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Characterizing the requirements for the matricellular protein \(dCCN\) in nervous system function

Chairperson: Dr. Sarah J. Certel

The brain is organized as a complex network of specialized neurons that communicate via a combination of electrical and chemical signals. Our brains function to generate movement, control organ function, or direct complex behaviors; all of which requires the ability to regulate the flow of communication between circuits and networks. Work in this thesis addresses two areas of neuron communication: first, how does the release of more than one neurotransmitter from a single neuron impact behavior, and second, are matricellular proteins (MCPs) key contributors to synaptic transmission and neuron function? The conserved CCN family of MCPs have a unique mosaic structure consisting of a secretory signal peptide followed by four conserved functional domains. This complex mosaic structure provides CCN proteins with key signaling and regulatory roles that are required for many vital biological functions, however, our understanding of the function of CCN proteins in the central nervous system (CNS) is quite limited. The goal of this study was to characterize \(dCCN\) expression, the sole \textit{Drosophila melanogaster} CCN member, and determine how \(dCCN\) contributes to neuron function. We determined that \(dCCN\) expression in the CNS begins during embryogenesis and continues into mature adult neurons. In the adult, \(dCCN\) expression was found in a number of neuron types including sensory neurons, neurons innervating the crop and gut of the gastrointestinal system, and neurons innervating the ovaries and uterus indicating a multi-faceted role in neuron function in this invertebrate member. Furthermore, I describe co-expression between \(dCCN\) and neurons that express the monoamines octopamine (OA), dopamine (DA), and serotonin (5-HT), and in neurons that are sexually dimorphic, including \textit{fruitless (fru)}, and \textit{double-sex (dsx)}. Lastly, we demonstrate for the first time a requirement for \(dCCN\) in synaptic transmission at the larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ), and female fertility. Our results demonstrate \(dCCN\) is expressed in a diverse set of neurons that respond to a variety of external and internal signals, direct synaptic transmission at the neuromuscular junction, and are critical for the function of reproductive and behavioral circuits.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Abbreviation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular matrix</td>
<td>ECM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracellular space</td>
<td>ECS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matricellular protein</td>
<td>MCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCN</td>
<td>Cellular Communication Network Factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCN family of matricellular proteins</td>
<td>CCN family of MCPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila melanogaster CCN</td>
<td>dCCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYR61/CTGF/NOV <em>original gene names</em></td>
<td>CCN (former/current CCN nomenclature)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYR61</td>
<td>Cysteine rich 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTGF</td>
<td>Connective tissue growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOV</td>
<td>Nephroblastoma overexpressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISP1-3 <em>older names</em></td>
<td>CCN4-6 <em>current names</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic brain injury</td>
<td>TBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octopamine</td>
<td>OA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>DA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serotonin</td>
<td>5-HT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central nervous system</td>
<td>CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral nervous system</td>
<td>PNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral nerve cord</td>
<td>VNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fruitless</td>
<td>fru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>double-sex</td>
<td>dsx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromuscular junction</td>
<td>NMJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Peptide</td>
<td>SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin-like growth factor binding protein</td>
<td>IGFBP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Willebrand factor type C repeat</td>
<td>VWC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombospondin type 1 repeat</td>
<td>TSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysteine knot</td>
<td>CK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1</td>
<td>LRP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt inducible signaling protein</td>
<td>WISP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparan sulfate proteoglycans</td>
<td>HSPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone morphogenetic protein 4</td>
<td>BMP4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transforming growth factor β</td>
<td>TGFβ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin-like growth factor</td>
<td>IGF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subesophageal zone</td>
<td>SEZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory mesothoracic neuropil</td>
<td>AMNp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal neuropil</td>
<td>ANp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 1: Introduction
The monoamine neurotransmitters and extra-synaptic release

Monoamine neurotransmitters, also known as the biogenic amines, are a class of key neuromodulators important for numerous biological processes in a wide range of animals including humans. The biogenic amines each contain an amino group attached to an aromatic ring, making these biological compounds highly stable and susceptible to many enzymatic interactions (Dougherty, 2007; Grouleff et al., 2015). The monoamine neurotransmitters include dopamine (DA), serotonin (5-HT), norepinephrine (NE), and octopamine (OA), the invertebrate homologue to NE. Monoamine neurotransmitters, monoamine receptors, and aminergic-modulated neuronal circuits are highly conserved between vertebrates and invertebrates, and carry out a diverse set of physiological functions (Kravitz and Huber, 2003; Spielman et al., 2015; Swallow et al., 2016; Kamhi, 2017).

Within the conserved anatomical structure and physiological function of monoamine-expressing neurons, there is diversity in the behaviors and organs modulated by monoamines. For example, monoamine neurotransmitters modulate complex mood states and govern behaviors including sleep, appetite, attention, arousal, locomotion, stress response, sexual drive and reproduction, learning and memory, addiction formation, and eusocial behaviors (Dishman 1997; Libersat and Pflueger 2004; Kamhi and Traniello 2013; Bubak et al., 2014; De Boer et al., 2015; Swallow et al., 2016).

Second, dysregulation of monoamine signaling is a significant component of psychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders such as sleep dysfunction (Watson, 2010), major depression (Nutt, 2008; Hamon and Blier, 2013; Yukiori et al., 2016), bi-polar spectrum disorders (Walderhaug et al., 2011), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD; Manor et al., 2002; Reddy, 2013), schizophrenia and schizoaffective spectrum psychoses (Issa et al., 1994; Sedvall, 1990; Yukiori et al., 2016; Leppik et al., 2018), Alzheimer’s Disease (Liu et al., 2016), and Parkinson’s Disease (Bruno et al., 2016). Third, monoamine neurotransmitters are critical in the regulation of heart rate and blood pressure (Watts et al., 2012), smooth muscle function (Gilloteaux, 1979), the gastrointestinal system (Meirieu et al., 1986; Eisenhofer et al., 1997; Mittal et al., 2017), female reproduction (Hansson et al., 2009), and thermoregulation (Cook et al., 2017; Sinakevitch, 2018). In summation, monoamine neurotransmitters are involved in many
physiological, neurological, and behavioral processes in both vertebrates and invertebrates.

In addition to release at the synapse, monoamine neurotransmitters are released extra-synaptically; from non-synaptic sites including the soma, somatic dendrites, and axons (De-Miguel et al., 2005; Fuxe et al., 2015; Grygoruk et al, 2014; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2014; De-Miguel and Nicholls, 2015; Del-Bel and De-Miguel, 2018; Svensson et al., 2018). Extra-synaptic release is a separate method of signaling that occurs by diffusion as monoamines and neuropeptides move from the releasing source to the target receptor in a process termed volume transmission (De-Miguel et al., 2005; Fuxe et al., 2015; Grygoruk et al, 2014; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2014; De-Miguel and Nicholls, 2015; Del-Bel and De-Miguel, 2018; Svensson et al., 2018). Signaling through volume transmission impacts time and anatomical space considerations within the central nervous system (CNS; De-Miguel et al., 2005; Ludwig, 2006; Fuxe et al., 2015; Grygoruk et al., 2014; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2014; De-Miguel and Nicholls, 2015; Del-Bel and De-Miguel, 2018; Svensson et al., 2018). Extra-synaptic, and in particular, somatic exocytosis, is slower than synaptic terminal release and affects the CNS for several hours (Ludwig, 2006; Trueta and De-Miguel, 2012; De-Miguel et al., 2015; De-Miguel and Nicholls, 2015). Therefore, extra-synaptic release can prolong the effect of a signal with a slow-onset and long-lasting timing to the modulation of hardwired circuits (Ludwig, 2006; Trueta and De-Miguel, 2012; De-Miguel et al., 2015; De-Miguel and Nicholls, 2015).

Results from many animal systems indicate that specific neuron populations primarily signal extra-synaptically. Ridet et al. in 1993, and Van Bockstaele et al. in 1993, examined 5-HT neurons in the dorsal horns of rat spinal cords (Ridet et al., 1993) and in the rat nucleus accumbens (Van Bockstaele and Pickel, 1993), and both research groups found over half of the 5-HT neurons they examined do not form any classical synapses. Both Ridet et al. and Van Bockstaele et al.’s findings show evidence that specific 5-HT neurons largely communicate extra-synaptically. Another example of extra-synaptic release is found with oxytocin, a powerful neuropeptide. Although there is little innervation of oxytocin containing projections observed in rodent brains, dendritic extra-synaptic release of oxytocin contributes profound long-lasting impacts on social
bonding behavior (Nicholson and Rice, 1991; Kawagoe et al., 1992; DeVries et al., 2006; Ludwig, 2006; Syková and Nicholson, 2008; Wang et al., 2011; Naskar and Stern, 2014; Dyakonova et al., 2019). In addition, although glutamate mediates point-to-point transmission at the synapse, recent studies indicate that glutamate spillover from the synaptic cleft may accumulate in the extra-synaptic space, and signal through volume transmission to regulate crucial brain functions (Okubo and Iino, 2011; Tabor and Hurley, 2014). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that extra-synaptic signaling is a widespread phenomenon, and importantly for this thesis, is that extra-synaptic signaling can be modified by the dynamic microenvironment that surrounds each neuron. Such dynamic processes include ECM turnover, ionic changes due to neural activity, changes in intercellular adhesion machinery, and changes in MCP function in response to variations in environmental cues (Wong and Rustgi, 2013; Barnes et al., 2017; Nicholson and Hrabětová, 2017).

Once monoamine neurotransmitters are released into the extracellular space, they bind to post-synaptic receptors to elicit a physiological response. In addition, monoamine activated receptors are also expressed on pre-synaptic neurons and called autoreceptors (Timmermans and Thoolen, 1987). Activation of autoreceptors has been shown to be important for conveying feedback regulation of neurotransmitter release (Göthert, 1985; Xie et al., 2008; Langer, 2008; García-Fuster, and García-Sevilla, 2015; Rutigliano et al., 2018). Monoamine receptors are G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), with the exception of the serotonin 5-HT3 receptor, which is the sole ionotropic-gated receptor (Martin et al., 2010). In summation, the combination of synaptic and extra-synaptic release provides monoamine-expressing neurons the capability of communicating both at high speeds with spatial precision, as well as at slower speeds with an anatomically broader impact and longer-lasting effects on the CNS. Both communication modes are important for brain function in health, disease states, and behavior.

The extracellular environment and neurotransmission

Monoamine neurotransmitters, neuropeptides, and neuromodulatory transmitters are released from neurons or glia, and travel within the synaptic cleft or diffuse extra-synaptically into the extracellular fluid to reach their receptor molecule targets. The
microenvironment surrounding cells, or the space between individual cells, is known as the extracellular matrix (ECM). The ECM is a non-cellular medium composed of water and filled with many characterized proteins and macromolecules that form a three-dimensional network (Bornstein, 1995; Bornstein and Sage, 2002; Frantz et al., 2010; Schultz et al., 2011; Kular et al., 2014; Murphy-Ullrich and Sage, 2014; Kusindarta and Wihadmadyatami, 2018; Adams, 2018). Matrix components bind each other as well as cell adhesion receptors to form a complex web-like network into which cells reside (Bornstein, 2009; Kular et al., 2014; Kusindarta and Wihadmadyatami, 2018; Adams, 2018). Cell surface receptors transduce signals into cells from the ECM, which regulate diverse cellular functions, such as survival, growth and shape, adhesion and de-adhesion, migration, proliferation, and differentiation (Bornstein, 1995; Bornstein and Sage, 2002; Schultz et al., 2011; Murphy-Ullrich and Sage, 2014; Kusindarta and Wihadmadyatami, 2018; Adams, 2018). Thus, similar to how a flourishing garden requires nutritious and enriched soil to give rise to healthy plants that yield high quality produce, so too, do cells or neurons and glia in their ECM environment.

One principle role of the ECM is to provide a space for ECM proteins and macromolecules to physically support cells. The ECM consists of numerous cell secreted molecules within a dynamically and reciprocally rapidly changing environment (Frantz et al., 2010; Schultz et al., 2011; Kusindarta and Wihadmadyatami, 2018; Adams, 2018). Cells modify the surrounding ECM, and can readily secrete and orient structurally supportive molecules (Alberts et al., 2002; Adams, 2018). The primary job for many cell secreted molecules of the ECM is to provide critical structural support for cells to assist with cellular growth and shape, development, injury repair, and cell stabilization (Bornstein, 1995; Bornstein and Sage, 2002; Frantz et al., 2010; Schultz et al., 2011; Kular et al., 2014; Murphy-Ullrich and Sage, 2014; Kusindarta and Wihadmadyatami, 2018; Adams, 2018). Some examples of cell secreted ECM proteins include collagens, proteoglycans, glycosaminoglycans, elastin, fibronectin, laminins, and several other glycoproteins. These structural ECM proteins attach to the cytoskeleton of cells and give tissues their structural integrity and durability, allowing tissues to be flexible or hard, and withstand pulling, stretching, twisting, and various other mechanical movements (Frantz et al., 2010; Kular et al., 2014; Kusindarta and Wihadmadyatami, 2018; Adams, 2018).
Thus, the ECM is essential for creating the dynamic, complex, three-dimensional meshwork environment into which cells embed into. Additionally, the overall composition of a tissue’s ECM is responsible for giving tissues their shape, flexibility, and firmness.

The second fundamental role of the ECM is to provide a medium for intercellular signaling to occur. Intercellular signaling is “the transfer of information from one cell to another, which is accomplished by a cell releasing a substance that is taken up by another cell” (National Cancer Institute, 2020). Matricellular proteins (MCPs) are non-structural cell secreted proteins that are critical in modulating intercellular signaling and ECM:cell communication within any tissue type in both vertebrates and invertebrates (Bornstein, 1995; Bornstein and Sage, 2002; Frantz et al., 2010; Schultz et al., 2011; Kular et al., 2014; Murphy-Ullrich and Sage, 2014; Kusindarta and Wihadmadyatami, 2018; Adams, 2018). Secreted MCPs are sometimes found along the edges of cells, interacting with cell surface receptors and structural ECM proteins (Bornstein, 2009; Schultz et al., 2011; Kular et al., 2014; Malik et al., 2015; Adams, 2018). MCPs can also modulate regulatory and growth factors, hormones, and other bioeffector molecules (Bornstein, 2009; Schultz et al., 2011; Kular et al., 2014; Morris and Kyriakides, 2014; Malik et al., 2015; Adams, 2018). Intercellular communication or cell:ECM signaling is crucial for proper cell migration, anchoring, differentiation, wound healing, apoptosis, growth, proliferation, and many other important cellular processes within a variety of tissues (Bornstein, 2009; Schultz et al., 2011; Kusindarta and Wihadmadyatami, 2018; Adams, 2018). This critical and delicate balance of structural remodeling and stabilization requires cell:ECM interactions to reciprocally signal, which allow for dynamic and rapid appropriate structural changes in the development of many tissues throughout an organism’s lifespan.

There are several distinct MCP families which are highly conserved and found across many different animal phyla (Bornstein and Sage, 2002; Bornstein, 2009; Adams, 2018). One family of MCPs are the thrombospondins, which have been shown to be important for cell processes involved with angiogenesis, cancer progression, inflammation regulation, immune system regulation, formation of myotendinous junctions, maintenance of the myocardium integrity and function, and synaptogenesis (Bornstein and Sage, 2002; Bornstein, 2009; Stenina-Adognravi, 2014; Adams, 2018).
Another class of MCPs is the SPARC family, which has eight members and has been shown to be vital for ECM assembly, counter-adhesion, ECM protease regulation, and regulation of growth factor and cytokine activation pathways (Bornstein and Sage, 2002; Bornstein, 2009; Bradshaw, 2012; Adams, 2018). Finally, I will discuss below the CCN family of MCPs which are critical for cellular development, differentiation, and cell:ECM communication (Bornstein and Sage, 2002; Rachfal, 2005; Katsube et al., 2009; Chen and Lau, 2009; Bornstein, 2009; Perbal, 2013; Adams, 2018; Perbal, 2018).

