The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Montana Rooms of University Center by President Bob Sorenson.

Minutes were approved as presented.

REPORTS OF OFFICERS AND STANDING COMMITTEES

Legislature: President Sorenson spent this day in Helena at the Legislature. He reported on House Bill No. 21 dealing with statutory implementation of the Constitution in regards to the Education Article. Sorenson said "With the implementation of the Constitution according to the Legislative Council, the ex officio member has been removed. Section 65-7601 of the Revised Codes of Montana, an ex officio student non-voting member of the Board of Regents is included. This has been repealed with the Legislative Council's recommendation of House Bill No. 21. House Bill No. 21 does not provide for a voting member on the Board of Regents. It provides for 2 and 4 year terms rotating every 2 and 4 years. Tom Behan and I testified before the subcommittee on the Education Bill for two hours; the result being a unanimous recommendation for a voting member on the Board of Regents. The subcommittee was impressed with our justifications for a voting member on the Board of Regents and will recommend this to the Education Committee when they meet. Next week, Tom Behan and I will testify before the Education Committee next week. Anyone wanting to look into House Bill No. 21 may pick up a copy in my office." President Sorenson said that 4 legislators ware among the people who unanimously recommended a student voting member be included on the Board of Regents: Mr. Cotton, Mr. Cox, Mr. Ainsworth from Missoula, and Mrs. Holley. Sorenson said that with the Education subcommittee, the legislators and several representatives in favor of the student voting member, it looks hopeful for getting this student voting member on the Board of Regents. Another issue reported on by President Sorenson was the hearing on the House Joint Resolution No. 6 to end the war. Tom Behan testified on this and a copy is available in Sorenson's office. He said there is no indication as to how this will turn out. Dr. Wicks brought up the Resolution for Administrative Control of intercollegiate Athletics which was introduced in the Montana Senate yesterday. The resolution is sponsored by Senate Minority Whip Herbert Mundt of Billings. The resolution would place the ultimate responsibility for managing for intercollegiate athletic programs with administration officials. If passed, students would have no control over the sports. Sorenson said that it was merely a joint-resolution and had no weight other than a recommendation. Sorenson said it would still have some impact on the Regents in case they sometime needed to use it for ammunition. Sorenson felt that it would probably pass the Senate but in no way could it pass the House of Representatives. The hearing on this has not been scheduled yet, but President Sorenson assured everyone that he would watch for it and definitely be there to testify. Debra Hartigan, chairman of the Child Day Care Center on this campus, was present and inquired as to the success of the Day Care Center becoming state-wide at the Legislature. Sorenson said that it might get past the House but would be impossible to get past the Senate.

Sports Committee: Brian Flaherty introduced proposed revisions in Faculty Senate Bylaws. President Sorenson advised that copies be made available to all Central Board members to be studied before the next CB meeting. Flaherty explained that this proposed revision consisted of making up 2 committees: 1. Faculty Athletics with 10 members: 4 faculty members, 1 administration member, three students, with the Director of Athletics as an ex officio non-voting member, and a faculty athletic representative, also an ex officio non-voting member. The functions of the committee is to formulate policies governing the level and ethics for funding intercollegiate athletics. 2. A subcommittee consisting of 3 students, 1 administrator, and 1 faculty member. The subcommittee will submit their recommendations to Central Board for approval. If CB disagrees with the subcommittee, they will have 45 days to de-
liberate; if they continue to disagree, then the administration takes over. Berven asked if this would be put into the ASUM Bylaws as well as Faculty Senate's. Sorenson said that it would. Berven expressed disfavor with this committee:

1. It puts the committee in a position to bind Central Board or take the decision out of CB's hands. 2. If we are going to stick with the precedent that has been established the last couple years, the incoming Central Board does the budgeting and this proposal is tying the hands of the incoming Central Board." Flaherty said the purpose of this committee is to alleviate the burden on Central Board of having to allocate the next Central Board some of their funds and also the burden on the Athletic Committee as they have to present their budget by October 1. Tennis said this committee would take the politics out of the athletic issue in that it would be a smaller group discussing the issue. He said the student subcommittee would do all the research and submit the entire budget from the Athletics Department to Central Board for their decision. President Sorenson said having a report from a committee including administrators and faculty would bear more weight than a decision from CB alone.

Budget & Finance Committee: Johnson said they expected to have a per hour print-out within the next two weeks.

Planning Board: Berven reported that they did not meet this Monday but a meeting is planned for next Monday, January 15.

Standing Legislative Committee: President Sorenson said it would be a good idea to set up a standing Legislative Committee to look at possible legislative issues that would come up during the next session, to draft bills that students would be interested in and to do the necessary research to carry on an effective lobbying and legislative campaign during the legislative session. Sorenson asked Planning Board to work out the formation of this committee and report back to Central Board.

OLD BUSINESS

Counseling & Testing Center Committee: Tennis reported that they are continuing to meet with people concerned with the Counseling Center through Friday of next week and that a final report would be ready to present to Central Board the end of January.

