1-2021

A formal justification of the Ancient Chinese Method of Computing Square Roots

Edilberto Najera

Leslie Cristina Najera-Benitez

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.umt.edu/tme>

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Najera, Edilberto and Najera-Benitez, Leslie Cristina (2021) "A formal justification of the Ancient Chinese Method of Computing Square Roots," *The Mathematics Enthusiast*: Vol. 18 : No. 1 , Article 6.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54870/1551-3440.1513>

Available at: <https://scholarworks.umt.edu/tme/vol18/iss1/6>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Mathematics Enthusiast by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

A formal justification of the Ancient Chinese Method of Computing Square Roots

Edilberto Nájera

Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, México

Leslie Cristina Nájera-Benítez

Secretaría de Educación Pública y Cultura, Sinaloa, México

ABSTRACT: In this paper a formal justification of the ancient Chinese method for computing square roots is given. As a result, some already known properties of the square root which is computed with this method are deduced. If any other number base is used, the justification given shows that the method is applied in the same way and that the deduced properties are still being fulfilled, facts that highlight the importance of positional number systems. It also shows how to generalize the method to compute high orders roots. Although with this elementary method you can compute the square root of any real number, with the exact number of decimal places that you want, the mathematicians of ancient China were not able to generalize it for the purpose of computing irrational roots, because they did not know a positional number system. Finally, in order for high school students gain a better understanding of number systems, the examples given in this paper show how they can use the square root calculus with this method to practice elementary operations with positional number systems with different bases, and also to explore some relationships between them.

Keywords: square root, number base, positional number system

Introduction

The method that for many years was taught in elementary education to extract the square root of a number, was created in ancient China, and was also extended to the case of the extraction of the cube root of a number. The mathematicians of ancient China also found formulas to approximate irrational roots; however, the approximations obtained were far from satisfactory (see [Jos], pp. 219-228; [YS], pp. 93-112). This method was also known by the mathematicians of Islam, who extended it to the case of extraction of square roots of fractions, isolated or joined to units (see [Sai], pp. 76-81). Perhaps the mathematicians of Islam were the ones who made it known in Europe through Spain.

Although for many years the ancient Chinese method for computing square roots was taught in elementary education, only heuristic explanations have been given to justify why it works (see [Wik]). With this method the square root of $m = 3589769.743$ is computed as shown in Figure 1:

$$\begin{array}{r|l}
 \sqrt{3589769.7430} & 1894.66 \\
 \hline
 -1 & 28 \\
 \hline
 258 & 369 \\
 \hline
 -224 & 3784 \\
 \hline
 3497 & 37886 \\
 \hline
 -3321 & 378926 \\
 \hline
 17669 & \\
 \hline
 -15136 & \\
 \hline
 253374 & \\
 \hline
 -227316 & \\
 \hline
 2605830 & \\
 \hline
 -2273556 & \\
 \hline
 332274 &
 \end{array}$$

Figure 1: Square root of 3589769.743.

Thus the square root of m , with an error less than 10^{-2} , is 1894.66. In order to increase the precision we simply add pairs of zeros to the right of the decimal part as many times as necessary, and continue to apply the method.

In this paper an algebraic proof is given of why the method works. This algebraic proof is not given in the mainstream literature on this subject. As a consequence, it is proved that if the square root is computed with n decimal places, $n \geq 0$, then the error committed is less than 10^{-n} , from which it is possible to show that all the digits of the computed root match with the corresponding ones of the true root. Thus, given the positive rational number m , written in positional notation, with a finite number of decimal places, with this method what is being done is to determine the greatest rational q with n decimal places such that $q^2 \leq m$.

Additionally, from the given proof it is seen that the method is applied in the same way independently of the number base that is used.

It is also shown how this method and its proof are generalized for the cases of cube roots, fourth roots, etc., although from the cube root the number of arithmetic operations that must be carried out to apply it begins to grow considerably, such that it becomes impractical.

Finally, it is also shown how this method and its proof are generalized for the cases of cube roots, fourth roots, etc., although from the cube root the number of arithmetic operations that must be carried out to apply it begins to grow considerably, such that it becomes impractical.

