

University of Montana

ScholarWorks at University of Montana

ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007

ASUM Student Government

10-6-1971

Documents from the October 6, 1971 meeting of the Associated Students of the University of Montana (ASUM)

University of Montana--Missoula. Associated Students

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.umt.edu/asum>

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

University of Montana--Missoula. Associated Students, "Documents from the October 6, 1971 meeting of the Associated Students of the University of Montana (ASUM)" (1971). *ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007*. 838.

<https://scholarworks.umt.edu/asum/838>

This is brought to you for free and open access by the ASUM Student Government at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007 by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

The meeting was called to order by President John Christensen at 7:00 p.m. in the Montana Rooms of the University Center.

The minutes were approved as read.

REPORTS OF OFFICERS AND STANDING COMMITTEES:

Student Facilities: John Murphy said that Roger Hawthorne is working on apportioning the districts for Central Board. This election year we hope to get more on-campus students on the Board. The six wards in Missoula will have to be reapportioned so that each ward is more equally populated.

The Housing Authority Committee will try to get someone from City Council to attend their next meeting.

Publications Board: Larry Stewart was appointed by President Pantzer as Faculty Representative to Pub Board. The four nominees recommended by Central Board are Brian Flaherty, Chris Lovell, Dick Madsen and Tom Forr. MOTION WAS MADE BY TOM MOZER THAT THESE FOUR PEOPLE BE ACCEPTED AS CENTRAL BOARD REPRESENTATIVES TO PUB BOARD. RAY GRANDE SECONDED AND THE MOTION WAS CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

The three Kaimin representatives to Pub Board are Wes Winkler, Peggy Kuhr, and Ronnene Anderson. The fourth member will be appointed later.

MOTION WAS MADE BY JOHN MURPHY THAT WE ACCEPT THESE THREE NOMINEES TO PUB BOARD WITH THE FOURTH MEMBER TO BE PRESENTED AT THE NEXT CB MEETING. BOB SORENSON SECONDED THE MOTION AND THE MOTION WAS CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

OLD BUSINESS

Resolution requesting resignation of Jack Cloherty: Bryan Thornton, Commissioner of Publications Board presented a resolution against Jack Cloherty concerning alleged misuse of ASUM funds by the use of the Kaimin billing number for personal telephone calls in the amount of \$110.00 made this summer. THORNTON SAID THAT IF CLOHERTY COULD NOT GIVE FULL ACCOUNT OF HIS ACTIONS, HE WOULD PROPOSE A MOTION BE MADE THAT WHEREAS JACK CLOHERTY IS A DULY ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE OF ASUM AND --WHEREAS THIS POSITION IS TO BE AN HONORED POSITION AND --WHEREAS JACK CLOHERTY HAS ACTED IN A MANNER UNBECOMING A DULY ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE AND --WHEREAS THIS ACTIVITY BRINGS DISHONOR TO THE ENTIRE UNIVERSITY AND --WHEREAS MR. CLOHERTY HAS NOT MADE ANY ATTEMPT TO RECTIFY HIS WRONG TO THE BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE AND EVEN IF SUCH ATTEMPT HAS OR WILL BE MADE, IT IS MOVED THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD OF THE ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA ASK FOR AND RECEIVE A RESIGNATION FROM SAID PERSON."

TOM MOZER MADE A MOTION THAT THE CENTRAL BOARD OF THE ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA ASK FOR AND RECEIVE A RESIGNATION FROM JACK CLOHERTY. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY BRUCE SWENSON.

QUESTION WAS CALLED. Bob Sorenson, ASUM Business Manager, being legally responsible for ASUM funds, presented evidence against Cloherty with a list of said telephone calls including billing and all pertinent information. Cloherty defended himself, placing the responsibility on Henry Bird, former Kaimin business manager, with whom he had an agreement, said agreement being that Cloherty could use the Kaimin billing number providing he pay the bill when it came to the Kaimin. Cloherty explained that he did not pay the bill because Bird was in Ireland and had not received the bill. Cloherty complained that the way in which his case was presented to Central Board was a great indignity to him as no attempt had been made to question him before the meeting.

October 6, 1971

BOB SORENSON CALLED FOR AN AMENDMENT TO THE MAIN MOTION ASKING THAT CLOHERTY RESIGN FROM CENTRAL BOARD AND REIMBURSE THEM FOR THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE BILL. LEROY BERVEN SECONDED. QUESTION WAS CALLED BY TOM MOZER. AMENDMENT TO MAIN MOTION WAS CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

LEROY BERVEN CALLED FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE. THE MAIN MOTION TO REQUEST RESIGNATION FROM JACK CLOHERTY WAS VOTED UPON AND PASSED BY A ROLL CALL VOTE OF 8 TO 7.

