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The highly aggressive cancer, malignant mesothelioma, responds poorly to available treatment options. As most individuals diagnosed with these tumors succumb to the disease within about 2 years of diagnosis, it is imperative to develop a more thorough understanding of molecular mechanisms of the disease and thus design more suitable therapeutic options. Neurofibromatosis Type 2 (NF2) is one of the most commonly inactivated tumor suppressor genes in mesothelioma. The downstream signaling pathways that may be disrupted as a result of this inactivation are not entirely understood. Conversely, the tumor suppressor gene that is often referred to as the “Genome Gatekeeper,” TP53, is rarely inactivated in mesothelioma tumors. As TP53 is mutated in the majority of human cancers, in those cancers where TP53 is not mutated, its function may be regulated by other mechanisms. Evidence suggests that NF2 may be upstream in a signaling cascade of TP53, such that NF2 is responsible for MDM2 degradation. MDM2 is a negative regulator of p53 (the protein product of TP53), so that loss of NF2 would ultimately result in decreased function of p53.

The hypothesis of this study is that inactivation of NF2 plays a critical role in cellular growth dysregulation through altering normal regulation of MDM2 and thus p53 levels. To test this hypothesis, the role of the NF2 gene in regulating p53 function and cellular growth in “normal” mesothelial cells and in a mesothelioma cell culture model were assessed. Normal function of NF2 was restored by transfection of a wild-type NF2 construct in a mesothelioma cell culture model. Additionally, NF2 expression was reduced in “normal” mesothelial cells by shRNA knockdown. In both in vitro models, alterations in NF2 expression resulted in significant changes in cell cycle mechanisms, including proliferative, apoptotic, and cell cycle arrest. These events were linked to aberrant p53 function. Finally, an in vivo mouse model was used to determine the role NF2 in the development of pleural mesothelioma following asbestos exposure. A better understanding of the molecular mechanisms that are disrupted following NF2 inactivation will help with design of more effective therapeutic strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

Cell Cycle Control and Cancer

Tumor development is typified by a multitude of cellular changes including the loss of normal cell growth control, formation of new blood vessels, and acquisition of the ability to metastasize and invade foreign tissues, (Rous and Friedewald 1941; Armitage and Doll 1954; Hanahan and Weinberg 2000; Vermeulen et al. 2003a). Thought to arise from a single cell, cancer results from mutations and aberrations in the genetic code that accumulate over time, as this cell passes acquired mutations onto daughter cells (Tsuda et al. 1988; Sugimura et al. 1992). These mutations can be somatic or germline, but those that alter the function of key cell cycle regulators, such as proto-oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes (TSGs), can be critical for the eventual development of neoplasms (Shackelford et al. 1999; Evan and Vousden 2001; Croce 2008). The type and number of mutations that an individual will sustain in a lifetime is directly related to endogenous factors such as genetic predisposition and exogenous factors such as exposure to carcinogens. (Figure 1) (Sugimura et al. 1992; Minamoto et al. 1999).
FIGURE 1

(Minamoto et al. 1999)
Cancer most often develops over long periods of time, with both endogenous and environmental factors contributing to disease formation. Germline mutations, such as those that affect TSGs or oncogenes, coupled with somatic mutations induced by environmental factors, can ultimately result in cell transformation. Another method of TSG inactivation is methylation of CpG islands in the promoter region of critical genes, which results in transcriptional silencing of the TSG. Also, in addition to germline mutations that create an increased risk to individuals for cancer susceptibility, polymorphisms in metabolic susceptibility genes create an increased cancer risk. For example, genetic variability in genes related to phase I and phase II detoxification enzymes, such that lower levels of exposure to toxicants, will cause adverse health events as the toxicant is not readily cleared from the body.
Both tumor suppressor genes and proto-oncogenes are largely involved in the regulation of cell growth. In a normal cell, proto-oncogenes act to stimulate proliferation on a controlled basis (Vermeulen et al. 2003b; Croce 2008; Johansson and Persson 2008). On the other hand, TSGs act at cell-cycle checkpoints to inhibit cell cycle progression until conditions are right for cellular division to take place. Most of these checkpoints occur at the G₁ to S phase transition of the cell cycle, but the checkpoints can also occur in S phase, at the G₂ to M phase transition, or during mitosis (Figure 2) (Shackelford et al. 1999; Tachibana et al. 2005; Johansson and Persson 2008). Mutations in proto-oncogenes, which after activation are called oncogenes, result in continued stimulation of growth. In a similar manner, inactivation of TSGs can result in the dysregulation of normal cell cycle mechanisms, allowing for progression into S phase when this transition would normally be prevented. Thus, the accumulation of changes that alter the expression level of these key genes can eventually result in tumor initiation through continual cell cycle progression (Shackelford et al. 1999; Hanahan and Weinberg 2000; Evan and Vousden 2001).
(Tachibana et al. 2005)
Figure 2. A Diagram of the Cell Cycle.

The cell cycle consists of gap 1 phase (G\textsubscript{1} phase), gap 0 (G\textsubscript{0}), S phase, gap 2 (G\textsubscript{2}), and mitosis (M phase). During G\textsubscript{1}, a cell prepares for DNA replication, which occurs during S phase. Following S phase, cells transition into G\textsubscript{2} phase while preparing for mitosis. Cells can exit the cell cycle during G\textsubscript{1} into G\textsubscript{0}, a quiescent or resting state where cells are still metabolically active but are not actively cycling.
In most cases TSGs act in response to regulatory cues, often caused by cellular stress, to halt cell cycle progression. For example, depending on the type of DNA damage, the major TSG \textit{TP53} is a transcription factor for hundreds of genes, initiating responses such as cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and DNA repair mechanisms (Lane 1992; Rotter et al. 1994; Levine 1997; Gottlieb and Oren 1998; May and May 1999; Oren 1999). Other TSGs might not directly interact with cell cycle checkpoints, but instead function to protect and aid in the activity of other TSGs. Such is the case for p14/ARF, which is activated following DNA damaging events to inhibit MDM2 and prevent downstream p53 degradation (Chin et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 1998; Vermeulen et al. 2003b). In fact, this type of p53 deregulation is one of the possible mechanisms that may be disrupted in malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) contributing to loss of cellular growth control. \textit{TP53} is rarely found mutated in this type of cancer, whereas inactivating mutations are often found in other TSGs that may protect activity of p53 (Lecomte et al. 2005).

\textbf{Malignant Mesotheliomas}

Malignant mesothelioma is an aggressive tumor that is strongly associated with exposure to asbestos fibers (Wagner et al. 1960). The overall incidence of these tumors is relatively rare. Individuals with these locally aggressive tumors are given a poor prognosis with a survival time of less than 2 years (Rusch 1995; Murthy and Testa 1999; Altomare et al. 2005; Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005; Lecomte et al. 2005; Tan and Treasure 2005). Noting the world-wide problem,
Treasure and Sedrakyan (2004) reported on the risk to workers in the UK, “One in every hundred men born in the 1940s will die of malignant pleural mesothelioma...In the developing world alone 100,000 people alive now will die from it.” In the United States, approximately 2,000 to 3,000 new cases of mesothelioma are being reported annually, and this number is expected to peak in 2015 (Murthy and Testa 1999; Hodgson et al. 2005). A latency period of 20-40 years occurs between asbestos exposure and disease diagnosis, during which time there is an accumulation of mutations (Rusch 1995; Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005; Kumar and Kratzke 2005). It is essential to understand the molecular mechanisms that are altered during this time to not only design more effective therapeutics, but also to improve early detection of this neoplasm.

Malignant mesotheliomas are solid tumors that arise from the mesothelium (Rusch 1995; Altomare et al. 2005; Xiao et al. 2005). The mesothelium was first described in 1827, and was reported as a single layer of flat cells that line the surfaces of certain body cavities (Whitaker et al. 1982). Body cavities where mesothelioma tumors can arise include the pleural, the peritoneal, pericardial, tunica vaginalis testis, and the ovarian epithelium, with the most common occurrence of mesothelioma cases occurring in the pleural space surrounding the lung (Tan and Treasure 2005).

The flat cells described in 1827 were later termed mesothelial cells and in the pleural space are found to overlie a basement membrane followed by a layer of connective tissue containing fibroblast-like cells, blood vessels, and lymphatics (Sahn 1998; Mutsaers et al. 2000; Mutsaers 2002). Mesothelial cells respond to
pleural injury, synthesizing and secreting inflammatory mediators to protect against physical damage and invasive organisms (Figure 3) (Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005). This protective barrier is formed as mesothelial cells align with tight junctions and are shielded by a “coat” predominantly composed of hyaluronan (Mutsaers 2004).
(Mutsaers 2004)
Figure 3. Mesothelial cell function.

Mesothelial cells form tight junctions and have a hyaluronic coat, which may help to provide a protective barrier against fibers and invading pathogens. In addition, these tight junctions trap fluid between the two layers of mesothelial cells, reducing friction between the two layers of the spaces that they coat allowing for more effective movement.
Mesothelial cells line the visceral and parietal surfaces of the cavities that they encompass, where they act at the border of apposing tissues to reduce friction allowing for more effective movement (Robinson et al. 2005). Thus, the visceral and parietal surfaces create the pleural space, which helps the lungs to move smoothly during breathing. Phenotypic and genetic alterations that occur in mesothelial cells result in aberrant cell cycle control, leading to uncontrolled cell growth and resistance to cell death, as well as irregular cell:cell and cell:matrix interactions, ultimately resulting in MPM (Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005).

MPM is most often associated with prior asbestos exposure. With a long latency period of 20 to 40 years even after exposure has stopped, development of MPM continues to progress over a long period of time. At the time of diagnosis, patients typically present with symptoms such as dyspnea (shortness of breath), difficulty sleeping, cough, chest pain, weight loss, and pleural effusion (Dunitz 2002; Robinson et al. 2005). The rare number of MPM patients that are diagnosed early (during Stage 1a) have a much better prognosis, with a median survival time of more than 5 years (Carbone et al. 2007). However, diagnosis is problematic and may take 2-3 months (Dunitz 2002; Tan and Treasure 2005).

Diagnosis of a suspected MPM usually involves an investigation into the occupational and environmental (residential) history. This is followed by a chest x-ray, which may show signs of pleural effusion, pleural thickening, pleural plaques, or asbestosis, all conditions that can be related to prior asbestos exposure. One factor complicating MPM diagnosis is that MPM is commonly
misdiagnosed as adenocarcinoma, as both of these diseases often present with multiple tan nodules in a thickened pleura. Distinction must be made between the two before treatment can begin (Robinson et al. 2005). Final diagnosis usually requires thoracocentesis and/or biopsy for cytological and histological analysis, utilizing a large panel of histological markers specific for mesothelioma or aimed at ruling out other suspected malignancies (Dunitz 2002; Robinson et al. 2005).

Adding to the complexity, there are three main histological subtypes of malignant pleural mesotheliomas (MPM). These include epithelioid (accounts for about 60%), sarcomatoid (~30%), and biphasic (~ 10%) (Dunitz 2002). The modifications that take place during mesothelial cell hyperplasia that result in these different cellular subtypes are not clear (Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005). However, distinguishing between these different subtypes may be a useful tool to determine the type of treatment that will best suit the patient (Dunitz 2002).

Most patients are diagnosed during a more advanced stage at which point this normally slow growing tumor becomes much more aggressive, progressing rapidly to an untreatable state (Dunitz 2002; Tan and Treasure 2005). Treatment at this stage becomes more for relief of symptoms, and most patients of this stage succumb to the disease within 4-24 months (Rusch 1995; Murthy and Testa 1999; Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005; Lecomte et al. 2005; Tan and Treasure 2005). Advances have been made in regards to treatment strategies in recent years, improving patient outcomes.
One therapeutic strategy is surgical resection of the tumor, involving extrapleural pneumonectomy to remove gross tumor regions followed by adjuvant therapies, typically radiotherapy, to kill any remaining cancerous tissue. However, this treatment is suitable for only certain cases of mesothelioma patients. Chemotherapeutic options for mesothelioma are currently not considered to be curative, but are used more for a palliative line of defense. (Robinson et al. 2005; Tan and Treasure 2005). Finally, a number of recent clinical trials using gene therapy have shown some promise in mesothelioma treatment. Because of their location and relative accessibility, mesothelioma tumors are considered to be a good tumor type for gene therapeutic options, as this therapy can be directly injected into the tumor to target the tumor cells. The challenge involved with this type of therapy is improving vector delivery systems to target tumor cells and also determining which genes, or types of genes, will serve to be most effective (van der Most et al. 2006).

Genomic analysis of mesotheliomas has revealed a series of related sub-chromosomal deleted regions and alterations, some of which are involved in loss of cell cycle regulation. Within these regions affected, TSGs are the type of genes most commonly affected. Included in these are $p16/INK4a$ and $p14/ARF$ on 9p, and $NF2$ on 22q (Figure 4) (Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005; Musti et al. 2006; Andujar et al. 2007). Missing from the list of frequently mutated genes are $TP53$ and $RB$, which is interesting as these genes are frequently found mutated in other types of human cancers (Toyooka et al. 2008).
FIGURE 4

(Adapted from Murthy et al., 1999)
Figure 4. Model of mesothelioma genomic alterations.

This model is based on incidences of inactivating mutations in specific genes and genomic deletions found in human mesotheliomas. Commonly inactivated genes include p16, p14, and \textit{NF2}. Interestingly, both \textit{RB} and \textit{p53} are rarely inactivated by mutation in malignant mesotheliomas. The model also includes SV40 interactions with p53, a known virus-gene interaction. While asbestos is considered the leading cause of mesothelioma tumor development, SV40 is also implicated in disease development.
A number of studies have been conducted focusing on the molecular mechanisms altered in relationship to the loss of genes that function upstream of these genes, in particular with regards to the effect that the loss of both $p16/INK4a$ and $p14/ARF$ might have on p53 and RB function, respectively (Lecomte et al. 2005). While there are known mechanisms whereby $p16/INK4a$ and $p14/ARF$ are involved with the regulation of p53 and RB, the role for NF2 in regulation of these pathways has not been looked into as extensively. Most of the research into the role of NF2 loss in mesothelioma tumors have focused on other pathways that the gene may be involved, but few have focused on mechanisms whereby it may interact with p53 or RB (Jung et al. 2005). The role of merlin in the regulation of these TSGs may be indirect, relaying extracellular growth signals to these downstream genes (Tsukita et al. 1994; Shaw et al. 1998b; McClatchey and Giovannini 2005).

Environmental exposures are thought to play a large role in the development of MPM tumors, with exposure to simian virus 40 (SV40), erionite, and asbestos fibers being implicated as possible causes (Carbone et al. 1999; Testa and Giordano 2001; Dogan et al. 2006; Carbone et al. 2007). Infection with SV40 has been linked to mesothelioma, brain tumors, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, and osteosarcoma (Khalili et al. 2008). SV40 binds to and inhibits TSGs, in particular the major TSGs p53 and Rb. Many people were exposed to this oncogenic virus in the 1950s to1960s as it was a contaminant of some forms of polio vaccine (Shah 2006). The role of SV40 in mesothelioma development remains controversial, as many mesothelioma tumors are negative for SV40
infection (Aoe et al. 2006). More robust detection systems for the virus need to be developed to determine its role in carcinogenesis (Pershouse et al. 2006; Shah 2006). Erionite belongs to the zeolite group of minerals, and is considered the world’s most carcinogenic mineral according to the World Health Organization (WHO), with a potency 200-1000 times greater than asbestos minerals (Carbone et al. 2002; Dogan et al. 2008). People in the Cappadocia region of Turkey were found to have a high rate of malignant mesothelioma, which was linked to erionite exposure (Dogan et al. 2006; Carbone et al. 2007). The leading cause of mesothelioma, however, is asbestos, with 80% of individuals diagnosed with the disease having had a prior documented asbestos exposure (Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005).

Asbestos

Asbestos is the name given to a group of fibrous silicate minerals. There are two main types of this fibrous minerals, chrysotile asbestos belongs to the serpentine family of minerals and the amphibole group, which is made up of crocidolite, tremolite, amosite, actinolite, and anthophyllite (ATSDR ; LaDou 2004). Both types of asbestos are naturally occurring in our environment, typically occurring as fiber bundles that consist of thin, separable fibers with a crystalline form. All six types of asbestos are considered hazardous to human health, with the general grouping of asbestos classified as a carcinogen (Gunter 1994; Lee et al. 2008).
The term “asbestos” is of Greek origin, meaning “unquenchable” or “inextinguishable.” These fibers were so named as they share properties of resistance to heat, chemical, and biological degradation. Virtually indestructible, asbestos has been used for centuries, dating as far back to 2000-4000 BC where it was used for the embalming of Egyptian Pharaohs (Tan and Treasure 2005). In the early 1870s, the mining of asbestos for commercial products began on a large scale, coinciding with the industrial revolution (Cugell and Kamp 2004). The flexible, fibrous shape, high tensile strength, inability to conduct electricity, thermal stability, resistance to chemical degradation, and high absorbency made asbestos a sought after element for use in commercial products (Ross et al. 2008). In fact, over 3,000 different asbestos-containing products exist, with 85% of these used in asbestos-cement construction products (LaDou 2004). However, some of these same properties that made asbestos so desirable for industrial use are also the same properties that contribute to its toxicity to human health.