The CCN family of matricellular proteins

The CCN family of MCPs is currently known as the family of Cellular Communication Network Factors (previous nomenclature: CYR61/CTGF/NOV; the term was coined by Bork P. in 1993 and was named after the first three discovered proteins to create the original CCN acronym; Bork, 1993; Brigstock et al., 2003; Perbal, 2018). There are six CCN family members in vertebrates (H. sapiens, M. musculus, X. tropicalis, and D. rerio) and a sole CCN family member in invertebrates (B. floridae, D. melanogaster, E. multilocularis; Hu et al, 2019). CCN proteins are secreted as well as found in the nucleus of cells (Holbourn et al., 2008; Jun and Lau, 2011; Malik et al., 2015; Krupska et al., 2015; Perbal, 2018). CCN family members are unique as they contain a signal peptide and four well conserved domains that can be found in ECM or signaling protein families (Planque and Perbal, 2003; Rachfal, 2005; Leask and Abraham, 2006; Holbourn et al., 2008; Perbal, 2013; Malik et al., 2015; Krupska et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2016; Takigawa, 2017; Perbal, 2018; Hu et al., 2019). Following the export signal peptide (SP), the four highly conserved domains of CCN proteins include the insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP), a von Willebrand factor type C repeat (VWC), thrombospondin type-1 repeat (TSP-1), and a cysteine knot-containing domain (CK) (see figure 1A; adapted from figure 1 of Malik et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2019). CCN5 is the only exception, in that this particular CCN family member is missing the CK domain (Planque and Perbal, 2003; Holbourn et al., 2008; Malik et al., 2015; Krupska et al., 2015). Examples of CCN domain interacting partners include integrins, Notch 1, Fibulin C1, Collagen V, Fibronectin, Wnts, Bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP4), Transforming
growth factor β (TGFβ), Lipoprotein receptor related protein 1 (LRP1), Insulin-like growth factor (IGF), and heparin sulfate proteoglycans (HPSG) (see Figure 1C; adapted from Malik et al., 2015). These canonical interacting partners and receptors of CCN proteins allow for the regulation of many cellular processes important for the growth, development, maintenance, and ECM:cell communication (Leask and Abraham, 2006; Katsube et al., 2009; Chen and Lau, 2009; Jun and Lau, 2011; Malik et al., 2015; Krupska et al., 2015; Takigawa, 2017; Perbal, 2018). One example of a CK domain-mediated interaction is signaling between CCN3 and Notch 1, which is required for neuronal differentiation in the chick retina (Laurent et al., 2012; Malik et al., 2015). In summation, the CCN family of MCPs have the unique ability to interact with numerous receptors and ligand partners due to their four highly conserved domains.

Figure 1:

The CCN family of MCPs is often thought of as “traffic coordinators” – recruiting various molecular workers to the area at specific times, and modulating intercellular signaling to regulate cell function, gene expression, development, angiogenesis, apoptosis, differentiation, ECM structural remodeling, cell stabilization and anchoring, injury repair, overall cell homeostasis, and ECM:cell communication (Leask and
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Abraham, 2006; Katsube et al., 2009; Chen and Lau, 2009; Jun and Lau, 2011; Malik et al., 2015; Krupska et al., 2015; Takigawa, 2017; Perbal, 2018).

CCN family members can initiate many diverse cellular responses when interacting with the same receptor. For example, CCN1 can interact with the αvβ3 integrin of fibroblasts to initiate DNA synthesis or proliferation, but activating the same receptor in microvascular endothelial cells via CCN1 will inspire chemotaxis to occur (Jun and Lau, 2011). The CCN family of MCPs can also coordinate opposing actions as well. For example, CCN1 promotes chemotaxis in smooth muscle cells whereas CCN3 prohibits migration in the same cells (Jun and Lau, 2011). Lastly, CCN proteins can synergistically change cellular responses when paired with other bioeffector molecules. For example, α6β1 activation via CCN1 alone influences cell adhesion in fibroblasts, but when CCN1 is paired with TNF-α and activates the same receptor, apoptosis in fibroblasts occurs (Jun and Lau, 2011). These pleiotropic behaviors are dependent on the cell type, the cell’s receptor composition, the presence of additional bioeffector molecules, and the cell’s current status in development (Jun and Lau, 2011; Malik et al., 2015). In summation, the CCN family of MCPs has the extraordinary ability to function in a pleiotropic manner, and can govern numerous different cellular responses among many different tissues.

Numerous studies demonstrate that alterations in CCN function, or disruptions in cell:CCN family of MCPs communication results in a wide range of disorders. Examples include developmental disorders such as cardiac diseases and heart vasculature developmental defects (Frangogiannis, 2012; Xia et al., 2016; Klenotic et al., 2016; Díez et al., 2016), bone development disorders (Kubota and Takigawa, 2011, Takigawa, 2013; Chen et al., 2014; Kubota and Takigawa, 2015), fibrotic diseases (Kubota and Takigawa, 2015; Díez et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017), kidney disease and glomerulosclerosis (Sawai et al., 2007), and dysregulation of inflammation throughout development (Leask and Abraham, 2006; Jun et al., 2011; Kular et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2014). In addition, CCN dysfunction has been linked to numerous types of cancer including glioblastoma where CNN1 levels are used as a prognostic factor (Dhar and Ray, 2010; Li et al., 2015; Ishida et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2018).

Within the CNS, CCN dysregulation has been reported in psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia (Ito et al., 2007), depression, bi-polar spectrums, and post-partum
psychosis (Malik et al., 2015; Dazzan et al., 2018; Davies, 2019). A role of CCN proteins in neurodegenerative diseases such as dementia, Alzheimer’s Disease, or Parkinson’s Disease has been reported. An increase in CCN2 expression levels leads to the promotion of chronic inflammation and the formation of neuritic plaques and neurofibrillary tangles; all of which are associated with neurodegenerative diseases (Ueberham et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2005; Jun and Lau, 2011; Malik et al., 2015; Jayakumar et al., 2017). Changes in CCN transcript levels occur in response to traumatic brain injuries (TBIs) as well. Increased levels of CCN2 transcripts and protein was induced in rodent neurons and glia after TBI lesions were made (Schwab et al., 2000; Hertel et al., 2000; Schwab et al., 2001; Jones and Bouvier, 2014; Malik et al., 2015; Abu Hamdeh et al., 2018). Lastly, CCN proteins have also been associated with CNS viral infections such as the Zika virus, in which CCN1 and astrocytes have reportedly played a role as an infection mechanism through manipulations by the Zika virus (Sun et al., 2019). In summation, the CCN family of MCPs has implications in many developmental disorders, mood states, diseases, cancers, and many other pathologies.

As CCN family members are critical for development, ECM modeling, and intercellular communication, it comes as no surprise that CCN proteins are highly expressed within the developing and mature CNS. The Allen Mouse Brain Atlas and Allen Human Brain Atlas has characterized CCN expression patterns in the rodent and human CNS. High expression levels of CCN1-6 members are found in the hippocampus, cortical regions, caudate nucleus, cerebellum, and spinal cord (Lein et al., 2007; Hawrylycz, 2012; Malik et al., 2015; Jayakumar et al., 2017; Kusindarta and Wihadmadyatami, 2018). Although the functional role for CCN proteins within the CNS has been largely understudied, new results indicate a complex role in neuron development and differentiation. For example, Malik et al. demonstrated that CCN1 is required for dendritic branching in rat hippocampal neurons in vitro, and acts downstream of the Ras, ERK, and PI3K signaling pathways (Malik et al., 2013). In other examples, Khodosevich et al. in 2013, demonstrated that CCN2 regulated and promoted apoptosis in rodent olfactory bulb newborn neurons in an activity-dependent manner (Khodosevich et al., 2013; Malik et al., 2015), and CCN3 suppresses myogenesis through Notch 1 signaling (Sakamoto et al., 2002). In addition, CCN3 plays a role in
neuroinflammation by upregulating CCL2 and CXCL1 expression in astrocytes through β1 and β5 integrins; acting through the Rho/ROCK/JNK/NF-kappaB and Rho/qROCK/p38/NF-kappaB signaling pathways (Le Dréau et al., 2010). These few examples demonstrate a portion of the ways that the CCN family of MCPs contribute to CNS function. However, it is largely unknown how CCN family members contribute to neuronal circuit formation or function.

**dCCN**

The model organism, *Drosophila melanogaster*, is an excellent animal model to examine CCN function in the CNS for several reasons. The first reason being that only a single CCN family member is encoded by the *Drosophila* genome, thus eliminating concerns about redundancy or overlapping functional roles. Other advantages include a well-established genetic toolbox, an easy to use genetically amenable system, and *Drosophila* have a simplified CNS with approximately ~100,000 neurons. The sole *Drosophila* CCN member, *dCCN*, has a signal peptide and three of the four domains present, including the VWC, TSP-1, and CK domains (see figure 1B). The focus of this thesis project was to determine and characterize *dCCN* expression throughout development and into the adult stage, as well as identify neuron populations that co-express *dCCN*. In addition, I determined *dCCN* is required for female fertility and through collaboration, *dCCN* is a requirement in synaptic transmission.

**Significance**

The narrow microenvironment that surrounds every cell of the CNS provides a reservoir for the dynamic intercellular structure and signaling communication that is required for neuron development and function. Many signaling molecules including classical neurotransmitters, neuropeptides, and monoamine neuromodulators are released by neurons or glia and disperse by volume transmission to reach their receptor targets. Our long-term goal is to understand how CCN proteins impact monoamine signaling via volume transmission in wildtype and disease conditions. The experiments in this thesis provide the frame to address this question by: (1) characterizing the expression pattern of the single *Drosophila* family member, (2) determining a subset of monoaminergic neurons express *dCCN*, (3) demonstrate *dCCN* is required for female fertility and thus
exhibits a sex-specific function, and (4) by collaboration I report that \(dCCN\) is required for synaptic transmission at the neuromuscular junction (NMJ). My findings support and advance the previously published work in vertebrates while providing a strong genetically manipulatable platform that will allow future studies addressing neuron-specific requirements for \(dCCN\) during development as well as in the mature nervous system. Together, results from this project are expected to significantly enhance the potential to address MCP function in distinct cellular contexts that could lead to novel ways of manipulating neurotransmitters of volume transmission, the efficacy of drug delivery, and the remodeling of neuronal networks.
Chapter 2: Identification and Characterization of $dCCN$
**dCCN expression begins during embryogenesis**

The CCN family has a common primary structure consisting of a secretory signal peptide at the N-terminus followed by four conserved functional domains: insulin-like growth factor binding protein domain (IGFBP), von Willebrand factor type-C domain (VWC), thrombospondin type-1 repeat domain (TSP-1), and a cysteine-knot-containing (CK) domain (Fig. 2A) (Perbal 2004; Yeger and Perbal 2007; Holbourn et al., 2008). A recent comparative analysis of the CCN gene family (Hu et al., 2019) as well as our own homology searches indicates the *Drosophila* genome encodes a single CCN family member, *dCCN* (*Drosophila CCN*). While lacking the IGFBP domain, *dCCN* contains the VWC, TSP-1, and CK domains as well as a signal peptide within the transmembrane domain, and up to 7 glycosylation sites (Fig. 2A-B, SFig. 1) (Hu et al., 2019).

Specific CCN family members in zebrafish, *xenopus*, and mice are required for embryonic viability (e.g., mammalian CCN1, 2 and 5) (Latinkic et al., 2003; Jun and Lau 2011; Krupska et al., 2015), while other members are not essential for development (e.g., mammalian CCN3, 4 and 6) (Jun and Lau 2011; Ono et al., 2018), possibly due to functional redundancy and/or specialization during evolution (Holbourn et al., 2008; Krupska et al., 2015). *dCCN* transcripts were detected at embryonic stage 13 and predominantly confined to the developing ventral nerve cord (VNC) (Fig. 2C). Within the segmentally-repeated VNC neuromeres at stage 15, *dCCN* transcripts accumulate in differentiating neurons including cells at the midline (arrow, Fig. 2D). To facilitate the identification of *dCCN*-expressing cells, we generated a *dCCN-Gal4* line through MiMIC insertion-conversion at the endogenous *dCCN* chromosomal locus. MiMIC-converted Gal4 drivers are under control of the complete regulatory region of each gene and thus reliably reflect endogenous gene expression (Diao et al., 2015). Expression of a UAS-driven membrane GFP reporter, *UAS-CD8:GFP*, by *dCCN-Gal4* (hereafter *dCCN-G> GFP*) confirmed predominant expression in the VNC (stage 17, Fig. 2E). Based on qRT-PCR quantification, our *dCCN-Gal4* line is also a severe hypomorphic allele (hereafter *dCCNGal4*) (SFig. 2). *dCCNGal4/*dCCNGal4* embryos develop to larval stages, indicating that *dCCN* is not required for embryonic viability. However, the number of homozygous
Figure 2: Vertebrate CCNs and Drosophila CCN share domain homology. (A) dCCN contains the signal peptide (SP) and three of the four conserved modules, von Willebrand factor type C (VWC), thrombospondin type 1 (TSP1) repeat, and a C-terminal cysteine knot (CK). dCCN is lacking an insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP) domain. (B) Sequence alignment of mouse CCN1 and dCCN. (C) dCCN transcripts accumulates in the developing CNS (arrow) beginning at stage 14. (D) Midline cells accumulate dCCN transcripts in a stage 15 dissected CNS (arrow). (E) CNS expression (arrow) in a UAS-CD8::GFP; dCCNGal4/+ stage 17 embryo. Scale bar represents 50 µm.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLI</td>
<td>PLL</td>
<td>GGI</td>
<td>FNG</td>
<td>FVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460</td>
<td>470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S1: *dCCN* sequence and domains. The *dCCN* sequence contains a signal peptide (SP), a von Willebrand Factor type C repeat domain (VWC), a thrombospondin type-I repeat domain (TSP-1), and a cysteine knot-containing domain (CK).
**Supplemental figure 2:**

**Figure S2: dCCN transcript expression.** Quantification of dCCN transcripts. A significant reduction of dCCN transcripts is observed in homozygous dCCNGal4 flies (dark blue column) when compared with heterozygous dCCNGal4 (light blue column) flies and yw controls (black column). Error bars denote S.E.M.
progeny is less than expected, suggesting that *Drosophila* development is compromised with reduced dCCN function.

**dCCN is required for synaptic transmission at the larval neuromuscular junction**

Within the central nervous system (CNS), CCN family members are expressed in neurons and glia (Malik et al., 2015; Jayakumar et al., 2017). For example, CCN1 has been found in rat hippocampal and cortical neurons, whereas CCN2 and CCN3 are expressed in subtypes of glia as well as neurons (Kondo et al., 1999; Schwab et al., 2000, 2001). To determine the neuronal vs. glial identity of dCCN-expressing cells, we double-labeled dissected larval CNSs from dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4}→UAS-dsRed progeny with the neuronal marker, Elav, and separately the Repo glial marker (SFWig. 3) (Koushika et al., 1996; Kaplow et al., 2008). Widespread but distinct dCCN expression was found in the central brain minus the optic lobe regions, and also present in the VNC (Fig. 3B). Extensive co-localization between dCCN>dsRed and Elav indicate the majority of dCCN+ cells are either inter- or motor neurons (SFWig. 3 A-B’’). Co-localization was not observed between dCCN>dsRed and the Repo glial marker (SFWig. 3C-D’’). Additional methods of identifying possible glial co-expression includes the use of the repo-flp line in combination with dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4}, and two separate split-Gal4 combinations with different dCCN split gal4 lines and the glia-expressing excitatory amino acid transporter (EAAT1)-Gal4 lines did not identify dCCN-expressing glia (see Materials and Methods). Thus, using *in situ* hybridization and dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4}, we determined that dCCN is expressed during development, comparable to vertebrate CCN gene expression levels during development. However, in contrast to the vertebrate CCNs, the expression of dCCN appears limited to the CNS and neurons.

As in the embryo, the *Drosophila* larval VNC is composed of segmentally repeated neuromeres with motor neurons extending outside of the VNC to innervate body wall muscles (Fig. 3A) (Keshishian et al., 1996; Landgraf et al., 1997, 2003; Landgraf and Thor 2006). Using dCCN>GFP, we determined dCCN-expressing neurons include motor neurons that innervate muscles 6 and 7 among others at the larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ) (Figure 3C). During larval stages, dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} mutants have a morphologically
Supplemental figure 3:

Figure S3: dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} expression is found within neurons and not glia. (A-A'') Larval brain lobes stained with Anti-Elav of a UAS-dsRed; dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} CNS reveals co-expression of dCCN and Elav (see arrowheads). (B-B'') Consistent co-expression of Elav and dCCN of the larval VNC are either inter- or motor neurons. (C-D'') No co-expression was found among Repo and dCCN in the brain lobes and VNC of a UAS-dsRed; dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} larval CNS. Scale bars represent 50 μm.
Figure 3: 

(A) A schematic displaying a filet of a larvae exposing the central nervous system (CNS). Motor neuron projections from the CNS innervate the body wall muscles. The inset shows a zoomed in schematic representation of a motor neuron innervating the body wall muscles at a neuromuscular junction (NMJ). (B) Pseudo colored green nuclei is seen in the larval CNS of dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>→UAS-dsRed. Blue represents DAPI staining. Scale bar represent 50 μm. (C) An image displaying a dCCN expressing motor neuron innervating the body wall muscles 6 and 7 at an NMJ of an dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>→UAS-CD8:GFP larvae enhanced with anti-GFP. Red represents anti-actinin staining (invertebrate muscle marker). Scale bar represents 50 μm. (D-F) Electrophysiology kinetics detailing a significant decrease in the neurotransmission of homozygous dCCN mutants when compared with wild type (WT) controls. (D) A measurement of excitatory post-synaptic potential amplitude shows a significant decrease in neurotransmission at the NMJ of homozygous dCCN mutants when compared with WT controls. (E) A measurement of mini-amplitude reveals no significant difference between homozygous dCCN mutants and WT controls. (F) A measurement of mini-frequency reveals no significant difference between homozygous dCCN mutants and WT controls. Error bars denote S.E.M. Statistical tests conducted were two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001).
normal NMJ without readily apparent structural defects in boutons or bouton numbers (SFig. 4A-B). However, the few adults that eclose exhibit locomotor defects. While it is well-established that ECM molecules derived from both neurons and glial regulate different aspects of synaptic differentiation and synaptic function (Rohrbough et al., 2007; Eroglu 2009; Jayakumar et al., 2017), a role for CCN family members in synaptic function has been limited to acting as immediate early genes in response to events that alter synaptic activity. For instance, CCN protein expression is altered in response to neuroinflammation by the cytokines Tβh/TNFα and to facilitate synaptic plasticity via the activity of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (Albrecht et al., 2000; Kular et al., 2011), and increased expression of CCN1 via β1-integrin induces dendritic growth (Malik et al., 2013). To ask if dCCN itself is required for synaptic transmission, we used two-electrode voltage clamp recordings to examine NMJ neurotransmission. We find from our recordings of evoked excitatory junction currents (EJCs) and spontaneous miniature events (mEJCs) that EJC amplitudes of dCCN mutants are reduced by 38.8% versus controls (P<0.001) (Fig. 3D-F). A reduction in miniature event frequency of 33.4% compared to controls (P<0.001, data not shown) was seen without a change in miniature event amplitude. Given these results, quantal content is reduced by 40.3% (P<0.001) in dCCN mutants versus controls. Taken together, these results indicate that dCCNGal4 mutants have a significant presynaptic evoked neurotransmission defect.

