ASUM CENTRAL BOARD AGENDA
Mount Sentinel Room
MARCH 5, 1986
7:00 P.M.

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
2. ROLL CALL
3. APPROVAL OF FEBRUARY 19, 1986 MINUTES AND FEBRUARY 26, 1986
4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT
   a. STEVE SMITH -- RESIGNATION PETITION
5. VICE PRESIDENT'S REPORT
6. BUSINESS MANAGER'S REPORT
7. COMMITTEE REPORTS
8. OLD BUSINESS
9. NEW BUSINESS
10. COMMENTS
11. ADJOURNMENT

*******************************

SPECIAL ALLOCATION REQUEST

INTERFRATERNITY COUNCIL REQUESTED A SPECIAL ALLOCATION OF $725 FOR PRINTING, POSTAGE, LONG DISTANCE PHONE CALLS, AND OUT OF STATE PCM.

BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE APPROVED A SPECIAL ALLOCATION OF $625.

*******************************
CB Members

Boyer, Joe
Connor, Kevin
Craig, Mike
Crippen, Ken
Duval, Kevin
Henderson, Dan
Husseini, Khalid
McKittrick, Ann
Miller, Margaret
Neuman, John
Pinter, Juli
Pouliot, Lisa
Schoenen, Terry
Solomon, Gene
Stuart, Ford
Sullivan, Pete
Tempel, Scott
Tuss, Paul
Velk, John
Wold, Chantel

ASUM Officers

Mercer, Bill
Johnson, Amy
Gullickson, Greg

Faculty Advisor

Cawley, Gregg

Montana Kaimin

Huneck, Tim

CB: cbtally
01/29/86
The ASUM Central Board meeting was called to order by Mercer, ASUM President. Members present were Boyer, Connor, Craig, Crippen, Duval, Henderson, McKittrick, Miller, Neuman, Pinter, Pouliot, Schoenen, Solomon, Stuart, Sullivan, Tempel, Tuss, Velk, Wold, Johnson, Gullickson, and Cawley. Husseini was unexcused.

PRESIDENT'S REPORT

1. Kathy Sherry, Campus Development Committee, presented the Board with an updated report of long-range plans for the University. Sherry requested that members with concerns and/or comments to direct them to herself or Pete Sullivan before next Wednesday.

2. Steve Smith presented the Board with a petition, signed by 579 students, calling for the resignation of President Mercer. Smith's petition charged Mercer with not fairly and competently representing the entire student body on this campus. The Central Board action requested by the petition would be a resolution or referendum requesting Mercer to resign. Smith asked for a decision from Central Board by next week.

3. Mercer Announcements:

   a. Anyone interested in applying for the Student Regent position should have their application to Mercer by March 10.

   b. Mercer reported to the Board that he attended the interviews for the Commissioner of Higher Education in Helena last Thursday. The Montana Associated Students' (MAS) presidents recommended acting commissioner Carrol Krause for the position. It was announced earlier this week that Krause has been offered the post.

   c. Mercer reported that other University and student presidents expressed concern, at the recent Council of Presidents' meeting, with the assessment fee that ASUM is required to pay. Other state campuses have either nonexisting or nominal assessment fees. The Commissioner's office has agreed to review the assessment fee situation at UM.

   d. Mercer announced that there isn't anything new to report on the UM President Search.

Vice President's Report

4. Gullickson - Crippen — Motion to approve Paul Shively, Brian Mason and Tammy Tremper to the Election Committee. Upon vote, motion passed.
5. **Gullickson – Crippen** — Motion to approve Todd Hill to the University Planning Council. Upon vote, motion passed.

6. Johnson announced that the Auxiliary Services Advisory Committee members will be appointed by the incoming officers.

7. Johnson briefly reported on the Dallas Student Government Conference. Some of the workshops Johnson attended were Student Effectiveness in State Legislature, Alcohol on Campus and an officers transition workshop. She has offered the use of all materials she brought back to interested individuals.

**Business Manager's Report**

8. Gullickson introduced a special allocation request of $725 for Interfraternity Council to cover printing, postage, long-distance phone calls and out-of-state PCM. Budget and Finance Committee approved a special allocation of $625. Central Board will take action on the request next week.