THE MOTION WAS TABLED UNTIL THE NEXT CENTRAL BOARD MEETING AT WHICH TIME JACK CLOHERTY WOULD BE PRESENT TO GIVE AN ACCOUNT OF HIS POSITION.

Congressman Shoup's Advisory Committee: President John Christensen appointed an ad hoc committee consisting of Ralph Smith, Chairman, Barb Ehrlich, Chris Anderson, Rob Smith and Tom Cannon to screen candidates for Congressman Shoup's five member student advisory committee. The ASUM president and the editor of the school newspaper will also serve on this committee. Applications are open for any members of the student body who wish to apply. JOHN MURPHY MADE A MOTION THAT THE COMMITTEE CONSISTING OF RALPH SMITH, CHAIRMAN, BARB EHRLICH, CHRIS ANDERSON, ROB SMITH AND TOM CANNON BE ACCEPTED TO CHOOSE NOMINEES TO SCREEN CANDIDATES FOR SHOUP'S STUDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE, THESE NOMINEES THEN TO BE RATIFIED BY CENTRAL BOARD. CHRIS SERVHEEN SECONDED THE MOTION AND IT WAS CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

NEW BUSINESS

ASUM Allocation of Funds:

Men's Varsity Bowling Team: The U of M Men's Varsity Bowling Team presented their budget request to cover expense incurred for matches away from home with the Montana Intercollegiate Bowling Conference. A total of \$840.00 was requested, but President John Christensen said he would only allow \$540.00.

JOHN MURPHY MADE A MOTION THAT \$540.00 BE ALLOCATED TO MEN'S VARSITY BOWLING TEAM. GREG BECK SECONDED THE MOTION. The majority felt this was a piece-meal budget and therefore a misallocation of funds. RAY GRANDE MADE A MOTION THAT THE ISSUE OF ALLOCATION OF FUNDS TO MEN'S VARSITY BOWLING TEAM BE TABLED UNTIL NEXT MEETING. IT WAS SECONDED BY LEROY BERVIN. MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

Auxiliary Sports Travel Budget: Last year \$2500 was allocated to Auxiliary Sports travel budget to be divided among the different clubs, namely, Poleclo Club, Rifle Club, Soccer Club, and possibly Sky Divers. The budget for these clubs was considered undebatable as some of the teams were not represented at this meeting. JOHN MURPHY MADE A MOTION THAT THE DISCUSSION FOR ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR AUXILIARY SPORTS BE TABLED UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING. BOB SORENSON SECONDED THE MOTION AND IT WAS CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

Use of University Facilities: Ray Chapman, Director of University Center, presented a memorandum which he sent to Dean Fedore concerning the use of University facilities. Mr. Chapman pointed out "the urgent need to clarify the legitimacy and solvency of our campus organizations before services are rendered them and credit accordingly extended them". It had been brought to Mr. Chapman's attention that Bill Vaughn and Jack Cloherty are using Liberal Arts II for regular showing of films for which admission is charged in the amount of 75¢. It was reported that they are procuring the films and paying production costs at their own expense and receiving all net receipts from admissions. Mr. Chapman's memo stated that "under existing policy, they should at least be paying appropriate rent for use of University facilities in that their program is neither academic nor under the sponsorship of a legitimate non-profit campus organization. Under existing policy

October 6, 1971

(long established), they would be paying as much as \$250 per performance for use of equivalent and appropriate space in the University Center--based upon a sliding scale which considers nature of sponsoring agent, use of facility, and whether or not admission is charged for the event. Under proposed policy changes I would raise the question as to whether their program serves the interests of the University community because of the apparent profit-taking motive. Presumably, it might serve those interests.

I have on my desk a collection notice from Dun & Bradstreet, Inc., Pittsburg, Pennsylvania, for the amount of \$39.42 addressed to Montana Film Society and Henry C. Bird, Business Manager, for films rented from Clem Williams, Inc., Pittsburg, Pennsylvania. Contract date is February 22, 1971; play dates are March 11-12, 1971, and the contract was signed by William T. Vaughn (Sports Editor, Kaimin) and Henry C. Bird (past Business Manager, Kaimin). A telephone call to Clem Williams Films, Inc. this date produced the following information:

That William T. Vaughn issued a personal check in the amount of \$30, March 22, 1971, payable to Clem Williams Films, Inc. Unfortunately, the check was returned for non-sufficient funds. Subsequent communication between Clem Williams Films, Inc. and the University of Montana produced a letter from Henry C. Bird of June 15, to Clem Williams Films, Inc. stating, in essence, that Bird had no knowledge of the contract and that neither he nor the Montana Kaimin had any responsibility for payment. I have asked Clem Williams, Inc. to forward photocopies of correspondence and other relative materials to me.

