

University of Montana

ScholarWorks at University of Montana

ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007

ASUM Student Government

11-17-1971

Documents from the November 17, 1971 meeting of the Associated Students of the University of Montana (ASUM)

University of Montana--Missoula. Associated Students

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.umt.edu/asum>

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

University of Montana--Missoula. Associated Students, "Documents from the November 17, 1971 meeting of the Associated Students of the University of Montana (ASUM)" (1971). *ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007*. 846.

<https://scholarworks.umt.edu/asum/846>

This is brought to you for free and open access by the ASUM Student Government at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in ASUM Senate Documents, 1919-2007 by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

The meeting was called to order by President John Christensen at 7:00 p.m. in the Montana Rooms of the University Center.

REPORTS OF OFFICERS AND STANDING COMMITTEES

Business Manager's Report: Business Manager Bob Sorenson submitted his financial report for the year 1971-72:

Total Anticipated Income For The Year	\$176,000.00
Specific Commitments From Spring Budget	166,405.00
Net Free Funds for 1971-72	\$ 9,595.00

LESS: Special Allocations

Women's Liberation	\$ 220.00
MSPA	125.00
Community Service	454.00
Legal Service	5000.00
Library	577.00
Bowling Team	520.00

Sub Total	6,896.00
	\$2,699.00

Investments:

U.S. Treasury Bills (earning 6.8%)	\$5022.00
------------------------------------	-----------

Support of Committees: President John Christensen expressed his disappointment in committee accomplishments to date and the insufficient number of meetings being held.

OLD BUSINESS:

Constitutional Convention: President John Christensen believes a full-time lobbyist should be appointed to lobby at the Constitutional Convention. He said that Eastern Montana College and Montana State University plan to contribute \$2,000 each and that Central Board of University of Montana will allocate \$1,500 for a lobbyist. Smaller schools are expected to contribute \$500. John Hansen, MSPA President reported on the importance of having a lobbyist at the Constitutional Convention to represent the views of students. Hansen reminded the CB members that the time has come to get research groups organized to study constitutional law so there is some basis on which to lobby. President John Christensen appointed a committee to study the proposal for a lobbyist. They are Pat Flaharty, chairman, Randy Ridgeway, Blake Johnson, Tom Cannon and Christine Anderson.

Congressman Shoup's Advisory Committee: Tom Cannon reported on the results of his committee's selection for Shoup's Advisory Committee. Chosen were Bob Savage, chairman, Bill Kelly, Dan McIntyre, Shaun Thompson and Tina Dietsch. President John Christensen and this newly elected group will meet with Congressman Shoup sometime during November 19 and 20 when Shoup plans to be in Missoula.

Typewriter for Intramural: MURPHY MOVED THAT \$240 BE ALLOCATED TO TOM WHIDDON, DIRECTOR OF INTRAMURAL FOR THE PURCHASE OF A TYPEWRITER WITH THE STIPULATION THAT THEY REPAY IT OUT OF THE \$2.00 FEE CHARGED TO NON-ACTIVITY FEE PAYING STUDENTS, FACULTY AND STAFF PARTICIPATING IN INTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES. THE MOTION DIED FOR LACK OF SECOND. SORENSON MADE A FRIENDLY MOTION TO ALLOW INTRAMURAL TO SPEND \$206.70 OF THEIR OWN MONEY TO PURCHASE A TYPEWRITER WITH THE STIPULATION THAT

THIS MONEY BE RETURNED TO THEIR OWN BUDGET OUT OF THE \$2.00 FEE COLLECTED FROM NON-FEE PAYING STUDENTS, FACULTY AND STAFF. MOZER SECONDED AND MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