**Adult peripheral and central nervous system neurons expression of dCCN**

To ask if dCCN expression is maintained in the adult nervous system and putatively required for neuron function as well as neuron differentiation, we examined dCCN>UAS-GFP expression in the mature adult *Drosophila* peripheral nervous system (PNS) and CNS (Fig. 4A). While widespread, dCCN>UAS-stingerGFP (hereafter dCCN>UAS-nlsGFP) is not ubiquitous and is instead found in distinct brain and VNC regions (Fig. 4B-C). For example, although absent in the larva optic lobe, extensive dCCN>UAS-nlsGFP expression is visible in the adult optic lobe, as well as the subesophageal zone (SEZ), the superior protocerebrum, and the ventromedial and ventrolateral neuropils (Figure 4B). Additionally, we dissected both heterozygous and homozygous dCCNGal4 adult brains, and
Figure S4: Significant morphological differences were not observed in heterozygous vs homozygous dCCNGal4 larval neuromuscular junctions. (A) dCCN>GFP expressing projections and boutons can be seen making synaptic contact points on muscles 6 and 7 of a heterozygous 20XUAS-6XGFP; dCCNGal4 larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ). (B) dCCN>GFP expressing projections and boutons can be seen making synaptic contact points on muscles 6 and 7 of a homozygous 20XUAS-6XGFP; dCCNGal4/dCCNGal4 NMJ. No obvious or significant differences between heterozygous vs homozygous dCCNGal4 NMJs are observed. Red represents actinin staining. Scale bars represent 50 μm.
Figure 4: *dCCN* is expressed in a diverse set of neurons in the adult nervous system. (A) Schematic overview of selected *Drosophila* adult organs. (B-C) Widespread nuclear GFP expression in the brain and VNC of a *dCCN^Gald;UAS-nlsGFP* adult visualized with the neuropil marker BRP (blue) and anti-GFP by immunohistochemistry. (D) Nuclear *dCCN* expression in the adult male foreleg. Blue represents DAPI staining. (E) *dCCN^Gald* -driven GFP expression in proboscis neurons (*UAS-GFP.S65T;* *dCCN^Gald*). (F) Olfactory sensory neurons in the antennae express *dCCN^Gald* -driven GFP. (G) GFP-expression in maxillary palps neurons of *UAS-6X-GFP;* *dCCN^Gald* adults. (H) *dCCN^Gald* -driven GFP expression along the wing margin (*UAS-GFP.S65T;* *dCCN^Gald*). (I) Projections from *dCCN* expressing neurons located in the brain innervate the crop and proventriculus of the intestinal system (*UAS-6X-GFP;* *dCCN^Gald*). Blue represents DAPI staining. For all panels, scale bars represent 50 µm.
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Figure S5: No significant differences in neuron number are observed in heterozygous vs homozygous $dCCN^{Gal4}$ adult brains. (A) Widespread dsRed nuclei can be seen in a heterozygous $UAS$-$dS$Red; $dCCN^{Gal4}/+$ brain. (B) Widespread dsRed nuclei can be seen in a homozygous $UAS$-$dS$Red; $dCCN^{Gal4}$/$dCCN^{Gal4}$ brain. No significant differences are observed between heterozygous vs homozygous $dCCN^{Gal4}$ adult brains. Blue represents anti-brp (nC82) neuropil staining. Scale bars represent 50 μm.
found no obvious morphological differences in the number of $dCCN$ expressing neurons of $dCCN>UAS-dsRed$ adults (SFFig. 5A-B). The thoracic segments of the VNC house motor neurons that coordinate limb actions and thus control complex behaviors such as walking (Burrows et al., 1988; Laurent and Burrows 1988; Yellman et al., 1997), escape jumping, courtship tapping, aggressive lunges, and grooming (Chen et al., 2018). Within the VNC, $dCCN$-expressing neurons are located in the five major neuropils—prothoracic, mesothoracic, and metathoracic neuropils (which correspond to the three thoracic segments), the accessory mesothoracic neuropil (AMNp), and the abdominal neuropil (ANp) (Figure 4C) (Venkatasubramanian and Mann 2019; Court et al., 2020).

$dCCN$ expression is also prevalent within neurons located in the periphery that mediate complex sensory processes such as olfaction, chemoreception, and mechanosensation. $dCCN>dsRed$ cell body expression is apparent in sensory neurons located within the first to fifth tarsal segment of the foreleg (Fig. 4D). Different classes of leg sensory neurons respond to sugar, water, and contact chemosensory information including male and female pheromones (Inoshita and Tanimura 2006; Fan et al., 2013; Ling et al., 2014). Additional experiments will be required to determine the identities of $dCCN$-expressing leg neurons. Contact chemical perception in adult Drosophila is also mediated by sensory neurons in the wings and the proboscis, the insect feeding organ used for both taste cue detection and food ingestion (Raad et al., 2016; Jeong et al., 2016). Using the $UAS-GFP.S65T$ reporter, we identified $dCCN$-expressing neurons within the labellum (Fig. 4E) as well as along the row of sensilla on the anterior wing margin (Fig. 4H) that receives pheromonal input and impacts sexually-dimorphic behavior (He et al., 2019).

In mice, CCN2/CTGF is found in the mitral cell and glomerular layers of the main and accessory olfactory bulb where it controls the survival of newly generated neurons (Khodosevich et al., 2013). To determine if $dCCN$ is expressed in the insect olfactory system, we examined the antenna and maxillary palp from $dCCN>20XUAS-6XGFP$ adults. $dCCN$-positive neurons are found in the funiculum and pedicel (including in Johnston’s organ) of the antenna (Fig. 4F), and projections from $dCCN$-positive neurons in the maxillary palp that terminate in the brain are visible (Fig. 4G). Finally, the crop and proventriculus structures of the gastrointestinal system are innervated by projections from $dCCN$-positive neurons located in the central brain (Fig. 4I). Collectively, these results
demonstrate a significant number of neurons with different anatomical specialties and functions express the invertebrate CCN family member suggesting dCCN may be required for neuronal function in a diverse set of contexts.

**Subsets of aminergic neurons express dCCN**

To determine the neurotransmitter identities of dCCN neurons in the adult nervous system, we began with neurons that express the neuromodulatory neurotransmitters: dopamine (DA), serotonin (5-HT), and octopamine (OA; the invertebrate equivalent to norepinephrine). While relatively few in number, monoaminergic systems have different patterns of widespread innervation across brain areas (Niens et al., 2017; Kasture et al., 2018; Pauls et al., 2018), heterogeneity in synaptic organization (Dori et al., 1998), as well as distinct neuromodulatory actions (Okaty et al., 2019). The Drosophila DA system consists of a relatively small number of neurons clustered throughout the brain and VNC (Mao and Davis 2009; Hartenstein et al., 2017; Kasture et al., 2018) (Fig.5A-A’). Within the anterior adult brain, DA neurons are found in the lateral anterior protocerebrum (PAL) cluster, the medial anterior protocerebrum (PAM) cluster, and a pair of individual neurons called tritocerebrum 1 (TC1) flanking the PENP (Fig. 5A) (Nässel and Elekes 1992). Ventrally, three protocerebral posterior medial clusters (PPM1-3), two posterior protocerebral lateral clusters (PPL1-2) and three neuron pairs located in the lateral (SP1-2) and medial (SVP) parts of the SEZ (SEZ1-3) (Figure 5A’) are identifiable (Friggi-Grelin et al., 2003; Niens et al., 2017). Lastly, there are two unpaired neurons in the medial SEZ: one dorsal (VUM1) and one ventral (VUM2) (Nässel and Elekes 1992).

**dCCN** expression in DA neurons was determined by labeling GFP-expressing dCCN neurons (dCCN>UASnlsGFP) with an antibody for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate-limiting step in DA synthesis. In the dorsal region, we found dCCN co-expression in the PAL (Figure 5B-D’’, G) and the SVP pair (Figure 5B, E, G) while in the ventral region, dCCN/TH neurons were identified within the PPM3 (Figure 5C, F-F’’, H) and PPL1 (Figure 5C, G-G’’, H). Within the adult VNC, dCCN/TH co-expression was detected in all thoracic segments except T3 (SFig 6A-C), with the highest number of dCCN-expressing neurons being expressed in the abdominal ganglia (SFig 6C, D-D’’ and 6C, E-E’’). These results demonstrate that dCCN is expressed in subsets of DA neurons within the adult CNS.
**Figure 5:**

DA neurons express *dCCN*. (A-A') Dorsal (A) and ventral (A') schematic views of the major DA neuronal clusters (magenta). (B) *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *nlsGFP* expressing TH in dorsal sections of the adult brain (anti-TH, magenta). Dotted boxes outline higher magnification images of clusters in D and E. (B') *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *nlsGFP* expressing TH in ventral optical sections. Dotted boxes outline higher magnification images of clusters in F and G. (D-G) Co-expression of TH and *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *nlsGFP* in higher magnification confocal images from dotted boxes in B-B'. Arrowheads point to *dCCN*/TH co-expressing neurons. Scale bars represent 50 µm. (D-D'') Neurons co-expressing TH and *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *nlsGFP* in the PAL cluster. Channels are separated in C-C', and merged in C''. (E-E'') Co-expression of TH and *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *nlsGFP* in SEZ neurons. (F-F'') Neurons co-expressing TH and *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *nlsGFP* in the PPM3 cluster. (G) Neurons co-expressing TH and *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *nlsGFP* in the PPL1 cluster. (H) Quantification of *dCCN*+ dorsal DA neurons per cluster. (H) Quantification of *dCCN*+ ventral DA neurons per cluster. Error bars denote S.E.M. PAL: dorsolateral anterior protocerebral neurons, PAM: dorsolateral anterior protocerebral neurons, PPL: dorsolateral posterior protocerebral neurons, PPL2: lateral posterior protocerebral neurons, PPM: dorsomedial posterior protocerebral neurons, SEZ: subesophageal zone. Nomenclature from Friggi-Grelin et al., 2003.
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Figure S6: Co-expression of DA and dCCN in adult ventral nerve cords. (A) A schematic representation of an adult ventral nerve cord (VNC) with magenta dots representing prominent dopamine (DA) neurons. The VNC is divided into regions T1, T2, T3, and AB. (B) GFP nuclei of a dCCN>UAS-nlsGFP VNC is seen. Anti-TH staining appears in magenta. (C) Quantification of TH only and TH - dCCN co-expressing neurons per region. (D-D’’) A closer examination at the T2 region of the VNC highlighting TH immunoreactive neurons co-expressing dCCN. Arrows point to examples of co-expressing neurons. (E-E’’) A closer examination at the T3/AB region of the VNC highlighting TH immunoreactive neurons co-expressing dCCN. Arrows point to examples of co-expressing neurons. Scale bars represent 50 μm.
The serotonergic system in the adult consists of approximately 12 major clusters, with 7 dorsal clusters and 4 anterior clusters (Giang et al., 2011). The dorsal region contains a neuron pair in the dorsal protocerebrum (DP), a cluster in the anterior protocerebrum (AP), three clusters in the lateral protocerebrum (LP1-3), and 2 clusters in the subesophageal ganglion (SE1-2) (Figure 6A-A’) (Giang et al. 2011). There are also 2 single deutocerebral neurons (CSDs) that project contralaterally and innervate the antennal lobes (Figure 6A) (Dacks et al., 2009; Giang et al., 2011). The ventral region contains 2 clusters in the superior protocerebrum (SP1-2), as well as the inferior medial protocerebrum (IP) and the subesophageal ganglion (SE3) (Figure 6A’) (Giang et al., 2011). To examine dCCN expression in 5-HT neurons, we labeled GFP-expressing dCCN neurons (dCCN>UAS-nlsGFP) with an antibody for 5-HT. Dorsally, dCCN/5-HT co-expression was identified in the DP pair (Figure 6B, C-C”, G) and in the SE2 cluster (Figure 6B, F-F”, H). Ventrally, the LP1 (Figure 6B, D-D”, H) and SP2 (Figure 6B, E-E”, H) clusters contain dCCN/5-HT neurons. We also determined dCCN/5-HT co-localization occurs in all thoracic segments within the adult VNC (SFig 7A-D”) as well as the abdominal ganglion (SFig 7A-C, E-E”).