Aber Day Budget: President Sorenson took from the table the $609 allocation to Aber Day Committee. JOHNSON MOVED TO TABLE THE $609 ALLOCATION TO ABER DAY INDEFINITELY. ANDERSON SECONDED. DIVISION OF HOUSES. MOTION FAILED WITH A ROLL CALL VOTE OF 4 YES AND 10 NO. YES: Anderson, Johnson, Ragen, Swenson. NO: Beck, Berven, Collier, Flaherty, Gohrick, Madison, Olcott, Owens, Sorenson, Tennis. JOHNSON MOVED TO TABLE THE ABER DAY BUDGET FOR TWO WEEKS. SORENSEN SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED.

NEW BUSINESS

Child Day Care Center: Debra Hartigan reported that they would only be able to operate financially to the end of February unless more funds come in for the Day Care Center. BERVEN MOVED THAT ASUM SUPPORT THE DAY CARE CENTER AS LONG AS ASUM WAS NOT OBLIGATED TO GIVE FUNDS. SORENSEN SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED.

Dropping Traditions: Sorenson asked Planning Board to check around the campus to see what the feeling is on old traditions that have been dropped by ASUM, such as Home coming parade, the Sentinel, etc.

Committee to interview applicants for appointment to CB: President Sorenson appointed Anderson as chairman, Sorenson, Flaherty and Beck to be on this committee. TENNIS
MOVED TO ACCEPT THESE APPOINTMENTS TO THE CB INTERVIEWING COMMITTEE. SORENSEN SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED. BERVEN MOVED TO ACCEPT ANDERSON AS CHAIRMAN OF THE INTERVIEWING COMMITTEE. SORENSEN SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED.

Announcements: President Sorenson announced the following openings available: 10 Publications Board Seats, 1 Campus Recreation seat, 1 Campus Development position, and 3 Central Board seats open for appointments.

The Book: Tennis suggested in lieu of The Book that a student commission be started to evaluate faculty members upon request of department chairmen or groups of students. Dr. Schuster expressed the belief that The Book was a very influential publication within the departments in making decisions for promotions, raises in pay and encouraging faculty to stay. She will do some checking and report on just how effective The Book has been and then perhaps go ahead with Tennis's plan.

Adjournment: COLLIER MOVED TO ADJOURN AT 8:20 P.M. MADISON SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Respectfully submitted,

Ruby Biophich
ASUM Secretary


EXCUSED: Grau, Buzzas, Kigame

ABSENT: Svennungsen, Kolokotrones
PROPOSED REVISIONS IN FACULTY SENATE BYLAWS

Membership
The Faculty Athletic Committee shall consist of ten members.

(1) Four members of the faculty shall be appointed for four year terms by the Executive Committee of the Senate, one member to be appointed each year. The Executive Committee shall fill four initial terms of one year, two years, three years and four years.

(2) One member of the administration shall be appointed annually by the President.

(3) Three student members shall be appointed for two year terms by ASUM.

(4) The Director of Athletics as an ex officio non-voting member. He shall not be elected chairman.

(5) The faculty athletic representative, ex officio.

Functions

(1) To formulate policies governing the conduct of men's and women's intercollegiate athletic programs and maintain a constant check on compliance with the policies. Policies should ensure:
A. The health and safety of the men and women engaged in intercollegiate athletics.
B. That participation in athletic programs does not compromise the educational interests of student athletes.
C. That intercollegiate athletic competition is maintained at a level harmonious with the educational objectives of the University.
D. That competition remains within the letter and spirit of appropriate governing conference and athletic association regulations.

(2) Act as liaison among faculty, students, alumni and others interested in athletics and the welfare of the institution.

(3) Advise the administration in matters pertaining to athletic programs and represent faculty concerns at appropriate conference and other gatherings.

(4) Participate in the screening and selection of athletic department personnel.

(5) Regularly review athletic expenditures and report these annually to the senate.

(6) Meet in concert with the Campus Recreation and Sports Committee to review and approve, disapprove or modify all practice and game schedules.

(7) The committee shall determine a reasonable level of funding required to remain competitive within a conference for the different areas of competition.

(8) The committee shall begin early in the year to formulate a proposed budget to present to the administration and the student subcommittee by October 1. The budget shall, as much as possible, be specific in where the money shall be expended, particularly in what sports areas the money shall be expended.

(9) Because of the importance and size of the committees, all members must be present in order to establish any policy action of the committee.

(over)
All committee decisions will be made by a simple majority.

The proposed athletic budget will ultimately be presented as a recommendation to the President of the University for approval as with all other University budgets.

Student Funding Subcommittee

There will be a subcommittee of the Faculty Athletics Committee to deal with the student funded portion of intercollegiate athletics which will consist of five (5) members.

Membership to include one (1) faculty member, one (1) administrator, and three (3) students, the chairman to be a student.

To determine what would be an equitable portion of that which should be provided by ASUM from the student activity fees in light of the potential of alternative sources to cover the balance. To be presented to Central Board by the date of November 1.

Central Board could either accept the recommendation or turn it down and return it to the student subcommittee. Central Board would not have the ability to alter the report of the committee. If Central Board were to turn down the recommendation, they would be expected to specify their objections to the proposed budget when they returned the recommendation to the committee for further study.

In the event a mutually approved budget cannot be obtained within 45 days of receipt of the student funding subcommittee report by Central Board, it shall be the prerogative of the administration to take appropriate action to determine the budgetary figure to be employed.