Dormant and undisturbed, asbestos is relatively harmless. When the fibers separate and become airborne they can be easily inhaled. Once inhaled or swallowed, most fibers will be expelled from the body through mucous coating and cough, but those that become lodged in the lung, or elsewhere in the body, cause eventual health effects (ATSDR ; Miserocchi et al. 2008; Weiner and Neragi-Miadoab 2009). Dr J.C. Wagner first demonstrated a relationship between asbestos exposure and the development of mesotheliomas in 1960, postulating that the increase in mesothelioma in South Africa was linked to the
mining of crocidolite fibers (Wagner et al. 1960). Shortly after this discovery, reports of mesothelioma occurrences in the shipbuilding industry following WWII were linked with asbestos exposures (Sheers and Coles 1980). Since these first reports, an accumulating amount of evidence has followed linking the fibers to other asbestos-related diseases (ARDs), including pleural plaques, asbestosis, and lung cancer (ATSDR; Sahn 1998).

The mechanism by which asbestos causes mesothelioma is not completely understood. One suggested mechanism is that asbestos generates an immune reaction, resulting in macrophage activation and asbestos phagocytosis. This results in a TNF-α mediated response in mesothelial cells, activating the NF-κB pathway, allowing mesothelial cells to be resistant to asbestos-induced apoptosis (Yang et al. 2008). A second method is through the generation of asbestos-induced reactive oxygen species, interfering with mesothelial cell homeostasis resulting in hyperplasia. A third suggestion is actual interference with mitosis, where asbestos might sever the mitotic spindle, resulting in aneuploidy and chromosomal alterations in daughter cells (Knudsen et al. 1989). Finally, constant irritation from fibers that become lodged in the pleural space can cause a continual damage/repair response from the mesothelial cells, leading to eventual uncontrolled cell growth (Figure 5) (Robinson et al. 2005; Weiner and Neragi-Miandoab 2009).
FIGURE 5

Asbestos → Pleural Space → Macrophage → Mesothelial Cells

$O_2^-$ → $O_2^{2-}$ → Mesothelial Cell Hyperplasia
Mesothelial cell transformation following asbestos exposure is not completely understood. It could be that asbestos generates an immune reaction, resulting in macrophage activation and asbestos phagocytosis. This triggers in a TNF-α mediated response in mesothelial cells, activating the NF-κB pathway, allowing mesothelial cells to be resistant to asbestos-induced apoptosis. Another possibility is through the generation of asbestos-induced reactive oxygen species, interfering with mesothelial cell homeostasis resulting in hyperplasia. A third suggested mechanism is that asbestos might sever the mitotic spindle, resulting in aneuploidy and chromosomal alterations in daughter cells. Finally, fibers that become lodged in the pleural space can cause constant irritation, resulting in a continual damage/repair response from the mesothelial cells, leading to eventual uncontrolled cell growth.
Although asbestos use has been banned in many developed countries, it is not yet banned in all countries, including the United States. Considering that 95% of the asbestos mined and used today is chrysotile asbestos, this suggests that we are “safe.” However, there is debate as to which asbestos fiber-types are the culprits for ARD manifestations. The majority of studies suggest that the amphiboles, namely crocidolite, are the cause of ARDs (Mossman 1993; Mossman et al. 1996; Cugell and Kamp 2004; Schneider et al. 2008). Some of these studies argue that chrysotile is relatively harmless as it can be easily cleared from the body. In contrast, numerous studies have shown that chrysotile is the more harmful fiber, with some groups indicating that it is even more toxic than the amphibole fibers (McDonald and Fry 1982; Churg et al. 1984; Huncharek 1987; Dunnigan 1988; Langer and Nolan 1989). Considering that many times these fibers are found mixed together, confounding the analysis, or that many of the studies involved a conflict of interest, it is difficult to draw conclusions as to which fibers are more prone to cause disease (Powers and Carbone 2002; Yang et al. 2008; Weiner and Neragi-Miandoab 2009).

As asbestos is the leading cause of MPM, it seems promising that its use and production have decreased over the last decade. However, in many developing countries asbestos use is not declining and will continue to create health problems in the future (Joshi and Gupta 2004). In addition, although some countries have banned the use of asbestos, they have not banned the production of the mineral for export as a commodity (Table 1) (Keith and Brophy 2004).
TABLE 1. Asbestos use in metric tons in 2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region and Country</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Imports</th>
<th>Exports</th>
<th>Apparent Consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,756</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>6,218</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>4,192</td>
<td>3,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>147,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99,262</td>
<td>5,000^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,386</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>9,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>153,218</td>
<td>21,944</td>
<td>103,831</td>
<td>28,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia and the Middle East</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>145,425</td>
<td>3,472</td>
<td>491,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>175,581</td>
<td>2,548</td>
<td>192,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75,852</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133,110</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>132,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,552</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>13,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159,120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>159,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>369,000</td>
<td>702,640</td>
<td>6,307</td>
<td>1,065,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central and North America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>194,350</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>174,774</td>
<td>19,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,896</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20,105</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,634</td>
<td>3,548</td>
<td>4,634#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,756</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>194,350</td>
<td>38,596</td>
<td>178,342</td>
<td>58,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>354,500</td>
<td>3,340</td>
<td>18,349</td>
<td>173,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>878,000</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>450,031</td>
<td>429,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156,393</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42,362</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55,464</td>
<td>165,802</td>
<td>55,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,232,500</td>
<td>258,609</td>
<td>634,182</td>
<td>855,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>194,350</td>
<td>28,395</td>
<td>144,343</td>
<td>78,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>8,118</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,573</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>199,516</td>
<td>41,086</td>
<td>144,343</td>
<td>96,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,630</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>2,148,584</td>
<td>1,066,566</td>
<td>1,067,006</td>
<td>2,108,943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ The calculated apparent consumption probably includes about 42,739 tons going into stocks and 5,000 tons of estimated consumption.
* Includes exports and re-exports of asbestos fiber.
# The apparent consumption is assumed to equal the imports.

(Adapted from the USGS 2003 Worldwide Asbestos Supply and Consumption Trend from 1900 through 2003 report)
According to Table 1, the total worldwide consumption of asbestos in 2003 was more than 2,000,000 tons. Because of this massive level of use, although these numbers have decreased from the peak of 4,360,000 tons used in the 1975 to 1977 time frame, the incidences of mesothelioma and other ARDs are going to continue to impact global health (Virta 2005). In fact, by 2020 it is predicted that the death rate from ARDs will be more than 5,000 deaths per year in the United Kingdom; the United States already exceeds that number with possibly more than 10,000 deaths per year attributed to ARDs. In Western Europe, an ARD epidemic is expected to take the lives of about 500,000 people before 2035 (Tweedale 2002). The best that we can do until the use of asbestos has stopped is continue to develop a better understanding of diseases manifestations that will result from exposure to the fibrous minerals, allowing for the design of more effective therapeutics.

Neurofibromatosis Type 2 (NF2)

Neurofibromatosis (NF) is a term used to describe two autosomal dominant disorders resulting in tumors of the central nervous system, NF1 and NF2 (Trofatter et al. 1993). Originally thought to be related diseases primarily because of a few clinical similarities (such as nerve sheath neoplasms) seen in patients of the two syndromes, it was later realized that these diseases are in fact distinct (Yohay 2006a). Both chromosomal location and the protein products of these two TSGs verified this fact (Trofatter et al. 1993; Gutmann 1997). The NF1 gene is located on chromosome 17q11.2, and encodes a GTPase-activating
protein termed neurofibromin. The main function of neurofibromin as a TSG is thought to be inhibition of the proto-oncogene Ras. \textit{NF2}, located on chromosome 22q12.2, encodes the 595 amino acid protein most commonly known as merlin. The exact mechanisms whereby merlin controls cell growth are not completely understood, but this protein does represent a new class of TSGs as it is thought to mediate cues from the cell membrane linking them to the cytoskeleton (Trofatter et al. 1993; Yohay 2006a).

Discovered in 1993, mutations in \textit{NF2} are commonly associated with central nervous system tumors, including schwannomas of the eighth cranial nerve, meningiomas, and ependymomas (Rouleau et al. 1993; Trofatter et al. 1993; Baser et al. 2002; Johnson et al. 2002; Sun et al. 2002). In contrast to these normally benign tumors, \textit{NF2} is also found inactivated by homozygous deletion mutations or loss of heterozygosity at a high frequency in malignant mesothelioma tumors (Martuza and Eldridge 1988; McClatchey 2003). Increasing evidence has shown that merlin contributes to tumor progression in many cancer types, including hepatocellular carcinoma and thyroid cancers, suggesting that the role of \textit{NF2} in cell cycle control is more complex and broad than originally thought. As it turns out, merlin differs from the more typical TSGs in that it lacks a DNA-binding domain, instead sharing a more similar protein structure to the cytoskeleton-associated proteins and is thus considered to be a novel type of TSG (McClatchey and Giovannini 2005; Okada et al. 2007; Cole et al. 2008).
Merlin belongs to the Protein 4.1 superfamily of proteins, which have a large role in stabilization of the cellular membrane, as the members are largely involved in regulatory functions at the cell cortex. The Protein 4.1 superfamily contains over 40 members divided into five subgroups, all of which share a conserved FERM domain (four.1 protein, ezrin, radixin, moesin) (Sun et al. 2002). Merlin is most closely related to the ERM subgroup (comprised of ezrin, radixin, and moesin), with the similarity of sharing 3 functional domains (Gutmann 1997; Yohay 2006b). These domains include a carboxy-terminal domain, an α-helical domain, and the FERM domain located in the N-terminal region of the protein. Although the C-terminal domain is more distantly related, merlin shares 63% homology to the clover-leafed FERM domain of the ERMs, and for this reason, the name “merlin” arose from the members of this group: moesin-ezrin-radixin-like protein (Trofatter et al. 1993; Gautreau et al. 2002). The sequence similarities shared between merlin and the ERMs suggests that ability of merlin to regulate cell growth may be by functioning in a similar manner as the ERMs (Gutmann 1997).

The ERMs are thought to be linkers between the membrane and the cortical actin cytoskeleton (Cole et al. 2008). Merlin and the ERMs form intramolecular and intermolecular loops through interactions between the FERM and C-terminal domains. Differential function of the ERMs is dependent upon their phosphorylation status, creating secondary structures of the proteins to cycle between a closed and an open loop form. Hypo-phosphorylated ERMs result in a closed conformation, and are thought to be inactive. Phosphorylation
results in ERM activation, and has been shown to control cell morphology, adhesion, and motility, as well as the transduction of growth signals for both cell proliferation and cell survival (Gautreau et al. 2002; Sun et al. 2002). Like the ERMs, many groups have suggested that merlin is also conformationally regulated (McClatchey and Giovannini 2005; Yohay 2006b). In contrast to the ERMs, it is the hypo-phosphorylated, closed form of merlin that is considered active, as dephosphorylation of the protein results in membrane localization and suppression of cell growth (McClatchey 2003).

As the ERMs and merlin have been shown to share binding partners and even have been shown to interact with each other heterotypically, functional overlap is thought to occur between the proteins (McClatchey 2003). With this in mind, merlin membrane-cytoskeleton interactions have been linked to contact inhibition of growth in many different cell types (Morrison et al. 2001; Shaw et al. 2001; Lallemand et al. 2003; Curto et al. 2007; Cole et al. 2008). Although merlin and the ERMs do have some functional overlap, merlin does have many properties that are distinct from the ERMs. The only member of the ERM family that is considered a TSG, inactivation of merlin not only results in loss of cell:cell contact inhibition of growth, it is also suggested to have an effect on cell proliferation, oncogenic-induced transformation, and apoptotic response (Sainio et al. 1997; Gutmann et al. 1999; Morrison et al. 2001; Shaw et al. 2001; Sherman and Gutmann 2001; Lallemand et al. 2003; McClatchey and Giovannini 2005; Xiao et al. 2005; Curto and McClatchey 2008). Each of these phenotypic
changes are examples of loss of cell cycle control and can ultimately lead to a tumor formation.

Merlin is activated \textit{in vitro} by dephosphorylation during conditions such as high cell density, serum deprivation, or addition of hyaluronic acid, causing localization to the cell membrane (Shaw et al. 1998b; Okada et al. 2007). At the cell membrane, merlin reportedly interacts with a number of proteins that have roles in growth factor receptor signaling, which could provide insight into the role of merlin in signal trafficking to control cell growth (McClatchey and Giovannini 2005).

At the membrane, merlin interactions include localization to adherens junctions and cell membrane receptors, such as CD44, to inhibit cell growth (Sherman et al. 1997; Shaw et al. 1998a; Shaw et al. 1998b; Cole et al. 2008; Curto and McClatchey 2008). Adherens junctions belong to the cadheren family, and form between interacting plasma membranes, prototypically of epithelial cells, creating a link between the cells through actin bundles (Alberts et al. 2002). CD44 and merlin may function together to inhibit growth as intracellular distance increases. Hyaluronic acid signaling through CD44 may induce changes in the phosphorylation status of merlin, thus affecting merlin activity (Morrison et al. 2001). Other possible roles for \textit{NF2} in maintaining normal cellular growth may be through many possible signal transduction mechanisms, including interactions with Rac/PAK signaling, Cyclin D1, and Mouse Double Minute 2 (MDM2) (Tsukita et al. 1994; Sainio et al. 1997; Morrison et al. 2001). Because of the vast majority of cellular processes that are affected by the loss of function of this gene in
various cell types, it seems reasonable to suggest that under pathological conditions its inactivation could result in loss of cell cycle control, ultimately leading to tumor formation (Figure 6).
FIGURE 6

(McClatchey 2003)
Figure 6. Merlin localization and potential role in cancer.

Merlin localization to adherens junctions and cell:cell boundaries suggests that loss of the protein can contribute to cancer formation through loss of cell:cell communication. In addition, inactivation of the TSG, NF2, can contribute to metastatic potential through a decreased response to contact inhibition of growth and thus increased cell motility.
Localized to the cell membrane after activation, NF2 may act upstream of p53 by helping to maintain function of the gene under normal growth conditions. Kim et al. (2004) showed that NF2 played a role in MDM2 degradation in mouse fibroblast 3T3 cells. Involved in an autoregulatory feedback loop with p53, MDM2 is responsible for degradation of the genome gatekeeper, so increases in expression of the MDM2 protein could be detrimental to p53 stability. If merlin is involved in MDM2 degradation in other cells types, loss of NF2 could contribute to an increase in MDM2 and thus increased p53 degradation, thereby a diminished ability of p53 to function following DNA stress.

TP53

The role of the transcription factor p53 in cancer development has been widely characterized. Considered the “Guardian of the Genome,” p53 plays a key role in maintaining genomic integrity by serving as a cell-cycle checkpoint (Lane 1992; Levine 1997). Under normal cellular growth conditions, p53 is kept at low-steady state levels. In response to stress, p53 is activated and acts as a transcription factor for many downstream targets, and is capable of initiating several protective pathways. UV radiation, hypoxic conditions, and overexpression of oncogenes are examples of cellular stressors that are capable of inducing p53 activity (Vogelstein et al. 2000). Depending on the type of stress, p53 is activated through mechanisms like phosphorylation or acetylation, and it is rapidly stabilized to activate downstream targets. These pathways include, but are not limited to the induction of cell-cycle arrest, DNA repair mechanisms, and
apoptosis (Gottlieb and Oren 1998; Vogelstein and Kinzler 2004; Poon et al. 2007). For example, p53 initiates cell cycle arrest following DNA damage through the upregulation of p21, a member of the CDK family of cell cycle inhibitors known as an early cell cycle response molecule (Toledo and Wahl 2006). When damage is severe, p53 is a direct transcription factor for the pro-apoptotic member of the Bcl-2 family member, Bax (Miyashita and Reed 1995; Gottlieb and Oren 1998; Kelekar and Thompson 1998). Thus, loss of p53 either through mutation or inactivation through other means can be critical for the developing cancer cell.

