The meeting was called to order at 7:15 p.m. by President Bob Sorenson in the Montana Rooms of the University Center.

Minutes: Berven corrected the minutes to add two opposed (Berven and Kolokotrones) to the motion "We, the Central Board of the ASUM advocate the immediate end to American involvement in the Indochina war. We urge all students to attend a teach-in Friday, April 21 discussing the American military role in Indochina in support of the National Student Association's Nationwide strike."

REPORTS OF OFFICERS AND STANDING COMMITTEES

Order of Business: President Sorenson stressed the importance of having order and good management during a meeting. He said that the business of the meeting should be done as quickly as possible while allowing free speech. Any frivolities or anything not related to business at hand will be stopped by the chair and if the party does not refrain from this he or she will be asked to leave.

Proposed Revision of ASUM Constitution: Berven said he would like someone to show up at the Planning Board meetings to express ideas and state what is wrong with the proposed revisions. Berven said that Ken Flesher, Assistant Dean of Students, would attend the next Planning Board at 6:00 p.m. Monday in the ASUM Conference Room.

OLD BUSINESS

Proposal of Sub-committee on Ad Hoc Structure: President Sorenson took from the table Berven's motion of last week "To repeal Articles 2, 3, 4 and 8 of Division V (Committees) of the bylaws and to inform President Pantzer and Dr. Van de Wetering that we will not set up student representatives on a permanent Parity Board" and opened the issue for discussion. President Sorenson submitted a list of proposed changes in Division V of the Bylaws as agreed upon by the Sub-committee on Ad Hoc Structure: Retain Article I - General. Delete Article II - Academic Affairs. Delete Article III - Athletics Committee. Delete Article IV - Parity Board. Retain Article V - Planning Board. Restructure in future Article VI - Publications Board. Retain Article VII - Store Board. Delete Article VIII - Student Facilities. Retain Article IX - Student Faculty Commissioner. Restructure in future Article X - Student Union Board. Refer to Page 5 of the Bylaws. NELSON MOVED TO AMEND Berven's MOTION, SAYING THE STRUCTURE OF PARITY BOARD SHOULD BE KEPT AND THAT MEMBERS MAY BE APPOINTED ON A TEMPORARY BASIS. SORENSEN SECONDED. Gilbert thought that we should not delete Article 4 of Division V of the bylaws which deals with Parity Board. NELSON REQUESTED THAT THIS BE ADDED TO HIS MOTION WHICH WOULD READ "ARTICLE 4, DIVISION V OF THE BYLAWS WILL BE RETAINED, AND MEMBERS MAY BE APPOINTED ON A TEMPORARY BASIS." GILBERT SECONDED AND MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR. Berven moved to amend main motion that the present section 5, article 4 be deleted, and replaced by a new section 5: "STUDENT MEMBERS OF PARITY BOARD MAY BE APPOINTED ON A TEMPORARY BASIS." SWENSON SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED WITH MAJORITY IN FAVOR. Swenson expressed disfavor with deleting standing committees as there would be no connection between ad hoc committees and Faculty Senate. SWENSON MOVED TO ADD TO THE BYLAWS A STATEMENT THAT A COMMITTEE WOULD BE SET UP IN CONNECTION WITH AD HOC COMMITTEES. MOTION DIED FOR LACK OF SECOND. Johnson said there was no need for temporary Parity Board members. He said the Parity Board concept was ok but no type of structure should be put in the bylaws. Owens said the Parity Board was a committee set up by the faculty and administration in coordination with the students and that it could not be deleted without consulting them. JOHNSON MOVED TO RE-CONSIDER THE MOTION "THE STRUCTURE OF PARITY BOARD WILL BE KEPT AND MEMBERS MAY BE APPOINTED ON A TEMPORARY BASIS." OWENS SECONDED. MOTION FAILED WITH 10 YES AND 11 NO. YES: ANDERSON, COLLIER, BRIAN FLAHERTY, GILBERT, JOHNSON, MADISON, NELSON, OWENS, RIDGEWAY, SWENSON. NO: Berven, PAT FLAHERTY, GALT, GOHRICK, GRAY, HANSON, (over)
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KIGAME, MADSEN, RAGEN, SORENSEN, TENNIS. SORENSEN MOVED AMENDMENT TO MOTION TO DELETE ANY REFERENCE WHATSOEVER TO PARITY BOARD. MOTION DIED FOR LACK OF SECOND. QUESTION CALLED. MAIN MOTION CARRIED WITH MAJORITY IN FAVOR. BRIAN FLAHERTY MOVED THAT PEOPLE APPOINTED TO AD HOC COMMITTEES BE APPROVED BY CENTRAL BOARD. Berven called attention to Article V, Section 6 of the constitution which reads "all appointments to student-faculty and/or administrative committees shall be made by the President of ASUM and shall be confirmed by a 2/3 vote of Central Board."

