much time WOULD YOU spend in the improved recreation facility each time you go?

1/2 Hour or less	1/2 Hour to 1 Hour	1 Hour to 1 1/2 Hours	1 1/2 Hours to 2 Hours	Over 2 Hours	Not Applicable
2.1%	6.4%	30.4%	31.7%	33.3%	6.2%

# UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA RECREATION CENTER SURVEY

I am now going to describe three options for improving the recreation facilities at U of M that have emerged from our initial studies. These are general proposals that would be tailored to meet student needs based on your answers to the previous activity questions. Each option includes the student fee required to pay for the improvements. Please note that no new fees will go into effect until the improvements are made - two or three years from now. Please indicate the option that you believe should be implemented.

In making your selection, please consider your activity preferences, ability and willingness to pay the fee, and the long-term interests of the University as well as any other relevant factors. For comparative purposes, accepted national standards for recreation facilities call for a total of between 8 - 10 square feet of recreation space per student.

**Option A:** The completed state of the art facility would be competitive with other Universities across the country. Your fees would be increased by \$80 per semester and would provide an expansion of 81,000 square feet. This would bring the average square foot per student up to 10 sq. ft. and include expanded weight & fitness, basketball courts, aerobics rooms, extensive renovations to the existing Grizzly Pool and sauna, a new leisure / free-swim pool, as well as a large social lounge and juice bar cafe.

**Option B:** A fee increase of \$60 per semester would provide for a facility competitively ranked with Universities in the state of Montana. The facility would have 61,000 additional square feet, bring the average square foot per student to within the range of the accepted standard, and while slightly less extensive, it would include everything mentioned in Option A with the exception of a new leisure / free swim pool.

**Option C:** With a fee increase of \$40 per semester the University could make renovations to your existing facilities. No additional programs or facilities would be added, the average square foot per student would not reach industry standards, but the existing facilities would be noticeably improved.

6. Which of these improvement options, if any, would you support:

Option A - \$80 32.0%      Option B - \$60 37.7%      Option C - \$40 18.0%      None of the Above 11.0%

7. How high a priority should improvements to the recreation facilities be at U of M?

Low Priority 21.9%      Medium Priority 52.1%      High Priority 23.7%

Please provide us with some brief information about yourself.

<p><b>A. What is your age:</b></p> <p>0.7% 17 or Under</p> <p>39.1% 18-19</p> <p>21.7% 20-21</p> <p>15.5% 22-23</p> <p>10.0% 24-25</p> <p>9.6% 26-30</p> <p>6.8% 31-40</p> <p>5.7% 41 or older</p>	<p><b>B. Where do you currently live:</b></p> <p>29.5% Residence Halls</p> <p>0.9% Family housing</p> <p>34.5% Near UM</p> <p>24.2% Elsewhere in Missoula</p> <p>11.0% Out side of Missoula</p>	<p><b>C. Your class year is:</b></p> <p>28.8% Freshman</p> <p>11.0% Sophomore</p> <p>17.1% Junior</p> <p>17.8% Senior</p> <p>3.2% 1st Year Senior</p> <p>1.4% Unclassified Undergrad</p> <p>8.7% Graduate Student</p> <p>0.9% Professional Student</p> <p>0.7% Other</p>	<p><b>D. If you currently work off-campus, how much are your fees?</b></p> <p>76.6% Not a club member</p> <p>3.7% \$25 or less per month</p> <p>6.8% \$26-\$35 per month</p> <p>7.3% \$36-\$45 per month</p> <p>1.4% \$46-\$55 per month</p> <p>0.9% \$56 or more per month</p>
<p><b>E. Your gender is:</b></p> <p>45.4% Male</p> <p>54.6% Female</p>	<p><b>F. How do you get to class?</b></p> <p>34.0% Walk / Jog / Run</p> <p>19.9% Bicycle</p> <p>3.2% Bus</p> <p>40.6% Car</p> <p>0.7% Rollerblade / Skateboard</p>	<p><b>G. Where are you from originally?</b></p> <p>73.3% Within the state of Montana</p> <p>26.3% Outside the state of Montana</p>	
<p><b>H. What is your marital status?</b></p> <p>77.2% Single</p> <p>5.9% Single w child</p> <p>8.2% Married</p> <p>8.4% Married w child</p>	<p><b>I. What is your total number of credit hours?</b></p> <p>7.6% 0 - 6 credits</p> <p>21.0% 7 - 12 credits</p> <p>59.1% 13 - 17 credits</p> <p>12.3% more than 18 credits</p>		

Comments - Please comment if there is anything else you feel the University should know about your needs or your views on the type of improvements that should be made.