While TP53 is mutated in ~50% of human cancers, in those cancers where the p53 gene is not directly mutated other mechanisms might contribute to aberrant p53 function. For example, the large T-antigen of the SV40 virus is thought to bind to both p53 and RB, inhibiting the ability of the TSGs to respond properly to DNA stress signals (Ludlow 1993; Pershouse et al. 2006; Khalili et al. 2008). Another method of wild-type p53 instability might be through the loss of other TSGs that act upstream of the gatekeeper helping to maintain its function (Chin et al. 1998; Pomerantz et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 1998; Oren 1999). Under normal conditions for cell growth, p53 levels are largely controlled through interactions with MDM2 (Brooks and Gu 2006). Following cellular stress, p53 is activated and stabilized, thereby disrupting the ability of MDM2 to bind to the protein. If protein levels are not controlled, p53 over-activity in normal cells can result in cell death. This is apparent in MDM2-deficient mice which are embryonic lethal, whereas inactivation of both MDM2 and p53 to produce double
null mice rescues this effect (Joseph and Moll 2003). Thus, to control its own activity, p53 forms an auto-regulatory feedback loop with MDM2, acting as a direct transcription factor for the ubiquitin ligase, which in turn attaches ubiquitin molecules to p53 stimulating its degradation by the proteasome (Figure 7).
FIGURE 7

The diagram illustrates the relationship between p53, Bax, p21, and MDM2. p53 interacts with Bax and p21, which in turn are involved in Apoptosis and Cell Cycle Arrest, respectively. The interaction is further complicated by the Ub protein marked with a lightning bolt.
Figure 7. Example of p53 function and autoregulation following DNA damage.

After a DNA damaging event, p53 is activated to induce activities like cell-cycle arrest through the induction of p21, or if damage is more severe, p53 will activate apoptosis through the transcription of the pro-apoptotic Bcl2 family member, Bax. When p53 has carried out its protective role, it acts as a transcription factor for MDM2, which ubiquitinates the protein tagging it for proteosome degradation.
Besides inactivation by mutation, another method for decreased p53 function could be through oncogene activation that negatively regulates p53, such as can happen with MDM2. Because of the ability of the E3 ubiquitin ligase MDM2 to negatively regulate p53, MDM2 is sometimes considered to have oncogenic properties and was recently reported to be overexpressed in about 10.5% of human cancers (Michael and Oren 2002; Toledo and Wahl 2006). In fact, because of the role that MDM2 plays in p53 regulation, MDM2 has become a therapeutic target with a focus on liberation of p53 from degradation in cancers where p53 remains wild-type. Molecules that specifically target MDM2, such as small molecule inhibitors, like HLI98, are being tested *in vitro* for their therapeutic potential. The nutlins are antagonists of MDM2, and can disrupt the MDM2-p53 binding (Vassilev 2007; Shangary and Wang 2008). Studies have shown that expression of such molecules can restore the function of p53. In addition, the restoration of TSGs that act upstream of p53 through interactions with MDM2 provide gene therapeutic targets. The TSG p14/ARF binds to and inhibits the activity of MDM2, thereby stabilizing p53 activity (Sherr 2001). Gene therapy to re-express p14/ARF is of particular importance in the context of mesothelioma, as p14/ARF is often inactivated in this tumor type (Figure 4). The use of this therapy, in combination with the re-expression of other TSGs that regulate MDM2, like NF2, could achieve restoration of p53 function resulting in anticancer activity.

Of the common chromosomal regions commonly altered in malignant mesotheliomas (Figure 4), absent from the list is chromosome 17p, the region
that harbors TP53 (Murthy and Testa 1999; Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005).

Considering that the majority of mesotheliomas retain wild-type p53, the question becomes whether or not this wild-type p53 can still function properly. If enough alterations in genes that help to maintain p53 activity and function take place, it is quite possible that p53 is unable to act as a cell cycle regulator in these tumors. The critical role of p53 is made evident not only by genetic mutations present in over half of all human cancers, but also because of its critical role in host/tumor response to cancer treatments like radiation and chemotherapy (Lane and Fischer 2004). A complete understanding of the regulators of p53 function is essential for the development of more effective treatment strategies for cancer (Toledo and Wahl 2006).
Hypothesis

The hypothesis of this study is that inactivation of NF2 plays a critical role in cellular growth deregulation by altering normal regulation of MDM2 and thus p53 levels. This hypothesis was tested through the following specific aims:

**Specific Aim 1:** Analyze the role of the NF2 gene in regulating p53 function and cellular growth in “normal” mesothelial cells and mesothelioma cell culture models. Normal function of merlin was restored by transfection of a wild-type NF2 construct in a mesothelioma cell culture model. Merlin function was reduced in non-cancerous mesothelial cells by shRNA knockdown.

**Specific Goal 2:** Analyze the role of the NF2 gene in an *in vivo* model of pleural mesothelioma development. A heterozygous NF2 knockout mouse model was used to follow closely the early events in tumor development. NF2 status, time to tumor development, phenotypic and p53-related gene expression changes were monitored.
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CHAPTER 1

shRNA Suppression of NF2 Induces Disruption of Normal Cell Cycle Control Mechanism by Altering p53 Function

Abstract

The tumor suppressor gene neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) is inactivated in the majority of malignant mesotheliomas, an aggressive cancer strongly associated with asbestos exposure. The consequences of this inactivation are not well understood. Conversely, the major tumor suppressor gene TP53 is rarely found mutated in mesothelioma tumors, but p53 activity may be regulated by other mechanisms during progression of this disease. Using shRNA directed against NF2, we assessed the possibility that loss of NF2 negatively affects p53 function in a non-tumor mesothelial cell line. NF2 suppression resulted in loss of cell cycle control correlating directly or indirectly with aberrant p53 function.
**Introduction**

Considered the “Genome Gatekeeper,” p53 (the protein product of the \textit{TP53} gene) is a transcription factor that initiates several protective pathways following DNA damaging events (Lane 1992; Levine 1997; May and May 1999). Depending on the type of cellular stress, p53 is activated to initiate DNA repair mechanisms, cell cycle arrest, or apoptosis (Rotter et al. 1994; Gottlieb and Oren 1998; Oren 1999). Thus, loss of p53 function can be critical for the developing cancer cell. While \textit{TP53} is mutated in most forms of human cancer, in those where \textit{TP53} remains wild type, loss of other tumor suppressor genes upstream of \textit{TP53} may contribute to a decrease in function of the gene (Ludlow 1993; Marine and Jochemsen 2005; Zhang et al. 2008). Such is the case for malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM), in which the majority of MPM patients retain wild-type \textit{TP53} genetics, but it is unknown if the p53 protein retains proper function (Murthy and Testa 1999).

MPM is a rare but aggressive cancer usually associated with exposure to asbestos (Treasure and Sedrakyan 2004; Altomare et al. 2005; Tan and Treasure 2005). Genomic analysis of mesothelioma has revealed a series of related sub-chromosomal deletion regions that could be involved in loss of cell cycle regulation. Within the regions affected, crucial regulatory genes implicated include p16 \textit{(INK4a)} and p14 \textit{(ARF)} on 9p, and \textit{NF2} on 22q (Murthy and Testa 1999; Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005; Musti et al. 2006). It is possible that inactivation of tumor suppressor genes thought to be involved in p53 regulation,
such as *NF2* or *p14/ARF*, could hinder its ability to act as the “genome keeper” following cellular stress (Kamijo et al. 1998; Pomerantz et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 1998).

*NF2* is inactivated by mutation or loss of heterozygosity in the majority of mesotheliomas, but the significance of this loss is not well understood (Baser et al. 2002; Carbone et al. 2002; Altomare et al. 2005; Tan and Treasure 2005; Yang et al. 2008; Weiner and Neragi-Miandoab 2009). Loss of function of tumor suppressor genes can be a critical step leading to abnormal growth, negatively affecting cellular processes including apoptosis, proliferation, cell-cell communication, cell motility, differentiation, as well as others (Sainio et al. 1997; Gutmann et al. 1999; Morrison et al. 2001; Shaw et al. 2001; Sherman and Gutmann 2001; Lallemand et al. 2003; McClatchey and Giovannini 2005; Xiao et al. 2005; Curto and McClatchey 2008). Merlin, the protein product of the *NF2* gene, is activated by regulatory cues to play a role in these processes, depending on cell type.

Merlin is activated *in vitro* during cellular stress events such as serum deprivation or increased cell:cell contact ratios, but the exact molecular consequences of this activation remain to be elucidated (Shaw et al. 1998b; Morrison et al. 2001; McClatchey 2003; Xiao et al. 2005). Following activation, merlin localizes to the cell membrane (Sherman et al. 1997; Shaw et al. 1998a; McClatchey and Giovannini 2005; Curto and McClatchey 2008). This translocation is considered to be rare among tumor suppressor genes, as most function intracellulary to regulate cell cycle events (McClatchey and Giovannini 2005; Curto and McClatchey 2008).
The associations that merlin forms with membrane proteins suggest that merlin may be involved in signaling cascades upstream of p53 that may be required to initiate growth arrest.

In this study, we show that suppression of NF2 gene expression using small hairpin RNA (shRNA) in a nonmalignant mesothelial cell line results in an inability of p53 to appropriately respond to stress. Thus, p53 was unable to initiate exit of the cell cycle either by undergoing apoptosis or by activating appropriate cell cycle checkpoints. A better understanding of cell cycle regulatory changes resulting from the suppression of NF2 in mesothelial cells may provide valuable insight into the molecular mechanisms taking place in mesotheliomas as well as other tumor types with NF2 inactivation.
Results

Using an *in vitro* model for pleural mesothelial cell growth, MeT5a cells were transduced with 5 different shRNA constructs, each targeted against a different region of the *NF2* mRNA transcript (Figure 8a). The shRNA target sequences are listed in Table 2. In addition, MeT5a cells were also stably transduced with a BLAST-negative control version of the Mission shRNA construct. The BLAST-negative vector contains a shRNA insert that has at least a 4-bp mismatch with any known mouse or human gene. Following generation of stably transduced clones, three different MeT5a/NF2-shRNA clones (9973-6, 9974-5, and 9977-2) were chosen for further analysis (Figure 8b). Protein was harvested from cells plated at both low cellular density (LCD) and high cellular density (HCD). This was done to assess the additional role of merlin activation status, merlin being activated *in vitro* during conditions like HCD (Morrison et al. 2001; Sherman and Gutmann 2001; Lallemand et al. 2003; McClatchey and Giovannini 2005; Xiao et al. 2005). Of the selected clones, significant decreases in merlin suppression compared to the control clone (MeT5a/CNT9) were found at both LCD and HCD depending on the clone.
FIGURE 8

a) *NF2* mRNA targets of the five Mission shRNA vectors used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>shRNA</th>
<th>Start (b.p.)</th>
<th>End (b.p.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39976</td>
<td>1,191</td>
<td>1,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39977</td>
<td>1,944</td>
<td>1,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39975</td>
<td>1,290</td>
<td>1,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39974</td>
<td>2,092</td>
<td>2,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39973</td>
<td>4,648</td>
<td>4,668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Merlin suppression at LCD and HCD.
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Figure 8. MeT5a cells treated with NF2 shRNA.

Five shRNA designs were targeted against different regions of the NF2 mRNA transcript (8a). Following selection of stably-transfected MeT5a cells, clones were selected and then analyzed for amount of merlin suppression as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells using Western blot analysis. The amount of merlin suppression was quantified using densitometry normalized to α-Tubulin (8b). Mean fold changes of merlin expression for each clone vs. MeT5a/CNT9 were: 9973-6 LCD=0.657±0.305, HCD=0.507±0.035*; 9974-5 LCD=0.234±0.129*, HCD=0.353±0.161; 9977-2 LCD=0.246±0.103*, HCD=0.463±0.195.

Protein expression changes are representative of three individual experiments. *p<0.05 using unpaired t-test.
Table 2. shRNA Sequences Directed Against NF2 mRNA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>shRNA Name</th>
<th>Clone ID</th>
<th>Target Region</th>
<th>shRNA Target Set Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9973*</td>
<td>NM_000268.2-4648s1c1</td>
<td>3UTR</td>
<td>CCGGCGGGGCTTTTGGTTTCTTTCTTTACTCGAGTAAAGAAGGAAACAAAGCCCGGTTTTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9974*</td>
<td>NM_000268.2-2092s1c1</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>CCGGGGCTCTGGATATTCTGCACAATCTCGAGATTGTGCAGAAATATCCAGAGCTTTTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9975</td>
<td>NM_000268.2-1290s1c1</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>CCGGGCTTCGTGTTAATAAGCTGATCTCGAGATCAGCTATTACACGAAGCTTTTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9976</td>
<td>NM_000268.2-1191s1c1</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>CCGGGCCCTTGGAATGAAATCCGAAACTCGAGTTTCGGATTTTCAATCCACCAGGTTTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9977*</td>
<td>NM_000268.2-1944s1c1</td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>CCGGCGACTTCAAAGATACTGACATCTCGAGATGTCAGTATCTTTGAAGTTCGTTTTT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Clones generated from these sequence targets were used for further analysis.
Under *in vitro* conditions of HCD, normal cells will typically enter a quiescent state due to either depletion of nutrients provided in the media or limited space to proliferate. Merlin has previously been show to play a role in contact inhibition of growth, possibly through CD44 binding (Shaw et al. 1998b; Morrison et al. 2001). Suppression of merlin in MeT5a cells allowed for continued increase in cell density at confluence during growth curve analysis (Figure 9). While the growth rate of *NF2*-shRNA MeT5a clones slowed, the cell number at days 10, 14, and 18 were significantly higher than those for MeT5a/CNT9 cells. In comparison, MeT5a/CNT9 cells reached maximum growth after 10 days, and then appeared to reach a plateau phase of growth. These results indicate a role for merlin in maintaining normal growth in a mesothelial cell model.
FIGURE 9
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Figure 9. Growth curve analysis of MeT5a/CNT9 and NF2-shRNA transduced MeT5a cells.

As an initial analysis of cellular phenotype following shRNA suppression, growth curves were used to determine changes related to both contact inhibition of growth as well as possible proliferation rate changes. Clones generated from MeT5a transductions were plated in triplicate in 6 well plates to be counted on days 1, 4, 7, 10, 14, and 18, and average numbers from triplicate wells were used for statistical analysis. Although there was not an apparent increase in proliferation during growth phase of the growth curve, there were significant differences in saturation densities between NF2-shRNA transduced clones as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells.

n=3

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001 using a Two-way ANOVA.
The effect that merlin activation has on downstream signaling pathways in mesothelial cells is not well understood. The loss of contact inhibition of growth as seen in the growth curves suggest that normal cell cycle control mechanisms, such as proliferation and/or cell cycle exit through either apoptosis or cell cycle arrest, have been disrupted. Bromodeoxyuridine (BrDU) was used to analyze alterations in cell cycle progression, as BrDU is incorporated into proliferating cells during S phase of the cell cycle when DNA replication takes place. To determine if merlin suppression changed the ability of cells to proliferate during conditions of high cellular density (HCD), which occurs at the plateau phase of the growth curve (Figure 9), cells were incubated with BrDU for 24 hours. All merlin-suppressed clones showed an increase in BrDU incorporation, indicating that merlin suppression results in either an increased rate of proliferation or an increase in the amount of cells capable of transitioning from G1 to S phase (Figure 10a and 10b). To exclude the possibility that cell number at the time of seeding might influence these results, two different seeding densities were used. Differences in seeding number did not change the increased ability of the NF2-suppressed clones to continue proliferating after reaching confluence.

To further assess possible proliferative advantages of merlin suppression under conditions of cellular stress, BrDU uptake was measured following exposure to 5 J/m² of ultraviolet radiation (UV). There was only a slight increase in BrDU uptake in NF2-shRNA clones as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells plated at 10,000 cells/well. NF2-shRNA clones cells plated at 20,000 cells/well were still capable of DNA replication following exposure to UV as observed through an
increase in uptake of BrDU as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells, although these values were not significant. The ability to proliferate even under conditions of cellular stress is evidence that merlin suppression has pushed these mesothelial cells towards a more transformed phenotype, possibly related to alterations in the p53 cell cycle checkpoint.
FIGURE 10

a) Basal BrDU uptake in MeT5a clones.

b) BrDU uptake in MeT5a clones following UV exposure.
Figure 10. Alterations in BrDU incorporation in MeT5a cells.

Cells were plated at 10,000 cells/well, which is confluent in a 96 well plate. NF2-shRNA clones showed a significant increase in BrDU uptake as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells. Even at an increased cellular density, NF2-shRNA still were able to proliferate at an increased rate as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells, with significant values achieved in clones 9974-5 and 9977-2 (10a). After exposure to 5 Joules/cm² UV, although there was little change in the amount of BrDU incorporation in cells plated at 10,000 cells/well, there was an increase in the amount of BrDU uptake in NF2-shRNA cells compared to MeT5a/CNT9 although these values were not significant (10b).

n=3. *p<0.05 and **p<0.01 using a Two-way ANOVA.
MDM2 plays a major role in p53 degradation, and there is evidence that has linked merlin to MDM2 degradation in mouse fibroblast 3T3 cells (Kim et al. 2004). We analyzed the result of merlin suppression on changes in the levels of MDM2 expression using western blot analysis, and found that in NF2-suppressed clones there was an increase in MDM2 expression as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells, especially at LCD (Figure 11). This increase in MDM2 may result in increased p53 degradation and thus a decrease in p53 activity, which may explain the continual BrDU uptake at both HCD and after UV exposure.
Figure 11. Protein expression changes in MDM2 expression.