Montana Public Interest Research Group: Representatives of MONTPIRG submitted their budget proposal for approval by CB. "This organization is in the initial stages of organization in Montana. Students of higher education in Montana will finance and direct MONTPIRG. The organization will be designed to research and advocate issues effecting Montana consumers and the natural environment of this state. University of Montana students have been active in initiating state coordination toward the establishment of MONTPIRG. Representatives from each of the schools of higher education in Montana will meet in Helena on November 20, 1971 to determine the structure and function of MONTPIRG. The initial efforts by University of Montana representatives to establish MONTPIRG, for the period November 20, 1971 to May 20, 1972 (6 months), will necessitate the following expenditures for immediate benefit to University of Montana students: Office expenses \$180, travel \$208, publicity \$20, total cost for six months \$408.00." The above is quoted from a memorandum submitted to CB by representatives of MONTPIRG. MOZER MOVED THAT CENTRAL BOARD ALLOCATE \$408.00 TO MONTPIRG. CANNON SECONDED. Discussion followed. QUESTION CALLED BY SERVHEEN. MOTION TO ALLOCATE \$408.00 TO MONTPIRG CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

Emergency Conference for New Voters: President John Christensen asked for approval of his intention to fly to Chicago to attend an emergency meeting of Student Body Presidents December 3-5. The purpose of this meeting is to form a youth caucus which in coordination with the already formed black and women's caucuses will coordinate national efforts to select enough delegates to the nominating convention to see that a candidate acceptable to the young and poor of America is nominated. Christensen said that the cost of the trip for 3 days including plane fare would be around \$200. Cloherty said he could possibly take Christensen to Chicago free of charge as he was driving there. SORENSON MOVED THAT CB ALLOCATE \$200 TO CHRISTENSEN TO COVER EXPENSES ON HIS TRIP TO AND FROM CHICAGO PROVIDING CLOHERTY DOES NOT DRIVE HIM FREE OF CHARGE. SERVHEEN SECONDED AND MOTION CARRIED WITH MOST IN FAVOR.

Missoula Reapportionment Plan: Roger Hawthorne introduced his reapportionment plan for Missoula based on population only. It reduces the present six wards in Missoula to four wards, the only way less than one percent population deviation can be attained. Hawthorne said that continuing dilution of the voting power of various citizens in Missoula be ended and the harm inflicted against legitimate interests of a majority of the voters may cease, it is hereby resolved by Central Board of the Associated Students of the University of Montana: That the accompanying four ward reapportionment plan meets the legal requirements of the U.S. Supreme Court's "one man, one vote" dictum. That no other reapportionment plan for Missoula can attain greater population balance. That the current 75.5 percent population deviation between the least and most populous Missoula city wards is grossly unconstitutional and deprives numerous citizens, not least among them the student citizens in Precinct 14 and elsewhere, of equal protection of the law and denies them effective representation. That the City Council and Mayor of the City of Missoula are requested to give their consideration to the accompanying four ward reapportionment plan. And that the City Council and Mayor of the City of Missoula are requested to enact reapportionment of the city wards at the earliest possible moment, but in no event later than prior to the next scheduled city elections. Central Board is hereby petitioned to ascertain whether effective reapportionment has been attained, and if it has not, that the future CB entertain

(more)

the possibility of filing suit to compel reapportionment through the courts prior to the 1973 city elections, or in the breach, to compel at-large elections for all aldermen until such time as the City of Missoula does effectuate reapportionment upon the one-man, one-vote principle. SORENSON MOVED THAT CB APPROVE AND ACCEPT HAWTHORNE'S REAPPORTIONMENT PLAN. MOZER SECONDED. Discussion followed. QUESTION WAS CALLED BY SERVHEEN. MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.