Finally, we asked if dCCN is expressed in the octopaminergic neuromodulatory system by labeling the CNS of dCCN>UAS-nlsGFP progeny with an antibody to Tdc2, the rate-limiting enzyme required for the synthesis of OA. Tdc2-positive neuronal clusters are located in the periesophageal neuropil (PENP), the subesophageal zone (SEZ), and the anterior superior medial protocerebrum (ASMP) in the dorsal part of the brain and in the SEZ and the posterior medial protocerebrum (PSMP) in the ventral part (Figures 7A-A’). dCCN co-expression in Tdc2 neurons occurs in each cluster (Figures 7B-B’). We quantified dCCN/Tdc2 co-expression in the PENP (Fig. 7B, C-C”, G), SEZ (Fig. 7B-B’, D-D”, H), ASMP (Fig. 7B, E-E”, G), and PSMP (Fig. 7B’, F-F”, H). In the adult VNC, Tdc2-positive clusters in the thoracic segments innervate skeletal muscle and facilitate motor activity in males and females, while Tdc2-positive neurons in the abdominal ganglia innervate the ovaries and control oviposition in females (Pauls et al., 2018; Masuzzo et al., 2019). Within the VNC, neurons located in the thoracic clusters T1, T2, and T3 co-expressed Tdc2 and dCCN (SFig. 8A-D”), as did Tdc2-positive neurons in the abdominal ganglia (SFig. 8A, E-E”). Together these results indicate dCCN is expressed in a
**Figure 6:** 5-HT neurons express dCCN. (A-A') Dorsal (A) and ventral (A') schematic views of the major 5-HT neuron clusters. SEZ2= black outlined circles, SEZ3=blue outlined circles. (B) Co-expression of 5-HT and dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup> > nlslGFP in dorsal optical sections of an adult brain (anti-5-HT, magenta). Dotted boxes outline higher magnification images of clusters in D and G. (C) dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup> > nlslGFP expressing 5-HT in ventral optical sections. Dotted boxes outline higher magnification images of clusters in E and F. (C-F') Co-expression of 5-HT and dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup> > nlslGFP in higher magnification confocal images from dotted boxes in B-C. Arrowheads point to dCCN/5-HT co-expressing neurons. Scale bars represent 50 µm. (D-D''') Co-expression of 5-HT and dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup> > nlslGFP in the DP cluster. (E-E''') Co-expression of 5-HT and dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup> > nlslGFP in the LP1 cluster. (F-F'') Co-expression of 5-HT and dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup> > nlslGFP neurons in the SP2 cluster. (G-G'') dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup> > nlslGFP neurons express 5-HT within the SEZ3 cluster. (H) Quantification of dorsal dCCN/5-HT neurons per cluster (I) Quantification of ventral dCCN/5-HT neurons per cluster. Error bars denote S.E.M. DP: dorsal protocerebrum; CSD: contra-laterally projecting deutocerebral neuron; SP1: superior protocerebrum, anterior medial protocerebrum, frontal rind, SP2: superior protocerebrum, anterior medial protocerebrum, posterior to SP1; IP: inferior medial protocerebrum; LP1: lateral protocerebrum; LP2: ventrolateral protocerebrum; SEZ1: subesophageal zone; SEZ2: posterior lateral subesophageal zone; SEZ3: posterior subesophageal zone. Nomenclature from Giang et al., 2011.
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Figure S7: Co-expression of 5-HT and dCCN in adult ventral nerve cords. (A) A schematic representation of an adult ventral nerve cord (VNC) with magenta dots representing main serotonin (5-HT) neurons. The VNC is divided into regions T1, T2, T3, and AB. (B) GFP nuclei of a dCCN>UAS-nlsGFP VNC is seen. Anti-5-HT staining appears in magenta. (C) Quantification of 5-HT only and 5-HT - dCCN co-expressing neurons per region. (D-D’’) A closer examination at the T2 region of the VNC highlighting 5-HT immunoreactive neurons co-expressing dCCN. Arrows point to examples of co-expressing neurons. (E-E’’) A closer examination at the T3/AB region of the VNC highlighting 5-HT immunoreactive neurons co-expressing dCCN. Arrows point to examples of co-expressing neurons. Scale bars represent 50 μm.
Figure 7: \textit{dCCN is expressed in subsets of OA neurons.} (A-A') Schematic illustrating the dorsal (A) and ventral (A') view of major OA neuron clusters. (B) Identification of dCCN/OA neurons in the adult brain of \textit{dCCN}^{Gal4} \textit{> nlsGFP} progeny by immunohistochemistry (anti-Tdc2, magenta; anti-GFP, green; anti-Brp, blue) Dotted boxes in these dorsal optical sections outline higher magnification images of clusters in C, D, and E. (B') \textit{dCCN}^{Gal4} \textit{> nlsGFP} neurons expressing Tdc2 in ventral sections of the adult brain (anti-Tdc2, magenta; anti-Brp, blue). Dotted boxes outline higher magnification images of clusters in F. (C-F'') Co-expression of Tdc2 and \textit{dCCN}^{Gal4} \textit{> nlsGFP} in higher magnification confocal images from dotted boxes in B-B'. Arrowheads point to dCCN/Tdc2 co-expressing neurons. Scale bars represent 50 µm. (C-C'') Co-expression of Tdc2 and \textit{dCCN}^{Gal4} \textit{> nlsGFP} in the PENP cluster. (D-D'') \textit{dCCN}^{Gal4} \textit{> nlsGFP} express Tdc2 in the SEZ cluster. (E-E'') A few Tdc2+ neurons in the ASMP cluster co-expression \textit{dCCN}^{Gal4} \textit{> nlsGFP}. (F-F'') Co-expression of Tdc2 and \textit{dCCN}^{Gal4} \textit{> nlsGFP} neurons in the PSMP cluster. (G-H) Quantification of dorsal and ventral \textit{dCCN}/OA neurons per cluster. Error bars denote S.E.M. SEZ: subesophageal zone, PENP: periesophageal neuropils, ASMP: anterior superior medial protocerebrum, and PSMP: posterior superior medial protocerebrum. Nomenclature from Sherer et al., 2020.
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**Figure S8: Co-expression of OA and dCCN in adult ventral nerve cords.** (A) A schematic representation of an adult ventral nerve cord (VNC) with magenta dots representing prominent octopamine (OA) neurons. The VNC is divided into regions T1, T2, T3, and AB. (B) Green nuclei of a dCCN\(\rightarrow\)UAS-nlsGFP VNC labeled with an anti-tdc2 antibody is seen in magenta. (C) Quantification of OA only and OA–dCCN neurons per region. (D–D’) A closer examination at the T2 region highlighting OA VNC neurons co-expressing dCCN. (E–E”) A closer examination at the T3/AB region highlighting OA VNC neurons co-expressing dCCN. Arrows point to co-expressing OA – dCCN neurons. Scale bars represent 50 \(\mu\)m.
significant number of neuromodulatory neurons and thus may be required for the development or function of circuits that control sensory processing, mood-related behaviors, and cognition (Monastirioti 1999; Fuxe et al., 2010; Sengupta et al., 2017; Deng et al., 2019).

dCCN is required for female fertility

The location of abdominal ganglion dCCN-expressing neurons in the female VNC led us to investigate whether these neurons innervate the female reproductive system. Each insect ovary consists of 15–20 ovarioles surrounded by a contractile meshwork called the peritoneal sheath (Middleton et al., 2006). The peritoneal sheath, lateral oviduct and uterus are innervated by two sets of nerves, branching from the abdominal median ganglion (Monastirioti, 2003; Middleton et al., 2006). Using the 20UAS-6XGFP reporter, we found the abdominal ganglion neurons that innervate the ovaries and uterus are indeed dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} neurons (Fig. 8A-C).

To determine if dCCN function is required for female fertility, we quantified egg laying and embryo viability. To reduce dCCN function, we assayed females in which a UAS-driven inverted repeat transgene targeting dCCN (UAS-dCCN-RNAi) was expressed under control of dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} as well as females homozygous for the severe hypomorphic dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} allele (Fig. 8D-E, SFig. 2). To ensure copulation success, five wildtype males were placed with a single transgenic control, dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4};UAS-dCCN-RNAi, or dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4}/dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} female. After individually mating with wildtype males, the number of embryos laid by single control and experimental females were counted. Embryo number did not differ between transgenic control females or dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4};UAS-dCCN-RNAi females, indicating that reduced dCCN function is sufficient for control levels of egg laying (Fig. 8D-E, SFig. 2). However, we found a significant decrease in the number of embryos laid by dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4}/dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} homozygous females at day 3 and day 5 post-mating (Fig. 8D-E). Furthermore, embryos laid by dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4}/dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} females did not hatch and were not viable. One possible explanation for a decrease in egg laying is a reduction in successful copulation. To determine copulation success, a sole wildtype male was placed with a single transgenic control or dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4}/dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} female, and courtship was recorded for one hour. Although the rate was reduced, successful copulation occurred in ~36% of pairings with
Figure 8: *dCCN* is required for female fertility. (A) Schematic illustrating ovaries and uterus innervation by *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *UAS-6X-GFP* neurons located in the VNC. (B) Projections of *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *UAS-6X-GFP* innervate the ovaries and uterus of the female reproductive system. Scale bar = 100 µm. Blue represents DAPI staining. (C) Higher magnification of *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* > *UAS-6X-GFP* uterus and ovary innervation from the dotted box region in B. Scale bar represents 50 µm. (D-E) *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>/dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>*, *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>* females laid significantly less embryos than transgenic controls and *dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>*-*dCCN<sup>RNAi</sup>* females on day 3 (D), and day 5 (E). Error bars denote S.E.M. All statistical tests are Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test, (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001).
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Figure S9: dCCN−/− females successfully copulate within 15 minutes. ~94% of heterozygous dCCN−/− females and ~36% homozygous dCCN−/− females successfully copulate within 15 minutes. n=16 for dCCN−/+ and n=14 for dCCN−/−dCCN−. Error bars denote S.E.M.
dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4}/dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} females during the first 15 minutes of assay time (SFig. 9). While further experiments are required to determine how dCCN is required for the function of ovary and uterus-innervating neurons, CCN vertebrate family members are also required in the female reproductive system for follicular development and ovulation (Winterhager and Gellhaus 2014).

**Sex-specific neurons in the adult CNS express dCCN**

Our finding that dCCN is required for female reproduction led us to investigate whether dCCN is expressed in other subsets of sex-specific neurons. fruitless (fru) and double-sex (dsx) are key genes that specify sex-specific neuron development and circuitry (Lee et al., 2000; Goodwin et al., 2000; Anand et al., 2001; Stockinger et al., 2005; Kimura et al., 2005; Yamamoto, 2007; Rideout et al., 2007; Villella and Hall, 2008; Rideout et al., 2010; Yamamoto, 2008; Sato et al., 2019; Ishii et al., 2020; Sato et al., 2020; Wohl et al., 2020; Chowdhury et al., 2020). Studies have identified at least 12 distinct classes of fru+ neurons that are sexually dimorphic (Stockinger et al., 2005). These differences include a few neuronal classes that are present in males but lacking in females, such as P1, pIP10, and vPR6, and several others that differ in cell numbers, projections, or arborizations, such as mAL/aDT2, aSP1, and aSP2 (Fig. 9A-B). To determine whether dCCN is expressed in fru+ neurons, a three-part transgenic combination was used: the fru\textsuperscript{FLP} allele to drive FLP-mediated recombination specifically in fru neurons, the dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} driver, and the UAS\textsuperscript{>stop>mCD8-GFP} reporter which is only expressed in those cells that are labeled by the Gal4 driver and also fru, due to FLP-mediated excision of the stop cassette (Theodosiou, 1998). Using dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} to identify sexually dimorphic cells, we identified female-specific cells in the pL region per hemisphere (Fig. 9B’, C’, D’), and males have more aSP1 neurons (Fig. 9A, B, D). We also found that dCCN\textsuperscript{Gal4} labeled 2 fru+ neurons in the antenna lobe of the male but not female brain (Fig. 9B, D). Differences in dCCN/fru neuron number were also observed between males and females in segment T1, the abdominal ganglion, and the midline of the VNC (SFig 10A-B’).

Although fru does not have an obvious mammalian homolog, dsx-related genes are present in vertebrates where they regulate sex-specific differentiation in many tissues, including the nervous system (Rideout et al., 2007; Villella and Hall, 2008; Rideout et al.,
Figure 9: Fruitless - dCCN brain expression is sexually dimorphic. (A) A schematic representation showing prominent dorsal male fruitless (fru) neuron clusters of the brain and their anatomical position. (A’) A schematic representation showing prominent ventral fru neuron clusters. (B) The dorsal portion of a brain displaying dCCN - fru expressing neurons in GFP of a male UAS->stop->CD8:GFP; dCCN->fru-flp fly. (B’) The ventral portion of the male brain from B. (C) A schematic representation showing prominent dorsal female fru neuron clusters. (C’) A schematic representation showing prominent ventral female fru neuron clusters. (D) The dorsal portion of a brain displaying dCCN - fru expressing neurons in GFP of a female UAS->stop->CD8:GFP; dCCN->fru-flp fly. (D’) The ventral portion of a female brain from D. Blue represents anti-nC82 staining. Scale bars represent 50 μm. Arrows point to neuronal cell body or axonal differences between male and female dCCN - fru expression. fru clusters, nomenclature, and anatomical locations were adapted and modified from figure 2 and table 1 of Stockinger et al., 2005.
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Figure S10: *Fruitless* - *dCCN* ventral nerve cord expression is sexually dimorphic. (A) *dCCN* – *fruitless* (*fru*) expressing neurons can be seen in GFP in a male UAS- > stop- >CD8:GFP; dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>/fru-flp ventral nerve cord (VNC). (A’) A closer examination of neuronal soma in the ventral portion of the male abdominal ganglion (AB). (B) *dCCN* - *fru* expressing neurons can be seen in GFP in a female UAS- > stop- >CD8:GFP; dCCN<sup>Gal4</sup>/fru-flp VNC. (B’) A closer examination of neuronal soma in the ventral portion of the female AB. For (A-B’), an anti-GFP antibody was used to enhance GFP signal. Blue represents anti-brp (nC82) neuropil staining. Scale bars represent 50 μm.
In the adult Drosophila brain, 10 major clusters of dsx-expressing neurons are present, with males having more neurons per cluster than females (Rideout et al., 2007; Rideout et al., 2010). These clusters consist of the anterior dorsal neurons (aDN), posterior clusters pC1 and pC2, the posterior dorsal cluster (pCd/pC3), and the subesophageal neurons (SN), as well as the posterior medial neurons (pMN1-2), the posterior lateral neurons (pLN), and the subesophageal lateral neurons (SLG) (Fig. 10A, B). We examined dCCN expression in dsx-positive neurons using the same intersectional genetic approach as above, now with the dsxFLP transgene (Rezaval et al., 2014) in combination with dCCNGal4 and the Gal4/FLP-responsive membrane reporter, UAS>stop>mCD8::GFP. We observed large numbers of dCCN/dsx+ neurons in the adult male brain, particularly in the pC1 pC2, and SLG clusters (Fig. 10C-D’), as well as sex-specific differences between the pC1 and pC2 clusters in the female brain (Fig. 10C-D’). In addition, dCCN/dsx-positive neurons are found in the thoracic segments of the male VNC, but not the female (SFig. 11A-B’), and sex-specific differences in the abdominal ganglion are also observed in which males have more neurons than females (SFig. 11A’, B’).

In summation, our results indicate that dCCN is expressed in neurons that inform the sexual identity of cells (Verhulst et al., 2010). Sex-specific alternative splicing of both fru and dsx occurs throughout development and is required for the formation of sex-specific somatic tissues and neuronal circuitry (Salvemini et al., 2010). Furthermore, the expression of sexually-dimorphic fru transcript alters the axonal arborizations of pheromone-sensing neurons, a circuit pathway with opposing outcomes in males and females (Cachero et al., 2010; Ruta et al., 2010), and fru expression in the interneurons of the protocerebrum has been proposed as a mechanism for altering this logic of male and female circuitry (Kohl et al., 2013). Should dCCN be required for the formation of fru and dsx neurons at multiple stages of development, there may be a profound developmental role for CCN family proteins as key regulators of neuron and circuit identity.
Figure 10: Double-sex - dCCN brain expression is sexually dimorphic. (A) A schematic representation displaying the primary male double-sex (dxx) neuron clusters of the brain and their anatomical position. (B) The dorsal portion of a male UAS->stop->CD8::GFP; dCCN^Gal4/dsx-flp brain displaying dCCN - dxx expression in GFP. (B') The ventral portion of the same brain in B displaying dCCN - dxx expression in GFP. (C) A schematic representation displaying the major clusters of female dxx neurons and their anatomical position. (D) The dorsal portion of a female UAS->stop->CD8::GFP; dCCN^Gal4/dsx-flp brain displaying dCCN - dxx expression in GFP. (D') The ventral portion of the same brain in D displaying dCCN - dxx expression in GFP. For B-B', and D-D', an anti-GFP antibody was used to enhance GFP signal. Blue represents anti-brp (nC82) neuropil staining. Scale bars represent 50 μm. pC1: dorsal inferomedial protocerebrum, pC2: inferolateral protocerebrum, pC3: superomedial protocerebrum, and SN: subesophageal neurons (male specific). dxx clusters, nomenclature, and anatomical locations were adapted and modified from figure 2 of Rideout et al., 2010.
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Figure S11: *Double-sex* - *dCCN* ventral nerve cord expression is sexually dimorphic. (A) *dCCN - double-sex* (*dsx*) expressing neurons can be seen in GFP in a male UAS->stop->CD8:GFP; *dCCN>*dsx-flp ventral nerve cord (VNC). (A’) A closer examination of neuronal soma in the ventral portion of the male abdominal ganglion (AB). (B) *dCCN - dsx* expressing neurons can be seen in GFP in a female UAS->stop->CD8:GFP; *dCCN>*dsx-flp VNC. (B’) A closer examination of neuronal soma in the ventral portion of the female AB. For (A-B’), an anti-GFP antibody was used to enhance GFP signal. Blue represents anti-brp (nC82) neuropil staining. Scale bars represent 50 μm.
Materials and Methods

**Drosophila Husbandry and Stocks:** All flies were reared on standard cornmeal-based fly food. Unless noted otherwise, during development and post-eclosion, flies were raised and housed at 25°C, ~50% humidity, and a 12:12hr light-dark cycle (1400+200 lx white fluorescent light) in humidity and temperature-controlled incubators. A list of stocks used can be found in the Resource Table.

**Generation of dCCN line:** The dCCN-Gal4 line was created through MiMIC insertion-conversion at the endogenous dCCN chromosomal locus. A protein-trap is generated by converting a MiMIC insertion into the coding region of the endogenous dCCN gene.

**qPCR:** Total RNA from ~40 heads using Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Pluskit (Zymo Research) was purified and treated with DNase I per the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA concentrations were measured with a ND-1000 nanodrop spectrometer. Reverse transcription was accomplished using iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). RT-PCR was performed using 300 ng cDNA added to iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and primers in a 20 L reaction volume. All samples were run in triplicate using a Stratagene Mx3005P qPCR System (Agilent Technologies). Expression of ribosomal protein 49 (Rp49) was used as the reference control to normalize expression between genotypes. Expression levels were determined using the ΔΔCt method and results from control (dCCNGal4+/+) and experimental (dCCNGal4/dCCNGal4) groups were normalized relative to flies in a yellow-white genetic background (yw/+). The following primers were used: Rp49 Forward: 50-CATCCGCCCAGCATACTAG-3’ Rp49 Reverse: 5’-CCATTTGTCGAGACAGCATTAG-3’

dCCN Forward: 5’-GATGTGGCTATGTGAGAATCCAA-3’
dCCN Reverse: 5’-GCAAATTGCTCAGTTGATGGC-3’.