PAT FLAHERTY MOVED TO ADD SECTION 10 TO ARTICLE I, DIVISION V OF THE BYLAWS READING "ALL AD HOC COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP BE APPROVED BY A 2/3 VOTE OF CENTRAL BOARD. Berven again pointed out that "any ad hoc committee must be approved by Central Board to even exist!!!" MOTION DIES FOR LACK OF SECOND.

Paving area adjacent to Craig Hall: Matt Tennis reported that nothing has been done to change the contract as they were unable to set up a meeting with Vice President Mitchell. Owens submitted a letter which he has sent to Pantzer, Craig Hall dorm council, Rolf Sandberg - contractor, Del Brown, Ted Parker, Tom Hayes, Fedore, and Chapman. In this letter Owens requested a written statement containing the following: 1. The status of the paving of the area bordered by Craig Hall at the present time, as you view it. 2. The nature of the student complaints as you see them. 3. The options available, if any, to alleviate the problems that the students feel are present. 4. Any other comments that you feel are pertinent. Vice President Collier said that Traffic Board has been discussing this issue and thought possibly part of this area would be restricted to no parking during the hours of 2 p.m. to 6 p.m. If only the people working in the new offices nearby parked there, it would amount to about 25 cars. President Sorenson told the Board that he took the written protest concerning the paving of this area to President Pantzer but did not receive a reply.

Constitutional Revision: President Sorenson informed the Board that ideas would have to be presented in total rather than picking over small details during the meeting. Berven moved that the proposed changes in the constitution be tabled for two weeks in order for Planning Board to hash it over. Gilbert seconded. Motion carried with majority in favor.

NEW BUSINESS

Pancake House in Rattlesnake: John Cote requested that Central Board discuss the issue of Smitty's Pancake Parlor. ANDERSON MOVED THAT SMITTY'S PANCAKE PARLOR BE DISCUSSED BY CENTRAL BOARD. GILBERT SECONDED. BOARD APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY. Berven moved that "WE, THE CENTRAL BOARD OF THE ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA REPRESENTING THE STUDENTS OF THIS UNIVERSITY STRONGLY OPPOSE THE CONSTRUCTION OF SMITTY'S PANCAKE PARLOR ON RATTLESNAKE CREEK IN ANY POSSIBLE WAY." ANDERSON SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED WITH MAJORITY IN FAVOR.

Zoning: President Bob Sorenson said he would appoint an ad hoc committee to take care of the zoning issue and to attend the city council meeting concerning this.

National Conference on Student Consumer Action: Jim Betty, president of MONTPIRG, submitted a letter received from Robert Flashman, Chairman of the National Conference on Student Consumer Action at Kansas State. The letter said that Kansas State has established a Consumer Relations Board under the Student Governing Association and that this consumer protection agency has saved their students over $45,000 in its first year of existence. They have planned a conference May 3-6 at Kansas State which will include nationally prominent speakers and workshops to inform students how to go about establishing a student consumer protection agency on their campus, or in having a say about the direction of student consumer protection policy. Jim Betty said he would prefer sending 3 representatives to this conference as one couldn't attend all the workshops. The approximate cost for 3 representatives (more)
traveling by state car would be $312. His alternative proposition would be to send one representative by air which would cost about $236.00. Johnson reminded the Board of the rule made by Central Board to table for one week any request for allocation, and that it would take a 2/3 vote of Central Board to suspend the rule. Betty said that the people who volunteered to attend the conference should also be willing to work with Central Board in setting up a consumer affairs counsel or possibly a corporation. Ken Piester said it would be very difficult for a Central Board delegate to find the time to follow up on this issue and that whoever volunteers should make this a primary involvement. PAT FLAHERTY MOVED TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO ALLOW ALLOCATION OF MONEY TO WHOMEVER ATTENDS THE CONFERENCE. BERVEN SECONDED. Jim Scott suggested getting the money from Ray Chapman's traveling fund. Gilbert disapproved suspending the rules that have been set up by Central Board.