Off-Campus Housing: Tom Mozer introduced the budget request from the off-campus housing committee. In order to mail out a set of tenant's rights and two questionnaires to all students living off-campus, a total of \$500 is requested from CB. This cost also includes printing of materials, business reply letters and return postage. An additional \$300 is requested for bus trips to nearby cities to show interested individuals (i.e. City Councilmen) how housing authorities work. BERVEN MOVED THAT \$211 BE ALLOCATED TO THE OFF-CAMPUS HOUSING COMMITTEE FOR MAILING EXPENSES. MOTION DIED FOR LACK OF SECOND. Discussion followed. Advice was requested from Dr. Wicks, who said that this was the most sound proposal sent to CB in a long time. He thought that in order to get valid results from questionnaires they should be mailed out since people were inclined to be more free with their feelings than if confronted face to face. Vice President Beck said that this project was worthwhile because an effort has never before been made to study housing in Missoula, and that City Council was not aware of the situation. It was decided that the letter would be compiled and given to Clerical Service for printing and ASUM secretaries will stuff the envelopes. GRANDE MOVED THAT CB ALLOCATE \$500 TO OFF-CAMPUS HOUSING COMMITTEE TO COVER MAILING EXPENSES. BECK SECONDED. Sorenson suggested that CB allocate \$400 to Off-Campus Housing with additional expenses not exceeding \$100 to be absorbed by Business Office expense budget. QUESTION CALLED. GRANDE MADE A SUBSTITUTE MOTION TO ALLOCATE \$400 TO OFF-CAMPUS HOUSING COMMITTEE WITH ADDITIONAL EXPENSES NOT EXCEEDING \$100 TO BE ABSORBED BY THE BUSINESS OFFICE EXPENSE BUDGET. SORENSON SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED WITH MOST IN FAVOR. BERVEN CALLED DIVISION OF THE HOUSE. ROLL CALL VOTE WAS TAKEN AND MOTION CARRIED 17 TO 5. BERVEN MOVED ZERO DOLLARS BE ALLOCATED TO OFF-CAMPUS HOUSING COMMITTEE FOR BUS TRIPS. SERVHEEN SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

24-Hour Visitation: Murphy reported on results of a vote taken by the dorms on 24-hour visitation. Majority votes are in favor of 24-hour visitation, but students feel something should be done to protect the minority. A committee has been set up to look into each individual dorm to determine what options the minority want, if any, and to solve the problems so as to implement 24-hour visitation. Murphy appointed Steve Sorenson, chairman, Thomas, Welsh, Knudsen, McCarney for this committee.

Vending machines on campus: Murphy reported that Western Montana Vending Company now employed by U of M should not be allowed on campus. Food has been left in machines too long and a new vendor must be chosen within the month. MURPHY MOVED THAT 3 OR 4 MEMBERS OF CB SET UP A MEETING WITH VEHRS OF THE FOOD SERVICE TO SELECT A NEW VENDING COMPANY. SERVHEEN SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED WITH ALL IN FAVOR.

Meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:


Ruby Biendich
ASUM Secretary

ATTENDANCE: ANDERSON, BECK, BERVEN,
CANNON, CLOHERTY, EHRLICH, GILBERT,
GRANDE, JOHNSON, MOZER, MURPHY, SCHUSTER,
SERVHEEN, SWENSON, SORENSON, SMITH, VICK
DANA, RIDGEWAY, FLAHERTY, MAHONEY, OWENS
Full House!

BUSINESS MANAGER'S REPORT

November 17, 1971

Total Anticipated Income for the Year - - - - - \$176,000.00

Specific Commitments from Spring Budget - - - - 166,405.00

Net Free Funds for 1970-71 - - - - \$ 9,595.00

LESS: Special Allocations

Women's Liberation	- - - - -	\$ 220.00
MSPA	- - - - -	125.00
Community Service	- - - - -	454.00
Legal Service	- - - - -	5,000.00
Library	- - - - -	577.00
MONTPIRG	- - - - -	408.00
Emergency Conference	- - - - -	200.00
Off-Campus Housing Committee	- -	400.00
Lobbyist	- - - - -	1,500.00
Bowling Team	- - - - -	540.00

Sub Total	- - - - -	<u>\$9,424.00</u>
		\$ 171.00

Investments:

U.S. Treasury Bills (earning 6.8%)	
	\$5,022.00

The meeting was called to order by John Murphy, commissioner, at 7:35 p.m. in the Conference Room.

The minutes were approved as corrected: Under the discussion of RA selection, instead of Tom Hayes saying each dorm would have a representative, he said that communication would be larger if there were students from the dorms giving their opinions.

