

University of Montana

ScholarWorks at University of Montana

ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007

ASUM Student Government

11-1-1978

Documents from the November 1, 1978 meeting of the Associated Students of the University of Montana (ASUM)

University of Montana--Missoula. Associated Students

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.umt.edu/asum>

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

University of Montana--Missoula. Associated Students, "Documents from the November 1, 1978 meeting of the Associated Students of the University of Montana (ASUM)" (1978). *ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007*. 663.

<https://scholarworks.umt.edu/asum/663>

This is brought to you for free and open access by the ASUM Student Government at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007 by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

11/1/78

Resolution for Central Board

WHEREAS the Central Board of ASUM ruled on October 18, that there was not sufficient justification to send a student, one Cary Holmquist, to Arizona for the purpose of recruiting students, on the grounds that such a trip would be a wasteful duplication of efforts within the University and

WHEREAS the President and Business Manager of ASUM chose to disregard the will of the representative body, and sent said student with funds from the administrative budget, and

WHEREAS said budget was neither allocated for said use nor was the intent of the budget to be of discretionary use, in light of the shortage of funds,

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Central Board does censure the President and Business Manager of ASUM for misrepresenting student interest by breach of faith in the budgeting process and ignoring the mandate of the represented board in the appropriation of said funds.

A G E N D A

Central Board

November 1, 1978

7:00 p.m.

1. Call Meeting to Order
2. Last Week's Minutes (October 25, 1978)
3. President's Report
Central Board Appointments:
Pat Duffy
Ron Fifield
Darla Garbe
Phil Whitehair
Ron Stief
Steve Carey
4. Vice President's Report
5. Business Manager's Report
6. Old Business
Cary Holmquist - Grizzly Pool Report
Gayle Sandholm - Fast for World Harvest
6-Mill Levy Work
7. New Business

The meeting was called to order by ASUM President Garth Jacobson at 7:00 in the Montana Rooms.

There were no corrections or amendments to last weeks minutes (Oct. 25, 1978)

President's Report

Central Board Appointments

DOGGETT MOVED TO ACCEPT Phil Whitehair
SECONDED

MCOMBER MOTIONED TO TABLE
SECONDED
PASSED

DOGGETT MOVED TO accept Darla Garbe
SECONDED
PASSED

HOLMQUIST MOVED TO accept Pat Duffy
SECONDED
PASSED

DOGGETT MOVED TO accept Ron Fifield
SECONDED
FAILS

NEWMAN MOVED TO accept Ron Stief
SECONDED
PASSED

JACOBSON entertained a motion to accept Steve Carey
DOGGETT MOTIONED TO TABLE until Carey could be present
SECONDED
PASSED

MCOMBER MOVED TO bring Appointment of Whitehair off Table
SECONDED
Vote was taken
Appointment of Whitehair
FAILS

Other possible appointments will be made later in the evening when Carey would be there to represent himself.

Vice President's Report

Need volunteers for the "Get out and Vote"

Business Manager's Report

Student Collective Bargaining, Fund Balance of \$975.72, goes to hourly student employees wages. It was the money allocated last year for salaries. They couldn't get it until it went into the fund balance, so it was frozen. PASSED.

Special Allocation for library books for Women's Resource Center of \$200.00. Had a fund balance of \$1,372.43, so Budget and Finance felt it would be better for them to use the fund balance. FAILED

Old Business

- 1) Holmquist - Grizzly Pool Report
Nothing new. Stetson wants to keep charging students this quarter, but next year he will submit a budget.

- 2) Gayle Sandholm - Fast for A World Harvest
ASUM can help by sponsoring and setting up a task force to work on issues including food and hunger, and see about any grant money that might be available. Also, construct a memo to faculty informing them of this event and inviting them to participate, along with encouraging students to participate.

NEWMAN MOTIONED TO construct such a letter to faculty members
SECONDED
PASSED

DUFFY MOVED TO support the Fast on November 16
SECONDED

FRIENDLY AMENDMENT BY STIEF to fast with Central Board members
PASSED

- 3) 6-Mill Levy Campaign Update
Still need people to do door-to-door. Monday, tentitively scheduling a function, "Get out the Vote Party". Urged everyone to come. Need people to make phone calls to different areas on the day before elections. Two days prior to election headquarters will be in the ASUM offices. Four days prior to election, headquarters will be in Jean Kestor's house, 728-3077. She will have a list of tasks that need to be done.