Similar situations have occurred on this campus, most notable of which was another film society under the direction of an ex-faculty member. The final results of that program left I.M.S. and Devon Chandler holding the bag for bills totaling approximately \$800 for film rentals, labor charges for projectionists, long distance phone calls, etc. More recently, you will recall that an ex-student had ordered films from a film distributor using Program Council's name as sponsor, signing his own name to the contract as their agent. The result? Another unpaid bill.

Such situations as cited above are both embarrassing to this institution or departments within the institution, and fiscally hazardous to agencies on or off campus servicing the University.

I think now is the time to pursue finalization of any policy change anticipated to avoid, if possible, future problems. As far as Mr. Vaughn and Mr. Cloherty and their current film series is concerned, let us at least determine the following:

Are they paying appropriate rent for use of academic space?
With whom are they doing business? (film rentals, etc.)
Are they solvent?

As far as the unpaid bill as per Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. collection notice, let us give Bill Vaughn ample opportunity to remit payment in full."

PRESIDENT JOHN CHRISTENSEN SAID THAT THE ISSUE WOULD BE TABLED UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING AT WHICH TIME JACK CLOHERTY AND BILL VAUGHN COULD BE PRESENT TO DEFEND THEIR ACTIONS TO CENTRAL BOARD.

Selective Service Center: At the last Central Board meeting, Bob Sorenson gave a report on the misuse of student funds and overspending of budget by Mike Wisocki, Selective Service Center director. Mr. Wisocki had spent \$27.35 on tobacco. Sorenson reported that Central Board should be reimbursed for this. Mike Wisocki

October 6, 1971

was asked to be present at this meeting to defend his case. Wisocki explained that he used \$27.35 of ASUM funds for tobacco to repay his staff for the extra effort they put into their work in a more "human" way than CB conducts its appropriations. As for overspending the budget on summer salaries, Wisocki said that in order to give good efficient service to the students it was necessary to keep the Selective Service Center open during the summer months, and if CB was not willing to allocate funds for this purpose he would close the center down for the three months of summer.

President John Christensen asked John Murphy and Mike Wisocki to check into the matter of summer spending.


Alternate to Traffic Board: President John Christensen gave Margaret Shannon as the second alternate to Traffic Board.

JOHN MURPHY MADE A MOTION THAT MARGARET SHANNON BE ACCEPTED AS THE SECOND ALTERNATE TO TRAFFIC BOARD. IT WAS SECONDED BY RAY GRANDE AND THE MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

It was decided that the next meeting will be held in Knowles Hall Lounge.

As there was no further business the meeting was adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Ruby Biondich
ASUM Secretary

ATTENDANCE:: ANDERSON, BECK, BERVEN, CANNON, CHRISTENSEN, CLOHERTY, EHRLICH, GILBERT, GRANDE, JOHNSON, MOZER, SCHUSTER, SERVHEEN, SWENSON, SORENSON, SMITH, WICKS, MURPHY, GARRISON, GORTON, PARRY, THORNTON, JOHNSON

ABSENT: VICK, CLAPP

PARITY BOARD

I. The principal purpose of this board (consisting of three students, three faculty members, and three members of the Administration) is to provide a new channel of University communication.

II. The student members will be appointed by Central Board, the faculty members by the Budget and Policy Committee and the administrative representatives by the President. The latter will represent both the President and the Dean of Students.

III. The general functions of the Board are these:

1. The Board will act as an ombudsman when grievances exist and have not been resolved through existent grievance channels, as determined by the Board.

2. The Board will hear conflicts referred to it by the President of the University, the recognized Student Government, and the Faculty Senate.

3. The Board will not usurp or seek to usurp the functions of those committees already constituted and operating under specific charges and with specific responsibilities.

4. The Board will initiate investigations of its own into troubled areas and will have the right to interview all parties to a disagreement or dispute.

5. While the Board will act as a unit, minority opinions may be presented by individual members of the Board.

6. The Board will report directly to the President of the University, the recognized Student Government and the Faculty Senate.

7. The Board will make recommendations for corrective action to the President of the University.

IV. The Board will select a coordinator from among the three student members to serve one year at the pleasure of the Board.

V. A quorum will consist of six members with two from each constituent group.

Approved 5/13/71

RESOLUTION

The Missoula City Council has taken no steps to reapportion its wards, although a Butte case dictates that cities must reapportion wards for equitable implementation of the one-man, one vote principle.