Clark Hanson felt that establishing a consumer protection policy would be one of the best ways to portray the function of Central Board to the students of the University of Montana. President Sorenson said that if the members did vote to suspend the rule in this case that it would show their interest in consumer protection for students. Hanson said it would be a very effective way of drawing new blood into this student government program. PRESIDENT SORENSON CALLED FOR POINT OF ORDER SAYING THAT THE ISSUE OF SUSPENDING THE RULES WAS NOT DEBATABLE AS IT REQUIRED A 2/3 MAJORITY TO PASS. PAT FLAHERTY WITHDREW HIS MOTION TO SUSPEND THE RULE ON NO ALLOCATION OF MONEY UNTIL A ONE WEEK WAITING PERIOD HAD PASSED. BERVEN WITHDREW HIS SECOND. Vice President Collier advised the Board to forget about the money and think first about getting people to go to the conference. Gilbert suggested we support this conference by putting an ad in the Kaimin to get people to volunteer to go to the conference. PAT FLAHERTY MOVED TO SUPPORT THIS CONFERENCE AND ALLOCATE UP TO $500 TO SEND PEOPLE TO THE CONFERENCE. SWENSON SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Discussion followed on where the money would come from and who would go to the conference. Pat Flaherty suggested appointing him chairman of a committee to meet with Ray Chapman and get things together. HANSON MOVED THAT VICE PRESIDENT COLLIER SELECT A COMMITTEE TO PUT AN AD IN THE KAIMIN AND TO SELECT PEOPLE TO ATTEND THE CONFERENCE. OWENS SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Program Council Director: President Sorenson reported that only one application had been received for the position of Program Council Director. Sorenson's recommendation to Central Board for this position is Jim Scott on the basis of his experience, efficiency, expertise, and the fact that he was the only one applying. COLLIER MOVED TO ACCEPT JIM SCOTT AS DIRECTOR OF PROGRAM COUNCIL FOR THE PERIOD 1972-73. BERVEN SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Student Government Presidents Meeting in Havre: President Sorenson reported on the informal MSPA meeting which he attended last weekend in Havre. A resolution was put before the Board there requesting high school and university students to boycott soft drinks as they were presenting a false image to the public via advertising of the youth of this generation. In this way, the youth of this generation would be giving their image as the anti-war generation. The Board expressed disfavor with boycotting soft drinks. Sorenson said this infuriated him because pop is a luxury and it was a ridiculous way to portray the unwar generation.

Budgets for 1972-73: Budgets submitted to Central Board were passed out to delegates and Business Manager Johnson requested that they be studied carefully before the next meeting because the Board will be responsible for the allocation of money to these budgets. President Sorenson told the Board that the next two Central Board meetings would be for going over the budgets. The next meeting, May 3, will be spent examining and questioning the budgets as a committee of the whole until a tentative decision can be made, even if the meeting had to be continued on Thursday and Friday nights. Johnson stressed that individual hours should be put into these budgets before coming to Central Board on May 3 and that members should have their (over)
minds made up as to whether they are for or against a budget request and the reasons for it. Johnson welcomed members to come to his office to talk over the budgets.

**Announcements:** President Sorenson announced that any material to be presented to Central Board would have to be in by Monday preceding the meeting at 5 o'clock in order to get on the agenda. He said there would be a published agenda in the ASUM offices so that members could do research before the meeting and come up with something of value to report. Sorenson asked the cooperation of the delegates in erasing the "air of the circus" from the meetings. Sorenson said that if a resignation occurred on Central Board he would appoint a sub-committee to review candidates for the position before taking it to Central Board, then appoint who he felt was best. Sorenson said two hours was too long for a business meeting. In the future he will not accept any lengthy motions on the floor unless they have been written and handed to the chair so that he is able to read it to the Board. Sorenson announced a bargain with Pat Flaherty, saying that if Pat refrained from making frivolous comments and motions during a meeting he would be glad to listen to him after the meeting adjourned.

