The meeting was called to order by President John Christensen at 7:00 p.m. in the Montana Rooms of the University Center.

The minutes were approved as read.

**NEW BUSINESS**

**Planning Board**

There was a discussion as to whether or not the pro-athletic announcements on KYLT radio Monday, January 3 would influence the students poll in favor of financial support to the athletic program. Just prior to and during the ASUM athletic poll which took place January 3, 4, and 5 along with winter quarter registration, KYLT broadcast several "public service" announcements urging students to support the athletic program. Servheen called KYLT telling them that ASUM should have been notified of their intended announcements and been given equal time to broadcast the anti-athletic side of the issue. KYLT withdrew their announcements at this time. Berven said that since much effort and planning had gone into providing an accurate representation of student feelings about athletics that such broadcast appeals might have influenced some students' opinions and thus made the results of the poll less accurate. Berven said that KYLT should be hit with the FCC (Federal Communications Commission). President John Christensen appointed Servheen to compile a letter to FCC in protest of KYLT's announcements. Dr. Cynthia Schuster advised that CB members should formulate a policy before counting the ballots formally stating their intentions either in accord with the poll verbatim or using it as a guideline in CB's decision as qualified representatives in behalf of the students regarding final results of the athletic program issue. BERVEN MOVED THAT CB REGARD THE RESULTS OF THE ATHLETIC POLL AS AN EXPRESSION OF STUDENTS' OPINIONS, NOT BINDING, BUT RATHER A VERY STRUCTURAL AID IN THEIR EFFORTS TOWARD A FAIR CONCLUSION OF POLL. CANNON SECONDED. DISCUSSION FOLLOWED. QUESTION CALLED. MOTION CARRIED WITH A VOTE OF ALL IN FAVOR EXCEPT MOZER OPPOSED.

**Visiting Legislators on Campus:** Dr. Schuster asked if CB members were interested in scheduling legislators to visit the U of M campus to obtain their help in any way possible and possibly scheduling them to attend certain CB meetings. CANNON MOVED THAT DR. SCHUSTER'S PROPOSAL TO SCHEDULE LEGISLATORS TO VISIT U OF M CAMPUS BE ACCEPTED BY CB. SMITH SECONDED. QUESTION CALLED BY FLAHERTY. MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR. President John Christensen said this should be handled by Faculty Senate and he would send a memo to John Van de Wetering endorsing this program.

**Van Buren Street Bridge:** President Christensen presented a letter from the City Council concerning the issue of the Van Buren Street bridge. He said that there was a possibility of a tunnel being built under the railroad tracks or an overpass over the railroad tracks. He appointed Jim Dana as chairman of a committee with Steve Owens and Judy Gilbert to investigate city council's intentions regarding the bridge and the cost of the operation. Also they are to find out if the cost could be waived by employing student labor with aid from the Physical Plant.

**Fred Henningsen's committee to investigate autonomy for the University system:** President Christensen presented a memo from Henningsen, President of AAUP inviting 2 members of ASUM to be on his committee. Henningsen said that "the upcoming constitutional convention will, among other things, consider matters of importance to the state's institutions of higher education. Perhaps of paramount interest is the matter of autonomy. In discussing this with some of my colleagues we came to the conclusion that a sensible approach would be to form a committee from interested groups. The primary objective of such a committee would be as follows: To discover whether or not a common front exists vis-a-vis autonomy for higher education and, if so, the best approach to further the achievement of that autonomy in terms of the composition of and powers invested in the governing body (Board of Regents), the (more)
method of selection of board members, the need for two or more boards, and similar matters. Interested groups that come to mind include the following: AAUP, AFT, ASUM, MEA, Alumni Association, Faculty Senate, UM Administration. It is our thought that not more than two members from each group should be selected." President Christensen appointed Sorensen and Flaherty as ASUM's representatives to the committee.

Faculty Ethics Committee: Thornton reported that the Ethics Committee is now set up with 3 faculty and 2 student voting members. He said that a faculty member would accompany a student when confronting a professor with a student complaint and that the final action, if any, against a professor would be decided upon by the Ethics Committee. Thornton believes the success of the committee depends on student awareness of such a council. This would be projected through publication in THE BOOK and THE CATALOG.

Central Board Replacements: President Christensen appointed Bruce Swenson as co-chairman along with himself as chairman of a committee with Gilbert, Dana, Beck, Schuster, Servheen and Ehrlich to pick suitable replacements for Cloherty, Murphy, Johnson and Mahoney on CB. Christensen said that THE KAIMIN would publish this so that activity fee paying students could apply for positions. Christensen said that replacements for the rest of winter quarter are one for Student Court, two for Traffic Board and three commissioners.