**In situ analysis and imaging:** A modified version of the Ryoo lab protocol was performed and can be found at: [http://ryoo-lab.med.nyu.edu/protocols/embryo-situ](http://ryoo-lab.med.nyu.edu/protocols/embryo-situ). On day 1, collected embryos were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Mallinckrodt Chemicals, Cat# 2621-59) in 1% PBS, and incubated overnight in hybridization buffer.
and probes specific for dCCN on rotation at 4 degrees C. On day 2, three ten-minute washes of PBS were applied to embryos, then embryos are incubated overnight in a rabbit anti-DIG-AP antibody and hybridization buffer at 4 degrees C. On day 3, three ten-minute washes of PBS were applied to the embryos. After washes, a staining solution was applied to the embryos for 4 hours in hybridization buffer. Three additional ten-minute PBS washes were applied, then embryonic brain lobes and nerve cords were dissected, then mounted in Vectashield. Slides are allowed to set for 1 hour before imaging.

**Embryo collection:** Embryos of 4-22 hours old (stages 9-17) were collected from grape juice agar plates (3% agar) with fresh yeast, dechlorinated for five minutes with 50% chlorox in dH2O, and then washed in cold tap water until chlorox was thoroughly removed. Dechlorinated embryos were fixed in a solution containing 50% freshly made 4% paraformaldehyde (Mallinckrodt Chemicals, Cat# 2621-59) in 1% PBS, and 50% heptane for 20 mins. After fixation, heptane was manually pipetted out, and 100% methanol of equal fixation volume was added to the fixation solution. Embryos were vigorously shaken for 1 min to crack vitelline membranes. Three additional 5 min washes of 100% methanol were added to the mixture of embryos while pipetting off previous supernatants to remove residual PFA, heptane, and cracked vitelline membranes. Embryos were stored in a -20 C freezer in 100% methanol for future use.

**Immunohistochemistry and Imaging:** Embryos were rehydrated through a series of methanol washes at 70%, 50%, and 30% in 1% PBS for 5 mins at each wash. After rehydration washes, embryos were washed in 1% PBS 2 times, for 10 min each. Following PBS washes, embryos were incubated in blocking solution (2% Normal Goat Serum, 2% Bovine Serum Albumin, 2% Triton-X in 1% PBS) for 2 hours before primary antibodies were applied and incubated on rotation overnight at ~3 C. The primary antibody used was rabbit anti-GFP (3:500; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# G10362), and the secondary antibody was goat anti-rabbit 488 (1:200; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# R37116). Labeled embryos were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Labs, Cat# H1000). For larval imaging, third instar larvae were dissected on ice and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Cat# 15710) for 30 mins, washed in
PBT three times for 10 mins each, washed in 1% PBS for 5 minutes, then incubated in blocking solution for 2 hours before primary antibodies were applied and incubated overnight on rotation. Primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-CD4 (1:100; Novus Biologicals, Cat# NBP1-86143), rabbit anti-GFP (3:500; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# G10362), and mouse anti-actinin (1:20; DSHB Cat#2G3-3D7). Secondary antibodies included goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (1:200, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# R37116), goat anti-rabbit Alexa 594 (1:200, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# A-11012), and goat anti-mouse Alexa 594 (1:200; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# A-21125). Labeled larval CNSs or NMJs were mounted with either Vectashield (Vector Labs, #H1000) or DAPI (Cell Signaling Technology, #8961S), and slides set for one hour before imaging.

For adults, 3-7 day old adult male and female dissected brains, VNCs, maxillary palps, antennas, or gut structures were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes. 3-7 day old female reproductive organs were fixed for 60 minutes in 4% paraformaldehyde. 3-7 day old adult legs and proboscis were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde on rotation at ~3 C. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-GFP (3:500; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# G10362), rabbit anti-TH (1:200), rabbit anti-5-HT (1:1000; Sigma Aldrich, Cat# S5545), rabbit anti-Tdc2 (3:500; Covalab, Cat# pab0822-P), and mouse anti-bruchpilot (nc82) (1:80; DSHB Cat# nc82). Secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488, Alexa 594, or Alexa 647 were used at a concentration of 1:200 (see resource table). Labeled organs or structures were mounted in either Vectashield (Vector Labs, #H1000) or DAPI (Cell Signaling Technology, #8961S). Slides were allowed to set one hour before imaging.

**Imaging:** Images were collected on an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 laser scanning confocal mounted on an inverted IX81 microscope or a Zeiss Confocal Microscope. Images were processed using ImageJ (NIH) and Adobe Photoshop (Adobe, CA).

**Courtship Assays:** A single virgin female of 3-4 days old was paired with an isolated Canton S wild type male of similar age, and placed in chamber of a 12 well plate with room temperature standard cornmeal fly food filled to ~80% of the height of the chamber. A camera recorded behavioral engagements for 90 minutes after paired flies...
were placed into the chamber. iMovie (Apple Inc., 2009) was used to manually analyze courting behaviors, and the times at which copulation did or did not occur was recorded.

**Sterility Assays:** A single female was paired with five *Canton S* wild type males on the day of eclosion (day 1), and placed into a vial containing standard cornmeal fly food with red food coloring. 24 hours before day 3 and day 5, the single female and five males were transferred into a fresh food vial containing red food coloring. On days 3 and 5 (24 hours after transfer into a fresh vial), the number of embryos was manually counted under a lab bench microscope. Data was collected and entered into Microsoft Excel spreadsheets, and GraphPad Prism for analyses.

**Larval NMJ Electrophysiology:** Through collaboration, two electrode voltage clamp recording techniques were used to measure excitatory post-synaptic potentials at NMJs of larvae following previously established electrophysiology recording protocols from the McCabe lab (Choi et al., 2014).


**Neuron quantification:** Amine neuron and co-expression counts were conducted in Image J (NIH) by manually scanning and counting fluorescently labeled neurons through stacks of TIFFs. Counts were collected in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets and averaged in GraphPad Prism.

**Data Collection, Figure Making, and Data Analysis:** Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism (version 8.0) were used for data collection and analysis. Image J was used to
process raw confocal images. Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator were used to further process images, and generate figures for publication.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT, RESOURCE, or PRODUCT</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies Mouse anti-Crohn's ileitis (mAb2)</td>
<td>Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)</td>
<td>Cat#mAb2/RRID: AB_2314866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Actinin (2G3-3D7)</td>
<td>Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)</td>
<td>Cat#2G3-3D7/RRID: AB_2731943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-GFP</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#G10362; RRID: AB_2536526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-CD4</td>
<td>Novus Biologicals</td>
<td>Cat#RB1-86143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Tic2</td>
<td>Covance</td>
<td>Cat#PB0822-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-TIM</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#55545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti-3b1</td>
<td>Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)</td>
<td>Cat#28A10; RRID: AB_528218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Rpe65</td>
<td>Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)</td>
<td>Cat#4RD12; RRID: AB_528444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-mouse Alexa 647</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#A-31571; RRID: AB_1692142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#R37116; RRID: AB_355554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-mouse Alexa 594</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#A-21325; RRID:AB_2533767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-rabbit Alexa 594</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#A-21012;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-rat Alexa 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#R10097;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mounting Mediums</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vectashield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drosophila lines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canton-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uCEN-InvA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uAS+CC1-RNAi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uAS-CC1-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uAS-CUEN6GFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2XUAS-6xGFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-dshend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dpp-GFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-CUEN6GFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repa-FP (f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-HE4-4xC1-D2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECON-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMATI-D2B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software and Algorithms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiji/Imagj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphPad Prism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adobe Illustrator 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adobe Photoshop 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Neuromodulators such as monoamines are often expressed in neurons that also release at least one fast-acting neurotransmitter. The release of a combination of transmitters provides both "classical" and "modulatory" signals that could produce diverse and/or complementary effects in associated circuits. Here, we establish that the majority of Drosophila octopamine (OA) neurons are also glutamatergic and identify the individual contributions of each neurotransmitter on sex-specific behaviors. Males without OA display low levels of aggression and high levels of inter-male courtship. Males deficient for dVGLUT solely in OA-glutamate neurons (OGNs) also exhibit a reduction in aggression, but without a concurrent increase in inter-male courtship. Within OGNs, a portion of VMAT and dVGLUT puncta differ in localization suggesting spatial differences in OA signaling. Our findings establish a previously undetermined role for dVGLUT in OA neurons and suggests that glutamate uncouples aggression from OA-dependent courtship-related behavior. These results indicate that dual neurotransmission can increase the efficacy of individual neurotransmitters while maintaining unique functions within a multi-functional social behavior neuronal network.

Author summary

Neurons communicate with each other via electrical events and the release of chemical signals. An emerging challenge in understanding neuron communication is the realization that many neurons release more than one type of chemical signal or neurotransmitter. Here we ask how does the release of more than one neurotransmitter from a single neuron impact circuits that control behavior? We determined the monoamine octopamine and the classical transmitter glutamate are co-expressed in the Drosophila adult CNS. By manipulating the release of glutamate in OA-glutamate neurons, we demonstrated glutamate has both separable actions and complementary actions with OA on
aggression and reproductive behaviors respectively. Aggression is a behavior that is highly conserved between organisms and present in many human disease states, including depression and Alzheimer’s disease. Our results show that aggressive behavior requires the release of both neurotransmitters in dual-transmitting neurons and suggests within this set of neurons, glutamate may provide a new therapeutic target to modulate aggression in pathological conditions.

Introduction

The classical view of information transfer for many decades was that each neuron released a single neurotransmitter, leading to the ‘one neuron, one transmitter’ hypothesis [1], formalized by John Eccles as Dale’s Principle [2]. Dale himself, however, recognized the possibility that neurons can release more than one molecule [3] and indeed, research from multiple systems and neuronal populations has established that many if not most, neurons release more than one neurotransmitter [4–7]. Dual neurotransmission has the potential to transform the way we consider the computation and transmission of information by neurons, circuits and networks. Presynaptically, the release of two neurotransmitters could impact information transfer by several mechanisms that are not mutually exclusive including: attenuating signals by modulating presynaptic autoreceptors, transmitting spatially distinct signals by segregating specific vesicle populations to different axon terminals, or conveying similar information through the release of both neurotransmitters from the same synaptic vesicle [8–11]. In addition, one vesicular neurotransmitter transporter can increase the packaging of the other neurotransmitter into the same synaptic vesicle (SV), a process called vesicular synergy [4, 12, 13].

At post-synaptic targets, the release of two transmitters can enhance the strength of the same signal and/or convey unique signals through spatially-restricted receptor expression and second messenger cascades [7, 14]. While recent studies have provided insight into these phenomena at the cellular level [11, 12, 15, 16], the behavioral relevance of co-transmission in normal as well as pathological conditions is an area of considerable complexity and interest.

The genetic tools of Drosophila provide the ability to genetically dissect the signaling properties of dual transmission on behavioral networks in general and upon the circuits that control aggression in particular. Aggression is a hardwired behavior that has evolved in the framework of defending or obtaining resources [17, 18]. Monoamines such as serotonin (5-HT), dopamine (DA), norepinephrine (NE) and octopamine (OA), the invertebrate homologue of NE, have powerful modulatory effects on aggression in systems ranging from insects and crustaceans to humans [19–23]. In humans, aggressive behavior can be expressed at extreme levels and out of context due to medical, neurologic and or psychiatric disorders including depression and schizophrenia [24–26]. Pharmacological agents that selectively manipulate monoamine signaling are used to treat anxiety and depression, yet these drugs are often ineffective, and in the case of serotonin/norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) can induce side effects including increased aggression and impulsivity [25, 27–29].

At least two difficulties arise in targeting monoamines to achieve successful outcomes. First, monoamines can be released from synaptic vesicles (SVs) into the presynaptic cleft and by extrasympathetic release from large dense core vesicles (LDCVs) [30–33]. Thus, monoamines are recognized both as neurotransmitters and as neuromodulators that signal via diffusion [34, 35]. The second difficulty is that their effects are likely exerted through interactions with neuropeptides (neuropeptide Y and oxytocin are two examples) and with neurotransmitters including GABA and glutamate [5, 16, 36, 37]. Due in part to recent studies suggesting the
expression of vesicular glutamate transporters (VGLUTs) can be altered by psychiatric medications [38–41] and the importance of dopamine neuron glutamate co-transmission on the schizophrenia resilience phenotype in mice [42], we generated new tools to identify and manipulate glutamate function in monoamine-expressing neurons.

We found that the majority of OA neurons within the Drosophila nervous system also express the vesicular neurotransmitter transporter for glutamate (dVGlut). Functionally, glutamate (GLU) co-expression could convey the same information by promoting the synaptic vesicle packing of OA or GLU may convey distinct information that is separate from the function of OA. In Drosophila, OA synthesis and release are essential for conserved social behaviors; males without OA display low levels of aggression and high levels of inter-male courtship [43–47]. We demonstrate that males deficient for dVGLUT solely in OA-glutamate neurons (OGNs) also exhibit a reduction in aggression, but without a concurrent increase in inter-male courtship. These results indicate that both OA and dVGLUT are required in dual-transmitting neurons to promote aggression. However, only OA is required for the suppression of inter-male courtship and thus the function of dVGLUT in OGNs is not limited to vesicular synergy.

To ask if the separable effects of OA on courtship circuitry may be attributable to spatially distinct OA signals, we conditionally expressed a new epitope-tagged version of the Drosophila vesicular neurotransmitter transporter for monoamines (V5-tagged VMAT) in OGNs. While the majority of V5-VMAT and dVGLUT expression colocalize, VMAT is detected in distinct puncta without dVGLUT suggesting the possibility of separable signal transmission. Together, these results demonstrate the complex behavior of aggression requires both dVGLUT and OA in dual-transmitting neurons and suggests within monoamine neurons, GLU may provide a therapeutic target to modulate aggression in pathological conditions.

**Results**

dVGLUT is co-expressed in OA neurons

The co-expression of vesicular neurotransmitter transporters has been primarily used to identify dual-transmitting neurons[48–52]. To examine glutamatergic transmitter expression, we generated a monoclonal dVGLUT antibody and validated its specificity using a new dVGlut allele, dVGlutH7. In homozygous dVGlutH7 progeny, dVGLUT protein is not detectable (S1 Fig, Methodo), thus demonstrating the specificity of the dVGLUT antibody. As dVGLUT expression is widespread and mainly found in synaptic terminals (S1 Fig), we used the Gal4-UAS system to identify monoamine neurons that express GLU. In this study, we focused specifically on OA neurons that co-express dVGLUT (OA-glutamate neurons (OGNs)).

Cell bodies of OGNs were visualized by a UAS-dsRed.DHS reporter under control of dVGlut-gal4 (hereafter referred to as dVGlut>dRed). OGNs were identified by antibodies to tyrosine decarboxylase 2 (TDC2) and tyramine β-hydroxylase (TβH) as OA is synthesized from the amino acid tyrosine via the action of Tdc and TβH in invertebrates [46]. OGNs from 10 dVGlut>dRed Tdc2-labeled male brains were quantified by the multi-point ImageJ tool followed by manual verification of each optical section. Within the brain, OA neurons that co-express glutamate are found in the subesophageal zone (SEZ), the periesophageal neuropils (PENP), the anterior (ASMP) and posterior superior medial protocerebrum (PSMP), and the protocerebral bridge (Fig 1A–1E, S1 Table). Co-expression occurs in each region of interest (Fig 1A–13). TβH and dVGlut>dRed co-localization (S2 Fig) provides further support that glutamate is found in OA-expressing neurons.

In the adult ventral nervous system (VNS), the thoracic Tdc2+ neurons that innervate skeletal muscles express glutamate (S3 Fig). In the abdominal ganglia, all but 2–3 Tdc2+ neurons express dVGlut (S3 Fig) consistent with the previous finding of OA-glutamate co-expression.
in abdominal neurons [53]. After detecting no reporter expression from a Tjhl-gal4 driver, dVGLUT cell body expression in OGNs was detected in brains from tdc2-gal4; UAS-dsRed adults (S4 Fig). In total, this analysis reveals that of the ~100 OA neurons in the Drosophila adult nervous system, about 70% express dVGLUT.

dVGLUT is not required for OA neuron identity

To reduce glutamate function solely in OGNs, a UAS-driven inverted repeat transgene targeting dVGlut (UAS-dVGlut-RNAi) was expressed under control of the tdc2-gal4 driver (hereafter tdc2-dVGlut-RNAi) (Fig 2A and 2B). The effectiveness of this UAS-dVGlut-RNAi line has been verified at the transcript level through RT-qPCR ([12] and S5 Fig) and functionally as the frequency of miniature excitatory postsynaptic potentials (mEPSP) were reduced by this dVGlut RNAi in presynaptic glutamatergic larval motor neurons [12]. As the loss of VGLUT2 in vertebrate dopamine-glutamate dual transmitting neurons impairs survival and
Fig 2. Male aggression requires dVGLUT function in OGNs. (A) dVGLUT reduction in OGNs through RNAi. (B) Behaviors for control and experimental male pairs were scored for thirty minutes beginning with the first lunge. (C) Schematic illustrating the brain and YSS OGNs. (D) Latency to lunge increased in tdc2-dVGlut-RNAi males (all statistical tests are Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001). (E) tdc2-dVGlut-RNAi males displayed a decrease in the average number of lunges. (F) Wing threats were reduced in tdc2-dVGlut-RNAi males. (G) tdc2-dVGlut-RNAi males did not exhibit inter-male courtship (unilateral wing extension = UWE). (H) Schematic illustrating the addition of tsh-Gal80 limits dVGLUT reduction to brain OGNs. (I) Latency to lunge by tdc2-gal4/tsh-Gal80/UAS-dVGlut-RNAi males is significantly longer than controls. (J) Lunge number by tdc2-gal4/tsh-Gal80/UAS-dVGlut-RNAi males decreases as compared to controls. (K) Wing threat number was rescued in UAS-dVGlut-RNAi control levels. (L) Male-male UWE was rescued to control levels. N values for each genotype, panels D, I. Error bars denote s.e.m.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008609.g002
differentiation in vitro [49, 54], we examined OGNs in tdc2>dsRed>dVGLut-RNAi adults and did not observe obvious changes in OGN survival nor distribution (S5 Fig). In addition, OGN neurotransmitter differentiation was retained as tdc2>dVGLut-RNAi>dsRed neurons express Tdc2 (S5 Fig). Neurons labeled by this tdc2>gal4 whether in the brain or VNS are all Tdc2+ (S6A and S6B Fig).