**Poll Judges:** Collier announced that he needs 14 people from each party to act as poll judges in the primary election, June 6. The pay will be $1.60 per hour, interested people should see Collier at the ASUM offices.

**Pollution:** Pat Flaherty said he would like to show some appalling films regarding pollution. Berven moved adjournment of meeting. Owens seconded. Motion carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Ruby Bifendich
ASUM Secretary

**PRESENT:** ANDERSON, Berven, Collier, Flaherty (Brian), Flaherty (Pat), Galt, Gilbert, Giorick, Gray, Hanson, Johnson, Kigame, Madison, Madsen, Nelson, Owens, Ragen, Ridgeway, Sorenson, Swenson, Tennis.

**ABSENT:** Kolokotrones
This last weekend I was in Havre for a Montana Student Body Presidents Meeting. We had several workshops and meetings on many areas of University life. At one workshop with Ted Heberley of Havre, a member of the Board of Regents, we discussed the possibility of alcohol on campus. The discussions, I feel, were positive.

I am extremely interested in beginning anew our research into the possibility of getting alcohol in the dorms and also the possibility of attaining a pub on campus. I realize this may be a lengthy process in which a great deal of research background will be needed. Any suggestions you may have on accomplishing these two goals would be greatly appreciated. I would also like to meet with you to discuss the needed steps to accomplish this end.
This past year, with the increase in enrollment, our registration process has bogged down something terribly. I am interested in examining all possible ways of streamlining the registration process. I realize that a committee was organized last quarter to come up with some recommendations for streamlining the process. At this time I am not aware of their recommendations. If you could, would you forward that information to me?

I am also interested in any ideas you may have in this area. I am also interested in exploring the use of the new computer the University will be getting. Your assistance would be greatly appreciated.
George Mitchell, Administrative Vice President

Bob Sorenson, ASUM President

Summer Employment

DATE: April 25, 1972

We have had several meetings in regard to summer employment. At this time I am unsure of where we are at in regard to this summer employment plan. I would appreciate it if you would send me a memo stating where we stand at this time and what is needed to complete the project.
We have had several meetings in regard to summer employment. At this time I am unsure of where we are at in regard to this summer employment plan. I would appreciate it if you would send me a memo stating where we stand at this time and what is needed to complete the project.
Dear Fellow Student Consumer:

Since Ralph Nader’s expose of the automobile industry in the 60’s there has risen a growing concern for consumer rights and a need for protection of these rights. More people are reading Consumer Reports, and organizations such as Consumer Federation of America and CEPA (Consumer Education and Protection Association) have come into being. President Kennedy began the practice of appointing a special assistant on consumer affairs to advise him, and his successors have continued the practice.

Meanwhile, the American college student was still being subjected to fraud and deception by door-to-door salesmen, auto repairmen, ads for summer jobs overseas, do-it-at-home schemes, and rip-offs by their landlords. Only recently have students realized the need to organize to protect themselves.

During the last year we at Kansas State have established a Consumer Relations Board under the Student Governing Association, and we are proud to say that this consumer protection agency has saved our students over $45,000 in its first year of existence. So pleased were we with the initial results that we published a booklet entitled “University Consumer Protection” which describes the organization and its procedures. Now, five of the six state schools of higher learning in Kansas have a consumer protection agency. And more than 200 universities and colleges across the nation have bought the booklet.

We have received so many follow-up letters from students at colleges and universities that we see a desire for unity and a statement of direction for student consumer protection agencies.

We have, therefore, planned the 1st NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON STUDENT CONSUMER ACTION, May 3-6. Speakers of national prominence in the area of consumer affairs have been invited to participate in the conference. And all of them have accepted. We are asking that you also participate, assuming that you are interested in being a part of this historic event and having a say about the direction of student consumer protection policy.