Investigation of office of Dean of Students: BERVEN MOVED THAT CB APPOINT A SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE THE DEAN OF STUDENTS' OFFICE AND REPORT ITS DETERMINATIONS CONCERNING THE FOLLOWING AREAS: 1) OPERATING COST, 2) USEFULNESS IN RESPECT TO THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS AT THIS UNIVERSITY, 3) ITS HANDLING OF STUDENT RELATED AFFAIRS, 4) FAIRNESS IN REGARD TO ITS DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS, 5) OVERALL NEED FOR THE OFFICE, AND 6) PAST AND PRESENT FACTS OF ANY INTEREST TO THE GENERAL STUDENT BODY. I MOVE THIS IN THE HOPE THAT BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF ITS (THE DEAN OF STUDENTS' OFFICE) FUNCTIONING WILL BENEFIT THE ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA. I ALSO MOVE THAT A REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE'S FINDINGS BE PRESENTED TO CB AND TO THE PUBLIC." DANA SECONDED. DISCUSSION FOLLOWED AS TO USEFULNESS OF DEAN'S OFFICE. QUESTION CALLED. MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR EXCEPT OWENS OPPOSED. President Christensen appointed Thornton chairman of a committee to investigate the Dean's office with Dana, Grande, Anderson, Cannon, Steve Sorenson, Dr. Schuster, and Dr. Jennings. President Christensen said that he would send a memo to President Pantzer informing him of CB's special committee to investigate the Dean's office and inviting him to appoint someone from the administration to be on this committee.

Use of University Facilities and 24 Hour Open Dorm Policy: MOZER MOVED THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD, REPRESENTING THE STUDENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA REFUSE TO CONSIDER THE NEWLY WRITTEN "USE OF UNIVERSITY FACILITIES" POLICY UNTIL THE ADMINISTRATION OF THIS UNIVERSITY FINDS IT POSSIBLE TO CREATE 24 HOUR OPEN DORMS IN ALL DORMS WHO INDICATE AN OVERWHELMING INTEREST IN HAVING 24 HOUR OPEN DORMS. FURTHERMORE, CB DIRECTS ALL STUDENTS OF THIS UNIVERSITY TO IGNORE ANY AND ALL PROVISIONS IN THIS POLICY AS IT HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED BY THEIR REPRESENTATIVES. MURPHY SECONDED. QUESTION CALLED BY CANNON. MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR EXCEPT OWENS ABSTAINED. A memo was written in the words of above motion by Mozer from CB and Facilities Commission to V. P. George Mitchell, adding that "since the administration of this university feels that changes in university policy cannot be made during the school year, we feel that we also cannot change a particular university policy at this time. Also, since you have shown a deliberate disregard for obvious overwhelming student opinion, we will now show a total deliberate disregard for your opinions. The students have demonstrated a very deep commitment to democratic principles up until now and you have nullified any value that commitment might have had. Whatever attempts outside of the formal democratic channels
taken by students to instigate 24 hour open dorm policy will be fully supported by this student government by any and all means possible. Finally, the present Student Facilities Council has shown a total lack of effectiveness and influence since you left and should be disbanded as worthless."

Foundation Advisory Board: President Christensen said he would appoint 3 faculty members and 3 student members to be on this board for the purpose of finding out how the University Foundation operates, what stocks we own and voting procedures concerning companies from whom we buy stock.

Library Book Fund Drive: Vice President Beck reported very satisfactory returns on the library book fund drive. One donation amounted to $500 and another $250.

Blake Johnson's Resignation: Johnson said that since he will be studying in Helena winter quarter he respectfully resigns from CB and his related committees.
CANNON MOVED THAT CB ACCEPT JOHNSON'S RESIGNATION FROM CB. SORENSON SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

The meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Ruby_Biondich

ASUM Secretary

PRESENT: ANDERSON, BECK, BERVEN, CANNON, EHRLICH, GILBERT, GRANDE, JOHNSON, MOZER, MURPHY, SCHUSTER, SERVHEEN, SWENSON, SORENSON, SMITH, DANA, FLAHERTY, OWENS.

ABSENT: VICK

EXCUSED ABSENT: RIDGEWAY
January 6, 1972

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street Northwest
Washington, D. C. 20554

Dear Sirs:

We are writing this letter to register our complaint with you about the actions of Radio Station KYLT in Missoula, Montana.