GRAY thanked people who went to Great Falls and Columbia Falls door-to-door.

New Business

No New Business

Moved Back to President's Report

MOTION TO appoint Steve Carey

CAREY declined appointment because he received intern appointment and won't be back next quarter, recommended Jim Weinberg for his seat.

DUFFY MOVED ... FOR Pete Karr to be accepted to Central Board
SECONDED

In response to a question from Achenbach, Karr told of his activities on the Montana Student Lobby, Legislative Committee, and the work done on the 6-Mill Levy.

PASSED

JACOBSON then appointed Brian Cook

Cook gave reasons why he thought he would be good for Central Board, liked to talk to people, wanted to get Freshman involved in student government.

MOTION FAILS

NEWMAN MOVED TO accept Wienberg for appointment
SECONDED

Wienberg stated reasons why he would like to be on the board.

Felt he would like to mellow the schism between the University and the City of Missoula, felt that there should be more classes and activities for people who work full time.

Discussion followed.

WICKS RAISED TO A POINT OF ORDER, conversation not germane to the motion on the floor.
MOTION PASSES

JACOBSON stated he would review the rest of the candidates and give his decision at the next meeting.

WAUGH brought up a resolution, as follows:

WHEREAS the Central Board of ASUM ruled on October 18, that there was not sufficient justification to send a student, one Cary Holmquist, to Arizona for the purpose of recruiting students, on the grounds that such a trip would be a waste-ful duplication of efforts within the University and

WHEREAS the President and Business Manager of ASUM chose to disregard the will of the representative body, and sent said student with funds from the administrative budget, and

WHEREAS said budget was neither allocated for said use nor was the intent of the budget to be of discretionary use, in light of the shortage of funds,

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Central Board does censure the President and Business Manager of ASUM for misrepresenting student interest by breach of faith in the budgeting process and ignoring the mandate of the represented board in the appropriation of said funds.

In regards to a question from DOGGETT, Achenbach stated that he had the authorization to allow ASUM officers or board members money from the ASUM travel budget.

HOLMQUIST explained what actually went on during the Pheonix Trip.

MCOMBER POINTED out that an oral agreement was reached where any money used from the travel fund would be reported to Central Board before it was used and that was part of the fiscal policy, felt this issue was concerning morality.

NEWMAN stated that two weeks ago, the board voted to give no student money, in the form of a special allocation to Holmquist for his Pheonix trip, felt Jacobson and Achenbach ignored their obligation to the Central Board and to the students.

In response to a question asked by DUFFY, Achenbach stated that he was the authorizing signature on the ASUM account, and that there was nothing in the fiscal policy stating that he could not spend his budget for out of town trips. He stated that there were no stipulations as to what that line item can be used for.

DUFFY felt it was a dangerous policy for Central Board to get into different accounts and say who could do what with the money that had already been allocated for the purposes designed.

WAUGH stated that he was mad because Central Board had to cut so many budgets so that the officers would have travel money and now that travel money was not being used for what the officers said it would be used for. Felt the officers had created a slush fund to be used at their discretion.

WAUGH then moved to previous question.
SECONDED
PASSED

MCOMBER again stated that the issue was that Holmquist came and asked for money and Central Board turned him down, therefore he should have taken it seriously and forgotten his trip.

DUFFY, in response, pointed out that they were talking about two different accounts. There was no wrong doing as far as how the ground rules have been layed out on these accounts. Since there was no wrong doing, a censure is not only excessive, but it is inappropriate.

JACOBSON stated that no one said Cary should not go to Pheonix, they said not by special allocation. It came down to him (Jacobson) not going on an out of town trip and giving Cary the money, and he felt it was more important to sent a student out recruiting then for him to go to a conference. Top priority among fiscal policy was recruiting. Felt that by making this a precident, they imply that they want to control every budget of every club and group on campus.

HEDEGARRD stated that he felt there was nothing wrong with Central Board making a statement saying they didn't like what the officers were doing with this money.

DOGGETT pointed out that many other groups who had been turned down in special allocations had done the same thing, by talking to Achenbach and making a line item change, and there was never anything said, and all of a sudden we are hearing about it.

GRAY felt that they were setting a dangerous precedence, example - Women's Resource Center buying books.