We would appreciate hearing from you by the 21st of April as there is a limited amount of free housing available. We are asking that each school limit its delegation to three unless special arrangements are made. These should be representatives who can effectively represent your school, participate at the different workshops, and assist you in taking action on your campus. Enclosed is a brochure listing some of the objectives of the conference, the speakers and the workshops planned.

Robert Flashman
Chairman
National Conference on Student Consumer Action

Frank Cleveland
Program Planner
DATE: April 26, 1972

This memorandum is an attempt to obtain, from all those involved, directly or indirectly, in the Craig Hall paving situation, a written statement explaining their position on this issue. The sentiments of most of those concerned are known to some extent, but the accuracy of some statements undoubtedly has declined as they were passed from one person to another, and at this point all positions need to be clarified. Would you please consider favorably my request for a written statement containing the following:

1. The status of the paving of the area bordered by Craig Hall at the present time, as you view it.

2. The nature of the student complaints as you see them.

3. The options available, if any, to alleviate the problems that the students feel are present.

4. Any other comments that you feel are pertinent.

Please try to prepare your statement in the next several days and send one copy to President Robert Pantzer and one to me at the ASUM offices. I will provide copies of all the responses to all the persons receiving this letter.

Thank you for your help in this matter.
COMPARATIVE REVIEW OF PROPOSED BERVEN CONSTITUTION AND PRESENT ASUM CONSTITUTION

(All section numbers refer to Berven's proposed Constitution.)

Proposed Articles I, II, and III are essentially the same as provided in the present Constitution.

Proposed Article IV
1) Under presidential duties, deletes mention of presidential budget preparation and presidential meeting calling responsibility.
2) Under vice president, deletes mention of duties delegated to him by the president.
3) Under business manager, deletes mention of being financial manager of ASUM "subject to the direction of CB." It also deletes mention of his responsibility for ASUM property being used by some organization.
4) Under succession, deletes "In the event that all the officers are incapacitated on a permanent basis, CB shall determine the most judicious means of obtaining new officers."
5) Deletes present Sec. 6, "All appointments to student-faculty and/or administrative committees shall be made by the president of ASUM and shall be confirmed to a 2/3 vote of CB."

Proposed Article V
1) Leaves Sec. 1-5 essentially the same.
2) Deletes Subsections III and IV of Sec. 4 of the present Constitution. Subsection III defines petition procedure for individual and party candidacy; Subsection IV establishes spring and fall CB elections and specifically gives each ASUM member the right to vote in the district of his choice in the spring election.
3) Leaves Sec. 6 essentially the same as at present except for deleting mention of the Kaimin editor as ex-officio member of CB.
4) Leaves Sec. 7 and 8 essentially the same as at present.
5) Deletes present Sec. 7, definition of a quorum.
6) Deletes present Sec. 8, providing for CB executive sessions.
7) Deletes Sec. 9, CB approval of elections and committee decisions.
8) Deletes Sec. 10, impeachment proceeding.
9) Deletes Sec. 11, CB authority in questions not covered in Constitution or by laws.
10) Deletes Sec. 14, CB emergency sessions.
Proposed Article VI
1) Adds in Sec. 2, "No person shall be a member of CB and Constitutional Review Board simultaneously."
2) Adds in Sec. 3, "The decision of the Constitutional Review Board shall be final."

Proposed Article VII on the judicial system is less specific than the present one.

Proposed Article VIII on elections:
1) Adds "No person shall hold or be a candidate for more than one ASUM elective position at the same time."
2) Provides one annual election.

Proposed Article IX on finance leaves most of the present constitutional provisions to the bylaws.

Proposed Article X
1) Adds an initiative procedure.
2) Reduces from 2/3 to 51% the majority necessary to pass a referendum.

Proposed Article XII changes from 15% to 10% of ASUM the signatures necessary to initiate a constitutional amendment.
April 26, 1972

Proposal as agreed upon by Sub-committee on Ad-Hoc Structure.