Alterations if the protein expression levels of MDM2 in all MeT5a clones were analyzed via Western blot analysis. *NF2*-suppressed clones had increased expression of MDM2 as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells, which was more pronounced during LCD conditions. Protein expression changes were quantified using densitometry normalized to α-Tubulin. Mean fold changes of MDM2 expression for each clone vs. MeT5a/CNT9 were: 9973-6 LCD=2.902±1.245, HCD=2.222±0.662; 9974-5 LCD=4.646±2.682, HCD=1.613±0.347; 9977-2 LCD=4.238±2.982, HCD=1.127±0.0.090. Protein expression changes are representative of three individual experiments. While there were no significant changes found using One-way ANOVA, these data do suggest a trend towards increased MDM2 expression in merlin suppressed clones.
We further analyzed protein expression changes in key cell cycle genes that act at the G₁-S phase transition point that may be affected by merlin suppression. Merlin has been shown to inhibit Cyclin D1, which has a critical role in allowing cells to driving cells into S phase of the cell cycle (Xiao et al. 2005). Cyclin D1 is a critical integrators of mitogenic signals for cell cycle progression, thus inhibition of the protein could result in fewer cycling cells (Diehl 2002; Vermeulen et al. 2003). At both LCD and HCD, there was an increase in Cyclin D1 protein expression in all NF2-shRNA clones as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells however these increases were not significant (Figure 12a).

In addition, we assessed expression changes in the cell cycle checkpoint gene, p21(CDKNa). DNA stress is detected as cells are preparing to move from the phase G₁ of the cell cycle into S phase, p53 acts as a direct transcription factor for the p21 gene to initiate cell cycle arrest (el-Deiry et al. 1993; Harper et al. 1993; el-Deiry et al. 1994). As cell:cell contact increases in a HCD in vitro environment, this results in cellular stress that may activate p53 and subsequently p21. At both LCD and HCD, p21 was decreased in clones 9974-5 and 9977-2 as compared to MeT5a/CNT9, while there was little change in clone 9973-6 (Figure 12b). Although there was no significant differences found, these results begin to indicate that merlin may be upstream of p53.
FIGURE 12

a) Immunoblot of Cyclin D1 expression.
b) Immunoblot of p21 expression.
Figure 12. Protein expression in genes involved in cell cycle progression.

Western blot analysis was used to assess protein expression changes in genes involved in cell cycle progression. In all NF2-shRNA suppressed clones there was an increase in Cyclin D1 expression as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells, although these increases were not significant. At HCD, the amount of Cyclin D1 expression decreased slightly as compared to values seen at LCD (12a). Protein expression changes were quantified using densitometry normalized to α-Tubulin. Mean fold changes for Cyclin D1 expression for each clone vs. MeT5a/CNT9: 9973-6 LCD=1.648±1.131, HCD=0.895±0.130; 9974-5 LCD=1.522±0.298, HCD=1.240±0.225; 9977-2 LCD=1.533±0.255, HCD=1.553±0.0.538.

There was a decrease in p21 expression as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells at both LCD and HCD although not significant (12b). Protein expression changes were quantified using densitometry normalized to α-Tubulin. Mean fold changes for p21 expression for each clone vs. MeT5a/CNT9: 9973-6 LCD=0.551±0.325, HCD=0.433±0.189; 9974-5 LCD=1.012±0.375, HCD=0.8564±0.369; 9977-2 LCD=0.561±0.214, HCD=0.601±0.0.388.

Protein expression changes are representative of three individual experiments.
We also determined if cell cycle exit through apoptosis had been affected in response to decreased merlin. Exposure to UV radiation is a proven method for inducing apoptosis through p53-dependent pathways, primarily by acting as a direct transcription factor for the pro-apoptotic Bcl2 family member, Bax (Maltzman and Czyzyk 1984). As a measure of apoptosis, DNA fragmentation was quantified 24 hours post-UV exposure. *NF2*-shRNA suppressed clones had a significant decrease in the amount of apoptotic induction following UV exposure as compared to the apoptotic response seen in MeT5a-CNT9 cells (Figure 13a). Additionally, Bax protein expression changes were quantified 3 hours post-UV exposure (Figure 13b). Fold induction of Bax protein in *NF2*-shRNA suppressed clones was decreased in both basally and in UV-exposed samples compared to MeT5a/CNT9 Bax protein expression. This suggests that p53 is functioning in MeT5a/CNT9 cells as its downstream target, Bax, is activated. Even partial suppression of merlin altered this p53 responsive element indicating that merlin is an essential element for effective p53 function.
FIGURE 13

a) Apoptotic induction following UV exposure.

b) Bax protein expression changes.
Figure 13. Changes in apoptotic response of MeT5a clones after UV exposure.

The ability to respond to apoptosis induction was analyzed by a DNA fragmentation assay (TiterTacs, Trevigen) 24 hours post-exposure to 5J/cm² UV. 

NF2-shRNA clones showed a significant decrease in the percent of apoptosis following exposure as compared to MeT5a/CNT9 cells (13a). A 3 hour UV exposure was also used to induce Bax protein expression, which can be correlated to p53 activity. Western blot analysis indicates that MeT5a/CNT9 cells express more Bax protein than NF2-shRNA clones (13b). Protein expression changes were quantified using densitometry normalized to α-Tubulin. Mean fold changes for Bax expression for each clone vs. MeT5a/CNT9: 9973-6 Basal=0.487±0.085*, UV=0.407±0.0.090*; 9974-5 Basal=0.218±0.218, UV=0.619±0.028**; 9977-2 Basal=0.834±0.311, UV=0.681±0.0.076.

n=3 for TiterTacs. **p<0.01 using One-way ANOVA for TiterTacs.

Protein expression changes are representative of three individual experiments. *p<0.05 using unpaired t-test for Western blot fold change analysis.
Conclusions

Genetic mutations that result in the disruption of cell-signaling events, such as those that interfere with cell proliferation or contact inhibition of growth, can have extreme consequences (Hanahan and Weinberg 2000; Evan and Vousden 2001). When considering neoplastic development, altering these tightly regulated cellular processes results in uncontrolled cell growth as well as potential metastatic behavior (Tachibana et al. 2005; Johansson and Persson 2008). A non-cancerous pleural mesothelial cell line was used to assess cell-cycle alterations and protein expression changes that take place following merlin suppression that could contribute to mesothelioma development. Here we have shown that NF2 contributes to dysregulation of cellular activities in mesothelial cells. Suppression of merlin resulted in loss of contact inhibition of growth as well as an increased ability to progress through the cell cycle, even in the presence of cellular stress. To this end, we found that merlin plays a crucial role in cell cycle exit, as partial suppression of merlin resulted in blockage of both apoptotic and cell cycle arrest mechanisms.

Interactions that can take place between the membrane and the cytoskeleton may be an avenue that allows merlin to react to regulatory cues signaling downstream genes for growth arrest (Tsukita et al. 1994; Sainio et al. 1997; Sherman et al. 1997; Shaw et al. 1998a; McClatchey and Giovannini 2005; Curto and McClatchey 2008). Merlin—also known as Moesin, Ezrin, and/or Radixin-like protein—is a member of the ERM family of proteins. Merlin and the
ERMs share certain structural similarities, and are a part of the superfamily band 4.1 because of the conserved four-point one, ezrin, radixin, moesin (FERM) domain (Shaw et al. 1998a; Lim et al. 2000; Morrison et al. 2001; Sun et al. 2002; McClatchey 2003). The FERM domain may be a linker between the membrane and the cytoskeleton, and ERMs are thought to be involved in the organization of cortical membrane domains that interface with the extracellular environment linking membrane-associated proteins to intracellular components (Shaw et al. 1998b; Curto and McClatchey 2008). Localization to the cytoskeletal membrane after activation suggests that, by mere proximity, merlin is not likely to directly interact with cell cycle regulators but instead may signal upstream of such regulators to inhibit cell cycle progression (McClatchey and Giovannini 2005). This upstream regulation has implications for the role of NF2 in cell cycle control, such that merlin may be involved in both cell cycle exit and cell proliferation through p53 regulatory mechanisms. When NF2 is mutated, merlin is incapable of localizing to cell membrane surfaces and therefore cannot respond to such environmental cues to signal p53 activity (Curto and McClatchey 2008).

Alterations in the cellular response to increased cell:cell contact following suppression of merlin suggests that the inactivation of NF2 may directly or indirectly influence the negative regulation of p53, contributing significantly to tumor progression. NF2-shRNA cells had a significant advantage in their ability to progress through the cell cycle as compare to MeT5a/CNT9 cells. In the MeT5a/CNT9 cells, inadequate space for cellular division to take place, as well as lack of nutrients due to increased rate of consumption, were sufficient to
activate p53 and initiate cell cycle exit. Although no change was found in p53 protein expression between following merlin suppression (data not shown), the increase in protein expression of two p53-regulated response elements (p21 and Bax) in MeT5a/CNT9 cells is indicative of this p53 activity. Conversely, the decrease in p53 function in the MeT5a-NF2-shRNA clones suggests that NF2 may be involved upstream in p53 signaling pathways. This decrease in p53 activity resulted in a decrease in the ability to exit the cell cycle through apoptosis as well as exit through cell cycle arrest, contributing to the increased proliferation seen at HCD.

The exact mechanisms by which merlin may control p53 activity has yet to be established. Kim et al. 2004 demonstrated a tight link between the merlin and MDM2, ultimately leading to the dysregulation of p53. In this report, it was shown that merlin is responsible for MDM2 degradation using 3T3 fibroblast cells (Kim et al. 2004). MDM2 is involved in an autoregulatory feedback loop with p53, whereby p53 is a transcription factor for MDM2 after which MDM2 can then ubiquitinate p53, tagging it for degradation (Levine 1997; Zhang et al. 1998; Michael and Oren 2002; Brooks and Gu 2006; Zhang et al. 2008). Here we have shown that merlin resulted in increased MDM2 expression in mesothelial cells as well, which is an unexplored avenue for tumor progression in mesothelioma tumors.

Also contributing to cell cycle progression in NF2-suppressed cells is increased Cyclin D1 expression. The D-type cyclins are one of the necessary components involved in entry into S phase of the cell cycle. One of the molecular
targets of Cyclin D1 is RB, responsible for phosphorylation RB allowing cells to transition into S phase (Lukas et al. 1994; Resnitzky and Reed 1995; Shackelford et al. 1999; Bali et al. 2004; Tachibana et al. 2005). RB, like TP53, is another tumor suppressor gene rarely found mutated in mesothelioma tumors (Rouleau et al. 1993; Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005; Kumar and Kratzke 2005). Further investigation of this pathway will provide more insight into merlin cell cycle regulation, in particular its role in the G₁ to S phase transition. If merlin is also upstream of RB, inactivation of merlin could be a critical step allowing cells to continue proliferation.

Here we have shown that merlin plays in cell cycle control includes alterations regulatory cues of both cell cycle exit mechanisms, including both apoptosis and cell cycle arrest, as well as proliferation in mesothelial cells. The vast array of cellular processes that are disrupted by decreased merlin expression strengthens our knowledge of the role NF2 plays as a critical regulator of cell growth. In particular, our finding that the p53 response to UV damage is negatively regulated by merlin suppression provides novel insight into the molecular mechanisms involved in merlin activation. Thus, the processes involved in the activation, translocation, and regulation of merlin can ultimately affect p53 activity. Therefore future studies aimed at delineating merlin interacting molecules and their functional relationships will be critical for understanding the NF2/p53 pathway.
Methods

Cell Culture

The MeT5a cell line was grown in Medium199 with Earle's BSS, 0.75 mM L-glutamine and 1.25 g/L sodium bicarbonate supplemented with 3.3 nM epidermal growth factor (EGF), 400 nM hydrocortisone, 870 nM insulin, 20 mM HEPES, 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin streptomycin, sodium pyruvate, and non-essential amino acids. Following transduction with lentiviral vectors, the addition of puromycin (1.8 µg/ml) to the media was used for selection of stably-transduced clones.

Lentiviral shRNA Virus Production and Cell Transductions

MeT5a cells were transduced with 5 different Mission shRNA constructs (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), each targeted against a different region of NF2 mRNA. MeT5a cells were also transduced with the PLKO.1 Mission shRNA control plasmid (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), which consists of a scrambled insert sequence that is BLAST negative. Generated clones were screened to determine the amount of merlin suppression at 70% confluence. NF2 suppressed clones chosen to use for further analysis had a decrease in merlin expression ranging from 45-80% as compared to a control plasmid transduced MeT5a cells.

Growth Curves

Cells were plated into 6 well plates at 10,000 cells per well. Cells were covered with 3 mL of media and incubated at 37°C. Media was changed every 3 days.
At each time point, cells were counted in triplicate (3 wells of cells per count) using a hemacytometer.

**ImmunoBlots**

Cells were plated at 90,000 cells/well into 6 well plates for LCD and 300,000 cells/well for HCD and incubated 24 hours. At time of protein harvest, LCD samples were ~60% confluent for LCD and HCD samples were >90%. At the time of protein harvest, media was removed and cells were washed with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). Protein was harvested in PBS-TDS lysis buffer (0.1% Triton X-100; 12.6 mM Sodium deoxycholate; 3.7 mM SDS; 1 mM EDTA; and 0.2 mM PMSF containing phosphatase and protease inhibitors (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO)). Protein levels were measured using the BioRad Protein DC assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Samples were loaded onto a 4-12% Bis-Tris Nupage gel (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) then transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Membranes were blocked and the primary antibody added. Following incubation with the primary antibody, membranes were washed with PBS and Tween-20 (0.1% Tween-20) (PBS-T(0.1%)), and a goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody was added. Membranes were washed with PBS-T (0.1%) prior to chemiluminescence detection using the Immobilon Detection kit (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Blots were stripped and reprobed for α-Tubulin as a loading control. Antibodies used include: NF2 (A19), MDM2 (2A10), and p21 (C-19) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA; Cyclin D1 (DCS-6) and Bax (AM32) from Calbiochem, San Diego, CA; and α-Tubulin from Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO.
BrdU Incorporation Assay

Cells were plated in triplicate in two 96 well plates (one for exposure, one for no exposure control) at cellular densities of 10,000 cells/well and 20,000 cells/well. 24 hours after plating, media was removed and replaced with 50 µl PBS and exposed to UV. Immediately following exposure, PBS was removed and replaced with fresh media with BrDU was added. Cells were placed in incubator for 24 hours, the amount of BrDU labeled DNA was quantified following the manufacturer's protocol (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA).

UV Exposure

For experiments utilizing UV exposure to induce DNA damage for protein expression changes, cells were plated in 6 well culture dishes at 90,000 cells/well. The next day, media was removed and wells were covered with 500 µl PBS. Cells were placed in a Stratagene Stratalinker 1800 with no plate covers and then exposed to 5J/m². Following exposure, PBS was removed and replaced with media. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 3 hours, media was removed, cells were washed with PBS, and protein was harvested in protein lysis buffer as previously described.

For apoptosis and BrDU UV exposures, media was removed from incubated cells and replace with 100ul of PBS. After cells were placed in the Stratagene Stratalinker 1800, covers of plates were removed and plates were then exposed to 5J/m². PBS was removed and replaced with fresh, and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.
**TiterTacs Apoptosis Assay**

Cells were plated in triplicate in two 96 well plates (one plate for UV exposure, one plate served as no exposure control) at 40,000 cells/well. 48 hours after plating, cells were exposed to either UV or Camptothecin. TiterTacs (Trevigen, Helgerman, CT) was used according to manufacturers protocol to assess DNA fragmentation.


CHAPTER 2

Differential Protein Expression in Human Mesothelioma Cell Lines and Alterations in Cell Growth Following Reintroduction of NF2 Protein

Abstract

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is an extremely aggressive form of cancer with a strong link to asbestos exposure. Patients diagnosed with MPM have a very poor prognosis with a median survival time of approximately 2 years. One of the most commonly inactivated tumor suppressor genes during the course of mesothelioma development is Neurofibromatosis Type 2 (NF2). Additionally, TP53 mutations are rare in MPM, suggesting that aberrant p53 function may be due to upstream alterations in its regulation. In this study, we assessed differences in the expression of proteins with a known link to TP53 activity in human mesothelioma cell lines and a nonmalignant mesothelial cell line. Cell cycle alterations were analyzed in response to full-length NF2 gene construct introduction using a human MPM cell line that does not express Merlin, the protein product of NF2.
The *TP53* tumor suppressor gene is arguably the most important tumor suppressor gene involved in the development of human cancer (Lane 1992; Levine 1997; Oren 1999). While *TP53* is mutated in a majority of human cancers, a significant number of patients with no detectable mutations still go on to develop cancer (Danovi et al. 2004). This suggests that genetic or functional aberrations in genes that regulate p53, the protein product of *TP53*, are a factor in human cancers that retain wild-type *TP53*, including MPM.