DUFFY MOVED PREVIOUS QUESTION

SECONDED

ROLL CALL (Voting on the motion to censure Jacobson and Achenbach)

PASSES

MOTION TO ADJOURN

SECONDED

Loree Cullinan

Loree Cullinan

ASUM Secretary

Present: Bulger, Conrad, Doggett, Field, Hedegarrd, Holmquist, Mason, Michaelson, McDorman, McOmber, Newman, Reichenberg, Swift, Waugh, Jacobson, Gray, Achenbach, Wicks

Previous question

Tada
Pat

n y
AB. n

Pete N
Jim Y

Lon - AB

To the members of Central Board:

I respectfully request an explanation from this board of some issues which for me are in need of clarification.

After the recent boycott by some of the members of this board over nominees to Central Board seats, a informal meeting was held at the home of the faculty advisor in an attempt to iron out some of the differences. I was informed of it by word of mouth, and feeling a bit dissatisfied with the nomination process myself, I decided to attend. Several issues related to the nominations were discussed, including the issue of minority representation and graduate representation, and the possibility that some civil rights may have been violated in the screening procedure. At this meeting, Garth Jacobson named the persons he intended to nominate for C.B. seats at the November 1 meeting, in front of at least a dozen witnesses. Included on this list was my name.

I attended the next C.B. meeting fully expecting to be at least nominated for a seat. Contrary to the oral agreement that he had made previously, Garth chose instead to nominate a freshman, whom I am told has a good deal less experience in student government than myself. It appeared to be an intentional slight to me, as well as a contradiction, as experience seemed to be an important factor in other nominations. I also would like to point out that Garth Jacobson made no attempt to inform me of the change, even though he had plenty of opportunity to contact me.

That evening, I made several observations concerning the Board, and particularly Garth Jacobson's behavior towards certain members of the Board. What I discovered led me to one conclusion as to why I had recieved such a slight. I believe that Garth has discriminated against me on the basis of my sex, as he has apparently discriminated against others on the basis of sex or ethnic group. When after an oral agreement to nominate me, he instead nominates a white male freshman with less experience; when after changing his mind he does not have the courtesy to inform me; when of the eight persons nominated for seats on this board, only one is female; when I look around me at the makeup of this board presently, and note only four of the 23 members are women, and not a single minority holds a seat on the Board, then it appears to me that something here is a little wrong.

Secondly, I would also like to bring to the attention of the Central Board an issue directly related to my first grievance. This concerns an incident that occurred the morning after the Central Board meeting in the ASUM offices. I will point out that although thoroughly disheartened and disgusted by the proceedings Wednesday night, I was in no way prepared for what greeted me Thursday.

An angry and concerned Board member telephoned to relate an encounter with Garth Jacobson on Thursday that concerned me. Apparently Garth attempted also to damage my reputation by suggesting that he had been to the Anthropology Department asking questions about me, and had uncovered something quite unsavory which he could only hint at, as it was too terrible to discuss. Upon learning this, I checked with my department and found them enraged and disgusted at this sort of dirty politics. No one has ever been contacted by Garth Jacobson, or anyone connected with him, not even the three members of the Anthropology department whom I listed as character references on my application for Central Board. At no time did Garth Jacobson ever confront me with this so called "evidence" against me, although as the injured party, I had a right to know.

Might I suggest that if Garth Jacobson had indeed been to the Anthropology Department, he would have received quite a different picture of me? If he had looked, he might have found a graduate student working her way through the Master's Program, maintaining a cumulative average of over 3.8. He might have found a person deeply involved in the Univ. of Montana Anthropological Association, giving freely of her time and money to the club for the purpose of helping not only the

students of the University, but also the community, by developing better understanding of different cultures((this association is not funded at the present time by ASUM, but is totally supported by student members). He might have found the chairperson of the Museum and exhibits committee, a student concerned with the preservation of ethnological materials, and giving of her time to work with the curator of the Ethnology collection, or perhaps a member of the Editorial Board at work on student Anthropological publications. He might have found a student concerned with the life of her department, and supportive of its faculty during the faculty cuts of last year. Lastly, he might have found a student concerned with the recruitment of new students, as evidenced by the work she did this past summer on recruitment material for the Anthropology Department. What, may I ask, is so unsavory about any of this?

Perhaps there has been a series of misunderstandings somewhere along the line. I do indeed hope so, for I do not wish to be left believing that something like this is normal procedure for this student government. As it stands now, I do believe that I have been denied an equal chance at a seat on Central Board, and that my reputation has been smeared. I respectfully request that Central Board consider the matter, and that I receive some explanation of the actions of it's president.