1 Justification of the method

1.1 Notation

- (a) If u and v are real numbers, the multiplication of u and v will be denoted by $uxv, (u)(v), u(v)$ or $(u)v$.

(b) If m is a positive rational such that

$$m = m_1x10^{k-1} + \dots + m_{k-1}x10 + m_k + m_{k+1}x10^{-1} + \dots + m_{k+s}x10^{-s},$$

where $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{k+s}$ are no negative integers such that $1 \leq m_1 \leq 9$ and $0 \leq m_i \leq 9, i = 2, 3, \dots, k+s$, m will be denoted by $m = m_1m_2\dots m_k.m_{k+1}\dots m_{k+s}$. For example,

$$9076.023 = 9x10^3 + 0x10^2 + 7x10 + 6 + 0x10^{-1} + 2x10^{-2} + 3x10^{-3}.$$

Here $m_1 = 9, m_2 = 0, m_3 = 7, m_4 = 6, m_5 = 0, m_6 = 2$ and $m_7 = 3$.

(c) For subscripts and exponents, multiplication will be denoted in the usual way.

1.2 Heuristic justification

The following developments provide an intuitive justification of the method (see, for example, Joseph 2011, pp. 219-223). The appearing integers m are such that $0 < m_1 \leq 9$ and $0 \leq m_i \leq 9, i = 2, 3, 4$.

$$\begin{aligned} (10xm_1 + m_2)^2 &= 10^2xm_1^2 + 2x10xm_1xm_2 + m_2^2 \\ &= 10^2xm_1^2 + (2x10xm_1 + m_2)m_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (10^2xm_1 + 10xm_2 + m_3)^2 &= (10(10xm_1 + m_2) + m_3)^2 \\ &= 10^2(10^2xm_1^2 + (2x10xm_1 + m_2)m_2) \\ &\quad + 2x10(10xm_1 + m_2)m_3 + m_3^2 \\ &= 10^4xm_1^2 + 10^2((2x10xm_1 + m_2)m_2) \\ &\quad + 2x10(m_1m_2)m_3 + m_3^2 \\ &= 10^4xm_1^2 + 10^2((2x10xm_1 + m_2)m_2) \\ &\quad + (2x10(m_1m_2) + m_3)m_3. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way it is found that

$$\begin{aligned} (10^3xm_1 + 10^2xm_2 + 10xm_3 + m_4)^2 &= 10^6xm_1^2 + 10^4(2x10xm_1 + m_2)m_2 \\ &\quad + 10^2(2x10(m_1m_2) + m_3)m_3 \\ &\quad + (2x10(m_1m_2m_3) + m_4)m_4. \end{aligned}$$

It is seen that the square of any positive integer greater or equal to 10 can be written according to the previous scheme, which gives a justification of the method. Although this justification is for positive integers having exact square root, when applied to any positive integer m the largest q positive integer is obtained such that $q^2 \leq m$.

1.3 Formal justification

(a) Consider first the case of computing the square root of a positive integer of the form $m_1m_2\dots m_{2k}$, where $1 \leq m_1 \leq 9$ and $0 \leq m_i \leq 9, i = 2, 3, \dots, 2k$. Let q_1 be the largest integer n such that $n^2 \leq m_1m_2$. Then $0 < q_1 < 10$ because $0 < m_1m_2 < 100$. Let

$$r_1 = m_1m_2 - q_1^2$$

and

$$q_2 = \max\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid (2xq_1x10 + n)n \leq r_1m_3m_4\},$$

where $\max$ denotes the maximum, $\mathbf{Z}$ denotes the set of integers and $r_1m_3m_4$ is the integer that is formed putting m_3 y m_4 , in that order, to the right of the digits of r_1 . The following facts are true:

(i) $(q_1x10 + q_2)^2 \leq m_1m_2m_3m_4$, because

$$\begin{aligned} (2xq_1x10 + q_2)q_2 &\leq r_1m_3m_4 \\ &= r_1x10^2 + m_3m_4 \\ &= (m_1m_2 - q_1^2)x10^2 + m_3m_4 \\ &= m_1m_2m_3m_4 - q_1^2x10^2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $q_2 < 10$, because if $q_2 \geq 10$, then

$$q_1q_2 = q_1x10 + q_2 \geq q_1x10 + 10 = 10(q_1 + 1),$$

therefore

$$10^2(q_1 + 1)^2 \leq (q_1x10 + q_2)^2 \leq m_1m_2m_3m_4,$$

hence

$$(q_1 + 1)^2 \leq m_1m_2m_3m_4,$$

but $(q_1 + 1)^2$ is an integer and $0 \leq 0.m_3m_4 < 1$, from which it follows that

$$(q_1 + 1)^2 \leq m_1m_2,$$

which contradicts the choice of q_1 .

(iii) $q_1q_2 = 10xq_1 + q_2$ it is the largest integer such that $(q_1q_2)^2 \leq m_1m_2m_3m_4$, because if $(10xq_1 + q_2 + 1)^2 \leq m_1m_2m_3m_4$, then

$$(10xq_1 + q_2 + 1)^2 = 10^2xq_1^2 + 2x10xq_1(q_2 + 1) + (q_2 + 1)^2,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} 2x10xq_1(q_2 + 1) + (q_2 + 1)^2 &\leq m_1m_2m_3m_4 - q_1^2x10^2 \\ &= m_1m_2x10^2 + m_3m_4 - q_1^2x10^2 \\ &= (m_1m_2 - q_1^2)10^2 + m_3m_4 \\ &= r_1x10^2 + m_3m_4 \\ &= r_1m_3m_4, \end{aligned}$$

that is to say,

$$(2x10xq_1 + (q_2 + 1))(q_2 + 1) \leq r_1m_3m_4,$$

which contradicts the choice of q_2 .

Now, let

$$\begin{aligned} r_2 &= r_1m_3m_4 - (2x10xq_1 + q_2)q_2 \\ &= r_1x10^2 + m_3m_4 - 2x10xq_1xq_2 - q_2^2 \\ &= (m_1m_2 - q_1^2)10^2 + m_3m_4 - 2x10xq_1xq_2 - q_1^2 \\ &= m_1m_2m_3m_4 - (10xq_1 + q_2)^2 \\ &= m_1m_2m_3m_4 - (q_1q_2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$q_3 = \max\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid (2(q_1q_2)10 + n)n \leq r_2m_5m_6\}.$$

For q_3 are obtained similar facts to those who were in (i), (ii) and (iii) for q_2 . Continue in this way until finally get q_k , where the q_i are such that $0 < q_1 < 10$, $0 \leq q_i < 10$, for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k$, and $q_1q_2\dots q_i$ is the biggest integer such that

$$(q_1q_2\dots q_i)^2 \leq m_1m_2\dots m_{2i-1}m_{2i}.$$

(b) To compute the square root of $m_1m_2\dots m_{2k}m_{2k+1}$ it proceeds analogously, but starting with m_1 , then it continues with m_2 and m_3 , and so until finish with m_{2k} and m_{2k+1} .

- (c) In order to compute the square root of $m = m_1m_2\dots m_{2k}.d_1d_2\dots d_{2s}$, where the integers m_i and d_j are such that $0 < m_1 \leq 9, 0 \leq m_i \leq 9$, for $i = 2, \dots, 2k$ and $0 \leq d_j \leq 9$, for $j = 1, \dots, 2s$, let $q = q_1\dots q_kq_{k+1}\dots q_{k+s}$ be the square root of $m \cdot 10^{2s}$ computed by the ancient Chinese method. Then

$$q^2 \leq m \cdot 10^{2s} < (q+1)^2,$$

from where

$$qx10^{-s} \leq \sqrt{m} < qx10^{-s} + 10^{-s},$$

such that

$$0 \leq \sqrt{m} - qx10^{-s} < 10^{-s}.$$

So,

$$qx10^{-s} = q_1q_2\dots q_k \cdot q_{k+1}\dots q_{k+s}$$

is the square root of m with an error less than 10^{-s} .