MPM is an aggressive cancer with a complex etiology. Mesothelioma tumors form in the mesothelial layer lining certain body cavities, the most common of these occurrences is in the pleural mesothelial layers that encase the lung. Patients diagnosed with these relatively rare cancers have a poor prognosis, with patients often succumbing to the disease within 2 years post-diagnosis (Guinee and Allen 2008). It is hypothesized that in MPM, an accumulation of mutations in tumor suppressor genes, such as *p16/INK4a* (*CDKN2A*) and *p14/ARF* (*CDKN2B*) on 9p, and Neurofibromatosis type 2 (*NF2*) on 22q, occur during the latency period of 20-40 years following exposure to asbestos (Altomare et al. 2005; Kumar et al. 2005). Mutations in the *NF2* gene occur at a particularly high frequency in MPM, whereas mutations in *TP53* are rare (Sekido et al. 1995; Murthy and Testa 1999). Kim and colleagues (2004) demonstrated in 3T3 mouse fibroblast cells that inactivation of *NF2* can indirectly affect p53 function through degradation of MDM2, a negative regulator of p53.
This regulatory pathway of p53 has not yet been examined in human mesothelial cells.

In order to develop a more effective treatment strategy for patients with MPM, as well as other cancers involving wild-type TP53, we must gain a more thorough understanding of the molecular changes that occur during disease development. Analysis of four pleural mesothelial cell lines, nonmalignant and malignant, revealed a dramatic difference in expression of the p53 protein as well as a possible link between merlin, the protein product of NF2, and MDM2 protein expression. We further analyzed cell cycle changes after reintroduction of a full-length version of NF2 in a merlin-negative mesothelioma cell line.
Results

The TP53 tumor suppressor gene is of central importance in the regulation of cell proliferation and/or cell death following DNA damage. The activity of p53 is tightly regulated in response to cell signaling molecules, including the negative regulator MDM2, and its structural analog, MDM4 (Marine and Jochemsen 2005; Brooks and Gu 2006). Using the pleural nonmalignant mesothelial cell line, MeT5a, and the pleural mesothelioma cell lines, H-meso-1, H2052, and H28, we analyzed protein expression changes using Western blots. We did not observe a significant change in the expression of MDM4 among the cell lines in this study (Figure 14a and 14b), however there is an increased MDM2 expression in the H-meso-1 cell line when compared to MeT5a (Figure 14a and 14c). In addition, there is a significant decrease in the expression of p53 in the tumor cell lines when compared to the nonmalignant mesothelial cell line (Figure 14a and 14d). Interestingly, p53 expression in the H-meso1 cell line is nearly undetectable and significantly lower than both the H28 and H2052 lines, respectively. Of particular interest, merlin is undetectable in the H-meso1 cells (Figure 14a and 14e).
Figure 14

a) Immunoblots for merlin and MDM2 expression.

b) Densitometry analysis of immunoblots for MDM4 expression.

c) Densitometry analysis of immunoblots for MDM2 expression.
d) Densitometry analysis for p53 expression.
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e) Densitometry analysis for merlin expression.
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Figure 14. Immunoblots of protein expression between the MeT5a and mesothelioma cell lines.

Protein expression changes were analyzed via Western blot. The H-meso1 cell line had no detectable NF2 expression (14a and 14b). While there was increased expression of merlin in the H2052 and H28 cell lines as compared to MeT5a, the differences were not significant (14b). A trend towards increasing expression of MDM2 was seen in the H-meso-1 cell line, although the differences were not significant (14a and 14c). The MeT5a line had significantly higher expression of p53 than all three mesothelioma cell lines (Figure 14d and 14e). Both the H2052 and the H28 lines had significantly higher expression of p53 than the H-meso-1 cells (14d and 14e).

*p<0.05 and indicates a significant difference when compared to MeT5a.

^p<0.05 and indicates a significant difference when compared to H2052 and H28. Protein expression changes were quantified using densitometry normalized to β-Actin or α-Tubulin. All statistics were conducted using densitometry from three blots from independent experiments using unpaired t-test.
To investigate cellular growth conditions and specific downstream molecular targets that may result from aberrant merlin expression, we re-introduced *NF2* in the H-meso-1 cell line. In order to achieve consistent levels of merlin expression and to achieve expression for growth curve analysis (21 days), it was important to utilize a stable transfection technique. An H-meso1 cell line already containing a Tet-repressor vector was transfected with either a pTREX control vector or a pTREX vector with a full-length open reading frame version of *NF2* (IOH23119, *NF2 Complete CDS*, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Clones positive for merlin or the control vector were selected using G418. The H-meso-1 clones for the control vector (H-meso-1/CNT) or NF2 (H-meso-1/NF2), were analyzed for merlin expression using Western blot analysis (Figure 15a).

To determine the effect that merlin re-expression had on MDM2, we also analyzed changes in MDM2 protein levels in the transfected H-meso-1 clones. It has been shown that merlin is activated *in vitro* during conditions like high cellular density (HCD). (Morrison et al. 2001; Sherman and Gutmann 2001; Lallemand et al. 2003; McClatchey and Giovannini 2005; Xiao et al. 2005) Thus, protein was harvested from cells plated at both low cellular density (LCD) and high cellular density (HCD) to assess protein expression changes dependent upon merlin activity. H-meso-1/NF2 cells showed a slight decrease in MDM2 protein levels at HCD when compared to the H-meso-1/CNT cells, although these values were not significant (Figure 15b). Next, we were interested in whether or not these alterations in merlin and MDM2 protein would have an effect on p53 status and cellular phenotype.
FIGURE 15

a) Merlin expression in H-meso-1 cells.

b) MDM2 expression in H-meso-1 cells.
Figure 15. Western blot of NF2 and MDM2 expression changes in H-meso-1 cells.

Protein was harvested from H-meso-1/NF2 and H-meso-1/CNT cells plated at LCD and HCD to follow protein level changes related to merlin activity status. Harvested lysates were analyzed via Western blot analysis for merlin expression verification (15a) and MDM2 expression changes (15b). At HCD, when merlin is activated, there a slight decrease in MDM2 protein levels which could be related to the increase merlin expression and/or activity. All statistics were conducted using densitometry from three blots from independent experiments using unpaired t-test.
We used growth curves to determine whether there were alterations in the cell cycle following reintroduction of NF2 in the H-meso-1 cell line. Growth curves are a useful tool for assessing changes in both growth rate and saturation density in vitro, the latter being a good indicator of the degree to which a cell has been transformed. At the plateau phase of cell growth, there was a decrease in saturation density in H-Meso-1/NF2 clones as compared to H-meso-1/CNT cells (Figure 16). In addition, we found that the H-meso-1/NF2 cells show a shift in the log phase portion of the growth curve, suggesting that either H-meso-1/NF2 cells were undergoing cell cycle arrest or that growth rate itself had slowed.
Figure 16. Growth curves of H-meso-1/CNT and H-meso-1/NF2 cells.

Cells for each clone type were plated in triplicate into 12 well plates for each time point to be analyzed. Every three days, cells were counted and average values were used for statistical analysis. Merlin re-expression in H-meso-1 cells results in a decreased rate of growth as indicated by a shift in the log phase of the curve of H-meso-1/NF2-2 cells compared to the H-meso-1/CNT-4 log phase curve. In addition, there is a slight decrease in saturation density of H-meso-1/NF2-2 cells compared to the saturation density of the H-meso-1/CNT-4 cells.

Data were analyzed using a

n=3 for days 1-21, n=4 for days 1-18.
In order to confirm that growth rate had been altered following merlin expression, cells were labeled with BrDU. During DNA replication, BrDU is incorporated into newly synthesized DNA strands and can be correlated with the level of cellular proliferation. BrDU was added to the media and allowed to incubate for 3 hours, 12 hours, or 24 hours. The amount of BrDU incorporation was measured using an antibody directed against BrDU. At each time point, H-meso-1/CNT cells had incorporated significantly more BrDU as compared to H-meso-1/NF2 cells (Figure 17a, 17b, and 17c). This is supportive of the growth curve data that show H-meso-1/NF2 cells proliferate at a decreased rate compared to H-meso-1/CNT cells.
FIGURE 17

a) 3 Hour BrDU Label

b) 12 Hour BrDU Label

c) 24 Hour BrDU Label
Figure 17. BrDU incorporation in H-meso-1 clones with or without merlin expression.

As a measure of DNA synthesis, incorporated BrDU was measured immunochemically. The amount of BrDU incorporation was significantly lower in H-meso-1/TR/NF2-2 clones as compared to H-meso-1/TR/CNT-4 cells at each time point analyzed. This suggests that there was a decrease in the number of cycling cells following NF2 re-expression in the mesothelioma tumor cell line.

* p<0.05 using t-test for each time point.

n=4 for 12 hour time point; n=5 for 3 hour and 24 hour time points.
To further dissect the nature of the cell cycle alteration following merlin reintroduction, measurement of DNA content was performed using flow cytometry. This analysis provides the percentage of cells in $G_1$, $S$, or $G_2M$ phase at different levels of culture confluence. Cells were plated and allowed to incubate for 3 or 6 days. After 3 days, both clone types were at less than 50% confluent and determined to be LCD and the H-meso-1/NF2 cells had significantly more cells in phase $G_1$ compared to H-meso-1/CNT cells (Figure 18a). Although there were fewer cells cycling through $S$ phase in H-meso-1/NF2 cells compared to H-Meso-1/CNT, this difference was not significant (Figure 18b).

After 6 days of growth, both control and NF2 clones were more than 90% confluent and considered to be HCD. At HCD, H-meso-1/NF2 clones had an increase in cells cycling through $G_1$ phase of the cell cycle, as well as a decrease in the number of cells cycling through $S$ phase of the cell cycle compared to H-meso-1/CNT cells. Both of these changes in cell cycle were highly significant (Figures 18d and 18e, respectively). There was no change in the number of cells in $G_2M$ of the cell cycle at either LCD or HCD (Figure 18c and 18f, respectively). These DNA content results suggested that H-meso-1/NF2 cells are less able to exit $G_1$. 
FIGURE 18

a) LCD G1 Phase

b) LCD S Phase

c) LCD G2 Phase

d) HCD G1 Phase

e) HCD S Phase

f) HCD G2 Phase
Figure 18. DNA content analysis of H-meso-1 cells to determine cell cycle phase alterations following merlin re-expression.

DNA content analysis was analyzed on a FACScalibur flow cytometer following propidium iodide staining. After 3 days of incubation, both control or merlin-expressing H-meso-1 cells were less than 50% confluent and termed LCD. There was a significant increase in G\textsubscript{1} cycling cells in H-meso-1/NF2-2 cells compared to H-meso-1/CNT-4 cells (18a). While there was a decrease in H-meso-1/NF2 cells cycling through S phase compared to H-meso-1/CNT-4 cells, this difference was not significant (18b). After 6 days of incubation, both clone types were more than 90% confluent and termed HCD. There were highly significant differences in phases G\textsubscript{1} and S of the cell cycle between the two clone types (18d and 18e). No statistical differences were found in G\textsubscript{2} phase between the H-meso-1 clones.

* p<0.05, *** p<.001 using unpaired t-test.

n=3
The significant increase in cells in G1 phase of the cell cycle in H-meso-1/NF2 cells suggests cell cycle arrest mechanisms may be involved. It is generally thought that p53 initiates cell cycle arrest following DNA damage through the upregulation of p21, for which p53 is a transcription factor. The p21 protein is known to initiate cell cycle arrest (Toledo and Wahl 2006). To investigate if this pathway is involved, protein was harvested from both H-meso-1/NF2 and H-meso-1/CNT cells at LCD and HCD conditions and p21 protein expression was analyzed via Western blot. In the control cell line, there was minimal p21 protein expression and there was no change in expression as cell density increased (Figure 19a). However, in H-meso-1/NF2 cells there was an increase in p21 protein expression under both LCD and HCD conditions as compared to H-meso-1/CNT cells.

Further investigation of p53 activity involved activation of p53 by inducing apoptosis using UV exposure. In response to DNA damage, p53 is a direct transcription factor for the pro-apoptotic member of the Bcl-2 family member, Bax (Miyashita and Reed 1995; Gottlieb and Oren 1998; Kelekar and Thompson 1998). Thus, to induce apoptosis through this p53-related pathway cells were exposed to 5J/m². There was no change in the amount of apoptotic induction measured by the amount of DNA fragmentation 24 hours post-exposure (Figure 19b). An additional exposure method was used to test the possibility that H-meso-1 cells were resistant to UV-induced apoptosis. Cells were exposed to the topoisomerase I inhibitor, camptothecin, and DNA fragmentation assessment showed no change in the induction of apoptosis after a 3 hour time point (Figure
Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity was measured following both exposure methods at varying time points to verify that the correct time point was chosen for measuring apoptosis. Apoptotic induction measured after a 24 hour exposure to UV caused the highest amount of cell death (Figure 19d).

Although there was little change in apoptotic induction between H-meso-1/NF2 and H-meso-1/CNT as assessed by DNA fragmentation assays (Figure 19b and 19c), there was an increase in Bax protein in H-meso-1/NF2 cells before and after UV exposure as compared to H-meso-1/CNT (Figure 19e). Although differences found were not significantly different, the increase in Bax protein expression suggests that p53 function is altered by the ability of the cells to express merlin.

Besides alterations in p53-responsive pathways, NF2 has been shown to inhibit Cyclin D1 (Xiao et al. 2005). Cyclin D1 is associated with the transition from a quiescent state of the cell cycle into S phase (Lukas et al. 1994; Ladha et al. 1998; Diehl 2002; Knudsen et al. 2006). We also found a significant decrease in Cyclin D1 expression following reintroduction of NF2 into the H-meso-1 cell line (Figure 19f), suggesting that not only are cell cycle checkpoints affected by merlin but also proliferation mechanisms are responsive to merlin expression changes.
FIGURE 19.
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d) LDH Activity Following UV or Camptothecin Exposure
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Figure 19. Protein expression in changes in genes involved in cell cycle control.

To investigate p53 activity, protein expression of p53-responsive genes was analyzed using Western blot analysis. At HCD, when cells should exit the cell cycle due to inadequate growth conditions, there was an increase in p21 protein expression in H-meso-1/NF2 cells as compared to H-meso-1/CNT cells (19a). Apoptotic response was measured using DNA fragmentation in response to both 3 hour camptothecin exposure and 24 hours post-UV exposure. Neither treatment-type resulted in apoptosis at the measured time points (Figure 19b and 19c, respectively). LDH activity in H-meso-1/NF2 cells determined that the highest amount of cell death could be measured at 24 hours post-UV exposure. As a measure of p53 response to DNA damage, Bax protein expression was quantified and showed an increased expression in H-meso-1/NF2 cells as compared to H-meso-1/CNT cells both basally and after UV exposure, although this difference was not significant (19e). The G1-S phase regulator, Cyclin D1, showed a decrease in expression following reintroduction of merlin into H-meso-1 cells (19f).

* p<0.05 using unpaired t-test. n=4 for camptothecin-exposed apoptosis assay; n=3 for UV-exposed apoptosis assay.

Protein expression changes were quantified using densitometry normalized to α-Tubulin or GAPDH. All statistics were conducted using densitometry from three blots from independent experiments using unpaired t-test. No significant differences were found.
Conclusions

As TP53 is inactivated by mutation in about half of human cancers analyzed to date, and many times these inactivating mutations are thought to be initiating events in tumor formation, p53 deregulation is thought to be critical for tumor development. MPM patients are generally found to have wild-type TP53 status, despite the severity of the disease (Murthy and Testa 1999). Regulation of p53 function can include interactions with viral proteins, such as SV40 large T interactions, alterations in the levels of cellular proteins that can regulate p53 subcellular localization, for example Jab1, and inactivation of genes which may help to maintain p53 function, including p14/ARF and NF2 Here we have shown that in mesothelial cells, merlin acts upstream of p53, possibly through MDM2, to help maintain function of the gene (Oliner et al. 1992; Moll et al. 1996; Chin et al. 1998; Pomerantz et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 2008).