Present at this meeting were Ron Brunell and Carol DeGeorge, Head Residents, and Tom Hayes, Director of Residence Halls, to discuss the problems of the Residence Handbooks and 24-hours visitation with the board.

Murphy read a letter of resignation from Bruce Nelson. Nelson said he was resigning due to lack of interest and time. THOMAS MOVED TO ACCEPT NELSON'S RESIGNATION WITH ALL APPROVING. Murphy also said that Rich Ratigan and Ed Joliecur would not be able to serve on the commission and opened to discussion of replacing these people with hall presidents. Sorensen thought this was a good idea, and the hall presidents at the meeting had no objection. Present at this meeting were Kathy McCarney, Matt Tennis, Cathie Derzay, and Frank Welsh.

Residence Handbooks--Murphy referred to the motion Gary South made at the November 1 meeting whereby he moved that this board completely forget about the Residence Handbook. Hayes said the Dean insists on having everything written down because the courts said the only way to hold people accountable is to have them written down. Thomas said she could understand this, but felt there were a lot of unnecessary things in the handbook, like traffic regulations and food service information, and the residence hall philosophies. Hayes said the traffic regulations and food service were in the handbook because the Dean insisted they be there, but that he totally disagreed with her sentiment about the residence halls philosophy--he said that it had meaning for him, his staff, and students. Hayes said this handbook was essentially the same as it was three years ago. He said there is no structured residence halls government, and they wanted to solve it with a legislative, judicial, and executive system. Last year there were elections on a judicial council, but it was voted down. The apathy among residence halls to structure a government forced the administration to do it themselves. South said the main question was whether students were reading and understanding the book, not just of rewriting, diluting, or amending it. He felt the expense of the book was not proportionate to its value. Hayes replied this was the only attempt to educate the students and that it was simply available to people for their information. Murphy apologized to Mr. Hayes for the lack of valid criticism from the board. He said when the hall presidents did get on the council, they would sit down and make some constructive criticism. Hayes said the Dean insisted that there can't be enforcement of any rule or regulation not written down. Brunell added that this book was more of a resource book for students. Murphy ended discussion because it was not resolving anything, and asked the hall presidents that were present, Gary South, and Deedie Thomas to rewrite the handbook and bring it to the next meeting for debate.

The results from the dorms on 24-hour visitation were as follows:

Elrod-Duniway:	Craig:	Miller:
246 yes	262 for	255 yes w/modifications
32 no	34 no	35 no
278 total votes	296 total votes	290 total votes

Knowles:

Freshmen

26 yes
34 on weekends only
15 no change
75 total vote

Upperclass

47 yes
19 weekends only
31 no change
97 total vote

Unmarked

2 yes
7 weekends only
3 no change
10 total vote

Jesse Hall voted no on 24-hour visitation.

Welsh explained the Miller Hall modifications. He said a dorm council was held and they felt the only way to appease the rights of the minority was that each wing modify its hours based on the 24-hour system. If one wing doesn't want 24-hours, the wings should get together and compromise. Murphy asked for a response from Tom Hayes as to whether it was possible for dorms to receive 24-hours by winter quarter or sooner. Hayes replied that a questionnaire was sent to residents last spring soliciting from them individual responses to living conditions, including insitutional. It was understood last spring the only residence hall to have 24-hours was Aber, but the problem was that people's attitudes change from year to year. Murphy said he shouldn't put coeducation and 24-hours in the same sentence. Sorensen said this board is dealing with the question of what has to be done and why it can't be done for the dorms. He said we can't look at minority rule. The majority should realize what the minority feels, but the minority doesn't have the right to govern. Carol DeGeorge said the question was not whether 24-hours was good or bad, but the question was that the option was given to the students. South said the students have different preferences now and asked what the holdup was. Hayes said he was concerned with what to do to protect the request of a small minority. SORENSEN MOVED TO SET UP A COMMITTEE TO LOOK INTO EACH INDIVIDUAL DORM TO DETERMINE WHAT OPTIONS THE MINORITY WANT, IF ANY, AND TO SOLVE THE LOGISTICAL PROBLEMS SO AS TO IMPLEMENT 24-HOURS. MOZER SECONDED. QUESTION WAS CALLED. Murphy assigned Thomas, Welsh, Knudsen, Kathy McCartney to the committee and Steve Sorensen as chairman.