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA  
STUDENT RECREATION CENTER STUDY  
ACTIVITY PRIORITY ANALYSIS - BY DEPTH OF DEMAND

05-Oct-98

Student Responses

	Activity	Number	Percent	
1	Free weights	224	51.1%	
2	Fitness machines	181	41.3%	First Priority
3	Weight Machines	179	40.9%	Activities
4	Indoor jogging	102	23.3%	
5	Lap swimming	96	21.9%	Second Priority
6	Aerobics (Dance, Step, etc.)	95	21.7%	Activities
7	Martial Arts (karate, judo, etc.)	79	18.0%	
8	Basketball	71	16.2%	
9	Racquetball / Handball	65	14.8%	
10	Leisure swimming	62	14.2%	Third Priority
11	Indoor Volleyball	53	12.1%	Activities
12	Sport climbing (rock or wall)	51	11.6%	
13	Indoor soccer	37	8.4%	
14	Badminton	24	5.5%	Fourth Priority

Note:

Depth of Demand is measured as the number of respondents indicating they would engage in a specific activity at least two times per week. The measure is significant in that it indicates the extent to which activities are integral to the regular routine of an individual. Therefore, high depth activities are integral to the overall quality of life.

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA  
STUDENT RECREATION CENTER STUDY  
ACTIVITY PRIORITY ANALYSIS - BY BREADTH OF DEMAND

05-Oct-98

Student Responses

	Activity	Number	Percent	
1	Free weights	315	71.9%	First Priority Activities
2	Fitness machines	272	62.1%	
3	Weight Machines	263	60.0%	
4	Racquetball / Handball	193	44.1%	Second Priority Activities
5	Leisure swimming	191	43.6%	
6	Lap swimming	190	43.4%	
7	Indoor Volleyball	158	36.1%	Third Priority Activities
8	Basketball	157	35.8%	
9	Martial Arts (karate, judo, etc.)	155	35.4%	
10	Sport climbing (rock or wall)	151	34.5%	Fourth Priority Activities
11	Aerobics (Dance, Step, etc.)	147	33.6%	
12	Indoor jogging	142	32.4%	
13	Badminton	98	22.4%	
14	Indoor soccer	92	21.0%	

Note:

Breadth of Demand is measured as the number of respondents indicating they would engage in a specific activity even if only occasionally. The measure is significant in that it indicates the extent to which activities have some amount of recreational appeal to the population. Activities with low depth but high breadth of demand can easily be accommodated on a scheduled basis.

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA  
STUDENT RECREATION CENTER STUDY  
ANALYSIS OF FEE LEVEL VS. UNIVERSITY DEMOGRAPHICS