Activation of p53 is a dynamic process involving induction of signaling molecules needed to induce cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, differentiation, or apoptosis dependent upon the type of DNA damage (May and May 1999). After p53 has carried out its protective role, p53 acts as a transcription factor for its own regulator, MDM2 (Oren 1999). MDM2 works to maintain p53 protein levels and activity through ubiquitination and subsequent degradation (Michael and Oren 2002). In the mesothelial cell lines analyzed, a trend towards increasing MDM2 expression during conditions of reduced merlin expression was apparent. This suggested that a disruption of other regulatory pathways had occurred.
Inactivation of the *NF2* tumor suppressor gene by mutation is most often associated with the cancer syndrome neurofibromatosis type 2. This familial cancer syndrome includes the development of bilateral schwannomas of the eighth cranial nerve, meningiomas, and ependymomas (Martuza and Eldridge 1988; McClatchey 2003). In contrast to these tumors, which are primarily benign cancers, mutations in *NF2* are also frequent in the asbestos-induced cancer, MPM. Interestingly, *TP53* is rarely mutated in at least two of these *NF2*-associated cancers, mesothelioma or meningiomas (Murthy and Testa 1999; Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005). Located on chromosome 22, *NF2* may play a role in maintaining normal cellular growth through many possible signal transduction mechanisms, including CD44 and extracellular matrix, Rac-1 proteins, and MDM2. (Tsukita et al. 1994; Sainio et al. 1997; Sherman and Gutmann 2001; Kim et al. 2004) The trend towards increasing MDM2 expression as merlin expression decreases suggests that merlin may be involved in regulation of MDM2 in mesothelial cells. To examine this possible relationship more closely, we chose to re-express merlin in the H-meso-1 cell line, which lacked merlin expression and had increased MDM2 expression.

Reintroduction of merlin into the H-meso-1 cell line resulted in both a decreased growth rate as well as a decrease in saturation density, indicating strict regulation of growth control. This is consistent with previous reports that merlin is involved in contact inhibition of growth (Morrison et al. 2001). Proliferation assays confirmed these merlin-induced changes in the cell cycle, with significant decreases in BrDU uptake at all time points examined. The
decrease in proliferation at high cellular densities, coupled with the decrease in growth rate were verified by DNA content analysis suggesting G1-S-phase transition had been altered in H-meso-1/NF2 cells. During HCD conditions when merlin is activated, the percentage of H-meso-1/NF2 cells blocked in G1 phase had increased significantly.

As NF2 is a tumor suppressor gene, alterations in cell cycle were not entirely unexpected. Tumor suppressor genes may act at cell-cycle checkpoints to prevent the clonal expansion of aberrant cells through the stimulation of apoptosis, cell-cycle arrest, and DNA repair pathways. However, the increase seen in p21 expression in H-meso-1/NF2 clones as compared to H-meso-1/CNT clones suggest that merlin may be inducing this cell cycle arrest through a p53-regulated pathway. The p21 protein is a member of the CDK family of cell cycle inhibitors and an early cell cycle arrest response molecule (Toledo and Wahl 2006). Abnormalities in the expression of proteins from the CDK inhibitor family, such as p21, have also been described in MPM (Kumar and Kratzke 2005). H-meso-1/NF2 clones showed an increase in p21 expression at HCD as compared to control cells. Although no response in apoptosis was detected in these cells in response to camptothecin or UV exposures, an increase in Bax expression was found in H-meso-1/NF2 cells as compared to H-meso-1/CNT cells. This suggested that p53 function may be increased in H-meso-1 cells after merlin expression and/or activation. This alone may be insufficient to return control to the tumor cell line to allow apoptosis to take place. Multiple tumor suppressor genes are inactivated in mesothelioma, and these experiments involve the
replacement of only one. This renewal of p53 activity correlates with phenotypic changes seen in proliferation, growth curves, and DNA content analysis and is suggestive of a more “nonmalignant” or less transformed cellular phenotype.

In addition to alterations in p53-related cell cycle checkpoints altered, we also found alterations in cyclin D1 expression. Increases in cyclin D1 expression have been implicated in a number of cancers, including mantle cell lymphoma, breast cancer, uveal melanoma, and ovarian cancer (Bartkova et al. 1995; Coupland et al. 2000; Bali et al. 2004). Cyclin D1 is a critical cell cycle regulator of the G1-S-phase transition, responsible for the phosphorylation of RB allowing cells to transition into S phase (Knudsen et al. 2006). The evidence that merlin is upstream of Cyclin D1 and plays a role in inhibition of its activity suggests that NF2, much like the tumor suppressor gene p16/Ink4a, may also be upstream of RB.

Discovered in 1993, NF2 research has increased our knowledge that this tumor suppressor gene is a critical cell cycle regulator, responding to extracellular cues and relating signals to downstream pathways (Trofatter et al. 1993; Tsukita et al. 1994; Sherman et al. 1997; Morrison et al. 2001). As conventional cancer therapies such as radiation treatments, surgery, and chemotherapy have not significantly lengthened the survival time of patients with MPM, it is necessary to understand the molecular interactions that are altered in order to improve patient treatment paradigms (Rusch 1995; Ryan et al. 1998). Continued research of the intricacies involved in both cell cycle control and the regulation of p53 and RB pathways would aid in the search for better patient care.
and earlier diagnosis in not only MPM but other cancer syndromes with similar genetic profiles.
Methods

Cell Culture and Transfections

All mesothelioma cell lines were maintained in RPMI media supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, L-glutamine, and penicillin streptomycin. The MeT5a cell line was grown in Medium199 with Earle's BSS, 0.75 mM L-glutamine and 1.25 g/L sodium bicarbonate supplemented with 3.3 nM epidermal growth factor (EGF), 400 nM hydrocortisone, 870 nM insulin, 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin streptomycin, sodium pyruvate, and non-essential amino acids. Following transfection with pTRex vectors (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), stably transfected H-meso-1 clones had G418 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) added to the above media to maintain transformation of cells. Prior to transfection with the pTRex vectors, the H-meso-1 cell line had been transduced with the Tet-repressor pLenti6/TR vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and were maintained under stable selection for using blasticidin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO). This was done to allow for controlled gene expression of merlin with the addition of doxycycline (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) the media as a preemptive event in case merlin reintroduction would not allow clones to grow. However, this Tet-repressor vector did not function properly and was not necessary as merlin expression was achieved without doxycycline addition to the media. H28, MeT5a, and H2052 were purchased from ATCC, H-meso-1 was purchased from the NCI–Frederick Cancer DCTD tumor/cell line repository and was previously characterized (Reale et al. 1987).
Growth Curves

Cells were plated into 12 well plates at 10,000 cells per well. Cells were covered with 2 mL of media and incubated at 37°C. Media was changed every 3 days. At each time point, cells were counted in triplicate (3 wells of cells per count) using a hemacytometer.

DNA Content

For low cell density (LCD) and high cell density (HCD) experiments, cells were plated into 10 cm dishes at 300,000 cells/well for LCD and 1*10^6 cells/well for HCD samples. Cells were incubated over night at 37°C. Plates were washed with 5 ml of phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and treated with 2 ml of trypsin for 2-5 minutes at 37°C. After cells had lifted off the plate, 5 ml of PBS was added and cells were carefully harvested and then transferred to a 15 ml conical tube. Cells were centrifuged at 200*g for 6 min at room temperature (RT), followed by two washes with PBS, centrifuging between washes at 200*g for 6 min. Cells were resuspended in 500 μl of PBS, and fixed by adding 4.5 ml of ice cold 70% ethanol dropwise while gently vortexing. Samples were stored at -20°C at least 24 hours. Ethanol fixed samples were centrifuged at 200*g for 6 min at RT, followed by two washes with 5 ml PBS. Pellets were resuspended in 250 μl of propidium iodide/Triton-X 100 solution (0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 in 5 ml PBS, DNAse-free RNAse A (0.2 mg/ml) (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and Propidium Iodide (0.02 mg/ml) (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Samples were incubated for 30 min. at RT, and then analyzed on a BD FACSCalibur. Fluorescence was read in the FL2 channel, which has a
585/402 nm filter, set and cells were excited with a 488 nm laser. Data was acquired with CellQuest version 3.3 and analyzed with ModFit version 3.1.

**ImmunoBlots**

Protein harvested from mesothelial cell lines was done by Dr. Melisa Bunderson Schelvan. Dr. Schelvan was also responsible for the contribution of data collected in the p53 and MDM4 Western Blot (Figure 14a). Cells were plated at 90,000 cells/well into 6 well plates for LCD and 300,000 cells/well for HCD and incubated 24 hours. At time of protein harvest, LCD samples were ~60% confluent for LCD and HCD samples were >90%. At the time of protein harvest, media was removed and cells were washed with PBS. Cells were harvested in a PBS-TDS lysis buffer (0.1% Triton X-100; 12.6 mM Sodium deoxycholate; 3.7 mM SDS; 1 mM EDTA; and 0.2 mM PMSF containing phosphatase and protease inhibitors (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO). Protein levels were measured using the BioRad Protein DC assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Samples were loaded onto a 4-12% Bis-Tris Nupage gel (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) then transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Membranes were blocked and the primary antibody added. Following incubation with the primary antibody, membranes were washed with PBS and Tween-20 (0.1% Tween-20) (PBS-t (0.1%)), and a goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody was added. Membranes were washed with PBS-T (0.1%) prior to chemiluminescence detection using the Immobilon Detection kit (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Blots were stripped and reprobed for α-Tubulin as a loading control. Antibodies used include: MDM2 (2A10), p21 (C-19), NF2 (A19), and
p53 (DO-1) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA; Bax (AM32) from Calbiochem, San Diego, CA; α-Tubulin and β-actin from Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO; and GAPDH from (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA).

**Bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) Uptake Assay**

Cell were seeded at a density of 10,000 cells/well in a 96-well plate and allowed to attach overnight. BrdU labeling reagent was added and cells were allowed to incubate for 3h, 12h, or 24h. The BrdU Cell Proliferation Assay was completed following the manufacturers protocol (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA).

**UV Exposure**

For experiments utilizing UV exposure to induce DNA damage for protein expression changes, cells were plated in 6 well culture dishes at 90,000 cells/well. The next day, media was removed and wells were covered with 500 μl PBS. Cells were placed in a Stratagene Stratalinker 1800 with no plate covers and then exposed to 5J/m². Following exposure, PBS was removed and replaced with media. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 3 hours, media was removed, cells were washed with PBS, and protein was harvested in protein lysis buffer as previously described.

For apoptosis UV exposures, media was removed from incubated cells and replace with 100μl of PBS. After cells were placed in the Stratagene Stratalinker 1800, covers of plates were removed and plates were then exposed to 5J/m². PBS was removed and replaced with fresh, and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.
Camptothecin Exposure

Camptothecin was prepared in DMSO at 20 mg/ml. For exposure, the prepared solution was diluted into regular media to 6 ug/ml. At time of exposure, media was removed from wells, cells were washed once with 100 µL of PBS, and 100 µL of camptothecin-media was added to the wells. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 3 hours.

TiterTacs Apoptosis Assay

Cells were plated in triplicate in two 96 well plates (one plate for UV exposure, one plate served as no exposure control) at 40,000 cells/well. 48 hours after plating, cells were exposed to either UV or Camptothecin. TiterTacs (Trevigen, Helgerman, CT) was used according to manufacturers protocol to assess DNA fragmentation.

LDH Assay

Cells were plated in triplicate in two 96 well plates (one plate for UV exposure, one plate served as no exposure control) at 40,000 cells/well. 48 hours after plating, cells were exposed to either UV or Camptothecin. The LDH-Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (BioVision, Mountain View, CA) was used according to manufacturers protocol to assess cell death or cytotoxicity.


CHAPTER 3

Intratracheal Injection of Asbestos in NF2+/− Mice Provides Insight into Cancer Susceptibility

Abstract

The neurofibromatosis type 2 gene (Nf2) was discovered in 1993 and shown to harbor inactivating mutations in representative tumors from neurofibromatosis 2 patients. Nf2 is implicated in the development of other tumor types, including malignant mesotheliomas. These mesodermally derived, primarily pleural tumors display loss of chromosome 22 as one of the most common cytogenetic abnormalities. The role of Nf2 in maintaining normal cellular growth may be through many possible signal transduction mechanisms, including CD44 and extracellular matrix, Rac-1 proteins, and MDM2. Previous studies have been shown that mice hemizygous for Nf2 display an increased susceptibility for asbestos-induced peritoneal tumors. Thus, Nf2 may play a central role in growth regulation in mesothelial cells.
Introduction

Malignant mesothelioma is an aggressive tumor that forms from the serous membranes lining the pleural, peritoneal, and pericardial cavities (Baser et al. 2002; Dunitz 2002; Cugell and Kamp 2004; Robinson et al. 2005; Tan and Treasure 2005; Yang et al. 2008). Mesothelioma tumor development is heavily linked to prior exposure to the fibrous mineral, asbestos, accounting for about 80% of mesothelioma cases (Wagner et al. 1960; Tweedale 2002; Cugell and Kamp 2004; Jaurand and Fleury-Feith 2005; Yang et al. 2008). The main route for exposure to asbestos is through inhalation of the fibers. Due to this common route of exposure, the pleural space surrounding the lungs is the most commonly affected mesothelial cavity, with about 70% to 95% of individuals diagnosed with the disease presenting with pleural mesothelioma (Hammar 2006; Bridda et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2008).

Investigations conducted by Fleury-Feith and colleagues (2005) showed the susceptibility of Nf2 hemizygous mice to develop peritoneal mesotheliomas following exposure to a total of 5 mg of crocidolite asbestos via intraperitoneal injections. In their studies, mice were exposed on two different occasions, with a lag time of 2-3 months between exposures, to asbestos. This study clearly showed the role for Nf2 in peritoneal mesothelioma development. However, as pleural mesothelioma is the predominant form of the tumor, an exposure method to induce lung injury might serve as a better model for MPM research.
In this study, a heterozygous Nf2 knockout mouse model was used to closely follow the early events in tumor development. Mice heterozygous for the Nf2 gene have been shown to be predisposed to the development of mesothelioma, as only one allele remains to be inactivated. Nf2 is an autosomal dominant TSG, thus by Knudson’s Two-Hit Hypothesis, inactivation of both alleles is necessary for loss of merlin function (Knudson 1971). Nf2 homozygosity is embryonically lethal, with mice carrying this genotype dying before initiation of gastrulation (McClatchey et al. 1997). For this study, hemizygous Nf2 knockout mice were used, and cellular and gene expression changes in the exposed lungs were monitored. The hypothesis of this in vivo study is that mice lacking a full complement of Nf2 genes will develop tumors at earlier ages and in a larger percentage of animals than in control animals.
Results

Inhalation of asbestos fibers is considered the main route of human exposure to the particles, which occurs when the fibers are disturbed and become airborne (ATSDR; Tweedale 2002). Those fibers that are not expelled can become lodged in the lung, and can continue to cause irritation over time. To best mimic this exposure route while still maintaining a controlled dose of asbestos, intratracheal injections (IT) were performed on mice using PBS as a vehicle. Both $N_f2^{+/+}$ mice and $N_f2^{+/−}$ mice were instilled with 100 $\mu$g of either crocidolite asbestos or Libby 6 Mix amphibole asbestos. Crocidolite asbestos was used for exposures as it is generally considered the most hazardous of the amphibole fibers. Libby 6 mix amphibole is a mixture of six different samples collected from the Libby, MT mine site (Bellamy and Gunter 2008). The latency for MPM development is long (20 to 40 years). This means that even after exposure has stopped, asbestos fibers that have become lodged in the lung continue to irritate the lung, thus promoting disease over a long period of time. Thus, to mimic this latency period, after IT injections mice were monitored for a 12 month period. Following euthanasia, gross anatomy was examined and lungs were harvested for histological evaluation.

In the event that mice died before the chosen time point, or if mice needed to be sacrificed early if illness was detected, necropsy was performed and tissue was harvested for histology if possible. Of these necropsied mice, the most common event was the occurrence of osteosarcoma formation. Osteosarcoma
development was most commonly found to occur in the $Nf2^{+/}$ mice and was independent of exposure type. Many groups have found that $Nf2^{+/}$ mice are prone to the development of malignant tumors, in particular osteosarcoma, but these occurrences are not related to loss of NF2 function (McClatchey et al. 1998; Fleury-Feith et al. 2003; McClatchey 2003; Altomare et al. 2005; Lecomte et al. 2005). Only one of the mice that died before the 12 month time point had been reached was found to have an abnormal growth on its lung, which upon gross anatomical evaluation resembled a localized, nodular mesothelioma (Figure 20). This mouse had been exposed to Libby 6 Mix amphibile asbestos and was $Nf2^{+/}$. 
Figure 20. Gross anatomy of mouse lung from a heterozygous mouse exposed to asbestos.

The arrow points to the nodular area, that could be in this case a localized mesothelioma or pleural plaque, located on the right lung of the mouse. This was a heterzygous \textit{Nf2} mouse exposed to Libby 6 mix amphibole asbestos. The mouse was exposed on 5/31/07 and died on 12/10/07.
Initially for mouse instillations, different groups of mice were instilled on four different occasions throughout the instillation year. Following the preliminary analysis of tissue from the first two 12 month instillation groups, we decided to include a 15 month exposure group by extending the time point for some of the mice. Changes in the structure of the lung were identified using H&E staining, which allows cell type identification as well as incidences of cellular hyperplasia. In general, the most common type of pathology identified in mouse lungs from the 12 month group were multifocal areas of epithelial cell proliferation. The areas of the lung where this pathology was most prevalent were focused around bronchioles and small airways. These lesions were regarded as hyperplastic. In addition to pre-neoplastic regions, there were fibrotic regions, as well as perivascular and peribronchiolar aggregates of lymphocytes (Figure 21). A portion of the slides from this group are still under evaluation by Dr. Donald Gardner, a pathologist at Rocky Mountain Laboratories.
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Figure 21. H&E stained sections of 12 month mouse lungs.