We in the student government are charged with administering student activity fees here at the University of Montana. A substantial amount of the student money ($147,000 last year) is traditionally budgeted to varsity athletic program here at the university. Recently a change in student attitudes toward this varsity athletic commitment has been noticed. Because we wished to be fully cognizant of the opinion of all students on this matter before we budgeted varsity athletics this year, we decided to poll all students of their opinion during winter quarter registration on January 3, 4, 5, 1972.

During November and December this issue was debated in the school newspaper and a local tv station presented a show where both the pro-athletic funding and the anti-athletic funding opinions were represented. We tried to see that no propaganda was distributed and that no personal coercion took place by either side when the poll was being conducted.

On January 3 the first day of winter quarter registration and the poll, station KYLT presented what it termed "public service announcements" which stated to students that it was in their best interests to increase the amount of varsity athletic funding done by the student government and that the way to do this was by voting for an increase in the athletic budget. On the afternoon of Monday, January 3, we called KYLT to find out who was paying for this propaganda. They replied that the radio station was presenting this as its editorial opinion. We then asked if equal time would be granted to the anti-athletic side and they replied that it would. After our phone call, these announcements by KYLT apparently stopped.

We consider these announcements by KYLT to be:

1) a blatant violation of fairness in that they (KYLT) notified no members of the opposing side that they were going to make these bias announcements so that equal time could be obtained and both sides could be presented.

2) completely unfair in that these bias announcements were aired on the day that the poll was taking place so that when their statement to us on the phone that equal time would be allowed was received the poll was already one third over. The students who were polled on that Monday, then, were influenced by the pro-athletic announcements of KYLT.
3) meddling by the personnel of Station KYLT in the affairs of the student body of the University of Montana. These funds that the student government is in control of are student monies and have no connection with the general public or any staff member at KYLT.

We feel that our poll no longer represents the unbiased opinion of the student body and all our work in putting it together and administering it, and the money involved in getting it printed are, therefore, in vain because of the action of Radio Station KYLT.

We request that you inform us of any steps that you may take, or we may take, to assure that such a situation does not arise again.

Sincerely,

John R. Christensensen
ASUM President

Chris Servheen
Central Board member

CC: KYLT
KGVO
The Missoulian
TO: Fred A. Henningsen, AAUP President
FROM: John R. Christensen, ASUM President
RE: Members of a Discussion Group
DATE: January 6, 1971

Bob Sorenson and Pat Flaherty will be ASUM's representatives to be on the discussion group to investigate the matter of autonomy for the University System.
TO: John Van de Wetering, Chairman of the Department of History
FROM: John R. Christensen, ASUM President
RE: Visiting Legislators
DATE: January 6, 1971

Central Board endorses the scheduling of the legislators to visit the University of Montana campus and would like to pledge their help in any way possible.

Please let me know if I can be of any service in inviting these people personally to meetings of various committees of Student Government.
I move that Central Board appoint a special committee to investigate the Dean of Students' Office and report its determinations concerning the following areas:

1. Operating cost
2. Usefulness in respect to the educational process at this University
3. Its handling of student related affairs
4. Fairness in regard to its disciplinary actions
5. Overall need for the office
6. Past and present facts of any interest to the general student body

I move this in the hope that better understanding of its (the Dean of Students' Office) functioning will benefit the Associated Students of the University of Montana.

I also move that a report of the committee's findings be presented to Central Board and to the public.

Respectfully submitted
January 5, 1972

Leroy F. Berven
I move that Central Board appoint a special committee to investigate the Dean of Students' Office and report its determinations concerning the following areas:

1. Operating cost

2. Usefulness in respect to the educational process at this University

3. Its handling of student related affairs

4. Fairness in regard to its disciplinary actions

5. Overall need for the office

6. Past and present facts of any interest to the general student body

I move this in the hope that better understanding of its (the Dean of Students' Office) functioning will benefit the Associated Students of the University of Montana.

I also move that a report of the committee's findings be presented to Central Board and to the public.

Respectfully submitted

January 5, 1972

Leroy F. Berven
UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA

FROM: Fred A. Henningsen, President, AAUP
TO: Various campus organizations

The upcoming Constitutional Convention will, among many other things, consider matters of importance to the state's institutions of higher education. Perhaps of paramount interest is the matter of autonomy. In discussing this with some of my colleagues we came to the conclusion that a sensible approach would be to form a committee from interested groups. The primary objective of such a committee would be as follows:

To discover whether or not a common front exists vis-a-vis autonomy for higher education and, if so, the best approach to further the achievement of that autonomy in terms of the composition of and powers invested in the governing body (Board of Regents), the method of selection of board members, the need for two or more boards, and similar matters.