Remark 1.1. As a result of what has been shown, the following facts are true when the square root is computed by the ancient Chinese method.

1. If the square root with n decimal places is computed, the committed error is less than 10^{-n} .
2. Although it is tedious to do so, it can be shown that every one digit computed of the square root match with the corresponding of the exact square root.
3. The method is applied in the same way when other number base is used.

2 Generalization of the method

In this section is shown how to generalize the method only for the case of the cube root (see [Jos], pp. 224-227), from which it is clear how to generalize it to higher-order roots.

Let m_1, m_2 and m_3 be non negative integers such that $1 \leq m_1 \leq 9$ and $0 \leq m_2, m_3 \leq 9$. Then

$$(10xm_1 + m_2)^3 = 10^3xm_1^3 + (3x10^2xm_1^2 + 3x10xm_1xm_2 + m_2^2)m_2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1m_2m_3)^3 &= (10^2xm_1 + 10xm_2 + m_3)^3 \\ &= (10(10xm_1 + m_2) + m_3)^3 \\ &= 10^6xm_1^3 + 10^3(3x10^2xm_1^2 + 3x10xm_1xm_2 + m_2^2)m_2 \\ &\quad + 3x10^2(10xm_1 + m_2)^2m_3 + 3x10(10xm_1 + m_2)m_3^2 + m_3^3 \\ &= 10^6xm_1^3 + 10^3(3x10^2xm_1^2 + 3x10xm_1xm_2 + m_2^2)m_2 \\ &\quad + (3x10^2(m_1m_2)^2 + 3x10(m_1m_2)m_3 + m_3^2)m_3. \end{aligned}$$

It is seen that the cube of any positive integer greater or equal to 10 can be written following the previous scheme, and gives the algorithm to compute the cube root of a positive integer $m = m_1m_2m_3\dots m_{3k}$:

1. Separate digits of m in threes, from right to left.
2. Let q_1 be the largest integer n such that $n^3 \leq m_1m_2m_3$.
3. If $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s, s < k$, are digits already computed of the cube root, let $q = q_1q_2\dots q_s$ and $r_s = m_1m_2m_3\dots m_{3s-2}m_{3s-1}m_{3s} - q^3$. Then the next digit of the cube root, q_{s+1} , is

$$\begin{aligned} q_{s+1} &= \max\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid (3x10^2xq^2 + 3x10xqx n + n^2)n \\ &\leq r_s m_{3s+1}m_{3s+2}m_{3(s+1)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Continue until $s = k$ or compute the cube root with the wanted number of decimal places.

The formal justification of the method to compute cube roots is similar to that given to compute square roots. Also are obtained the same facts seen in the remark 1.1.

3 Examples

3.1 Example 1

Through the algorithm described above, the cube root of 47698.75987 is computed:

- (a) Digits are separated into three from the decimal point, rightward and leftward, so that the separation is as 47,698.759,870. Here $m_1 = 4, m_2 = 7, m_3 = 6, m_4 = 9, m_5 = 8, m_6 = 7, m_7 = 5, m_8 = 9, m_9 = 8, m_{10} = 7$ and $m_{11} = 0$

- (b) The largest integer n such that $n^3 \leq 47$ is 3, so that $q_1 = 3$ and $r_1 = 47 - 27 = 20$.

- (c) $r_1 m_3 m_4 m_5 = 20698$,

$$6 = \max\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid (3x10^2 x 3^2 + 3x10x3xn + n^2)n \leq 20698\},$$

which means $q_2 = 6$, and

$$r_2 = 20698 - (3x3^2 x 10^2 + 3x3x10x6 + 6^2)6 = 20698 - 3276x6 = 1042.$$

- (d) $r_2 m_6 m_7 m_8 = 1042759$,

$$2 = \max\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid (3x10^2 x (36)^2 + 3x10x36xn + n^2)n \leq 1042759\},$$

that is $q_3 = 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} r_3 &= 1042759 - (3x(36)^2 x 10^2 + 3x36x10x2 + 2^2) 2 \\ &= 1042759 - 390964x2 \\ &= 260831. \end{aligned}$$