PROPOSAL: To establish the Clearinghouse Study Group.

This group will be supported by and serve as an extension of the ASUM Central Board, in the interests of the ASUM student body. It will have the formal sanction, therefore, of the ASUM Central Board to conduct its investigations in the name of the ASUM student body.

It will be the responsibility of the group to immediately deal with:

- 1) The student, University, and State investments in the proposed science building.

- a) the group will ascertain whether or not this building is wholly energy efficient in that:

- it will determine whether the construction plans for this building reflects the best and most energy-conserving methods of construction available to the University of Montana students, as concerned, conscientious energy consumers who will, over time, be paying the energy bill on this building.

The prospect and goal of this phase of the activities of the Clearinghouse Study Group is that there can be assurance to the student body and other interested parties that the construction of this proposed science building will be accomplished with the best and most conservative means of energy efficiency for the University, the State, and the citizens of Montana who have a large moneyed interest in the building.

- 2) Along with this study group's efforts in determining these credentials of the proposed science building, there needs to be an investigation into the University Foundation's Investment Capital, to which students have no legal access, yet in which they have a developed influence in where it is spent:

- a) Recently, an article in the Enquirer from one of the foundation members stated that if there were sufficient strength of efforts by students and other interested parties, the particular investments in South Africa might well be suspended.

The prospect and goal of this phase of the activities of the Clearinghouse Study Group is that it could determine where the Foundation's money is being invested, with aid and assistance from the Foundation. The study group would hope, then, that it could then urge the Foundation to "bring these dollars home to Montana" to be spent as seed money for development of the "soft" technology industry in Montana. Possible channels for this money would be toward:

- A) aiding local, Montana industries in developing their own "soft" energy sources.
- B) insuring that it would be financially feasible that some, or all University buildings are retrofitted to be energy efficient, as well as enabling proposed buildings to be constructed in a totally energy-conservative manner.
- C) possibly establishing a student loan-bank for buying homes.
- D) giving direction and financial aid to other community projects with good economic growth potential, as suggested by Amory Lovins, a soft-technologies economic expert, in his stay in Missoula. -here, one could possibly look for help from the State Energy Division, which may offer help in research to these ends.

The students who have been informally involved in reaching these possible directions to the study group find it imperative that the group be established immediately as an official Central Board study group.

- 1) Ron Stief and Jim Weinberg will serve as CB co-ordinators of the group, and it will be open to volunteer work by anyone interested, including any faculty member, student, or otherwise interested party.

- 2) As the investigation proceeds, it will be necessary for ASUH to issue reports, which will be comprehensively published in "The Paper SAC", and from time to time, in the "Kainin", the reason being that the entire student body deserves to receive the benefit of the findings of the Clearinghouse Study Group.
- 3) The Clearinghouse Study Group will strive for completing a recommendation toward an alternative construction plan for the planned science building as its first conclusion of the investigations it will carry on.

Therefore, be it hereby proposed that this study group of students and other interested parties be formed for the express purpose of investigating and otherwise looking after student money expenditures; and, consistent with the goals and directions outlined above, that the Officers and Central Board members set up the Clearinghouse Study Group tonight, and give it formal sanction as a viable and necessary group dedicated to these goals and directions.

Authors: Barry Adams
Ron Stief

RESOLUTION:

We, the members of Central Board as representatives of the students of the University of Montana, commend the Student Action Center for it's excellent job in publishing THE PAPER SAC. We feel that it is providing a forum for ideas not regularly covered in depth by the KAIMIN and is filling a gap in bringing about an informed, aware and active student body. We look forward to, and fully expect the next issue in December.

that it is feasible

Whereas more rapes are committed every year than any other violent crime in America and

Whereas the rape which recently occurred to a female University student is only one of many brutal rapes and assaults committed against women in Missoula and

Whereas many women on this campus have expressed fear for their own safety and anger toward a society which propagates rapists and condones rape

Whereas the victim of this crime has been badly hurt and that we all offer her our sympathy, support and understanding in her time of anguish and

Whereas Women's Place, the Women's Resource Center and Central Board have a responsibility for and concern for the women of this campus

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED THAT CENTRAL BOARD DO THE FOLLOWING:

Establish a special task force consisting of members of CB, Women's Place and the Women's Resource Center to study rape on campus, gather statistics and make suggestions on specific corrective actions that could be taken to attempt to make this campus a safe place for women. The specific direction and structure of this task force will be determined by those persons who express an interest in working with this group.