Images of slides stained with H&E from mice involved in 12 month exposure study. PBS exposed mice from both wild type and heterozygous groups represent lungs classified as normal. Images from 6 Mix Amphibole and crocidolite group depict hyperplasia and thus abnormal lung morphology through disease manifestation. Possible regions of fibrosis can be seen in the crocidolite and 6 mix wild type exposed mice.
Mice sacrificed following a 15 month exposure period appeared to have an increase in gross anatomical lung abnormalities. Autopsies performed at the sacrifice of the mice revealed both nodular and diffuse mesothelioma, as well as possible mice with asbestosis (Figure 22). Again, lungs were processed for histological analysis and stained with H&E to evaluate cellular alterations. As time progressed to the 15 month group, the amount and extent of cell hyperplasia seemed to increase. Various patterns of cell hyperplasia occurred, with the predominant form being epithelial cell hyperplasia forming around bronchioles and airways. In addition, lesions of cell hyperplasia occurred around arterioles as well. The different types of hyperplastic lesions, i.e. surrounding bronchioles or surrounding arterioles, were independent of exposure type, however again crocidolite seemed to be the most potent inducer of cell proliferation. Some of the stained sections were classified as neoplastic, with the majority of these determined to be adenocarcinomas or bronchogenic carcinomas. However, these regions were not termed mesothelioma tumors based on H&E stains. Again, fibrotic regions and lymphocyte aggregates were found in some tissue (Figure 23) (Gardner 2008). Dr. Gardner is currently evaluating H&E slides for the 15 month group.
Figure 22. Gross anatomy from 15 month group.

A nodule located on the exterior surface of the left lung of the mouse, which could be a pleural plaque or a localized mesothelioma tumor (22a). Gross anatomical analysis depicting a diffuse mesothelioma on the right lung of a crocidolite exposed mouse (22b).
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Figure 23. H&E stained sections of 15 month mouse lungs.

Images of slides stained with H&E from mice involved in 15 month exposure study. PBS exposed mice from both wild type and heterozygous groups represent lungs classified as normal. Images from 6 Mix Amphibole and crocidolite group depict hyperplasia and possible neoplastic regions in both wild type and heterozygous mice.
For statistical interpretation of these results, H&E stained sections from each group of mice were sent to Dr. Donald Gardner, a pathologist at Rocky Mountain Laboratories. Dr. Gardner is currently evaluating slides consisting of 6 mice per group for the 12 month exposure group (36 mice total) and 4 mice per group for the 15 month exposure group (24 mice total). Dr. Gardner is blinded to both mouse genotype and exposure type, and will categorize the slides based on the degree of hyperplastic alterations. Until his results arrive, I have scored these slides and graded them for cell hyperplasia. For my evaluation, I scored 3 mice per group per time point. Mice exposed to crocidolite appeared to have an increased amount of cell hyperplasia as compared to PBS and Libby 6 Mix Amphibole asbestos (Figure 24). In addition, as time progressed, the hyperplasia became more severe indicated by increases in average scores for each group. However, Nf2 genotype appeared to not have an effect on susceptibility to cell transformation.
FIGURE 24

a) 12 Month Scores for Hyperplasia of H&E Stained Slides

b) 15 Month Scores for Hyperplasia of H&E Stained Slides
Figure 24. Graphical representation of mouse scores for hyperplasia.

Pathology scores from mice in 12 month group show a significant increase in the amount of hyperplastic lesions in crocidolite exposed mice (24a). Similarly, scores generated from mice in 15 month group showed a significant increase in the amount of hyperplasia compared to PBS control mice, with the average scores in this group higher than those seen in the 12 month group (24b).

All statistics were conducted using slides from three mice per group using Two-way ANOVA.

*p<0.05 and ***p<0.001
It was necessary to verify merlin expression status in the areas of cellular hyperplasia. Sections of lungs were dual-labeled with both merlin and p21 antibodies to determine protein expression changes, and these images are paired with the adjacent H&E stained section (sections are 7µm apart) in order to better visualize lung morphology. Merlin expression was detected in primarily Clara cells, whereas p21 expression was detected primarily in the smooth muscle cells lining airways and blood vessels (Figure 25a and 25b, respectively). Merlin also labeled cells in the alveolar space, however it is unclear what this cell type is. These cells appear more dense surrounding hyperplastic regions of the lung, and may be Type II pneumocytes (Figure 25c).
FIGURE 25

a)

b)

c)
Figure 25. Cell types labeled by merlin and p21 antibodies.

Antibody labeling of merlin appears to be focused in epithelial cells lining the airways. As the predominant cell type in this region in murine lungs is Clara cells, it is likely that Clara cells are expressing merlin. p21 expression appears to be labeling airway smooth muscle cells (25a) (Gardner 2008). p21 expression also labeled smooth muscle cells lining blood vessels in the lung (25b). An additional cell type appears to express merlin in the alveolar spaces of the lung, which I believe may be Type II pneumocytes (25c).
Merlin expression was absent in almost all areas of cell hyperplasia, regardless of initial mouse genotype. However, complete examination of these same tissues revealed that in a non-hyperplastic region of the lung, merlin expression could be detected. Expression of p21 revealed a similar pattern. However, p21 expression was occasionally detected in hyperplastic areas. Loss of expression of both these cell cycle regulators in hyperplastic portions of the tissues is consistent with a model of tumorigenesis due to inactivation of TSGs. Loss seems to have contributed to uncontrolled cell growth and expression appears to be indicative of cells that are still capable of cell cycle exit (i.e. normal phenotype). Figure 26 and Figure 27 are images revealing differential protein expression in the lungs of the treated mice after 12 months and 15 months, respectively.
FIGURE 26
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Immunofluorescent labeling of mice exposed to PBS and Libby 6 Mix amphibole shows merlin and p21 co-expression, with minimal p21 expression in the PBS exposed mouse, in a normal region of the lungs of a heterozygous mouse (26a). In contrast, a NF2+/− mouse exposed to crocidolite identifies decreased merlin expression in airway tissue and no p21 expression in hyperplastic areas. These images are from the same mouse section (26b). Analysis of wild-type lung tissue showed decreases in both merlin expression and p21 expression in lung sections of a mouse exposed to PBS. This was consistent across all three samples analyzed (26c). Merlin and p21 expression were detected in the lungs of wild type mice exposed to Libby 6 Mix amphibole (26c). In a hyperplastic region of a crocidolite exposed wild type mouse, merlin and p21 expression was absent. In the same tissue, moving toward an area where a fibrotic response seems to be occurring but not hyperplasia, expression of merlin lining the airways was detected. In this region, there was minimal p21 expression lining the airways, however it was expressed in the smooth muscle cells lining the blood vessels of this region of the lung (26d).
FIGURE 27
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Figure 27. Immunofluorescent labeling of 15 month mouse lungs.

Immunofluorescent labeling of mice exposed to PBS shows frequent merlin and p21 co-expression in a normal region of the lungs of a heterozygous mouse (27a). In a nodular region of a section from a NF2+/− mouse exposed to Libby 6 Mix amphibole, merlin and p21 expression were not detected. In this same section, both merlin and p21 expression were detected around an airway with normal morphology (27a). A NF2+/− mouse exposed to crocidolite (labeled as CRO in figure 27b) identifies decreased merlin expression in a hyperplastic region of an airway, but expression of p21. In this same section, in a region where hyperplasia was not as abundant but a fibrotic response seems to be occurring, both merlin and p21 expression were detected (27b). Images taken from another NF2+/− mouse exposed to crocidolite (labeled as 27b CRO 2) show no labeling of merlin or p21 in a neoplastic region of a lung. Images collected further into the lung start to show p21 and merlin expression. Finally, in a different lobe of the same CRO 2 lung with normal morphology, merlin was highly expressed (27b CRO2 final image). Analysis of wild-type lung tissue showed co-expression of merlin and p21 in lung sections of a mouse exposed to PBS (27c). p21 expression was not detected in the lungs of wild type mice exposed to Libby 6 Mix amphibole in a hyperplastic area, but merlin expression in this region appeared to be labeling a cell type which has not been identified (27c). In a fibrotic region of a crocidolite exposed wild type mouse, merlin and p21 expression were absent. In the same tissue, moving toward an area of more normal lung morphology, both proteins were expressed (27d).
Conclusions

Evidence for the importance of \textit{Nf2} as a TSG in mesothelioma is provided by the occurrence of gene inactivation in the majority of tumor incidences (Sekido et al. 1995; Baser et al. 2002; Carbone et al. 2002; Fleury-Feith et al. 2003; Lecomte et al. 2005; Robinson et al. 2005; Musti et al. 2006). \textit{In vivo} approaches aimed at delineating this role have shown that NF2 heterozygosity contributes to early mesothelioma development. Previous studies \textit{in vivo} have primarily focused on peritoneal mesothelioma development, which accounts for less than 10\% of the already rare mesothelioma tumors (Fleury-Feith et al. 2003; Bridda et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2008). In addition, large doses of asbestos have been used to induce mesothelioma formation. Here, we were able to induce hyperplasia, as well as neoplasia in some cases, in mouse lung cells using IT injections of just 100 µg of asbestos. Although further verification is necessary, it is possible that some of the neoplastic formations were in fact pleural mesothelioma. Based on the subjective and un-blinded lungs scores that were given to H&E stained lungs on interpretation of lung hyperplasia, there appears to be no correlation with the predisposition of missing one \textit{Nf2} allele to increased hyperplasia as compared to wild type counterparts, as both genotypes of mice developed hyperplastic regions of the lung. However, these results are subject to change upon the arrival of hyperplastic scores from Dr. Gardner.

The cell types that merlin and p21 labeled were predominantly Clara cells and smooth muscle cells, respectively. This will need to be verified by double
staining with Clara cell specific markers (CC10) or smooth muscle specific markers. In the terminal bronchioles the predominant cell type is the Clara cell, and these cells represent the precursors to ciliated cells that also line these airways. Merlin also appears to stain an additional cell type that is not identifiable, but is most likely a Type II pneumocyte. Type II pneumocytes are capable of undergoing cellular division and are progenitor cells for Type I cells. Both of these cell types, like mesothelial cells, respond to injury to repair lung tissue. In response to cell loss and injury, regenerative hyperplasia of both Type II pneumocytes and airway epithelium occurs which may lead to neoplasia (Dixon et al. 1999; Gardner 2008).

Protein expression of p21 appeared to label airway smooth muscle cells. Airway smooth muscle cells have been regarded as contractile tissue, responding to proinflammatory mediators and bronchodilators to regulate airway size, surrounded by and reacting to the extracellular matrix (Howarth et al. 2004). Thus, in response to cues generated by the extracellular matrix, these cells respond to injury and are capable of proliferation and migration if necessary (Gounni et al. 2005). Expression of p21 was detected in both normal and hyperplastic regions of the lungs, indicating that this cell cycle checkpoint has been activated. More importantly, p21 expression was also absent in some hyperplastic regions, which could be indicative of loss of function of p53, and this absence of expression often correlated with regions where merlin was not detected. Whether these events are related has not been determined.
The majority of asbestos exposures occur through occupational means, including the mining of asbestos, the mining of asbestos-contaminated products (e.g. vermiculite or talc), or through the manufacturing of such materials. In addition, family members of those involved in such occupations can be exposed to asbestos as the particles can be brought home on one’s clothing when the particles become aerosilized and spread throughout the environment. As many asbestos containing materials are present in our everyday environment, such as in insulation, floor tiles, roofing, etc., many people have the potential to be exposed to this hazardous material (ATSDR). Crocidolite is long regarded as the most potent of the amphibole fibers in regards to ARDs, and here we have shown that these fibers used in this study were capable of hyperplastic transformation after a 12 month exposure to the fibers, as well neoplastic transformation after 15 month exposure.

Vermiculite, a product largely used for fireproofing, soil amendments, and insulation, was mined from the Zonolite Mountain located near Libby, MT (Meeker et al. 2003). The mine was in operations from the 1920s until 1990 and was at one time the world’s largest source of vermiculite, producing 80% of the world's supply (Bandli and Gunter 2006). As early as 1959, the vermiculite was found to be contaminated with asbestos and was causing disease manifestation in workers, however the mine continued to operate until the 1990 mine closure (Meeker et al. 2003; Bandli and Gunter 2006). Since 1995, 44 cases of mesothelioma have been identified resulting from exposure to asbestos contamination in Libby, MT (Whitehouse et al. 2008).
In this study, Libby 6 Mix amphibole asbestos did not appear to have an impact on the induction cell hyperplasia as compared to PBS exposed mice. This is likely due to Libby 6 Mix amphibole fibers that were available for use at the time of mouse exposures. At the time that these exposures were performed, the fibre type that was available for use consisted of a mixture of fiber sizes. However this fraction size does not represent a respirable fraction that could cause disease. Since this time, an elutriated version of these fibers have become available, allowing for an exposure sample more representative of the fiber type capable of causing disease. Repetition of this portion of the study using these elutriated fibers is necessary to draw conclusions on the impact that these fibers might have on mesothelioma development.

Although the degree and time to cell hyperplasia were not dependent on the predisposition of Nf2 heterozogosity, almost all regions of where hyperplasia occurred had lost merlin expression, suggesting that inactivation Nf2 is a necessary step in the cellular transformation following asbestos exposure. Where merlin expression was not detected, loss of function appears to have contributed to cell hyperplasia. This confirmed the importance of Nf2 in the maintenance of normal cell growth. In addition, p21 expression was absent in some areas of mild to moderate cell hyperplasia, but was absent in most neoplastic regions. Because these proteins appear not to be expressed in the same cell type, it is difficult to determine whether or not these alterations in expression were related events.
MPM is relatively untreatable, with a survival time post-diagnosis less than 2 years. As with any cancer, understanding the molecular mechanisms that are altered will help with the design of more effective treatment strategies. This becomes especially important for MPM patients, because of the poor response that this disease has to current therapies. A mouse model is a useful tool for understanding the biological changes that take place leading to tumor formation following asbestos exposure (Meuwissen and Berns 2005). Eventually, the molecular and cellular events that take place during this process can be dissected, allowing for the development of earlier diagnostic tools and treatment strategies.
Methods

Mouse Genotyping

C57BL/6/129S Nf2+/− mice were a kind gift from Dr. Joseph Kissil from the Wistar Institute in Philadelphia, PA. DNA was isolated from tail snips from the mice using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA was genotyped according to methods described by McClatchey et al. (1998). Basically, three primers (primer a: 5′-GGGGCTTCGGGAAACCTGG-3′; primer b: 5′-GTCTGGGAAGTCTGTGGAGG-3′; and primer c: 5′-CTATCAGGACATAGCGTTGG-3′) were used for PCR amplification, producing two products. Primer pair a and b amplifies a 306-bp product from the wild-type allele, whereas primer pair a and c amplifies a 575-bp product from the mutant allele.

Asbestos Preparation

Mice will be exposed to two forms of asbestos particles, Libby Amphibole from U.S. Geological Survey and crocidolite from Research Triangle Institute (RTP, NC). Fibers were weighed out and suspended in PBS to a final concentration of 33.3 mg/mL. Solutions were sonicated to ensure particle suspension. As a negative control of the disease, mice (both knockout and wild type) will be exposed to sterile saline (30 uL/mouse) using the same IT procedure as described for the asbestos exposed groups.
**Intratracheal Instillation**

*Nf2*+/− and *Nf2*+/+ mice were exposed to a dose of 3mg/mL (30 µL/mouse) asbestos via intratracheal (IT) instillation. The animals were anesthetized with 100µL of a ketamine (80mg/kg) and xylazine (12mg/kg) cocktail IP using a 23-25 gauge needle. The anesthesia lasts about 10-15 minutes, at which point a small incision was made into the neck (about 4-8mm). After opening the skin, the trachea was exposed and 30 µl of the above mentioned asbestos or sterile PBS was injected directly into the trachea. The skin was then closed with sterile tissue glue and the area was treated with betadine to help prevent infection. The animals were warmed on a heating pad, and usually were back to normal activity after about 1 hour.

Mice were monitored for signs of distress, which include cachexia, dypsnea, increase in chest wall mass, or cyanosis (nose and tail). If any of these signs of distress were detected, the mice were euthanized through IP injection of an overdose of 50 mg/kg pentol barbital.