Reducing glutamate in OGNs decreases male aggression and inter-male courtship

We and others previously demonstrated OA is required for two distinct social male behaviors; the promotion of aggression, and the inhibition of internmale courtship [43, 46, 55, 56]. To address whether dVGLUT performs a related or separable role in these OA-dependent behaviors, we quantified changes in aggression and internmale courtship. Fights between pairs of tdc2>dVGLut-RNAi males, and transgenic controls were recorded and multiple agonistic parameters quantified including latency to the first lunge, number of lunge, and number of agonistic wing threats (Fig 2A, [57, 58]). As behavioral patterns are scored for 30 minutes after the first lunge, each male pair has the same amount of time to exhibit aggressive events or inter-male courtship (Fig 2B).

Males with decreased dVGLUT in OGNs neurons exhibited a significant reduction in aggression as measured by lower numbers of lunge and wing threats, and an increase in the latency to initiate aggression (Fig 2D–2F). These aggression deficits are the same as in males that lack OA [43, 46, 47]. Importantly, the locomotor activity of tdc2>dVGLut-RNAi adults during the aggression assay did not differ from dVGLut-RNAi controls (S7A Fig).

Interactions between control male pairings within a fight can include low levels of internmale courtship as measured by unilateral wing extensions (UWE, the courtship song motor pattern). Males without OA exhibit high levels of inter-male courtship [43, 55, 56] and previously, we determined the function of three OA-FruM+ neurons is required to suppress internmale courtship [55]. If dVGLUT is only needed to enhance monoamine vesicular packaging and thus modulate OA function, we would expect males with reduced dVGLut levels to display the same behavioral deficits, i.e. high levels of inter-male courtship. However, tdc2>dVGLut-RNAi males did not exhibit inter-male courtship (Fig 2G). These results suggest; 1) dVGLUT is required in OGNs to promote aggression, and 2) dVGLUT is not required to suppress internmale courtship.

Aggression requires dVGLUT function in OA-GLU brain neurons

In the adult, motor neurons innervating leg and wing muscles express glutamate [59]. Therefore, the observed behavioral deficits in tdc2>dVGLut-RNAi males may reflect impairments at the neuromuscular junction. To address this possibility, we spatially restricted expression of the dVGLut-RNAi transgene to the brain using the trhnt->lace8;exelacop2.1;VS-Gal80 (hereafter trh->Gal80) transgenic combination (Fig 2H). The trh->Gal80 transgenic combination was effective at blocking Gal4-mediated transcription in the entire VNS including in OGNs that innervate muscles required for courtship and wing threat behaviors (S8 Fig).

With dVGLut function maintained in motor neurons, it was possible all aggressive behaviors would return to control levels. However, latency to initiate aggression remained longer in males with reduced dVGLUT in brain OGNs (tdc2>trh->Gal80->dVGLut-RNAi) and lunge number remained lower when compared to controls (Fig 2I and 2J). Wing threat numbers were at levels lower than one control (Fig 2K) which likely reflects the incompleteness of dVGLut RNAi interference. In contrast, providing dVGLUT function in OGN VNS neurons restored internmale courtship to control levels (Fig 2L). Although total behavioral events by
Fig. 3. dVGLUT function is required in VNS OGNs for male-female courtship. (A) Male (arrow) to female courtship. (B) Schematic illustrating the addition of tsh>Gal80 to limit dVGLUT reduction to brain OGNs. (C-F) All parameters of male to female courtship were rescued by restoring glutamate function to OGNs within the VNC. (C) The latency to initiate courtship towards a female returned to control levels in males with reduced dVGLUT in brain OGNs. (D) The courtship index was restored to control levels in tsh>dGal80>dVGlut-RNAi males. (E) The copulation success of males with a dVGLUT reduction in brain OGNs was not significantly different from controls. N values for each genotype are listed on panel A. All statistical tests are Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test, (∗p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006609.g003

Experimental males (lunges, wing threats, intramale courtship) per minute decreased, overall activity did not (Fig. 3E) nor did male-female courtship (Fig. 3). These results indicating GLU transport in brain OGNs is required to initiate aggression and for the lung threat pattern itself may reflect deficits in the detection of male pheromones as we previously described for OA [43]. Specifically, the suppression of intramale courtship requires the function of three OA-FruM + neurons located in the brain [55] and aggression requires pheromonal information from Gr33a-expressing chemosensory neurons located in the mouth to OA SEZ neurons [43].
Finally, males with reduced dVGLUT in brain OGNs (tdc2>bas>Gal80>−;dVGLut-RNAi) performed all measured male-female courtship parameters including latency to court, courtship index, latency to copulation and copulation success at levels indistinguishable from controls (Fig 3). Together, these results indicate dVGlut in OGNs is required in males both for aggression and courtship toward a female and at the behavioral level, the functional requirement for dVGLUT in OGN motor neurons vs. central brain neurons is spatially separable.

Removal of glutamate in OGNs using the B3RT-vGlut conditional allele

The experiments above used two different approaches to reduce neurotransmitter levels, but not eliminate dVGLUT. To completely remove glutamate transporter function in OGNs, a conditional allele of dVGlut, B3RT-dVGlut-LexA (hereafter B3RT-dVGlut), was developed via genome editing. Genome edits to the dVGlut locus included flanking the dVGlut coding exons with B3 recombination target sites (B3RTs) [60] in the same orientation and inserting the coding sequences of the LexA transcription factor immediately downstream of the 3′ B3RT (Fig 4A). With B3RT-dVGlut, glutamate function can be temporally and spatially controlled using Gal4 drivers of interest to express the B3 recombine that in turn catalyzes the in vivo excision of DNA between the B3RTs (Fig 4B). Two outcomes result after B3 recombine-mediated excision: 1) a dVGlut null allele is generated solely in the neurons of interest, and 2) a dVGlut-LexA driver is created that allows visualization of glutamatergic neurons when a LexAop reporter is present.

To assess the functionality of dVGlut within the B3RT-dVGlut chromosome pre- and post- excision, the B3RT-dVGlut chromosome was crossed with the null allele, dVGlut<sup>Δ523</sup> (G1 Fig). In the absence of a Gal4 drive, vGlut<sup>Δ523</sup>/B3RT-vGlut progeny are fully viable and no LexAop-driven reporter gene expression is detected (Fig 4C). In contrast, when B3 recombine (UAS-B3) is expressed in the nervous system by the pan-neuronal driver, n-syb-Gal4, dVGLUT expression is eliminated and vGlut<sup>Δ523</sup>/B3RT-dVGlut;UAS-B3/n-syb-Gal4 progeny are inviable (data not shown). These results establish that the B3RT-dVGlut genome edits preserve dVGLUT function prior to excision, but after excision, as expected with removal of the entire dVGLUT protein-coding sequence, a dVGlut null allele is generated.

To verify the functionality of the B3RT-dVGlut chromosome in Tdc2+ neurons, we crossed tdc2-gal4 with B3RT-dVGlut;UAS-B3. Following B3-mediated excision in Tdc2+ neurons, the resulting dVGlut-lexA driver is active in OGNs demonstrating the dVGlut coding region was removed. The excision of dVGlut and substitution with LexA in the adult nervous system was confirmed by co-localization of nuclear markers (Fig 4D and 4F). This result provides additional confirmation the majority of Tdc2+ neurons are glutamatergic. In addition, nuclear reporters were used to confirm the loss of dVGLUT does not obviously alter OGN differentiation (S9 Fig).

To completely remove dVGLUT function, we used the dVGlut<sup>Δ523</sup> null allele in combination with the B3RT-dVGlut conditional null allele. Due to the requirements for GLU in OA-GLU motor neurons, we crossed the tdc>Gal80 transgenics onto the B3RT-dVGlut chromosome. Males with homozygous null dVGlut mutations in brain OGNs were generated by driving B3 recombine with tdc2-gal4 (dVGlut<sup>Δ523</sup>/B3RT-dVGlut tdc>Gal80;UAS-B3;tdc2-gal4). As expected, the complete loss of GLU in brain OGNs reduced male aggression. Specifically, the latency to initiate aggression increased, and male numbers decreased (Fig 4E and 4F). Not unexpectedly, the complete elimination of dVGLUT function resulted in aggression deficits significantly worse when compared to the RNAi approach (Fig 4I) including now a reduction in wing threat number (Fig 4G) which demonstrates an advantage in using the conditional null B3RT-dVGlut allele. Finally, and significantly, the number of inter-male wing extensions
Male aggression requires signals from dual-transmitting neurons

Fig 6. B3-mediated elimination of dVGLUT in OGNs reduces male aggression. (A,B) Schematic of the B3RT-dVGLut-LexA conditional allele. B3RT's dVGLUT coding exons (A) and excise the entire dVGLUT coding sequence in a specific subset of neurons upon expression of the B3 recombinase (B). After excision, a dVGLUT null loss-of-function allele and dVGLUT-LexA driver is created (B). (C) Control brain demonstrating without a source of Gal4-driven B3 recombinase, excision and therefore LexA expression does not occur. (D,E) dVGLUT-tdTomato driven B3 recombinase-mediated excision effectively removes dVGLUT resulting in B3RT-LexA-driver mCherry expression is in the majority of OA neurons (yellow). As expected, a few Tdc2+ neurons do not express dVGLUT (arrowhead, green). LexA reporter expression that does not also show UAS-expression may be observed as a result of excisions that occurred during development in former Tdc2+ neurons. (F) Latency to lunge increased in males lacking dVGLUT function (B3RT-dVGLut tkr>Gal4tdTomato; dVGLUT-tdTomato; UAS-B3) in OGNs. (G) Male without dVGLUT function lunged significantly less when compared to controls. (H) Wing threat number decreased in experimental males. (I) No significant differences in male-male courtship. (J) Aggression is significantly reduced by the complete loss of dVGLUT in OGNs as compared to the RNAi-based dVGLUT reduction. All statistical tests are Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. Error bars denote s.e.m. N values for each genotype, panel E.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008608.g004
did not differ from controls (Fig 4H) nor from males with a reduction of dVGlut in brain OGNs (Fig 3K). In summation, the dVGlut<sup>−/−</sup>/BART-dVGlut null combination elegantly and independently validates the aggression phenotypes based on dVGlut RNAi-based reduction, demonstrates the applicability of a powerful new conditional genetic tool, and confirms that dVGLUT function in OGNs is not required to regulate intramale courtship.

**Reducing GLU by EAAT1 overexpression recapitulates the decrease in aggression**

At this point, GLU function within OGNs has been altered by reducing glutamate transport into synaptic vesicles. Whether the aggression phenotypes of OGN dVGLUT mutant males are due to deficits in the concentration of GLU into synaptic vesicles, the packaging of OA, or a reduction of released GLU is not clear. After release, glutamate is rapidly removed from synapses by excitatory amino acid transporters (EAATs) [61, 62]. Therefore, to reduce GLU signaling after release, we increased expression of the only high-affinity glutamate transporter in *Drosophila*, EAAT1 (Fig 5A) [63, 64].

EAAT1 is expressed in glia throughout the nervous system [64]. By examining 2–10 individual EAAT1-GFP clones in ~60 brains, we determined OGN neuronal cell bodies and arborizations are consistently ensnared by EAAT1-expressing glia (Fig 5B and 5C). To reduce

---

![Fig 5. Reducing glutamate function through EAAT1 overexpression decreases male aggression. (A) Glutamate function was reduced by increasing EAAT1 expression in EAAT1-expressing glia. (B, C) GFP expressing EAAT1 glia (by fly: EAAT1gal4/UAS-tomato>GFP overexp Tdc2+) sequester cell bodies (arrowhead) and endings (arrow). Higher magnification of dashed box in C. Scale bar = 30 um. (D) The latency to lung by EAAT1→>Aatt males was increased as compared to controls. (E) A decrease in lung number was exhibited by EAAT1→>Aatt males as compared to controls. (F) locomotor activity during the aggression assay did not differ. All statistical tests are Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s multiple comparisons tests. N values for each genotype are in panel D.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008608.g005)
glutamate signaling after release, EAAT1 expression was increased via a transgene (EAATI–
gal4;UAS-EAAT1). While a loss of EAAT1 impairs larval movement [65], overexpression of
EAAT1 has been used in adult long-term memory formation assays which requires locomo-
tion [66]. Similar to the dVGLUT loss-of-function results above, the aggressive behavior of
males with reduced Glu signaling by EAAT1 overexpression (EAAT1-gal4;UAS-EAAT1) was
altered in two parameters: the latency to initiate lunging increased and lung number
decreased (Fig 5D and 5E). Locomotor activity during the aggression assay did not differ (Fig
5F). Although future experiments will be needed to determine if the promotion of aggression
requires dVGLUT packaging of OA in synaptic vesicles and OGN glutamate signaling to
downstream targets, results from this section support the hypothesis that OGN-mediated
aggression requires Glu.

OA and Glu signal to a shared aggression-promoting circuit

If Glu and OA convey signals to separable aggression-promoting circuits, a loss of both neuro-
transmitters would reduce aggression greater than the loss of either alone (Fig 5A). If, however,
Glu and OA signal to a shared circuit or circuits that converge, a loss of both transmitters
would reduce aggression to the same levels as the loss of one alone. To address this question,
we incorporated the previously described null allele Tbk$^{Mls}$ [67] and generated Tbk$^{Mls}$; tdc2>dVGluA-RNAi males. Additive deficits did not occur when males without OA and dVGluT in OGNs were compared to males lacking only OA (Fig 6E–6D) indicating that both signals, at least partially, converge onto a shared aggression-promoting pathway.

Tbk$^{Mls}$tdc2>dVGluA-RNAi males displayed levels of male-male courtship that are not significantly different from Tbk$^{Mls}$ males (blue column, Fig 6E). This result further supports previously published data that OA is required to suppress internate courtship [43, 55, 56]. Here, increased levels of inter-male courtship due to the absence of OA supersedes or relieves the lack of UWE due to a reduction in dVGlu function (Fig 3). At this point, it is possible the UWE phenotype occurs via OA-modulated circuitry that involves other neurotransmitters [56] or the actions of OA occur at spatially distinct locations.

Spatial segregation of VMAT and dVGluT within OGN

To compare localization of the two transporters within OGNs, we generated a conditionally expressible epitope-tagged version of VMAT, RSR7>STOP>RSR7-GSIV5-VMAT, via genome editing. RSR7>STOP>RSR7-GSIV5-VMAT has two insertions: 1) a STOP cassette between VMAT coding exons 5 and 6, and 2) six in-frame tandem copies of a V5 epitope tag within exon 8 which is common to both VMAT-A and VMAT-B isoforms (Fig 7A). The effectiveness of the STOP cassette is confirmed by the lack of V5 expression prior to STOP cassette excision by Gal4-driven R recombinase (S11 Fig) and the effectiveness of the epitope multimerization strategy has also been determined [68]. The conditionality of the RSR7>STOP>RSR7-GSIV5-VMAT allele permits visualization of VMAT in subsets of neurons at expression levels driven by the endogenous promoter.