9. Gullickson addressed the vagueness of the current Budgeting Bylaws. He reported that Budget and Finance Committee rewrote the Budgeting Bylaws while they were writing the Fiscal Policy. He added that he takes full responsibility for neglecting to bring the changes before Central Board for approval. Gullickson presented CB with the proposed changes (see Appendix A) and asked for a vote next week.

10. Gullickson reported that he attended workshops on the allocating of student fees at the Dallas Student Government Conference. Many excellent ideas were presented at the conference and he will pass them on to the incoming administration.

**Committee Reports**

11. McKittrick, ASCRC, reported the approval of limiting majors in the Interpersonal Communications Department. The department requested the committee reconsider its previous decision based on new criteria. McKittrick feels that a precedent may have been set by this decision as all departments are facing budget cuts.

12. Boyer, Election Committee, apologized for possibly overstepping his bounds of authority regarding the Steve Smith petition. He reported that 750–900 students voted today and he is hoping for a 30% voter turnout.

13. Newman, Constitutional Review Board, reported that CRB met with President Bucklew and Bruce Barrett. CRB was interested in their views on the Publication's Board policy that will be included in the ASUM Constitution. He presented members with copies of the
proposed ASUM Constitution and asked that they pay special attention to the sections regarding PUB and Elections. Central Board will be taking action on the proposed ASUM Constitution in the near future.

**New Business**

14. **Wold - Crippen** — Motion to request Bill Mercer to resign. Discussion followed.

**Boyer - Pinter** — Motion to table the main motion until next week. Upon vote, motion to table passed.

**Duval - Crippen** — Motion to take Wold's motion off the table. Motion passed. Discussion followed.

**Tempel - Crippen** — Previous question. Upon vote, previous question passed. Upon vote, motion to request Mercer's resignation failed.

15. **Gullickson - Tempel** — Motion to request that CB does not allow the ASUM Election Committee to accept a referendum addressing Mercer's resignation unless the exact wording of the referendum is on the petition that the students are signing.

Discussion followed. Upon vote, motion passed.

16. **Mercer - Solomon** — Motion to have a Central Board Executive Session on Monday, March 10 at 5:00 p.m. in the Conference Room. Upon vote, motion passed.

**Adjournment**

17. **Tempel - Gullickson** — Motion to adjourn at 9:50. Upon vote, motion passed.

Submitted by:

[Signature]

Pam Grier, ASUM Secretary
ARTICLE I

ACADEMIC BUDGETS

Section 1. The President shall call for written budget requests by January 3. They shall be due by February 7.

Section 2. The President and any staff he/she may delegate shall review and summarize the requests. The Business Manager shall be responsible for providing any financial and non-financial information necessary to make budgeting decisions. He/she shall collect and summarize this information throughout this term of office.

Section 3. The President shall present to the incoming President all the requests he/she called for, a summary of those requests, the information the Business Manager complies, and a summary of this information.

Section 4. The new administration shall use any ethical information gathering means necessary to prepare a budget. Such means may include surveys of student opinion and need, personal interviews with requesting groups, and questionnaires requesting information about groups’ activities.

Section 5. The new administration shall prepare and present to the new administration a report on budgeting in which he expresses his desires in preparing a budget.

Section 6. The President shall present to Central Board a budget within one week of budget request deadline.

Section 7. Central Board shall hear testimony from any group with a budget request desiring to testify prior to making final budgeting decisions.

Section 8. So far as practical, Central Board shall prepare, within four weeks of the time the President submits his budget, a complete budget and list of appropriations for the coming fiscal year.

ARTICLE II

SUMMER BUDGETS

Section 1. An independent budgeting session shall be held in May for the purpose of allocating the summer student activity fee.

Section 2. During the month of April, Central Board shall accept line-itemized budget requests from groups that directly benefit the summer student.

Section 3. The ASUM President will draw up an executive budget, following as closely as possible the same procedures outlined for winter quarter budgeting. Central Board will act upon the executive budget and make final summer allocations by May 20.
DATE: April 15, 1985
TO: President Neil S. Bucklew
FROM: Lou Hayes, Chairman, Campus Development Committee
RE: Campus Development Committee Report -- Long Range Campus Plan

Attached is a final report of the Campus Development Committee regarding developments of the University of Montana campus. The Committee worked diligently in an effort to come up with an outline of the comprehensive plan for the campus. This plan is attached for your review and consideration.