Murphy said there would be specifics on the pub at the next meeting.

Murphy also reported that the parking meters were permanent.

John said the vending machines were a very serious problem because John Doyle, owner of Western Vending, wants his contract back. FOOR MOVED THAT STUDENT FACILITIES TURN OUT A LETTER TO THE KAIMIN IN REGARD TO MR. DOYLE'S HEART-RENDING PLEA. EVERYONE APPROVED.

Murphy informed the commission that he will be working with the Constitutional Convention next quarter, and recommended that Gary South take over as chairman. Final appointment will be made by Christensen and approval of Central Board.

SORENSEN MOVED THAT THE MEETING ADJOURN AND FOOR SECONDED. The meeting was adjourned at 9:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Bessie Wong

Bessie Wong
ASUM Secretary

ATTENDANCE: MURPHY, THOMAS, FOOR, SORENSEN, SOUTH, MOZER, KNUDSEN, LOCKE.

TO: ASUM CENTRAL BOARD MEETING NOVEMBER 17, 1971

FROM: MONTANA PUBLIC INTEREST RESEARCH GROUP

RE: Budget Proposal

Montana Public Interest Research Group (MONTPIRG) is in the initial stages of organization in Montana. Students of higher education in Montana (i.e. universities, private and community colleges) will finance and direct MONTPIRG. The organization will be designed to research and advocate issues effecting Montana consumers and the natural environment of this state.

University of Montana students have been active in initiating state coordination toward the establishment of MONTPIRG. Representatives from each of the schools of higher education in Montana will meet in Helena on November 20, 1971 to determine the structure and function of MONTPIRG. The initial efforts by University of Montana representatives to establish MONTPIRG, for the period November 20, 1971 to May 20, 1972 (6 months), will necessitate the following expenditures for immediate benefit to University of Montana students*:

1) Office Expenses

Paper, postage, and clerical.	\$ 60.00
Xeroxing and mimeographing	100.00
Phone (out-of-state calls)	20.00

2) Travel

Helena (3 trips = 600 X 8¢ + \$15)	63.00
Bozeman (2 trips = 800 X 8¢ + \$10)	74.00
Billings (1 trip = 700 X 8¢ + \$15)	71.00

3) Publicity on University of Montana Campus

Posters and materials	20.00
---------------------------------	-------

TOTAL COST FOR SIX MONTHS	<u>\$408.00</u>
-------------------------------------	-----------------

* The other student body representatives will be financed by their respective student body governments.

INTRODUCTION TO MISSOULA REAPPORTIONMENT PLAN

The last time Missoula reapportioned its city wards was sometime during the 1930's. Although there was some talk about reapportioning before the 1971 city elections, no reapportionment action was taken. Since then, a committee has been appointed to study reapportionment of the Missoula wards.

The present apportionment works a clear detriment to student interests in Missoula. Our ward, the 4th Ward, has over 6600 people; the 1st Ward has only 2900. A vote in the 1st Ward counts 2.35 times as much in city elections as does a vote in the 4th Ward.

That apportionment is required is clear. State law makes it clear. A 1965 federal district court case involving Butte makes it clear. The U. S. Supreme Court ruled in 1968 that local governments must reapportion.

The only basis for reapportionment is population. The courts around the nation have been stringent in the requirements. Chicago was held to less than one percent population deviation. Baltimore's attempt at 1.37 percent deviation was ruled unconstitutional. A Los Angeles ordinance which would have allowed up to 10 percent deviation was overthrown by the courts.

No consideration other than population is merited. The number of wards may be fluctuated to accomplish population balance. The obstruction of natural items such as rivers may not be considered.