DEMOGRAPHIC CATEGORY	TOTAL	OPTION A (\$80 per semester)	OPTION B (\$60 per semester)	OPTION C (\$40 per semester)	NO FEE
Total Responses					
Non-responses: 6	98.6%	32.4%	38.2%	18.3%	11.1%
Age Distribution					
Non-responses: 6					
17 or Under	0.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18-19	30.1%	34.1%	43.2%	19.7%	3.0%
20-21	21.5%	31.9%	42.6%	13.8%	11.7%
22-23	15.3%	28.4%	38.8%	20.9%	11.9%
24-25	10.0%	27.3%	34.1%	20.5%	18.2%
26-30	9.1%	35.0%	30.0%	17.5%	17.5%
31-40	6.6%	31.0%	31.0%	20.7%	17.2%
41 or older	5.3%	34.8%	26.1%	17.4%	21.7%
Gender					
Non-responses: 6					
Male	45.2%	38.9%	34.3%	16.7%	16.1%
Female	53.4%	26.9%	41.5%	19.7%	12.0%
Marital Status					
Non-responses: 7					
Single	76.5%	32.5%	39.4%	18.5%	9.4%
Single w child	5.7%	28.0%	48.0%	20.0%	4.0%
Married	8.0%	28.6%	31.4%	22.9%	17.1%
Married w child	8.2%	38.9%	27.8%	11.1%	22.2%
Geographic Origin					
Non-responses: 8					
Within the state of Montana	72.1%	30.4%	39.6%	17.7%	12.3%
Outside the state of Montana	26.0%	38.6%	35.1%	19.3%	7.0%
Current Residence					
Non-responses: 6					
Residence Halls	29.5%	37.2%	41.1%	17.8%	3.9%
Family Housing	0.9%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Near U of M	33.8%	33.8%	37.2%	18.9%	10.1%
Elsewhere in Missoula	23.7%	27.9%	35.6%	17.3%	19.2%
Out side of Missoula	10.7%	27.7%	36.2%	19.1%	17.0%
Classification					
Non-responses: 22					
Freshman	28.5%	40.0%	40.0%	13.6%	6.4%
Sophomore	20.8%	31.9%	40.7%	19.8%	7.7%
Junior	17.1%	20.0%	48.0%	20.0%	12.0%
Senior	17.4%	34.2%	34.2%	19.7%	11.8%
Unclassified Undergrad	1.4%	33.3%	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%
Graduate Student	8.4%	32.4%	27.0%	21.6%	18.9%
Professional Student	0.9%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	75.0%
Other	0.5%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Credit hours					
Non-responses: 8					
0 - 6 credits	6.8%	26.7%	36.7%	10.0%	26.7%
7 - 12 credits	20.5%	31.1%	32.2%	21.1%	15.6%
13 - 17 credits	58.7%	31.5%	40.5%	20.2%	7.8%
more than 18 credits	12.1%	39.6%	39.6%	9.4%	11.3%

Demographics provided by the Office of Registrar, University of Montana, Autumn Semester 1998

NP Not Provided

## STUDENT RECREATION CENTER STUDY

## ANALYSIS OF SURVEY RESULTS VS. STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

05-Oct-98

CATEGORY	SURVEY			DEMOGRAPHICS *		
	COUNT	TOTAL	%	COUNT	TOTAL	%
<b>Age Distribution</b>						
(Non-responses: 0)						
17 or Under	3	438	0.7%	82	12,157	0.7%
18-19	132	438	30.1%	2,867	12,157	23.6%
20-21	95	438	21.7%	2,924	12,157	24.1%
22-23	68	438	15.5%	1,989	12,157	16.4%
24-25	44	438	10.0%	1,091	12,157	9.0%
26-30	42	438	9.6%	1,417	12,157	11.7%
31-40	29	438	6.6%	1,194	12,157	9.8%
41 or older	25	438	5.7%	768	12,157	6.3%
<b>Gender</b>						
(Non-responses: 0)						
Male	199	438	45.4%	5,772	12,157	47.5%
Female	239	438	54.6%	6,385	12,157	52.5%
<b>Geographic Origin</b>						
(Non-responses: 2)						
Within the state of Montana	321	438	73.6%	7,874	12,157	64.8%
Outside the state of Montana	115	438	26.4%	4,283	12,157	35.2%
<b>Current Residence</b>						
(Non-responses: 0)						
Residence Halls	129	438	29.5%	2,200	12,157	18.1%
Family Housing	4	438	0.9%	1,000	12,157	8.2%
Near U of M	151	438	34.5%	N/A	12,157	0.0%
Elsewhere in Missoula	106	438	24.2%	N/A	12,157	0.0%
Out side of Missoula	48	438	11.0%	N/A	12,157	0.0%
<b>Classification</b>						
(Non-responses: 2)						
Freshman	126	438	28.9%	3,388	12,157	27.9%
Sophomore	92	438	21.1%	2,230	12,157	18.3%
Junior	75	438	17.2%	1,971	12,157	16.2%
Senior	92	438	21.1%	2,356	12,157	19.4%
Unclassified Undergrad	6	438	1.4%	117	12,157	1.0%
Graduate Student	38	438	8.7%	1,441	12,157	11.9%
Professional Student	4	438	0.9%	627	12,157	5.2%
Other	3	438	0.7%	27	12,157	0.2%
<b>Credit hours</b>						
(Non-responses: 0)						
0 - 6 credits	33	438	7.5%	1,259	12,157	10.4%
7 - 12 credits	92	438	21.0%	4,063	12,157	33.4%
13 - 17 credits	259	438	59.1%	5,583	12,157	45.9%
more than 18 credits	54	438	12.3%	1,252	12,157	10.3%

Demographics provided by the Office of Registrar, University of Montana, Autumn Semester 1998

NP: Not Provided