To focus on transporter distribution within OGNs, we expressed RSR7>STOP>RSR7-GSIV5-VMAT under control of the split Gal4 combination of tdc2-Gal4 AD and dVGluTag4-DBD (tdc2>dVGlu-tag4) which drives expression in OGNs (Fig 7B, S6C–S6F Fig). V5-VMAT was visualized in tdc2>dVGlu-tag4; V5-VMAT UAS-R by an antibody to V5 and dVGluT using mAb dVGluT (S10 Fig). Fig 7C illustrates that as expected, a large fraction of the V5-VMAT puncta in the AL or SEZ (S11 Fig) either co-localize with dVGluT or are in close proximity (arrowheads). High resolution images in Fig 7D and 7H, however, reveal V5-VMAT puncta without dVGluT (arrows). As OA can be found in SNs as well as LDCVs [69, 70], we incorporated a synaptic marker (UAS-Synaptotagmin (Syt);HA) and re-examined V5-VMAT and dVGluT expression in the AL and SEZ (Fig 7F, S11D Fig). We found V5-VMAT puncta that either co-localize or are in close proximity to Syt;HA and dVGluT (Fig 7F–7J, S11D–S11H Fig). While the behavioral significance of potential OA synaptic release on aggression circuitry remains to be determined, previous work has demonstrated amine-dependent behaviors can be altered by shifting the balance of OA release from SNs to LDCVs [70]. In addition, as mentioned above, we have previously shown that three OA-FmNM$^+$ neurons are required to suppress internate courtship and recent work has identified a small subset of OA receptor OAMB-expressing neurons that when silenced, decrease aggression and increase internate courtship [56]. The SEZ areas of V5-VMAT and dVGluT puncta highlighted in Figs 7 and 8 are consistent with projections made by OA-FmNM$^+$ neurons which are also OGNs (S12 Fig) raising the possibility of distinct OA and GLU inputs to key downstream targets.

Due to the large number of tdc2>dVGlu-tag4 neurons, we repeated the experiment using the OA-specific MB11C-split-gal4 to drive V5-VMAT in ~2 OGNs (Fig 8A and 8B) [71]. Fig 8C illustrates that as expected, many V5-VMAT puncta in the SEZ either co-localize with dVGluT or are in close proximity (arrowheads). High resolution images in Fig 8D and 8H,
Fig 7. Spatial segregation of VMAT and dVGLUT within OGNs. (A) Schematic of the RSRT:: STOP:: RSRT:: dVGLUT-VMAT conditional allele. RSRTs flank a STOP cassette inserted between VMAT coding exons 3 and 6. Upon G418-driven expression of the R recombinase enzyme, the STOP cassette is excised and dVGLUT-VMAT expression under control of the endogenous promoter is expressed. (B) Representative brain showing VMAT expression in OGNs after excision by tetr::dVGLUT::G418 driving R recombinase. The brain is labeled with anti-V5 (magenta) and mAb::dVGLUT (green in panels CL-D). Scale bar is 30 μm. (C) Higher magnification of the antennal lobe region showing dVGLUT expression (green) with VMAT (magenta). Scale bar is 10 μm. (D) The region in the dashed box in C showing puncta with dVGLUT and VMAT colocalization (arrowheads) and puncta with only VMAT (arrow). (E) Schematic showing the regions of the brain that are depicted in C and F. (F) Antennal lobe region of a representative brain with a synaptic marker incorporated UCAS::synaptotagmin::HA, tetr::dVGLUT::G418::UAS::R::RSRT::STOP::RSRT::dVGLUT::VMAT. The brain is labeled with anti-HA (blue), anti-V5 (magenta), and mAb::dVGLUT (green). Scale bar is 20 μm. (G-F)” Higher magnification of the SEZ region of the AL in F showing dVGLUT expression (green), VMAT (red), and Syt/Hα (blue). Arrowheads indicate puncta with dVGLUT, VMAT, and Syt/Hα and arrows indicate puncta with only VMAT and Syt/Hα. The stack for panels C and D contains two optical sections at 0.48 μm. Stacks for panels G-J contain 7 optical sections at 0.5 μm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008609.g007

however, indicate small but distinct regions that contain VMAT puncta without dVGLUT (arrows). Within the areas of dVGLUT and VMAT possible colocalization, this level of analysis does not indicate whether the two transporters segregate into adjacent but distinct puncta, nor are questions of transporter colocalization on the same vesicles addressed. Nevertheless, our results demonstrate that within OGNs, VMAT and dVGLUT puncta can differ in localization suggesting the aggression vs. internmale courtship phenotype differences may be due to spatial differences in signaling by glutamate and octopamine.

Discussion

Addressing the functional complexities of “one neuron, multiple transmitters” is critical to understanding how neuron communication, circuit computation, and behavior can be
regulated by a single neuron. Over many decades, significant progress has been made elucidating the functional properties of neurons co-expressing neuropeptides and small molecule neurotransmitters, where the neuropeptide acts as a co-transmitter and modulates the action of the neurotransmitter [5, 6, 72]. Only recently have studies begun to examine the functional significance of co-transmission by a fast-acting neurotransmitter and a slow-acting monoamine.

In this study, we demonstrated that OA neurons express dVGLUT and utilized a new genetic tool to remove dVGLUT in OA-glutamate neurons. Quantifying changes in the complex social behaviors of aggression and courtship revealed that dVGLUT in brain OGNs is required to promote aggressive behavior and a specific behavioral pattern, the lungi. In contrast, males deficient for dVGLUT function do not exhibit an increase in inter-male courtship. These results establish a previously undetermined role for dVGLUT in OA neurons located in the adult brain and reveal glutamate uncouples aggression from inter-male courtship. It has been suggested that classical neurotransmitters and monoamines present in the same neuron modulate each other’s packaging into synaptic vesicles or after release via autoreceptors [9, 49, 73–75]. For example, a reduction of dVGLUT in DA glutamate neurons resulted in decreased AMPH-stimulated hyperlocomotion in Drosophila and mice suggesting a key function of
dVGLUT is the mediation of vesicular DA content [12, 49, 76]. In this study, the independent behavioral changes suggest enhancing the packaging of OA into vesicles is not the sole function of dVGLUT co-expression and suggests differences in signaling by OA from OGNs on courtship-related circuitry.

Co-transmission can generate distinct circuit-level effects via multiple mechanisms. One mechanism includes spatial segregation; the release of two neurotransmitters or a neurotransmitter and monoamine from a single neuron occurring at different axon terminals or presynaptic zones. Recent studies examining this possible mechanism have described: (i) the release of GLU and DA from different synaptic vesicles in midbrain dopamine neurons[15, 77] and (ii) the presence of VMAT and VGLUT microdomains in a subset of redolent mesoaccumbens DA neurons[78]. In this study, we expressed a new conditionally expressed epitope-tagged version of VMAT in OGNs and visualized endogenous dVGLUT via antibody labeling. Within OGNs, the colocalization of VMAT and dVGLUT puncta was not complete suggesting the observed behavioral phenotype differences may be due to spatial differences in OA signaling.

A second mechanism by which co-transmission may generate unique functional properties relies on activating distinct postsynaptic receptors. In Drosocephila, recent work has identified a small population of male-specific neurons that express the alpha-like adrenergic receptor, OAMB, as aggression-promoting circuit-level neuronal targets of OA modulation independent of any effect on arousal[56] and separately knockdown of the Rdl GABAa receptor in a specific doublesex+ population stimulated male aggression [79]. Future experiments identifying downstream targets that express both glutamate and octopamine receptors would be informative, as well as using additional split-Gal4 lines to determine if segregation of transporters is a hallmark of the majority of OGNs. Finally, a third possible mechanism is Glu may be co-released from OGNs and act on autoreceptors to regulate presynaptic OA release (reviewed in [75]).

Deciphering the signaling complexity that allows neural networks to integrate external stimuli with internal states to generate context-appropriate social behavior is a challenging endeavor. Neurmodulators including monoamines are released to signal changes in an animal’s environment and positively or negatively reinforce network output. In invertebrates, a role for OA in responding to external chemosensory cues as well as promoting aggression has been well-established [43, 45, 56, 80–83]. In terms of identifying specific aggression circuit-components that utilize OA, previous results determined OA neurons directly receive male-specific pheromone information [43] and the aSP2 neurons serve as a hub through which OA can bias output from a multi-functional social behavior network towards aggression [56]. The ability of OA to bias behavioral decisions based on positive and negative reinforcement was also recently described for food odors [84]. In vertebrates, it has been proposed that DA-GLU cotransmission in the NAc medial shell might facilitate behavioral switching [85]. Our finding that the majority of OA neurons are glutamatergic, suggests that the complex social behavior of aggression may rely on small subsets of neurons that both signal the rapid temporal coding of critical external stimuli as well as the frequency coding of such stimuli resulting in the enhancement of this behavioral network. One implication of our finding regarding the separable OA-dependent inhibition of inter-male courtship is the possibility of identifying specific synapses or axon terminals that when activated gate two different behavioral outcomes. A second implication is that aggressive behavior in other systems may be modified by targeting GLU function in monoamine neurons.

Finally, monoamine-expressing neurons play key roles in human behavior including aggression and illnesses that have an aggressive component such as depression, addiction, anxiety, and Alzheimer’s [86, 87]. While progress is being made in addressing the functional complexities of dual transmission, the possible pathological implications of glutamate co-release by
monoamine neurons remains virtually unknown. Analyzing the synaptic vesicle and release properties of monoamine-glutamate neurons could offer new possibilities for therapeutic interventions aimed at controlling out-of-context aggression.

Methods

Drosophila husbandry and stocks
All flies were reared on standard cornmeal-based fly food. Unless noted otherwise, during developmental and post-eclosion, flies were raised at 25°C, ~50% humidity and a 12:12hr light-dark cycle (1400x200 lx white fluorescent light) in humidity and temperature-controlled incubators. A list of stocks can be found in S1 Data.

Aggression assays
Male pupae were isolated and aged individually in 16 x 100mm borosilicate glass tubes containing 1.5ml of standard food medium as previously described [88]. A dab of white or blue acrylic paint was applied to the thorax of two-day old males under CO2 anesthesia for identification purposes. Flies were returned to their respective isolation tubes for a period of at least 24 hours to allow recovery. For aggression testing, pairs of 3–5 day old, socially naive adult males were placed in 12-well polystyrene plates (VWR #2090–930) as described previously [43]. All assays were run at 25°C and ~45–50% humidity levels.

Scoring and statistics
All aggression was assayed within first two hours of lights ON time (Zeitgeber hours 0–2) and scored manually using iMovie version 8.0.6. Total number of lunges, wing threats, and unilateral wing extensions were scored for a period of 30 minutes after the first lunge according to the criteria established previously [43,86]. The time between the aspiration of the flies into the chamber and the first lunge was used for calculating the latency to lunge. Male-male courtship was the number of unilateral wing extensions (singing) followed by abdomen bends or repeated wing extensions. All graphs were generated with Graphpad Prism and Adobe Illustrator CS6. For data that did not meet parametric assumptions, Kruskal-Wallis Test with Dunn’s multiple comparison was used unless otherwise specified. A standard unpaired t-test was performed in the case of only two comparisons and a modified chi-square test to compare copulation success.

Activity levels
Activity levels were measured by tracking the flies in each assay using the OpenCV module in the Python programming language to analyze the video and then output XY-coordinate and distance data. The distance traveled was calculated for each fly by determining the starting location followed by the second location after a 250-ms time interval and then taking the sum of the distance traveled in each interval. To calculate pixels moved per second, the distance data was divided by the total time spent tracking.

Immunohistochemistry
Adult male dissected brains were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 25 minutes and labeled using a modification of protocols previously described [55]. The following primary antibodies were used: anti-bruchiplot (mAb nc82, 1:30, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank developed under the auspices of the NICHD and maintained by the Department of Biology, University of Iowa (Iowa City, IA.)), monoclonal rabbit anti-GFP
(1:200, Molecular Probes), rat anti-HA 3F10 (1:100, Roche), mAb dVG1UT (1:15), anti-TJH (1:400, [69]), rat anti-V5 (1:200, Biohey), and rabbit anti-TDC2 (1:100, Covaleb). Secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488, Alexa 594, or Alexa 647 (Molecular Probes) were used at a concentration of 1:200. Labeled brains were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Labs, #H1000).

Images were collected on an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 laser scanning confocal mounted on an inverted IX81 microscope and processed using ImageJ (NIH) and Adobe Photoshop (Adobe, CA).

qPCR
Total RNA from ~40 heads using Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Plaskit (Zymo Research)and treated with DNase I per the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA concentrations were measured with a ND-1000 nanodrop spectrometer. Reverse transcription was accomplished using iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). RT-PCR was performed using 300 ng cDNA added to Taq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and primers in a 20 µL reaction volume. All samples were run in triplicate using a Stratagene MX3005P qPCR System (Agilent Technologies). Expression of *ribosomal protein 49* (Rps49) was used as the reference control to normalize expression between genotypes. Expression levels were determined using the ΔΔCT method and results from control (UAS-dVG1Ut-RNAi/+ ) and experimental (myb-Gal4/UAS-dVG1Ut-RNAi) groups were normalized relative to a transgenic control (myb-Gal4/+). The following primers were used: Rps49 Forward: 5'-CATCCCAGCAGCATACAG-3'; Rps49 Reverse: 5'-CCATTGTGCGAGCAGCTTAG-3'; dVG1Ut Forward: 5'-GCACCGTTCACTGGGTTATTTG-3'; dVG1Ut Reverse: 5'-CCAGAAACGCCAGGATACATGGG-3'. Primer designs for all Rps49 and dVG1Ut primers used have been described previously [12].

Construction of 20XUAS-His2A-GFP, 13XLexAop2-His2B-mCherry and 20XUAS-R

The 20XUAS-His2A-GFP, 13XLexAop2-His2B-mCherry, and 20XUAS-R expression clones were assembled using Gateway MultiSite LR reactions as previously described [90] and as indicated in S2 Table. The L1-20XUAS-DSCP-L4 and L1-13XLexAop2-DSCP-L4 entry clones contain 20 copies of UAS and 13 copies of LexAop2 upstream of the Drosophila synthetic core promoter (DSCP) [91], respectively. The R4-His2A-R3 and R4-His2B-R3 entry clones were generated as previously described [90] with genomic DNA as templates. The L3-GFP-L2 entry clone was generated from template pFRC165 [60] except the PEST sequence is omitted. The L3-GFP-L2 and L3-mCherry-HA-L2 entry clones were previously described [92]. The L1-20XUAS-DSCP-R5 entry clone was previously described [90]. The pDESTp10aw destination vector was previously described [93]. Injections were performed by Bestgene, Inc.

Construction of UAS-R3

B3 recombinase derived from pFRC157 [60] was PCR amplified using primers designed to add the syn21 translational enhancer sequence [94] and remove the PEST domain. The verified PCR product was cloned into pENTR (Invitrogen) and subsequently transferred to pHBD2nUAS, a derivative of the pBID vector [95] with 20 copies of the UAS binding sequence. Injection of UAS-B3 was performed by Genetivision into landing site VIK31.

Generation of B3RT-vGlut

The B3RT-dVG1Ut-LexA chromosome was generated via CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. Both guide RNAs were incorporated into pCFD4 using previously described methods [96] to
produce the double guide RNA plasmid pCFD4-vGlut1. The donor plasmid B3RT-dVGlut-LexA used the pHSG299 backbone (Takara Bio) and was generated using NEBuilder HiFi (New England Biolabs). The complete annotated sequence of B3RT-dVGlut-LexA is shown in Supplementary Information. pCFD4-vGlut1/B3RT-dVGlut-LexA injections were performed by Bestgene, Inc.

To assess the functionality of dVGlut on the B3RT-dVGlut chromosome pre- and post-excision, the B3RT-dVGlut chromosome was crossed with the homozygous lethal dVGlut null allele, dVGlut^{222} in the presence and absence of the pan-neuronal driver n-syb-Gal4. In the absence of a Gal4 driver, dVGlut^{222}/B3RT-dVGlut progeny are fully viable and no LexAop-driven reporter gene expression is detected (Fig 2). When B3 recombinase (UAS-B3) is expressed in the nervous system by n-syb-Gal4, dVGlut^{222}/B3RT-dVGlut; UAS-B3/n-syb-Gal4 progeny are inviable, therefore after excision, as expected with removal of the entire dVGlut protein-coding sequence, a dVGlut null allele results.

**Generation of dVGlut^{222}**

The dVGlut^{222} allele was generated by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with the same guide RNAs used to generate B3RT-dVGlut LexA. dVGlut^{222} was identified based on failed complementation with the existing dVGlut^{224} allele[97]. Sequencing of PCR products from this allele indicated a deletion of 2442bp that includes dVGlut amino acids 53–523. Genomic DNA sequence at the breakpoints of the dVGlut^{222} allele are indicated with the deleted region in bold: GGACCAGCGCGCGCCACGC . . . AACCTCGGCGGCGAGGAGCAA.

**Generation of the RSRT-STOP-RSRT-6XV5-vMAT chromosome**

RSRT-STOP-RSRT-6XV5-vMAT was generated via CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. Both upstream guide RNAs were incorporated into pCFD4-vMAT1 and both downstream guide RNAs were incorporated into pCFD4-vMAT2 as previously described [96]. The RSRT-STOP-RSRT-6XV5-vMAT donor plasmid used the pHSG299 backbone (Takara Bio) and was generated using NEBuilder HiFi (New England Biolabs). The complete annotated sequence of RSRT-STOP-RSRT-6XV5-vMAT is shown in Supplementary Information. pCFD4-vMAT1/pCFD4-vMAT2/RSRT-STOP-RSRT-6XV5-vMAT injections into the nos-Cas9 strain TH4attP2 [98] were performed by Bestgene, Inc.