- (e) $r_3 m_9 m_{10} m_{11} = 260831870$,

$$6 = \max\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid (3x10^2 x (362)^2 + 3x10x362xn + n^2)n \leq 260831870\},$$

that is $q_4 = 6$, and

$$\begin{aligned} r_4 &= 260831870 - (3x(362)^2 x 10^2 + 3x362x10x6 + 6^2) 6 \\ &= 260831870 - 39378396x6 \\ &= 24561494. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the cube root of 47698.75987 is $(q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4)x10^{-2} = 36.26$ with an error less than 10^{-2} .

The previous procedure is shown in Figure 2.

$\sqrt[3]{47698.75987}$ $- \underline{27}$ 20698 $- \underline{19656}$ 1042759 $- \underline{781928}$ 260831870 $- \underline{236270376}$ 24561494	36.26 <hr style="border: 0; border-top: 1px solid black; margin: 2px 0;"/> 3276 <hr style="border: 0; border-top: 1px solid black; margin: 2px 0;"/> 390964 <hr style="border: 0; border-top: 1px solid black; margin: 2px 0;"/> 39378396
--	--

Figure 2: Cube root of 47698.75987.

$\sqrt{2}$	1.414213
<u>-1</u>	<u>24</u>
100	281
<u>-96</u>	<u>2824</u>
400	28282
<u>-281</u>	<u>282841</u>
11900	2828423
<u>-11296</u>	
60400	
<u>-56564</u>	
383600	
<u>-282841</u>	
10075900	
<u>-8485269</u>	
1590631	

Figure 3: Square root of 2 in decimal system.

3.2 Example 2

In this example the square root of 2 is computed in the decimal, binary and quaternary number systems.

- (a) Decimal system: From Figure 3 it is seen that the square root of 2 with six decimal places is 1.414213, with an error less than 10^{-6} .
- (b) Binary system: Figure 4 shows the procedure for computing the square root of 2 with thirteen “binary places”. With an error less than 10^{-1101} , the square root of 2 in the binary system is 1.0110101000001. So, in decimal system the square root of 2 is

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{2^5} + \frac{1}{2^7} + \frac{1}{2^{13}} = 1.41418457,$$

with an error less than $2^{-13} = 0.00012207$.

- (c) Quaternary system: From Figure 5 it is seen that with seven “quaternary places” the square root of 2 is 1.1222002, with an error less than 10^{-13} . Then, in decimal system the square root of 2 is

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{4^2} + \frac{2}{4^3} + \frac{2}{4^4} + \frac{2}{4^7} = 1.41418457,$$

with an error less than $4^{-7} = 0.0000610352$.

3.3 Example 3

In this example the cube root of 2 is computed, also in the decimal, binary and quaternary systems.

- (a) Decimal system: Figure 6 shows that the cube root of 2, with four decimal places, is 1.2599. The error is less than $10^{-4} = 0.0001$.
- (b) Binary system: Figure 7 shows that the cube root of 2 with seven “binary places” is 1.0100001, with an error less than 10^{-111} . In decimal system the cube root of 2 is

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^7} = 1.2599,$$

with an error less than $2^{-7} = 0.0078125$.

$\sqrt{10}$	<u>1.0110101000001</u>
- <u>1</u>	100
100	1001
- <u>0</u>	10101
10000	101100
- <u>1001</u>	1011001
0011100	10110100
- <u>10101</u>	101101001
00011100	1011010100
- <u>0</u>	10110101000
1110000	101101010000
- <u>1011001</u>	1011010100000
001011100	10110101000000
- <u>0</u>	101101010000001
101110000	
- <u>101101001</u>	
00000011100	
- <u>0</u>	
1110000	
- <u>0</u>	
111000000	
- <u>0</u>	
11100000000	
- <u>0</u>	
1110000000000	
- <u>0</u>	
111000000000000	
- <u>101101010000001</u>	
001010101111111	

Figure 4: Square root of 2 in binary system.