Census data for such reapportionment was available that city wards could have been reapportioned prior to the 1971 city elections.

While voter registration is not an absolute corollary, it is an indicator of population variances. From the lists of those voters registered for the September constitutional convention primary election, the Fourth Ward, that ward which encompasses the university area, the dormitories housed by students counted in the Missoula census, and fraternity and sorority housing, has 2,718 registered voters--the most anywhere in Missoula.

In comparison, the Second Ward has only 1,425 registered voters; the First Ward has 1,635, the Third Ward has 1,752, and the Sixth Ward has 2,283 registered voters.

This simply means voters in the Second Ward cast ballots worth nearly twice as much as ballots cast by voters in the Fourth Ward, an example of gross misrepresentation to the detriment of student voters.

Therefore, we, the Central Board of the Associated Students at the University of Montana, do request the Missoula city council to reapportion the various wards within the City of Missoula for equitable representation on the city council, and further request that such action be taken speedily to avoid gross misrepresentation of the various voters around Missoula.

By Tom Mozer

MONTANA PUBLIC INTEREST RESEARCH GROUP

A PROPOSAL

One of the fundamental characteristics of contemporary American society is the corporate-governmental power monopoly. Power to make and to enforce the decisions which determine the shape and direction of this society presently lies in the hands of corporations and government. Much lip service is paid to values held in common by large numbers of citizens, such as clean air and water, preservation of wilderness areas, safe cars and household products, and the elimination of poverty and malnutrition. But, for the most part, there remains a wide gap between rhetoric and reality. These broad public values are too frequently neglected in the process of public policy formation and implementation.

Few are naive enough to believe that public policy is determined in splendid isolation by disinterested representatives of the people. Rather, such policies are the result of competition among claims asserted by various organized interest groups in society. In this competitive process, the only participants are the special interest groups with short-range, more narrowly conceived goals. Since the broader public concerns are not regularly articulated by spokesmen with adequate resources to compete as equals with the well-organized, well-informed, well-financed representatives of special interests, it is inevitable that policy decisions and implementations should reflect this basic inequality. Hence, the average man continues to drive unsafe cars, to eat unhealthy or non-nutritious food, and to see clean air and water grow ever scarcer, since continued pollution is more "economically feasible" in the short run than is abatement.

While the political rhetoric served up to broad values may make the average citizen more aware of the injustices in his society, his inability to acquire the information and tools to remedy those injustices through participation in public policy decision-making heightens his feelings of impotency, frustration and alienation from the institutions of his society. Events in recent years have eloquently testified to the folly of a continued corporate-governmental power monopoly. Violence, born of frustrated attempts by various groups of citizens to recapture the levers of power and reclaim a more truly democratic society, has erupted throughout the nation.

Of all segments in society, it is often the university and college students who are most aware of the gap between political promise and performance, and of the individual's powerlessness to close that gap. Their awareness comes not only from a sensitivity to the obvious injustices visited on the poor, the black and the brown, but also from first-hand experience. Students have been effectively and systematically excluded from participating in decisions which immediately affect their lives, not only at the university or college level, but in the larger society. The student, as an average citizen, has no voice in the decision-taking bodies of corporations or governments. As a member of the public, the student has no mechanism to articulate or effect his legitimate and proper interest in matters affecting the public.

Student attempts to mount an effective program of action for social change have suffered from many of the same problems encountered by other groups of citizens seeking to interject broad public concerns into the decision-making forums. Chief among these is the lack of an effective focus for the representation of their views and interests. Students are a very mobile section of society, whose stay on campus is punctuated by exams, papers, and long summer vacations. For the most part, student activity has occurred in response to crisis situations, has resulted in a great outpouring of energy and emotion in a concentrated time period

and has come to an abrupt halt with the onset of exams or vacations. And disillusionment comes when action which proceeds from deep conviction produces no observable changes, when "business-as-usual" is the only response to student activities.

Clearly, if student views on matters of public policy affecting all the citizens of this State are to be effectively stated and heeded, there must be a focus for the expression of those views. There must be an organization capable of maintaining on-the-scene and continuous advocacy of student views. There must be an organization exploring and working constructively for student concerns which does not cease to function during exams or breaks, or upon the graduation of an activist leader.

MONTPIRG: A POSSIBLE SOLUTION:

A MONTPIRG Public Interest Research Group MONTPIRG is designed to serve as an effective vehicle for voicing and solving the concerns of students and other citizens in the State of Montana in areas of general public interest, such as environmental preservation and consumer protection. MONTPIRG will provide an organizational focus for the representation of broad, long-range values common to large numbers of students in the State. It will provide continuity, so that action begun in the Spring does not die with the advent of Summer vacation. And, finally, it will provide effective tools for social change within the framework of the existing legal system. MONTPIRG offers one possible way to "make a difference".