**Lung Fixation and Processing**

Lungs were harvested from mice following euthanasia described above. Immediately following the removal of the lungs and hearts from the body cavity of the mouse, the lungs were perfused with about 200 µL of Histochoice (Amresco, Solon, Ohio), and then placed in a 15 mL conical tube with 7 mL Histochoice. Tubes were stored at 4 °C at least 24 hours before processing of tissue, not to exceed 48 hours in Histochoice fixative. Lungs were processed in a Shandon
Citadel auto-processor using the following program: 30 min in 70% ethanol (EtOH), 30 min in 95% EtOH, 2 changes 1 hour each in 100% EtOH, 2 changes of 1 hour each in methyl salicylate, 45 min in paraffin, and finished in 1.5hr in a 2nd paraffin wax. Lungs were sliced in 7µm thick sections.

Hematoxylin and Eosin Stain (H&E)

For H&E staining, slides were stained in a Shandon Varistain 24-4 auto-stainer using the following program: 3*5 min in xylene, 2*3 min in 100% EtOH, 3 min in 95% EtOH, 3 min in 70% EtOH, 5 min in deionized water (dH$_2$O), 5 min in Harris Hematoxylin, 5 min in dH$_2$O, 30 sec in acid alcohol, 5 min in dH$_2$O, 1 min in bluing, 5 min in 70% EtOH, 2 min in eoxin, 5 min in 95% EtOH, 2*5 min in 100% EtOH, and 3*5min in xylene.

Immunohistochemistry

Sections mounted on slides were rehydrated by incubating 3*5 minutes in xylene, followed by 2*3 min incubation in 100% EtOH, a 3 min incubation in 95% EtOH, a 3 min incubation in 70% EtOH, and finished with a 5 min wash in PBS.

Slides were boiled in Citric Acid Buffer (10mM Citric Acid, pH6, with 0.1% Tween 20) for 10 minutes. After 10 minutes, the solution was removed from heat and allowed to sit in the buffer for 10 minutes. The slides were placed in a PBS wash for 5 minutes. Sections were circled with a hydrophobic pen, and blocked with 4% goat serum diluted in PAB buffer (PBS containing 2% BSA and 0.01% sodium azide). Primary NF2 antibody (A19, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, California) was diluted 1:50 in PAB and allowed to incubate overnight at
4°C. The following day, slides were washed 2*5 min in PBS. Secondary antibody (goat-anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor® 488) was added at 1:400 dilution in PAB and allowed to incubate in the dark at room temperature for 1 hour. Slides were washed 2*5 min in PBS. Primary pre-conjugated p21 Alexa Fluor® 647 antibody (C19, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, California) was added at 1:10 dilution in PAB and allowed to incubate overnight at 4°C. The following day, slides were washed 2*5 min in PBS followed by 3 quick rinses in dH₂O before coverslipping with Flurosave.
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DISCUSSION

Since its discovery, NF2 has been suggested to be a unique tumor suppressor gene, mainly because of its subcellular localization and connection with the cell membrane (Trofatter et al. 1993; Brault et al. 2001; McClatchey and Giovannini 2005). Researchers have shown that this gene is involved in cellular growth regulation through its involvement in contact inhibition of growth, cell proliferation alterations, and apoptotic response. Here, we have shown that NF2 is not only involved in the relaying of signals involved in these pathways, but activity of the gene may ultimately affect the function and/or activity of two key TSGs, TP53 and RB.

This study has primarily focused on how NF2 inactivation might be involved upstream in the regulation of p53, attributed to its possible involvement in the degradation of MDM2. MDM2 helps to maintain low steady-state p53 levels through ubiquitination and subsequent proteasome degradation. We were able to show that alterations in the protein level of merlin (the NF2 gene product) resulted in inverse alterations in MDM2 protein levels. MDM2 protein level changes also correlated with alterations in p53 activity. For example, in Chapter 1 we showed that suppression of merlin resulted in increased MDM2 protein and subsequently decreased p21 and Bax expression, indicating p53 activity had been negatively affected by merlin loss. It remains unclear whether merlin is degrading MDM2 in this pathway or inhibiting its activity through other
mechanisms. Future studies could assess the ubiquitination status of p53 and could provide more information regarding MDM2 activity levels.

As the major TSGs RB and TP53 are commonly found mutated in other cancers, how malignant mesothelioma tumors arise while still retaining these two major TSG in their wild-type form is unclear. Alterations in the cell that might contribute to loss of function of these genes need to be elucidated. In mesothelioma, it seems plausible that both p53 and RB are not capable of functioning properly, thus the hyperplastic cells do not require inactivation of the p53 or RB to progress to neoplasms. The mechanisms for this malfunction of both RB and p53 has largely been attributed to p16 and p14, respectively. Here we have shown that merlin not only contributes to loss of p53 activity, but via mechanisms such as Cyclin D1, it may also contribute to Rb loss of function as well (Figure 28). We were able to confirm a role for merlin in the regulation of Cyclin D1, which is involved in the progression from G₁ to S phase of the cell cycle. One of the means by which Cyclin D1 initiates this transition is via phosphorylation of Rb. Investigations aimed at the phosphorylation status of Rb following merlin expression level alterations might provide insight into this pathway.
FIGURE 28
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Figure 28. A possible mechanism for NF2 in the control of the activity of p53 and RB.

Merlin, the protein product of NF2, may act upstream of p53 to regulate activity of the TSG by negatively regulating MDM2. Similarly, merlin may act upstream of Rb by inhibiting Cyclin D1. When NF2 is inactivated, in combination with the inactivation other genes like p16 and p14, an increase in inhibition of p53 and Rb can occur resulting in continual transition into S phase and thus loss of cell cycle control.
Using a mouse model to recapitulate genetic alterations that occur in humans following asbestos exposure, we were able to demonstrate the toxicity of asbestos in terms the carcinogenic potential of the fibers. While cell hyperplasia occurred in many of the asbestos-exposed mice, the majority of these cases were not termed mesothelioma by the pathologist. Further analysis of these samples using histological markers to verify the type of tumor formation may provide more information into the tumor types seen. Instead, as this study could be considered a pilot study of mesothelioma development using an IT exposure route, it might be useful to repeat this study including an additional exposure time point. Such an approach would be more similar to human exposure to asbestos, as most asbestos exposures are not likely one-time occurrences, but occur over a period of time. Another advantage to repeating this portion would be the inclusion of additional time points, both shorter and longer, in an attempt to determine the time of NF2 inactivation and possible information on earlier diagnosis. Once a consistent in vivo approach for the development of mesothelioma is achieved, this model could be used in the testing of therapeutics, possible testing gene therapy targeting mesothelial cells expressing NF2.

Genetic variance among patients involved in Phase I clinical trials, which typically include about 30 people, usually results in at least one death due toxicity of the drug being tested (Ames 2008). This is primarily due to genetic variability in genes responsible metabolism of the drugs being administered, but it can also be applied to gene alterations that occur in the cancer tissue. Genotyping of
individuals prior to treatment will be a critical step for improving clinical outcomes. In the case of mesothelioma, genotyping can be further focused to commonly inactivated genes for targeted gene therapy approaches. There is genetic testing available for NF2, including both mutation analysis and linkage analysis, for NF2 patients (Yohay 2006). As this tool is available, gene therapy could be used to specifically target those tumors where NF2 is inactivated to re-express the gene with the hopes of slowing tumor growth. In addition, in mesothelioma patients that retain wild-type NF2, it may be that overexpression of NF2 will be useful. Of the possible uses for NF2-targeted gene therapy, one that involves a combination of this approach with other therapeutic options will likely be the most effective treatment to benefit patients. Jongsm et al. (2008) found that co-deletion of NF2 along with the INK4a locus in mice without asbestos exposure was sufficient to induce mesothelioma, highlighting the importance of these genes in tumor development. In particular, combination gene therapeutic options that include both the INK4a locus, which houses the TSGs p14 and p16, and NF2 may have promising results, where these genes could stabilize p53 and Rb resulting in the restoration of cell-cycle checkpoints and tumor suppression.

This study has provided useful information regarding the tumor suppressor capabilities of the TSG, NF2. The potential for NF2 to act upstream of p53 adds to the importance of retention of this gene in the maintenance of normal cell-cycle control. Research investigating the role of NF2 in other cancers will further our knowledge on the tumor suppressor capabilities of not only NF2, but also the regulatory mechanisms of p53 and possibly Rb.
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APPENDIX A

Vector Maps Used in Chapters 1 and 2

Gene Expression Array Relevant to Chapter 1
Figure 29. Vector map of plasmid used in Chapter 1.

**Vector Map**

![Vector Map of plasmid](image)

**pLKO.1-puro vector description and features**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cppr</td>
<td>Central polypurine tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hPGK</td>
<td>Human phosphoglycerate kinase eukaryotic promoter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>puroR</td>
<td>Puromycin resistance gene for mammalian selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIN/LTR</td>
<td>3' self inactivating long terminal repeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f1 ori</td>
<td>f1 origin of replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ampR</td>
<td>Ampicillin resistance gene for bacterial selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUC ori</td>
<td>pUC origin of replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' LTR</td>
<td>5' long terminal repeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psi</td>
<td>RNA packaging signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRE</td>
<td>Rev response element</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 30. Vector map of control plasmid used in Chapter 1.
Figure 31. Vector map of plasmid used in Chapter 2.

Comments for pT-REx-DEST30
7544 nucleotides

CMV promoter: bases 1-588
  TATA box: bases 502-508
  Tetracycline operator (2X TetO2) sequence: bases 518-557
attR1 recombination site: bases 699-823
Chloramphenicol resistance gene: bases 932-1591
ccdB gene: bases 1933-2238
attR2 recombination site: bases 2279-2403
T7 promoter: bases 2464-2483 (complementary strand)
SV40 polyadenylation region: bases 2915-3045
f1 origin: bases 3175-3603
SV40 early promoter and origin: bases 3790-4098
Nooymycin resistance ORF: bases 4157-4951
Polyadenylation region: bases 5015-5063
Ampicillin (bia) resistance ORF: bases 5474-6334
pUC origin: bases 6479-7152
Figure 32. Vector map of control plasmid used in Chapter 2.

Comments for pT-REx/GW-30/lacZ
8999 nucleotides

CMV promoter: bases 1-588
TATA box: bases 502-508
Tetracycline operator (2X TetO2) sequence: bases 518-557
attB1 recombination site: bases 699-723
lacZ ORF: bases 743-3799
attB2 recombination site: bases 3834-3858
T7 promoter: bases 3919-3938 (complementary strand)
SV40 polyadenylation region: bases 4370-4500
f1 origin: bases 4630-5058
SV40 early promoter and origin: bases 5245-5553
Neomycin resistance ORF: bases 5612-6406
Polyadenylation region: bases 6470-6518
Ampicillin (beta) resistance ORF: bases 6929-7789
pUC origin: bases 7934-8607
Figure 33. Vector map of Tet-Repressor plasmid used in Chapter 2.

Comments for pLenti6/TR
8355 nucleotides

- RSV/5' LTR hybrid promoter: bases 1-410
  - RSV promoter: bases 1-229
  - HIV-1 5' LTR: bases 230-410
- 5' splice donor: base 520
- HIV-1 psi (v) packaging signal: bases 521-565
- HIV-1 Rev response element (RRE): bases 1075-1308
- 3' splice acceptor: base 1656
- 3' splice acceptor: base 1684
- CMV promoter: bases 1809-2392
- Rabbit β-globin intron II (IVS): bases 2552-3124
- tetR gene: bases 3208-3855
- SV40 early promoter and origin: bases 3960-4269
- EM7 promoter: bases 4324-4390
- Blastocidin resistance gene: bases 4391-4789
- ΔU9/3' LTR: bases 4875-5109
  - ΔU3: bases 4875-4928
  - 3' LTR: bases 4929-5109
- SV40 polyadenylation signal: bases 5181-5315
- bla promoter: bases 6171-6269
- Ampicillin (bla) resistance gene: bases 6270-7130
- pUC origin: bases 7275-7948
A microarray was performed on MeT5a clones generated in Chapter 1 to understand some of the gene expression changes that take place following NF2 suppression. Two Nf2-suppressed clones generated from two different NF2-shRNA targets (9973-6 and 9974-5) were used for this analysis and compared to MeT5a-CNT9 cells. The resulting upregulated and down regulated gene expression changes that were shared between the two clones are listed in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively.
Table 3. Genes upregulated in MeT5a clones following NF2-suppression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Biological Processes</th>
<th>Cellular Component</th>
<th>Fold Change</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMP3</td>
<td>Epithelial membrane protein 3</td>
<td>Cell growth</td>
<td>Integral to membrane</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRDX6</td>
<td>Peroxiredoxin 6</td>
<td>Cell redox homeostasis</td>
<td>nucleus, cytoplasm, lysosome</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPRL1</td>
<td>Formyl peptide receptor-like 1</td>
<td>Inflammatory response, cell adhesion, signaling pathways</td>
<td>Integral to membrane</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLCA4</td>
<td>Chloride channel, calcium activated, family member 4</td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Extracellular region, integral to membrane</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCNMB4</td>
<td>Potassium large conductance calcium-activated channel, subfamily M, beta member 4</td>
<td>Ion Transport</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDHC</td>
<td>Succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit C, integral membrane protein, 15kDa</td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Integral to membrane</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC11A2</td>
<td>Solute carrier family 11 (proton-coupled divalent metal ion transporters), member 2</td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>integral to membrane</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GJA4</td>
<td>Gap junction protein, alpha 4, 37kDa (connexin 37)</td>
<td>Cell Communication, Cell-Cell Junction Assembly, Transport</td>
<td>Integral to membrane</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIF4A1</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A, isoform 1</td>
<td>Translation</td>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDF3</td>
<td>Growth differentiation factor 3</td>
<td>Growth factor activity</td>
<td>Extracellular region</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTR2C</td>
<td>5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 2C</td>
<td>Signaling pathway</td>
<td>Integral to membrane, cytoplasm</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDLIM5</td>
<td>PDZ and LIM domain 5</td>
<td>Actin Binding</td>
<td>Cytosol, actin cytoskeleton</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFIX</td>
<td>Nuclear factor I/X (CCAAT-binding transcription factor)</td>
<td>DNA replication</td>
<td>Nucleus, intracellular</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALB1</td>
<td>Calbindin 1, 28kDa</td>
<td>Locomotory behavior</td>
<td>Cytosol, nucleus</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGAV</td>
<td>Integrin, alpha V (vitronectin receptor, alpha polypeptide, antigen CD51)</td>
<td>Cell adhesion, integrin-mediated signaling</td>
<td>Cytoplasm, plasma membrane</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Genes down regulated in MeT5a clones following NF2 suppression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Biological Processes</th>
<th>Cellular Component</th>
<th>Fold Change</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPN</td>
<td>Asporin (LRR class 1)</td>
<td>Protein binding</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>-4.68</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM104</td>
<td>Transmembrane protein 104</td>
<td>Not Found</td>
<td>Integral to membrane</td>
<td>-4.49</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABIN1</td>
<td>Calcineurin binding protein 1</td>
<td>Cell surface receptor linked signal transduction</td>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>-4.16</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARS</td>
<td>Alanyl-tRNA synthetase</td>
<td>Translation</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>-3.40</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN1</td>
<td>Lipocalin 1 (tear prealbumin)</td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Extracellular region</td>
<td>-3.23</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABRE</td>
<td>Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) A receptor, epsilon</td>
<td>Ion Transport</td>
<td>Integral to membrane, Cell junction</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pyruvate carboxylase</td>
<td>Metabolic Process</td>
<td>Mitochondrion</td>
<td>-2.25</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF1</td>
<td>Neutrophil cytosolic factor 1</td>
<td>Cell communication</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>-2.21</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC1</td>
<td>Translocation protein 1</td>
<td>Intracellular protein transmembrane transport</td>
<td>Integral to membrane</td>
<td>-2.21</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSB10</td>
<td>Thymosin, beta 10</td>
<td>Actin cytoskeleton organization</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO1</td>
<td>Enolase 1, (alpha)</td>
<td>Negative regulation of cell growth</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methods

RNA Isolation

Total RNA was isolated from cell lines using the standard Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to manufacturer’s protocol. A further RNA cleanup step was performed using an RNeasy (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) to remove contaminants. RNA quantity and quality were assessed using a Nanodrop Spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) and Agilent Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA).

Microarray Analysis

Gene expression changes following suppression of NF2 were assessed for MeT5A 9973-6 and MeT5A 9974-5 cell lines compared to MeT5A control-9 cells. Reverse transcription and cDNA labeling were performed using 8 µg of total RNA according to the Superscript Plus Direct cDNA Labeling Kit (Invitrogen). Labeling efficiency was determined using a Nanodrop Spectrophotometer (Nanodrop). Labeled cDNA was hybridized overnight at 42°C to in-house spotted arrays of oligonucleotides produced by MWG Biotech, Inc. (High Park, NC). Arrays were scanned and analyzed using an Axon GenePix 4000B microarray scanner and Axon GenePix Pro 5.1 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Further analyses were performed using Iobion GeneTraffic Duo (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), GoMiner (NCBI), and PathwayStudio (Ariadne Genomics, Rockville, MD).