LH:1c

Attachment
LAND USE

The Main Campus boundaries should be Arthur Avenue on the west, Mount Sentinel on the east, the Clark Fork River on the north, and Beckwith Avenue on the south. The long-term development of the campus should be such as to make the northwest corner the main entrance. Future construction and land use planning should take this into account.

The northwest corner of the campus (South 5th and 6th Streets) is now mainly residential property, much of which is still privately owned. The University should seek to acquire these properties as they become available on the market. The area from South 5th to Connell would be designated for playfields, parking, and low-rise buildings. The intent of such a plan is to present the visitor approaching the northwest campus entrance with green space, including playfields, followed by low-rise buildings housing the Alumni Center, Admissions, etc. These functions would thus become more physically accessible to the public. Some additional parking might be constructed in this area.

The University should not purchase property south of Beckwith Avenue and should sell the existing property holdings in that area. There is very little probability that the University will need to expand southward due to increased enrollment pressures or program developments. There is, therefore, little reason to retain these properties. Selling them would improve University-community relations. The University has legitimate need for residential property, for visiting faculty for example, and properties should be acquired that are not immediately proximate to the campus but instead are a few blocks away. Thus, it would be clear that the University's intention is not to acquire property for expansion but to retain the residential character of the properties.

Open Space

As much space as possible should be kept open and free from sidewalks, trees, etc. for recreational purposes. Areas designated for open recreational use are the riverfront properties, the Cloverbowl (or an equal alternative), the area between the Alumni Center and the Health Sciences Building, and the playfield south of Miller Hall.

There are four areas which should be designated vistas to be kept free of visual obstructions. These are the Social Science Building to Chemistry; University Avenue on both sides of Main Hall; Maurice Avenue and Van Buren to the Science Complex.

Building Sites

The following are identified as possible future building sites: the area north of Rankin Hall (Business Administration); the areas now occupied by the Math Building and the Alumni Center (Life Science Building); the area north of the Social Sciences Building; and the northwest corner of campus (between South 5th and Connell).

The basic character and configuration of the campus should be retained. This is particularly true of the area around the oval. The current arrangement of
having dorms, academic buildings, and administrative buildings radiating out from the oval should be continued. The riverfront area should be maintained as green space and playfields.

The following buildings are designated for immediate renovation: Men's Gym, Main Hall, Rankin Hall, Fine Arts Building, and Botany. The following buildings are designated for the next phase of renovation: Forestry, Journalism, Chemistry/Pharmacy, and McGill Hall.

When the University obtains the Prescott House, it should be removed. The building should be made available to persons wishing to preserve it at an off-campus location. To the extent feasible, the trees and green space on the site should be retained in a park-like environment. Campus drive should be straightened and a sidewalk installed connecting the main Campus and the Physical Plant. In general, all former residential houses within the Main Campus boundaries should be removed.

Landscape Architecture

The present confusion of architectural styles is not ideal. Architectural compatibility should be maintained with existing buildings, especially in the area of the oval. Future construction should make use of compatible brick, sloping roof design, and heights of buildings should be limited to two or three stories. In planting trees and shrubbery, the University should plant a wide variety and not just those that are indigenous to Montana. Concerning campus vegetation, the concern for security should not result in the removal of trees or bushes. Instead, adequate lighting should be installed to reduce the personal security problem of these areas.

The Library, mall area, oval, and Van Buren Street vista should be kept free of additional art objects.

Utilitarian objects, such as air conditioning equipment and trash containers, should be screened from view by enclosures.

The entrances to the campus should have conservative and traditional entrance markers bearing the University seal, the purpose of which would be to welcome visitors. The markers should be informational, traditional, modest in size, tasteful, and permanent.

VEHICULAR TRAFFIC

Parking has become an increasingly serious problem and is likely to become acute if the city goes forward with plans to create a permit parking system for residential areas adjacent to the University. There is a specific problem of short-term parking for persons making visits to the business offices in the Lodge. Construction of new buildings and renovation of existing facilities should involve close consideration of the impact on parking requirements. Any future parking development, including below-ground structures, should give top priority to the aesthetics of the surface of the campus landscape.

The following areas should be considered for possible future parking development: the areas from South 5th East to Connell; residential properties within
campus boundaries on Keith Avenue; portions of the area between Van Buren and Maurice and north of South 5th East.