MONTPIRG will be a non-partisan, non-profit, state-wide organization employing a full-time group of professionals from various disciplines to work on problems affecting the welfare of the general public as identified by the students. The group, funded and directed by students, will work within the existing legal and political system to effect changes in the institutions and practices of that system which will benefit all citizens of the State.

The basic goal of MONTPIRG may be very simply stated: equal representation. The basic premise upon which MONTPIRG is constructed is that the public policy decisions which result from competing claims of interest groups would be a more accurate reflection of true public interests if all parties were adequately represented. Therefore, MONTPIRG will work to insure that broad public concerns and values become a factor of significant weight in the decision-making process, and to see that public interests are able to compete equally with special interests. To achieve this goal of equal representation, MONTPIRG will develop an integrated program of research, education and action.

Organizational Structure

MONTPIRG will be incorporated as a non-profit corporation in the State of Montana. It will be financed by student fees contributed from participating institutions of higher education in the State, and will consist of local chapters on participating campuses, a state-wide Student Advisory Board, and the professional staff including a full-time executive director, and assisted by paid or volunteer student staff.

1. Finances

All institutions of higher education in the State of Montana which adopt the MONTPIRG method of financing shall be considered as participating institutions. They shall be entitled to select one or more representatives to the Advisory Board and to participate in all other MONTPIRG

activities.

MONTPIRG will be supported primarily by assessing regularly enrolled students at participating institutions at the rate of \$1.00 per quarter or \$1.50 per semester, so that the contributions from students at participating schools will be \$3.00 per student per year.

Students shall petition their college or university administrations to act as collecting agents for the MONTPIRG fee, which shall be collected together with other established fees at the time of registration. The additional fee shall be earmarked for and remitted to the MONTPIRG organization.

If a student at a participating institution does not wish to contribute to the support of MONTPIRG activities, he may request and will receive a refund from the MONTPIRG organization during the third week of the term from an established office on campus. This three week period allows newly enrolled students to evaluate carefully MONTPIRG programs and goals, and to decide if they wish to support its activities.

MONTPIRG may accept donations from any citizen or organization in the State of Montana or any other state without obligation.

2. Local Chapters

At each institution of higher education in the State which adopts the financing plan, a local chapter of MONTPIRG shall be formed, composed of all students on that campus who contribute to the support of MONTPIRG. Governing procedures for each local chapter, including procedures for the selection of officers and representative(s) to the Advisory Board, shall be established by that chapter.

The local chapters shall be responsible for maintaining liaison between MONTPIRG and their university or college, and MONTPIRG and the other members of the community in their area. They will keep the students, community and university informed of Advisory Board and professional activities. They will seek ways to utilize the academic resources at their institutions; including students and faculty interested in and willing to research particular areas of public interest. They will publicize opportunities for students on that campus to work with MONTPIRG. The local chapter will provide for regular public hearings in their area to allow students and other citizens to present issues of general public concern, and to discuss MONTPIRG activities. The date and time of such hearings shall be publicized in advance, and the hearings shall be open to all concerned persons.

Each local chapter shall determine procedures for selecting and recalling their representative(s) to the Advisory Board. For 5,000 or fewer contributing students, one representative may be selected to represent that institution on the Advisory Board; for each additional 5,000 contributors, an additional representative may be chosen.

By a vote of 3/4's of the total membership of the local chapter, that chapter may withdraw from the MONTPIRG organization.

3. State Advisory Board

This board shall consist of those persons selected by the local chapters. Every representative to the Advisory Board shall be a regularly enrolled student at the institution he represents and a member of its local chapter.

The board shall serve as the basic policy making body of the MONTPIRG organization. They shall set basic policy guidelines and oversee their implementation by the professional and student staff.

Representatives shall discuss concerns of students at their various institutions as developed at the public hearings held by local chapters. From such discussions at regularly scheduled meetings, the Board, together with the professional staff, shall determine the substantive areas in which MONTPIRG will concentrate its resources. In determining issue priorities, the Board shall evaluate such factors as the amount and quality of research information available; the number of people affected by a particular problem; the kinds of action which MONTPIRG might realistically take in seeking to solve the problem; and the potential effectiveness of such action.

The Board shall receive and control funds collected through the MONTPIRG financing mechanism, provide for an annual independent audit of expenditures, and publish the results thereof. They shall approve the annual MONTPIRG budget prepared and submitted for their consideration by the executive director.

