The meeting was called to order at 8:10 p.m. by President John Ross in the Ravalli Room of the Lodge. The minutes were approved as corrected. The faculty under the Student Life Committee report should have been the University.

PRESIDENT'S REPORT
The NSPA Convention will be on the U of M Campus on April 30. Ross said that he was still looking for a chairman. The FSPA Convention will be in El Paso, May 4, 5, 6, and 7. The Governor's Prayer Breakfast will be on the 14th of March.

PUBLICATIONS BOARD
COSMAN MOVED TO TAKE THE MOTION CONCERNING DAVE FOY AS GARRET EDITOR OFF THE TABLE. SECONDED BY HAARR. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. Archibald said that the committee is working on the Garret policy. There are two minor revisions which are: to change associate art editor to just art editor and to make the business manager an optional member of the Garret staff. ASSELSTINE MOVED TO AMEND THE MOTION WITH THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION THAT CENTRAL BOARD ACCEPT DAVE FOY AS GARRET EDITOR. SECONDED BY WENDTE. Cosman said that Central Board has the power to override a policy statement. He felt that the complete revised policy should be presented to Central Board. He said that in approving the staff we were not necessarily approving the policy. Davis wanted to know if it was a policy of Central Board to approve policy statements. Ross said that he would check into this during the week. Cosman wanted to know if we approved Dave Foy would we be doing so with the conditions. Ross said that this applicant was no different than anyone else applying for a position. We would approve him with no stipulations or conditions. Foy said that the only thing he wanted was to work as editor. He said that he would not quit in the middle of an issue because of some wording in a policy statement. Cromwell wanted to know what this body was being asked to do. Ross said that Central Board's sole purpose was either to accept or reject Dave Foy as Garret editor. THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION PASSED WITH CROMWELL, AND WALDRON OPPOSED AND COSMAN AND ASSELSTINE ABSTAINING. THE ORIGINAL MOTION PASSED WITH CROMWELL AND WALDRON OPPOSED AND ASSELSTINE AND COSMAN ABSTAINING. FAIRLEY MOVED TO ACCEPT MARCET NEWMAN AS ASSISTANT EDITOR OF THE GARRET. SECONDED BY WENDTE. MOTION PASSED WITH ASSELSTINE, CROMWELL, KITZENBERG, AND WALDRON ABSTAINING. FAIRELY MOVED TO ACCEPT CLIFF PETERSON AS ASSISTANT EDITOR OF THE GARRET. SECONDED BY COSMAN. Davis said he could not see how Peterson would have enough time to spend as assistant editor since he resigned as editor because he had a heavy load and didn't have time. Archibald said that the demands on an assistant editor are not as time consuming. ROSS VOTED IN FAVOR TO BREAK THE TIE WHICH RESULTED WITH BEHAN, FAIRLEY, HAARR, AND IAINMAN IN FAVOR; ASSELSTINE, COSMAN, LINK, AND NISBET OPPOSED; AND CROMWELL, KITZENBERG, MORROW, STOCKAMP, AND WALDRON ABSTAINING. WENDTE DID NOT VOTE. FAIRLEY MOVED THAT CENTRAL BOARD APPROVE SUSAN STOTTERBAU AS ASSISTANT EDITOR OF THE GARRET. SECONDED BY BEHAN. MOTION PASSED WITH ASSELSTINE, NISBET, LINK, WALDRON, AND NISBET OPPOSED; AND CROMWELL, KITZENBERG, MORROW, STOCKAMP, AND WALDRON ABSTAINING. WENDTE MOVED TO ACCEPT DON GORDON AS ASSISTANT EDITOR OF THE GARRET. SECONDED BY COSMAN. MOTION PASSED WITH CROMWELL, WALDRON, ASSELSTINE, NISBET, AND LINK ABSTAINING. WENDTE MOVED TO ACCEPT PAT TODD AS ART EDITOR OF THE GARRET. SECONDED BY BEHAN. MOTION PASSED WITH NISBET, CROMWELL, AND WALDRON ABSTAINING. Waldron said that the way he voted had no reflection on Dave Foy or the staff. He said that he just did not understand the position of Central Board.

PLANNING BOARD
Behan said that next week would be the final discussion on the commissioner form of government and he urged all delegates and faculty advisors of Central Board to attend the Planning Board meeting. He said that the committee had done a lot of work on this and they did not want to have it referred back to them. Behan said that there
would be several by-law changes that would require action next week. They are:

- Have pompon girls removed from Section 1, Article X, Division II, and to add in Section 4, Article X, at the end of the first sentence "which will consist of 7 cheerleaders;"
- To delete in Section 2, Article III, Division II, the words "business manager" and add at the end of Section 2, Article III, "A business manager will be chosen by Central Board upon recommendation to Publications Board by the Garret editor;"
- Also to add "if it is recommended by the editor" at the end of the first sentence in Section 4, Article III, and to delete "associate" before art editor in the entire Article III.

Planning Board tabled a by-law proposal which would allow the ASUM committees to publicize their activities in the Kaimin without paying for the space.

OLD BUSINESS

Kitzenberg said that he felt that sufficient time had passed for the administration to look into the problem of the laundry facilities. He felt that perhaps another letter should be written which included some recommendations. He felt that the Board should have received a letter by now. He felt that further action was up to the Board and not to him. Ross recommended that Kitzenberg talk with Mr. Brown to see if there were any long range plans.

NEW BUSINESS

Ross read some proposals of the Student Life Committee which, if approved by the committee, would be given to the administration for consideration. They were:

- Extension of women's hours to midnight on week nights and 2 a.m. on weekends;
- Unlimited weekend privileges for women, but parental permission must be given for women under 21;
- Lounge hours will be determined by each living group;
- Abolishment of the closed weekend policy;
- Freshmen be allowed to drive cars;
- A judicial board of review be established to handle disciplinary problems;
- And women can enter men's residences without chaperones.

Ross said that unless someone wanted to remove from the table the motion concerning the letter to be sent to the Alumni Association, City Council, Board of Regents, and Governor, it would be tabled indefinitely.

Cromwell said he was confused in regard to the Garret policy statement. He wanted to know if Central Board realized that it was their responsibility. He said that the people who are going to be editors should not establish the policy statements that they want and expect it to bind the rest of the staff. He did not think that Mr. Foy understood this. He said that the application could only be acted upon if this was taken into consideration. If Mr. Foy does not get the policy statement he wants, he will resign. He said we should concern ourselves with the extent of our obligation to a policy statement. Waldron said he would like to know the relationship of Central Board to any policy statement. Will Central Board only receive a report on the new Garret policy or would we be asked to approve the statement? Ross said that as far as he knew Central Board had never approved a policy statement. Davis said that 2 years ago they were approved by Planning Board. Cosman pointed out that this was only because they were trying to get the policy statements in the by-laws. Cromwell wanted to know if Central Board had any authority over these statements. Ross said that the board has just not acted on them in the past, but that we are the ultimate authority and power. Cromwell said that this should be understood by the Garret editor.

Ross said that he planned to talk to Foy next week.

Behan moved for adjournment. Seconded by Fairley. Motion passed unanimously.

Present: Assestine, Behan, Cosman, Cromwell, Fairley, Haarr, Respectfully submitted, Kitzenberg, Lanman, Link, Morrow, Nisbet, Ross, Wendte, Waldron, Stockamp, Archibald, Foy, Tate, Barber, Lynne Morrow

Davis, CRAM

ASUM Secretary.

Absent: Anderson, Baird, Maxson