The following are recommendations for improving the existing parking situation: students who bring cars to campus should be assigned to dorms with parking lots; an information campaign should be undertaken to discourage students from bringing cars to campus; satellite parking should be developed for long-term parking with the University providing security for such parking; parking garages should be constructed; metered parking should be installed in all appropriate places; and the on-campus parking fee should be increased.

Pedestrian Environment

In recent years the numbers of motorized vehicles traveling about the University sidewalk system has increased dramatically. A fairly significant percentage of this traffic is unnecessary. The use of sidewalks should be limited to the fullest extent possible to pedestrians. The University should strictly enforce the use of sidewalks by motorized vehicles from IMS and Physical Plant to scheduled periods especially between classes and late afternoon. No vehicles should be allowed to park in front of doorways or in such a way as to block passage along a sidewalk. Signs should be posted to indicate where short-term service vehicle parking is permitted. Campus vendors should be informed of the proper places for them to park their vehicles when servicing campus buildings. Much of the problem of vehicles driving on and parking on sidewalks is the result of the need to service vending machines in campus buildings. If this problem cannot be corrected through the application of the above measures, it is recommended that all vending machines be removed from academic buildings. Further, vending machines should be located in all buildings so as to reduce problems of noise, pollution, and congestion. University vehicles should be downsized to the smallest practicable. Vehicular restrictions should be strictly enforced including tow-away.

The numbers of bicycles on campus poses an increasing danger to pedestrians. Campus Security reports that there are approximately a dozen bicycle and bicycle/pedestrian accidents every year, one or two of which are considered serious. The problem is made more serious by the fact that there is a growing number of handicapped persons on campus. The safety and convenience of this group is also particularly jeopardized by motor vehicles. Regulation and restriction of bicycle use is not practical. The University should, however, undertake an educational program on bicycle safety.

Skateboards, which have presented a particular problem to the area adjacent to the Library, should be banned from all areas of the campus.

Many members of the University community are concerned about their personal security especially after dark. The University should not seek to reduce this problem by security measures such as alarm systems or removing potential hiding places such as shrubbery. Instead, lighting should be improved in those areas presenting problems.

With increased usage over the years, there is a growing problem of erosion on Mt. Sentinel. In the event of a very heavy rain, the University could experience a major disaster. Measures should be initiated to correct this problem.
The area around the grizzly bear sculpture should be completed as soon as possible.

OUTLYING AREAS

The University possesses considerable property in the South Campus area, at Fort Missoula, and elsewhere. The housing facilities on the South Campus should be expanded which would free on-campus space that could be used to alleviate office space pressures.

The University should not consider selling the golf course property. Instead, the following uses should be considered: to expand research activities, to relo-
cate State agencies now located on the main campus, to improve the golf course, to use the area for satellite parking and perhaps initiate a shuttle bus system, to continue the student garden, to use as hang-glider landing area contingent upon the University's other uses of that area.
Dear Mr. Smith:

I received your telephone call regarding a petition or referendum you are sponsoring which asks Bill Mercer to resign from the Presidency of ASUM. Your message indicated that you were contacting me as required by the ASUM Constitution. Your call came on Monday while I was at the Council of Presidents meeting in Helena. My Administrative Assistant returned your call and left a message informing you of my calendar and willingness to meet with you.

I am writing to share my observations regarding your interest in having Bill Mercer resign. I find the idea to have no value. I do not always agree with Bill. He is a strong advocate and at times we differ. However, he is always thorough and cooperative. Bill is one of the strongest and most effective student leaders I have known in twenty-five years of University work.

Putting aside my personal evaluations of his effectiveness, I would like to comment on the practical implications of your effort. Bill is in the final few weeks of his term of office. A new group of officers is about to be elected. Your effort has no real impact and appears to be motivated by some other interest. The action will bring discredit to ASUM; resources are too tight and time too valuable to use them in a cavalier manner. My personal advice would be to cease your campaign and allow more important items to gain our attention.

I hope the Central Board and appropriate ASUM Committees will deny your efforts. However, my preference would be that you personally would indicate good judgment and cease your campaign.

Sincerely,

Neil S. Bucklew
President

cc: Bill Mercer, ASUM
Central Board or ASUM