4. Staff

There shall be a core group of professionals from various disciplines such as law, social and physical sciences, engineering, etc., working under the direction of the executive director. They shall be responsible for carrying out the basic policy directives of the Advisory Board. They shall coordinate all research and action projects of MONTPIRG and work closely with students and community people involved in various MONTPIRG programs.

The executive director shall be responsible to the Advisory Board for the overall administration and management of MONTPIRG, and for the coordination of policies and programs. The director shall be selected by the professional staff with the advice and consent of the Advisory Board. He will prepare and submit an annual budget to the Advisory Board. He will also prepare a bi-annual report of MONTPIRG activities for distribution to the Board and participating institutions. He shall be responsible for the hiring and firing of members of the professional staff, and shall serve as primary liaison between the Board members and the professional staff.

Students shall be involved in all phases of MONTPIRG activity, from research to public education to legal action. It is anticipated that much of the student may be accredited through such things as Project MONTPIRG, independent study programs, work-study arrangements. In addition, students may choose to conduct individual or team research projects required as part of a regularly accredited class or seminar in an area of interest to MONTPIRG. This kind of research, when made available to groups with sufficient interest and resources, often forms the basis of a more extensive investigation into and action upon the identified problems. Finally, it is hoped that a summer program of student-initiated and student-conducted research projects will be established as an integral part of MONTPIRG. MONTPIRG would attempt to provide participants in such a summer program with a minimum stipend to

cover living expenses, as well as college credit for their work.

5. Location

MONTPIRG offices shall be located off-campus; MONTPIRG shall make no use of college or university facilities without approval and, where necessary, appropriate reimbursement.

PUBLICATION'S BOARD BY-LAWS

I. Purpose

II. Membership

A. Voting Members

1. Four students shall be appointed by the ASUM President and approved by Central Board. They shall be appointed, each for one-year staggering terms. Two shall be appointed before the end of the third week of February and two before the end of the third week of September. These students shall not be ASUM officers, members of Central Board or hold a position on any student publications while serving on the Publications Board.
2. Four students shall be appointed by a vote of a special committee composed of the editor of the Kaimin, the Editor of The Book, the editor of the Sentinel, and, the editor of the Garret. They shall be appointed, each for one-year staggered terms. The committee shall appoint two before the end of the third week of September. These students shall not be an ASUM officer, a member of Central Board or hold any position on any of the student publications.
 - a. A Kaimin editor, Book editor, Sentinel editor, and a Garret editor shall neither run for nor serve on the Publications Board for at least one quarter after his editorship has expired.
 - b. Staff members who have been on the payroll of any of the student publications as regular staff members, shall terminate their duties at least one quarter prior to the running for or serving on the Publications Board.
3. One member shall be appointed by the President of the University of Montana and shall be appointed before the end of the third week of September. He shall serve a one-year term. He shall be chosen by the President from the Information Services.

B. Non-Voting Members

1. The President, vice-president, business manager of ASUM.
2. The editors of the four publications.
3. Outside journalist (appointed by the commission).
4. The four advisors of the Publications to advise only on their own specific publications.

The non-voting members shall be members of Publications Board and shall have the same responsibilities as voting members with the exception of the vote. They shall advise and enter discussion.

C. The Chairman of the Publications Board shall be appointed for a one-year term before the end of the third week in September by the ASUM President with approval of 2/3rds of Central Board. He must have been a member of Publications Board at least one quarter prior to appointment. He shall have the power to break ties and make recommendations, appoint special committees and enter in all discussions. The chairman shall not be an ASUM officer, a member of Central Board or hold any position on any of the student publications.

D. Veto Powers

1. The ASUM President may veto any appointment of the editorial committee.
2. The special editorial committee may veto any presidential appointment not to include the chairman.
3. The ASUM President with the approval of 3/4 of the special editorial committee shall have the power to veto the University of Montana presidential appointment.