Consequently the attached reapportionment plan for Missoula is based only on population. It reduces the present six wards in Missoula to four wards, the only way less than one percent population deviation can be attained. An effort was made to draw a six ward plan for reapportionment; the consequence was nearly nine percent population deviation.

That continuing dilution of the voting power of various citizens in Missoula shall be ended and the harm inflicted against legitimate interests of a majority of the voters may cease, it is hereby resolved by the Central Board of the Associated Students of the University of Montana:

--That the accompanying four ward reapportionment plan meets the legal requirements of the U. S. Supreme Court's "one man, one vote" dictum.

--That no other reapportionment plan for Missoula can attain greater population balance.

--That the current 75.5 percent population deviation between the least and most populous Missoula city wards is grossly unconstitutional and deprives numerous citizens, not least among them the student citizens in Precinct 14 and elsewhere, of equal protection of the law and denies them effective representation.

--That the City Council and Mayor of the City of Missoula are requested to give their consideration to the accompanying four ward reapportionment plan.

--And that the City Council and Mayor of the City of Missoula are requested to enact reapportionment of the city wards at the earliest possible moment, but in no event later than prior to the next scheduled city elections.

Introduction to Missoula Reapportionment Plan (Continued)

It is further resolved by Central Board of the Associated Students of the University of Montana that a copy of this resolution and the four ward reapportionment plan be placed on file in ASUM offices for reference of the Central Board which shall hold office in January, 1973, and that said future Central Board is hereby petitioned to ascertain whether effective reapportionment has been attained, and if it has not, that the future Central Board entertain the possibility of filing suit to compel reapportionment through the courts prior to the 1973 city elections, or in the breech, to compel at-large elections for all aldermen until such time as the City of Missoula does effectuate reapportionment upon the one-man, one-vote principle.

REAPPORTIONING MISSOULA CITY WARDS

Norm 4917

Present Structure

<u>WARD</u>	<u>POPULATION</u>	<u>+ FROM NORM</u>
1	2928	-1989
2	4858	- 59
3	3808	-1109
4	6653	+1736
5	5405	+ 488
6	5845	+ 928
	<u>29497</u>	

TOTAL DEVIATION FROM SMALLEST TO LARGEST: 3,725

Proposed Structure

Norm 7374

<u>NEW WARDS</u>	<u>NEW POPULATION</u>	<u>+ FROM NORM</u>
1 - North	7394	+20
2 - East	7343	-31
3 - West	7411	+37
4 - South	7349	-25
	<u>29497</u>	

PROPOSED DEVIATION FROM SMALLEST TO LARGEST: 68

% Deviation From Norm

<u>WARD</u>	<u>PRESENT</u>	<u>PROPOSED</u>
1	-40.4%	+.27%
2	- 1.2%	-.42%
3	-22.5%	+.50%
4	+35.3%	-.34%
5	+ 9.9%	
6	+18.8%	
Gross Deviation	<u>75.7%</u>	<u>.92%</u>

*ED REPRESENTS "ENUMERATOR DISTRICT"