The R and B3 recombinases from yeast recognize sequence-specific recombination target sites, RSRTs and B3RTs, respectively [60]. These recombinases are highly efficient and highly specific as they exhibit virtually no cross-reactivity with each other’s recombinase target sites. When pairs of recombinase target sites are in the same orientation, as is the case for both B3RT-vGlut-LexA and ASRT-STOP-RSRT-6XV5-vMAT, the recombinases catalyze excision of the intervening DNA and leave behind a single recombinase target site.

dVGlut antibody

Drosophila anti-dVGLUT mouse monoclonal antibodies (10D6G) were generated (Life Technologies Europe) using the C-terminal peptide sequence TQGQMPSYDPQGYQQQ of dVGLUT coupled to KLH.

**Supporting information**

S1 Fig. Verification of mAb dVGLUT specificity using the null dVGlut^{222} allele. (A) dVGLUT expression detected by mAb dVGLUT in a heterozygous yw, dVGlut^{222}/+, late stage embryo. (B) dVGLUT expression is not detectable by mAb dVGLUT in a homozygous yw,
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dVGlut<sup>1+2</sup> / dVGlut<sup>1+2</sup> late stage embryo.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Multiple optical sections from dVGlut<sup>-/-</sup>dRed male brains labeled with anti-TJh.
(A-B) Although the TJh shows weaker immunoreactivity than the anti-Td<sub>c2</sub> antibody, TJh is mainly detected in dVGlut<sup>-/-</sup>dRed neurons at dorsal and ventral positions (A', A'', B' and B''). Scale bar = 20 μm.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. (A-A') Schematic showing the regions (boxes) of the VNS imaged in panels B and C. (B-C) A male dVGlut<sup>-/-</sup>dRed adult VNS labeled with anti-Td<sub>c2</sub>. The majority of dVGLUT<sup>+</sup> neurons within the thoracic VNS (B) and abdominal VNS (C) express Td<sub>c2</sub> with a few exceptions (arrows). Scale bar = 10 μm.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. (A) Schematic showing the regions imaged in panels B and C (colored boxes). (B-C) The majority of OA neurons within the PENP (B) and SEZ (C) regions co-express dVGLUT as visualized in a male tdc2<sup>+</sup>dRed adult brain labeled with anti-dVGLUT. Scale bar = 10 μm.

(TIF)

S5 Fig. (A) dVGlut transcript levels were decreased in n-syb-gal4<sup>+</sup>dVGLUT-RNAi males as compared to the n-syb-gal4 control (n = 3; p<0.01). (B-C) Representative images of ventral sections of the SEZ from a tdc2-gal4<sup>+</sup>dVGLUT-RNAi/UAS-dRed male brain labeled with anti-Td<sub>c2</sub>. OGN differentiation as measured by Td<sub>c2</sub> expression is not altered by a reduction of dVGLUT. Scale bar = 10 μm. (D-E) Dorsal sections of the SEZ, PENP and protocerebral bridge region from the same brain as in B. There are no obvious changes in ventral OGN survival and differentiation as measured by Td<sub>c2</sub> expression. Scale bar = 20 μm.

(TIF)

S6 Fig. (A) Verification that each tdc2<sup>+</sup>GFP neuron in the brain and VNS is Td<sub>c2</sub><sup>+</sup>. The stack for panel A contains 30 optical sections at 1.0 μm. Scale bar = 20 μm. (B) The stack for panel B contains 34 optical sections at 1.0 μm. Scale bar = 20 μm. (C-E) Verification that each tdc2<sup>+</sup>dVGlut<sup>-/-</sup>GFP neuron is Td<sub>c2</sub><sup>+</sup>. The stack for panels C-E contains 56 optical sections at 0.5 μm. Scale bar = 20 μm. (F) Schematic showing the locations of Tdc<sup>+</sup> clusters in C-E.

(TIF)

S7 Fig. (A) The activity levels of controls and tdc2<sup>+</sup>dVGlut-RNAi males did not differ during the aggression assay as measured by pixels moved/second. (B) Total behavioral events (laughs, wing threats, inter-male courtship) per minute was calculated. The average number of behavioral events per minute exhibited by experimental males (tdc2<sup>+</sup>tsh<sup>+</sup>Gal80<sup>+</sup>dVGlut RNAi) was slightly higher than controls (**p<0.01)

(TIF)

S8 Fig. (A) The VNS of a tdc2<sup>+</sup>mta<sup>+</sup>HA male, note the Tdc<sub>2</sub> cell bodies. (B) The addition of tsh<sup>+</sup>Gal80 blocked the Gal4-mediated expression of mta<sup>+</sup>HA in the majority of Tdc<sub>2</sub> VNS neurons (tdc2<sup>+</sup>tsh<sup>+</sup>Gal80<sup>+</sup>dRed). Axonal projections from brain Tdc<sub>2</sub> neurons are visualized in the VNS. (C) Significantly less Tdc<sub>2</sub> VNS neurons are detected in tdc2<sup>+</sup>tsh<sup>+</sup>Gal80<sup>+</sup>dRed vs. tdc2<sup>+</sup>dRed males. (Mann Whitney, P = 0.001). (D) The addition of tsh<sup>+</sup>Gal80 does not alter brain tdc2-gal4 reporter driven expression.

(TIF)

S9 Fig. Neuron survival or distribution is not affected by the complete loss of dVGLUT in OGNs (A-D) Representative images of dorsal (A-B) and ventral (C-D) optical sections of the
SEZ region from mcl2-gal4:B3RT-dvGlut6/dvGLUT GLS1; UAS-B3 lexAop-His2B-mCherry
UAS-His2A-GFP males. OGNs are visualized by the mCherry reporter and white co-localization in the merged channel. Scale bar = 20 μm. (TIF)

S10 Fig. RSRT:stop>:6XV5-VMAT is not expressed without Gal4-mediated excision of the stop cassette. (A-A) In the presence of a Gal4 driver (mcl2-gal4-AD:dVGlut-Gal4-DBD) to drive R recombinase (UAS-R) expression, the stop cassette of RSRT:stop>:6XV5-VMAT is excised and V5-VMAT (magenta) is expressed and visualized by anti-V5. dvGLUT (green) is visualized by mAb dvGLUT. (B-B') Without the presence of a Gal4 driver, dvGLUT expression is apparent while expression from RSRT:stop>:6XV5-VMAT is not detected by anti-V5. Scale bar = 30 μm. (TIF)

S11 Fig. (A) Higher magnification of the SEZ region showing V5-VMAT expression in OGNs after excision by mcl2-dvGlut-gal4 driven R recombinase. The brain is labeled with anti-V5 (magenta) and mAb dvGLUT (green). Scale bar = 15 μm. (B-B') Higher magnification of the SEZ region of the region in the dashed box in panel B. Arrowheads indicate puncta with dvGLUT and V5-VMAT colocalization. Arrows indicate puncta with only V5-VMAT (arrows). (C) Schematic indicating the location of the SEZ region. (D) SEZ region of a representative brain with a synaptic marker incorporated (UAS-synaptotagmin3-HA, mcl2-dvGlut-gal4/UAS-R RSRT-STOP-RSRT-6XV5-vMAT). The brain is labeled with anti-HA (blue), anti-V5 (magenta), and mAb dvGLUT (green). Scale bar = 20 μm. (E) Higher magnification of the SEZ region in D. Scale bar = 10 μm. (F-H) Regions of interest from E showing puncta with dvGLUT, V5-VMAT and Syn-HA. The stack for panel B contains two optical sections at 0.45 μm. Six optical sections at 0.45 μm were stacked in panels E-H. (TIF)

S12 Fig. OGNs include the three OA-FruM+ neurons. (A-C) Brains from mcl2-dvGlut-split-gal4/UAS:stop>:CD8:GFPfru-flp males demonstrate OA-FruM+ neurons are also dvGlut+.
(D) No OGNs in the VNS are FruM+ although as expected the OGN-FruM+ neurons project into the VNS. Scale bar = 20 μm. (E-G) OGN-FruM+ neurons (arrow) were also identified in dvGlut-gal4/UAS:stop>:CD8:GFPfru-flp male brains labeled with anti-Tdc2 (magenta). Scale bar = 20 μm. (TIF)

S1 Table. Identified OGNs based on OA neuron nomenclature. (TIF)

S2 Table. Cloning components used for the construction of the 20XUAS-His2A-GFP and 15XLexAop2-His2B-mCherry lines. (TIF)

S1 Data. (TIF)
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Chapter 4: Discussion
Neuron Communication

The over-arching theme of my master’s research has been to understand the mechanisms that underlie neuron communication in the developing and adult brain. Neuronal communication is made possible by the neuron's specialized structures and the combination of electrical events called 'action potentials' and chemical neurotransmitters. At the synaptic junction between two neurons, an action potential causes the pre-synaptic neuron to release a chemical neurotransmitter. An ever-growing challenge in understanding neuron communication is the realization that many neurons release more than one type of chemical signal or neurotransmitter. In the collaborative dual transmission publication, we asked: how does the release of more than one neurotransmitter from a single neuron impact circuits that control behavior? We determined the monoamine octopamine (OA) and the classical transmitter glutamate are co-expressed in the Drosophila adult central nervous system (CNS). By manipulating the release of glutamate in OA-glutamate neurons, we demonstrated glutamate has both separable actions and complementary actions with OA on aggression and reproductive behaviors respectively. Aggression is a behavior that is highly conserved between organisms and present in many human disease states, including traumatic brain injuries (TBIs), depression, and Alzheimer’s disease (Takahashi and Miczek, 2014; Thomas et al., 2015; Wrangham, 2018; Svensson et al., 2018). Our results show that aggressive behavior requires the release of both neurotransmitters in dual-transmitting neurons and suggests within this set of neurons, glutamate may provide a new therapeutic target to modulate aggression in pathological conditions.

A second emerging area of neuron communication that is also complex and still largely unknown, is the impact of the extracellular space surrounding neurons and glia on brain function. With the emergence of new technologies in combination with decades of research, a picture is developing that shows the narrow intercellular space to be a complex microenvironment essential to neuronal function, a signaling pathway in its own right, and an important conduit for drug delivery. The requirement for components of this extracellular space has been the core of my thesis project with the study of the matricellular protein (MCP) family member, dCCN. The connection that binds both projects together is our ultimate goal: determine how the complex signaling capabilities
of monoamine neurotransmitters modulate circuits that control behavior, namely aggression.

**dCCN Discussion**

The CCN family of MCPs are a group of highly conserved, non-structural ECM proteins that are critical for modulating intercellular signaling and trafficking (Bornstein and Sage, 2002; Rachfal, 2005; Katsube et al., 2009; Chen and Lau, 2009; Bornstein, 2009; Perbal, 2013; Adams, 2018; Perbal, 2018). The four well conserved functional domains of CCN proteins enable a single family member the ability to interact with a multitude of signaling partners that initiate many biological processes (Holbourn et al., 2008; Malik et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2019). A variety of tissues express the CCN family of MCPs, and numerous pathologies, developmental deficiencies, diseases, psychiatric conditions, cancers, and many other disorders result from disruptions in CCN:ECM or CCN:cell communication (Jun et al., 2011; Malik et al., 2015; Perbal, 2018; Hu et al., 2019). However, the requirements for CCN function in the nervous system, aside from a few studies, remains poorly understood.

In this study, I examined the sole CCN family member encoded by the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome, which we refer to as *dCCN*. We have shown that *dCCN* is expressed in the CNS at each developmental stage. Widespread, but not ubiquitous *dCCN* expression begins in the CNS of the embryo, is present in the CNS and motor neurons of larvae, and *dCCN* CNS widespread expression is maintained to adulthood. We predict that *dCCN* is important for development and critical for the remodeling and growth of the CNS within the *Drosophila* organism as the CNS undergoes immense morphological changes from an embryo, to a larvae, and finally, to an adult fly. We identified *dCCN* expressing sensory neurons of the peripheral nervous system (PNS) including the proboscis, maxillary palps, antennae, legs, and wings. We also discovered *dCCN* expressing projections innervating the crop and proventriculus structures of the gastrointestinal system. These sensory neurons are important for collecting internal and external stimuli, and are responsible for conveying this information back to the central brain. Additionally, we found *dCCN* expressing projections innervating the ovaries and uterus. We identified monoamine neuronal
populations that co-express \textit{dCCN}, of which include the monoamines serotonin (5-HT), dopamine (DA), and OA. In addition, we found that \textit{dCCN} is expressed in sexually dimorphic populations of neurons critical for sex-specific behaviors, of which include \textit{fruitless (fru)} and \textit{double-sex (dsx)}. Lastly, we have demonstrated for the first time, that \textit{dCCN} is required for neurotransmission at the larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ) and for female sterility. To conclude, \textit{dCCN} is an incredibly important MCP of the CNS and contributes to synaptic neurotransmission and nervous system function.

We found that \textit{dCCN} is expressed in the monoamine neurons DA, 5-HT, and OA. Monoamine neurons are important for regulating an immense variety of physiological processes, moods, and is known to govern behaviors (Dishman 1997; Libersat and Pflueger 2004; Kamhi and Traniello 2013; Bubak et al., 2014; De Boer et al., 2015; Swallow et al., 2016). A previous study by Hori et al. also demonstrated monoamine and CCN protein interactions in which CCN2 production is upregulated when 5-HT receptors are stimulated in chondrocytes (Hori et al., 2017). As MCPs do not have a structural role in the extracellular space (ECS), MCPs, and in particular \textit{dCCN}, may indirectly impact the signaling of monoamine neurotransmitters released from synaptic sites and extr-synaptic sites by interacting with integrins as one example to stabilize neuronal junctions, bouton architecture, and potentially vesicle release.

We found sexually dimorphic \textit{dCCN} expression in \textit{fru} and \textit{dsx} neurons. \textit{Fru} and \textit{dsx} are have different sets of neurons, cells, and expression patterns between males and females. Previous work demonstrated that \textit{fru} neurons are important for male specific behaviors such as courtship and aggression (Lee et al., 2000; Goodwin et al., 2000; Anand et al., 2001; Stockinger et al., 2005; Kimura et al., 2005; Yamamoto, 2007; Rideout et al., 2007; Yamamoto, 2008; Villella and Hall, 2008; Sato et al., 2019; Ishii et al., 2020; Sato et al., 2020; Wohl et al., 2020). \textit{Dsx} is important for male courting, specifically for performing sine song and copulatory behaviors, and initiating aggression towards males (Rideout et al., 2007; Rideout et al., 2010; Ishii et al., 2020). Additionally, both \textit{fru} and \textit{dsx} is essential for receptivity in females during courting events (Rideout et al., 2007; Villella and Hall, 2008; Rideout et al., 2010; Chowdhury et al., 2020). We predict that \textit{dCCN} expression within \textit{fru} and \textit{dsx} may be to contribute to the development of sex specific neurons and cells, to give cells and neurons a sexual identity, and to
contribute to the health and maintenance of reproductive organs and the formation of offspring. \(dCCN\) may possibly impact behavior, but additional experiments are needed to separate out a developmental role.

**Future Directions**

There are two questions that can now be addressed after this work. First, it is necessary to examine the developmental vs. mature nervous system function of \(dCCN\). While it has been demonstrated that the delicate balance of structural remodeling and stabilization of neuronal networks requires cell:ECM interactions changes over the life-span of an organism, to date, separating the role of CCN proteins during development vs. the mature cell/tissue has yet to be addressed in any system. This information is key to identifying temporally-regulated ECM or signaling factors that interact with CCN proteins as well as determining how CCN proteins contribute to neurotransmission changes in disease states. The second question is location: separating the nuclear vs. secreted function of CCN proteins. This question can be addressed by generating genome-edited fly strains to tag the \(dCCN\) endogenous protein and prevent secretion.

**Significance**

During development and in the adult, the ECS provides multiple cues that promote synaptic plasticity on the one hand, and maintenance of the homeostasis of neural circuitries on the other. The importance of the ECM for both of these processes indicate that it could have a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders. Indeed, recent studies support the view that ECM aberrations are likely to contribute to imbalanced synaptic function in epilepsy, Alzheimer’s disease, and other neurodegenerative disorders, TBI, and depression (Jun et al., 2011; Malik et al., 2015; Perbal, 2018; Dazzan et al., 2018; Davies, 2019). Results from this thesis project has provided new information on the sexually dimorphic expression and function of CCN family members and demonstrated a requirement for CCN proteins in synaptic transmission. There is still much to unravel in the quest to understand the specific functional contributions of the CCN family of MCPs within the CNS and PNS, and soon these roles will be elucidated. The findings of this work and future aims of this study will
lead to data that could be applied in potential therapeutic applications that may aid in CNS injury repair, microenvironment re-assembly, tissue regeneration and organ growing, electrophysiology treatments, TBI treatments, and numerous other clinical applications.
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