III. Publications Board's Powers

- A. The Board shall appoint the editors of the four publications as well as one senior editor of the Kaimin and shall approve the remaining staff upon recommendation of the editor of the respective publication. A majority vote of the Publication's Board vote is final and not subject to the review of Central Board.
- B. The Publications Board shall determine all matters concerning policy and functioning of the student publications. All decisions reached by Publications Board shall be final.
- C. The Publications Board shall determine the Bi-Laws for the Publications, unless overturned by a unanimous vote of Central Board

Passed May 26, 1971 by Central Board

Several days ago Bob Sorenson, ASUM Business Manager, contacted me on the following matter. Mr. Sorenson presented a telephone bill to me that totaled \$99.90 plus \$9.09 federal tax. He wanted to know how the KAIMIN could have such a large telephone bill for the summer months. I became anxious and with his assistance an investigation was begun. It was noted that the same numbers were consistently appearing on the sheets. The calls were from Jack Cloherty, former Kaimin editor, presently Central Board delegate to the residence of Marge Benton. No such person could be found. Further investigation showed the parties' phone was under the name of Henry Barta, farther of Peggy Barta who is known to be a close friend of Jack Cloherty. The calls were charged to the Montana Kaimin's account at the time when Jack Cloherty was not connected with the Kaimin as editor but rather at a time when he was a delegate to Central Board.

You have in your possession copies of those numbers and bills. At this time no attempt has been made by Mr. Cloherty to verify this situation, which is a blatant base of misconduct and a misdeed to the trust of Publications Board, Central Board, and most importantly to the students. The following quote is from an editorial by Jack Cloherty when he was the editor of the Montana Kaimin. Originally it referred to Del Brown but appears to fit the present situation. "In order to have some real power and be respected by all manipulators, it has become apparant that we will have to sue the " gentlemen. Note, I dropped his word "bastard" for social reasons. Another quote taken from another editorial which appeared in the March 2, 1971 edition reads as follows: "Political and Social creativity is a necessity to the formation of this new America". "We must give it, for the now-america's cancerous immorality is rotting the world, and it is up to us to give the world a new america". These quotes are being used to display the two faces of Jack Cloherty. In another editorial which appeared January 29 concerning the University of Montana Foundation ownership of 425 shares of Union Carbide he said: "You could make a bad joke about our boys caught with their hands in the cookie jar, but if you read Ralph Nader's letter you might take the issue of our holdings more seriously". He went on to say sarcastically, it is hoped, "We're just a red-blood American institution, grabbing all the money we can from environmental destruction andcsenseless was in the name of needy students. Greed is our fuel and money is our God."

It was not and is not hard to agree with this type of thought; it is difficult to believe the author meant them. I hope Mr. Cloherty has the right answers for his wayside activity.

If Mr. Cloherty is unable to give a full account of his actions and justify said actions, I propose the following motion be made.

Whereas Jack Cloherty is a duly elected representative of ASUM and

Whereas this position is to be an honored position and

Whereas Jack Cloherty has acted in a manner unbecoming a duly elected representative and

Whereas this activity brings dishonor to the entire University and

Whereas Mr. Cloherty has not made any attempt to rectify his wrong to

the best of our knowledge and even if such attempt has or will be made,
it is moved that [the Central Board of the Associated Students of the
University of Montana ask for and receive a resignation from said person.]

Bryan Thornton
Publications Board Commissioner

*Bruce
Swenson*

904-5

LONG DISTANCE CALLS OR TELEGRAMS

(P)

DATE	FROM	TO	AREA	TELEPHONE NO.	AMOUNT	BILLING NUMBER
	CALLS FROM	169-6437				243-0211 492
813	CHCAGO ILLTULSA	OKLA	918	5843411	135	9-16 PAGE 147
813	CHCAGO ILLTULSA	OKLA	918	7430558	245	
814	CHCAGO ILLTULSA	OKLA	918	7430558	105	
814	CHCAGO ILLTULSA	OKLA	918	5875369	185	
815	CHCAGO ILLTULSA	OKLA	918	7430058	185	
818	MSLA MONLEWISTOWN	MONT	406	5388397	180	
820	MSLA MONLEWISTOWN	MONT	406	5388397	390	
826	CHCAGO ILLTULSA	OKLA	918	5875369	855	
828	CHCAGO ILLTULSA	OKLA	918	7430558	305	
9 8	MSLA MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	5281000	580	
	SUB	TOTAL			3165	

D - DIALED CALL
C - COLLECT CALL

904-5

LONG DISTANCE CALLS OR TELEGRAMS

(P)

DATE	FROM	TO	AREA	TELEPHONE NO.	AMOUNT	BILLING NUMBER
	CALLS FROM	169-6437				243-0211 492
618	LWTWN MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	2822141	225	7-16 PAGE 151
620	LWTWN MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	2822141	1220	
627	CHCAGO ILLLEWISTOWN	MONT	406	5388397	1480	
7 1	LWTWN MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	2822141	820	
7 5	LWTWN MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	2822141	1140	
7 8	LWTWN MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	2822141	615	
7 9	LWTWN MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	2822141	960	
711	CHCAGO ILLLEWISTOWN	MONT	406	5388397	120	
712	CHCAGO ILLLEWISTOWN	MONT	406	5388397	1540	
713	LWTWN MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	2822141	505	
	SUB	TOTAL			8625	