$\sqrt{2}$	<u>1.1222002</u>
- <u>1</u>	21
100	222
- <u>21</u>	2302
01300	23102
- <u>1110</u>	231100
013000	2311000
- <u>11210</u>	23110002
0113000	
- <u>112210</u>	
00013000	
- <u>0</u>	
1300000	
- <u>0</u>	
130000000	
- <u>112220010</u>	
011113330	

Figure 5: Square root of 2 in quaternary system.

$\sqrt[3]{2}$	1.2599
- <u>1</u>	364
1000	45025
- <u>728</u>	4721331
0272000	475864311
- <u>225125</u>	47621196244
046875000	
- <u>42491979</u>	
04383021000	
- <u>4282778799</u>	
0100242201000	
- <u>95242392488</u>	
4999808512	

Figure 6: Cube root of 2 in decimal system.

$\sqrt[3]{10}$	1.0100001
- <u>1</u>	1100
1000	111101
- <u>0</u>	100101100
1000000	10010110000
- <u>111101</u>	1001011000000
0000011000	100101100000000
- <u>0</u>	10010110111100001
11000000	
- <u>0</u>	
11000000000	
- <u>0</u>	
11000000000000	
- <u>0</u>	
1100000000000000	
- <u>10010110111100001</u>	
00101001000011111	

Figure 7: Cube root of 2 in binary system.

$\sqrt[3]{2}$	1.10022
- <u>1</u>	331
1000	102300
- <u>331</u>	10230000
0003000	1023202010
- <u>0</u>	103001023310
3000000	
- <u>0</u>	
3000000000	
- <u>2113010020</u>	
0220323320000	
- <u>212002103220</u>	
002321210120	

Figure 8: Cube root of 2 in quaternary system.

- (c) Quaternary system: From Figure 8 we have that with five “quaternary places” the cube root of 2 is 1.10022, with an error less than 10^{-11} . Therefore, in decimal system the cube root of 2 is

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{4^4} + \frac{2}{4^5} = 1.25976563,$$

with an error less than $4^{-5} = 0.00097656$.

Remark 3.1. From examples 3 (b) and 3 (c), the cube root of 2 with nine “binary places” is 1.010000101 in binary system, with an error of less than 10^{-1001} . Hence, in decimal system the cube root of 2 is

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^7} + \frac{1}{2^9} = 1.25976563.$$

Remark 3.2. From the examples 2 (b), 2 (c), 3 (b) and 3 (c) an interesting relation between the binary and quaternary number systems is observed. That is, we can see how we can pass from the binary system to the quaternary system and, reciprocally, from the quaternary system to the binary system.

4 Conclusions

When the square root is computed by the ancient Chinese method we have the following advantages:

1. Every one digit computed of the square root match with the corresponding of the exact square root.
2. If the square root with n decimal places is computed, then the error committed is less than 10^{-n} .
3. The procedure is applied in the same manner, regardless of the number base used.

However, although the method can be generalized to compute roots of order n greater than two, the procedure is impractical to implement because it is based on the expansion of a binomial power of order n , $(a + b)^n$.

Acknowledgment. *This research has been supported by CONACYT (National Council for Science and Technology, Mexico).*

References

- [Jos] Joseph, G. C. (2011). *The Crest of the Peacock: Non-European Roots of Mathematics*. USA: Princeton Univ. Press.
- [Sai] Saidan, A. S. (1978). *The Arithmetic of Al-Uqlīdisī*. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel Publishing Company.
- [Wik] Wikipedia contributors, Methods of computing square roots, *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Methods_of_computing_square_roots.
- [YS] Yong, L. L. & Se, A. T. (2004). *Fleeting Footsteps: Tracing the Conception of Arithmetic and Algebra in Ancient China*. Revised edition. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

DIVISION ACADEMICA DE CIENCIAS BASICAS, UNIVERSIDAD JUAREZ AUTONOMA DE TABASCO,
CUNDUACAN, TABASCO, MEXICO
Email address: edilberto.najera@ujat.mx