EXISTING 1ST WARD

Precinct 1
ED 13 - 891
ED 14 - 372
ED 28 - 206

Precinct 2
ED 31 - 193
ED 32 - 564

Precinct 13
ED 29 - 503

Precinct 3
ED 30 - 199

TOTAL 2928

EXISTING 2ND WARD

Precinct 4
ED 19 - 513

Precinct 55
ED 16 - 1155
ED 17 - 75

Precinct 7 and 15
ED 18 A - 1616
ED 18 B - 749
20 - 91

Precinct 6
ED 33 - 492
ED 34 - 167

TOTAL 4858

EXISTING 3RD WARD

Precinct 10
ED 23 - 615

Precinct 16
ED 26 - 272
ED 24 - 820

Precinct 33
ED 42 - 1027

Precinct 8
ED 37 - 1045
ED 35 - 29

TOTAL: 3808

EXISTING 4TH WARD

Precinct 14 & 39
ED 36 - 1926
ED 38 - 1501

Precinct 39 & 40
ED 40 - 603
ED 39 - 945
ED 41 - 351

Precinct 42
ED 55 B - 724
ED 55 A - 542
ED 56 - 61

TOTAL 6653

EXISTING 5TH WARD

Precinct 9
ED 43 - 1040

Precinct 34, 46 and 41
ED 49 - 742
ED 48 - 1264

Precinct 46 and 18
ED 50 - 725
ED 51 A - 515

Precinct 18
ED 51 B - 1119

TOTAL 5405

EXISTING 6TH WARD

Precinct 11
ED 44 - 829
ED 45 - 636

Precinct 24
ED 27 - 726
ED 21 A - 1045

Precinct 12
ED 22 - 38
ED 21B - 729
ED 46 - 739

Precinct 30
ED 47 - 764
ED 52 - 96
ED 53 - 17
ED 62 D - 226

TOTAL 5845

PROPOSED WARDS

NORTH WARD:

ED 13 - 891
ED 14 - 372
ED 32 - 564
ED 29 - 503
ED 19 - 513
ED 16 - 1155
ED 17 - 75
ED 18A - 1616
ED 18B - 749
ED 20 - 91
ED 33 - 492
ED 34 - 167
ED 28 - 206

TOTAL: 7394

WEST WARD:

ED 23 - 615
ED 26 - 272
ED 27 - 726
ED 21A - 1045
ED 22 - 38
ED 21B - 729
ED 46 - 739
ED 45 - 636
ED 44 - 829
ED 43 - 1040
ED 49 - 742

TOTAL: 7411

EAST WARD:

ED 31 - 193
ED 30 - 199
ED 37 - 1045
ED 34 - 29
ED 36 - 1926
ED 38 - 1501
ED 40 - 603
ED 24 - 820
ED 42 - 1027

TOTAL: 7343

SOUTH WARD:

ED 41 - 351
ED 39 - 945
ED 55B - 724
ED 55A - 542
ED 56 - 61
ED 47 - 764
ED 48 - 1264
ED 50 - 725
ED 51A - 515
ED 51B - 1119
ED 52 - 96
ED 53 - 17
ED 62D - 226

TOTAL: 7349

BUDGET REQUEST FROM THE OFF-CAMPUS HOUSING COMMITTEE

IN ORDER TO MAIL OUT A SET OF TENANT'S RIGHTS AND TWO QUESTIONNAIRES TO ALL STUDENTS LIVING OFF-CAMPUS, THE FOLLOWING BUDGET IS REQUESTED:

(1)	Mailing of Letters (5000)	-----	\$ 100.00
(2)	Printing of Materials	-----	160.00
(3)	Business Reply Letters	-----	50.00
(4)	ASUM Letters	-----	30.00
(5)	Estimated Cost to Pay Return Letters--	-----	160.00
	TOTAL BUDGET	-----	\$500.00

ADDITIONAL \$300.00 S REQUESTED FOR THE FUNDING OF BUS TRIPS TO NEARBY CITIES TO SHOW INTERESTED INDIVIDUALS (i.e. City Councilmen) HOW HOUSING AUTHORITIES WORK.

TOTAL REQUEST ----- \$800.00

INTRODUCED BY: TOM MOZER
November 17, 1971

Procedural Guide for Faculty Self-Regulation Pursuant to Faculty Senate Charge to the Faculty Ethics Committee:

A grievance against any University of Montana faculty member may be raised by formal complaint submitted to the Faculty Ethics Committee. The complaint shall name the faculty member complained against; specify the commission or omission alleged; and shall include the name or names of complainants, the name or names of any witnesses, and may include copies of any documents relevant to the complaint.

The Faculty Ethics Committee shall determine if the complaint is of sufficient importance to call a hearing. If not, the Faculty Ethics Committee shall dismiss the complaint and inform the complainant of its determination in a written explanation and may inform the complainant of the reasons for dismissing the complaint without a hearing.