Interested groups that come to mind include the following:

AAUP, AFT, ASUM, MEA, Alumni Association, Faculty Senate, UM Administration

It is our thought that not more than two members from each group should be selected.
A motion was made and passed by Central Board at the January 5, 1972 meeting to appoint a special committee to investigate the office of the Dean of Students.

If you would like someone from the administration to sit in on this committee please send his name to Bryan Thornton, Commissioner of Publications Board and chairman of this committee.
USE OF UNIVERSITY FACILITIES

University facilities exist to accommodate the regular academic programs, and to the extent that they are not inconsistent with their programs, the extra-curricular activities of students, faculty and staff of the University. The following regulations are applicable to the use of all University facilities in order that they may be used to the best advantage for the purposes for which they are intended by the students, faculty and staff. Under no circumstances will these regulations be used to stop or harass peaceful assemblies or demonstrations.

1. No areas of the campus nor any University facilities, except for the University Center area, may be used for commercial, personal, or private financial gain. Sales of goods or services or solicitation of funds are permissible on the campus only if done by students, faculty, or staff for non-profit, educational, charitable, or on-campus organizational purposes.

2. The use of University facilities will be limited to faculty, staff, ASUM, or registered student organizations and under special conditions for community, charitable, or civic purposes. Except in cases of emergency, requests for the use of these facilities should be submitted at least one week in advance to the appropriate office. Upon submitting a request, any and all individual members of any organization using a university facility will assume responsibility for (a) the sponsoring and supervision of the use of the facility for the purpose and by the persons for which the facility was requested; (b) any violation of University regulations or applicable laws of damage to the property or facilities; (c) any rents, costs of special arrangements requested by the user or increment to the University's operations or overhead expenses imposed by use of the facility.

3. Distribution through the University mail of materials unrelated to normal University business is prohibited. Posting of signs or notices should be confined to the University Center, bulletin boards, and other areas designated for that purpose. [On-campus distribution of written materials solely for off-campus commercial ventures is prohibited, unless specifically permitted by University Administrators.]

4. Use of amplification equipment will be limited to the practice fields east of the Field House, the Clover Bowl, Dornblaser Field, and within the University Center except when its use will not interfere with normal operation of the University or when its use has been permitted in special cases by the President. This limitation in no way prevents the use of the Oval or any other area of the University for meetings or peaceful assemblies.
(5) In keeping with city ordinances and long-standing campus policy, open burning on the campus is prohibited [nor may any persons sleep in or inhabit or use any facility or area not specifically provided by the University for that purpose.]

(6) To insure orderly scheduling and notification to the University community, all meetings, activities and programs not sponsored through the academic departments of the University shall be scheduled through the Scheduling Office in the University Center. The scheduling officer will assist registered student organizations and faculty groups in making reservations and arrangements. Only when the facilities provided for non-academic activities, such as the University Center, are unavailable may academic buildings be used. In such areas the scheduling officer shall confirm the reservation of the facilities in academic buildings through the Registrar. Requests for the University Theater and the Music Recital Hall shall be cleared by the scheduling officer through the Dean of the School of Fine Arts. Registration of all student groups will be done in the office of Student Activities in the University Center. All applications will be reviewed and approved by the Student Union Board.

Because I will be studying in Helena next quarter, I respectfully resign from the Board and my related committees.

Jake Johnson
Intra-campus MEMORANDUM

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA

TO: George L. Mitchell, Vice President, University of Montana
FROM: Members of Central Board and Facilities Commission
RE: 24 Hour Open Dorm Policy
DATE: January 6, 1972

For your information, the following motion has been passed by Central Board on Wednesday night, January 5, 1972:

"We the Central Board, representing the students of the University of Montana do refuse to consider the newly written 'Use of University Facilities' policy until the administration of this university finds it possible to create 24 hour open dorms in all dorms who indicate an overwhelming interest in having 24 hour open dorms. Furthermore, we direct all students of this university to ignore any and all provisions in this policy as it has not been approved by their representatives."

Since the administration of this university feels that changes in university policy cannot be made during the school year, we feel that we also cannot change a particular university policy at this time. Also, since you have shown a deliberate disregard for obvious overwhelming student opinion, we will now show a total deliberate disregard for your opinions. The students have demonstrated a very deep commitment to democratic principles up until now and you have nullified any value that commitment might have had. Whatever attempts outside of the formal democratic channels taken by students to instigate 24 hour open dorm policy will be fully supported by this student government by any and all means possible. Finally, the present Student Facilities Council has shown a total lack of effectiveness and influence since you left and should be disbanded as worthless.

CC: Pantzer
Fedore
The Kaimin