D - DIALED CALL
C - COLLECT CALL*return*

904-5

LONG DISTANCE CALLS OR TELEGRAMS

(P)

DATE	FROM	TO	AREA	TELEPHONE NO.	AMOUNT	BILLING NUMBER
	CALLS FROM	169-6437				243-0211 492
716	LWTWN MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	2822141	155	8-16 PAGE 152
722	LWTWN MONCHICAGO	ILL	312	3379700	565	
810	CHCAGO ILLTULSA	OKLA	918	5875369	525	
	SUB	TOTAL			1245	

D - DIALED CALL
C - COLLECT CALL

MEN'S VARSITY BOWLING TEAM
UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA
MISSOULA, MONTANA 59301

TO: ASUM

October 5, 1971

SUBJECT: U of M Men's Varsity Bowling Team Budget Request

1. The following request for funds are to cover the expense incurred by the men's bowling team for matches away from home within the Montana Intercollegiate Bowling Conference.

<u>MATCH</u>	<u>TRAVEL</u>	<u>ENTRY FEES</u>	<u>FOOD & LODGING</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Jan. 28, 1972 Montana State at Bozeman				
Jan. 29, 1972 Western Montana at Dillon	\$ 80.00	—	\$105.00	\$185.00
Feb. 4, 1972 Coll. of Ft. Falls at Great Falls				
Feb. 5, 1972 Northern Montana at Havre	\$ 95.00	—	\$105.00	\$200.00
Mar. 11, 1972 Flathead Com. Coll. at Whitefish	\$ 45.00	—	\$ 35.00	\$ 80.00
Apr. 20, 21, 22, 1972 State Association Tournament at Great Falls	\$ 50.00	\$ 40.00	\$225.00	\$315.00
Annual Association Dues	_____	\$ 60.00	_____	\$ 60.00
	\$270.00	\$100.00	\$470.00	\$840.00

2. The Montana Intercollegiate Bowling Conference was formed three years ago and has developed into a thriving competitive sport for the participants. At the University of Montana we carry an eighteen man roster and beginning this quarter we had thirty-nine people try out for the team.

3. In addition to the above listed matches we also meet each of the above teams at home, making a total of ten matches plus the annual state tournament.

4. The above request is based on eight cents per mile for two vehicles as we travel with six participants plus a coach. Food and lodging is figured at \$10.00 per day on over night stops and at \$5.00 per day when lodging is not required.

Jack B. Miller
Faculty Advisor

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA

October 5, 1971

FROM: Grizzly Rodeo Club, Tom Collins, President

TO: Bob Sorenson, ASUM Business Manager

Enclosed is a budget of travel presented to you from the Grizzly Rodeo Club. As you are well aware, it takes money to operate a club, and these are our expected costs brought to a minimum.

We have allotted \$.05 per mile per vehicle to each rodeo. Three vehicles will be required to transport both contestants and stock. Also a \$5.00 per day per person allotment for individuals traveling. There are six boys on the men's team, three girls on the women's team, and one advisor for each trip. There is a total of ten rodeos scheduled, nine on the road.

Our budget is as close as we can come to an estimate. A few dates may be changed later on, but each school listed will have to be visited for competition.

The Rodeo Club was intended, the same as other clubs at this University, to offer to the students extra curricular activities, a social beneficiary, and different fields of interest to be pursued. To take away a club or interest would not be a move to the students betterment. These are our reasons, along with others, for asking assistance. Please consider and accept our budget.

Thank you,

Grizzly Rodeo Club

Enclosure

DATE	COLLEGE-attending	TRAVEL	INDIVIDUALS		MILES	DAYS	TOTAL
			PER	DIEM			
Oct. 1-3	Cheney, Wash.	\$ 66.00	\$135.00		460	3	\$201.00
Mar 31- Apr 2	Central Oregon C.C.	174.00	200.00		1160	4	374.00
Apr 7-9	Yakima Valley	131.10	200.00		874	4	331.10
Apr 14-16	Montana State U.	60.00	150.00		400	3	210.00
Apr 21-23	University of MT					3	
Apr 28- May 1	Lewis & Clark	67.50	150.00		440	3	217.50
May 5-7	Wash. State U.	91.50	150.00		610	3	241.50
May 12-14	Eastern MT Col.	93.30	150.00		622	3	243.30
May 20-21	Northern MT Col.	84.60	100.00		564	2	184.60
May 26-28	Western MT Col.	50.70	150.00		338	3	200.70
							<u>\$2203.70</u>