If the Faculty Ethics Committee decides to call a hearing the faculty member complained against shall be notified and given a copy of the complaint. A hearing shall be held not sooner than 10 days after a copy of the complaint shall be given to the faculty member complained against nor later than 30 days after a copy of the complaint shall have been given to the faculty member complained against.

The hearing may be open to the public at the request of the faculty member complained against. Otherwise the hearing will be closed to the public.

The hearing will be informal. All relevant evidence will be heard. The faculty member complained against shall have the right to be represented by counsel of his choice, to confront his accuser, and to examine any witness testifying against him.

The Faculty Ethics Committee shall hear the evidence. The chairman of the Faculty Ethics Committee shall be in charge of the hearing and shall determine the order in which the evidence shall be presented and shall maintain order and decorum during the hearing. The Faculty Ethics Committee shall determine if the complaint has been adequately proved and if so, shall determine the seriousness of the behavior.

The Faculty Ethics Committee may dismiss the charge with a written explanation.

The Faculty Ethics Committee may issue a written reprimand to the offending faculty member.

The Faculty Ethics Committee may refer the case to the Service Committee or the Appeals Committee as may be appropriate.

All decisions made by the Faculty Ethics Committee shall be by majority vote except decisions of the Faculty Ethics Committee chairman in conducting hearings as provided herein.

Complaints may come from students or administrators or persons outside the University.

Examples of problems that might be raised:

1. Use of phonographic literature
2. Participation in demonstrations
3. Classroom attack on a fellow faculty member.
4. Propagandizing in the classroom
5. Inaccuracy in grading
6. Failure to hold class.
 - a. The instructor fails to show up without prior announcement.
 - b. Cancelling of classes.
 - c. Failure to hold substantial classes by wasting time with anecdotes.
7. Personal abuse
 - a. Offensive language in addressing students.
 - b. Improper advances to female students with promises of better grades.
8. Failure of availability.
 - a. Failure to be present during office hours.
 - b. Insufficient number of office hours.
9. Deficiency of lectures.
 - a. Lack of preparation specifically due to preoccupation with scientific research.
 - b. Lectures taken straight from a textbook.
 - c. Straightforward incompetence. The students could not or did not want to specify whether the incompetence was either pedagogical or professional or both.
10. Professional prejudices.
Lowering of grades of certain groups of students (e.g. education majors) and raising of grades of other (e.g. Majors in the subject taught).
11. Shirking of teaching responsibility.
Entrusting of writing and grading of exams to teaching assistants who at times audit the class after a considerable lapse of familiarity with the subject.
12. Worthlessness of labs in the sciences.
Mindless repetition of experiments; loosely run sessions; insufficient amount of material covered.

BUSINESS MANAGER'S REPORT

November 17, 1971

Total Anticipated Income For The Year \$ 176,000.00

Specific Commitments From Spring Budget 166,405.00

Net Free Funds for 1070-71 \$ 9,595.00

LESS: Special Allocations

Womens Liberation \$ 220.00
MSPA 125.00
Community Service 454.00
Legal Service 5000.00
Library 577.00
Bowling team 520.00

Sub Total

Handwritten calculations:
 $2,699 \begin{array}{r} 6,376.00 \\ - 3,219.00 \\ \hline 3,157.00 \end{array}$
 $3,157.00 - 2,679.00 = 478.00$

Investments:

U.S. Treasury Bills (earning 6.8%)
\$5022.00

Handwritten calculations:
 $8 \begin{array}{r} 9595.00 \\ 9596 \\ \hline 6899 \end{array}$
 $8 \begin{array}{r} 9595.00 \\ 9596 \\ \hline 6896 \end{array}$
 $2699 \begin{array}{r} 6376.00 \\ 520.00 \\ \hline 6896 \end{array}$
 95956896

Handwritten calculations:
 6376.00
 3219.00

Handwritten calculations:
 $8 \begin{array}{r} 9595 \\ 9596 \\ \hline 6896 \end{array}$
 2619

Handwritten calculations:
 $8 \begin{array}{r} 9595 \\ 3219 \\ \hline 6376 \end{array}$

Handwritten calculations:
 9515