Bylaw changes:

**Division V - Committees**

**Article I - General:** Retain

**Article II - Academic Affairs:** Delete

**Article III - Athletics Committee:** Delete

**Article IV - Parity Board:** Delete

**Article V - Planning Board:** Retain

**Article VI - Publications Board:** Restructure in future

**Article VII - Store Board:** Retain

**Article VIII - Student Facilities:** Delete

**Article IX - Student Faculty Commissioner:** Retain

**Article X - Student Union Board:** Restructure in future

Refer to Page 5 of the Bylaws
UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA

TO: Members of ASUM and interested persons
FROM: Clay Collier, ASUM Vice President
RE: Office use of recycled papers
DATE: April 28, 1972

We would like at this time to begin changing over all the paper output of this office to recycled papers exclusively. This, we feel, is the only right thing to do.

As a first step, the letterheads and printed envelopes for ASUM are being printed on good quality recycled bond; due to special order of this paper, it has taken longer than usual to have our stationary printed. We apologize for the delay, but we feel it is justified. In addition, the sign shop has been informed that all of the posters that ASUM orders during the ensuing year will, as a matter of course, be done on recycled paper. It is not acceptable any longer to be churning out pounds of expensive poster board, when a less expensive and more environmentally sound substitute is available.

We also have available—for non-letterhead purposes—some cheap, off-color recycled 8 1/2 X 11 paper, with matching envelopes. This is adequate for such things as Central Board handouts, flyers, personal correspondence, etc.

The secretaries have agreed that from this point on, unless special exception is made, nothing crossing their desks (CB minutes, mimeo work, fly sheets, etc.) will be on other than recycled papers. Bob and I would ask that all Central Board delegates especially help the secretaries to make this changeover.

I have information—or will soon have information—on the types and cost of recycled papers available. I would be glad to answer any questions you might have about this changeover.

copies to: Robert Pantzer, President
George Mitchell, Administrative Vice President
Richard Solberg, Dean, College of Arts & Sciences
Robert Fedore, Dean of Students
Ken Fiester, Assistant Dean of Students
J. A. Parker, Director, Physical Plant
Calvin Murphy, Business Manager
David Alt, Chairman, Faculty Senate
Ray Chapman, Director, University Center
Del Brown, Coordinator, Student Facilities
Tim Parrott, University Center Program Director
Jim Scott, Director, Program Council
Blake Johnson, ASUM Business Manager
Conrad Yunker, Editor, Kaimin
Student Accounting Office
Student Environmental Research Center
Selective Service Information Center
MEMORANDUM

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA

TO: Steve Owens et al
FROM: [Redacted] (Student Name)
RE: Letter supporting Constitution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>Bill Haviland</td>
<td>5/25/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>Pat Madison</td>
<td>5/25/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>Christine Anderson</td>
<td>5/24/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>George Kolokotrones</td>
<td>5/24/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Lucille Speer</td>
<td>5/25/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Lee Perrin</td>
<td>5/25/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Richard Owens</td>
<td>5/26/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Tom Cannon</td>
<td>5/26/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>John Raymond</td>
<td>5/31/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Sue</td>
<td>6/3/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Mike Dautier</td>
<td>5/31/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>Max Baucus</td>
<td>5/31/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Richard Wilson</td>
<td>6/3/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>George Mahoney</td>
<td>6/3/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>Steve Owens</td>
<td>6/9/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>Blake Johnson</td>
<td>6/9/72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37.50

[Signature]
DATE: April 26, 1972

This memorandum is an attempt to obtain, from all those involved, directly or indirectly, in the Craig Hall paving situation, a written statement explaining their position on this issue. The sentiments of most of those concerned are known to some extent, but the accuracy of some statements undoubtedly has declined as they were passed from one person to another, and at this point all positions need to be clarified. Would you please consider favorably my request for a written statement containing the following:

1. The status of the paving of the area bordered by Craig Hall at the present time, as you view it.

2. The nature of the student complaints as you see them.

3. The options available, if any, to alleviate the problems that the students feel are present.

4. Any other comments that you feel are pertinent.

Please try to prepare your statement in the next several days and send one copy to President Robert Pantzer and one to me at the ASUM offices. I will provide copies of all the responses to all the persons receiving this letter.

Thank you for your help in this matter.