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THE MIDDLE EAST CONFLICT

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, in the Middle East, the conflict grows sharper, more devastating, more bloody. The Israelis and the Arabs bear directly and immediately the heavy human burdens of war, but repercussions of the most serious kind are also beginning to spread beyond the battlegrounds.

First, all nations importing Mideast petroleum face a 17-percent increase in the price of crude and, on top of that, the Arab nations announced that they will cut production 5 percent at once and announced, in addition, a 5 percent reduction each month thereafter.

Second, the United States and U.S.S.R. are now engaged in the competitive re-supply of weapons to the two sides in the war.

Third, the United States and the U.S.S.R. have stepped up the reinforcement of their respective fleets in the Mediterranean, a sea already seething with a surfet of naval power.

Where is the end of this chain of interrelated developments? Is it to be found in a "military solution" in the Middle East? How long will it take to run that course? A week? A month? A year? Not how many days. Mr. President, but how many generations. Even if a military solution were "just around the corner" and, apparently that is not the case, it is not a permissive course for any responsible government.

It is impermissible in terms of the future well being of Israel and the Arab countries. How many corpses must be counted on both sides before a military solution is achieved? How much destruction? Must Cairo be flattened and Tel Aviv? Damascus? Jerusalem? Has nothing at all been learned from the tragedy of Vietnam and others before it?

To await a military solution is also impermissible on economic grounds. What will happen to the economies of the Arab countries when, 20 months from now, on their own announced schedule, the last drop of crude flows through the pipelines of the Mideast and the last well is capped or the price of petroleum is upped and upped until there are no more buyers? In the meantime, what will happen to the economies of the great importers of Arab oil—notably Western Europe and Japan?

Finally, the course of military solution is impermissible as an intolerable risk not only to the security but the survival of the Soviet Union and the United States which, together, hold a great share—perhaps too great a share—of responsibility for the entire world’s destiny in this situation.

In these circumstances, I would call the attention of the Senate to a statement by French Foreign Minister Michel Jobert in the French National Assembly on yesterday. Mr. Jobert exhorted the United States and the Soviet Union to stop the resupply of arms to the Mideast and to seek to end the war "before it is too late and the consequences become intolerable." He pledged that France, with the support of the Common Market powers, would make contributions to finding a solution to the conflict.

The initiative of Foreign Minister Jobert comes at a most opportune time. I would urge the administration to give it every attention and I would again express the hope that both the Soviet Union and the United States would seek, in addition to that of France, the immediate diplomatic cooperation of Western Germany, the United Kingdom, and Japan in finding an acceptable cease-fire and negotiations among the parties.

The economic stake of these nations in a restoration of peace is no less than our own. So, too, is their stake along with that of all other nations in human survival.

Mr. President, I repeat the remarks which I made on Tuesday last relative to the Mideast situation:

Mr. President, I have given some thought to the situation which has been developing in the Middle East. It becomes more dangerous by the day, it spreads far beyond the area of the Middle East itself. At the present time, it seems that no solution can be seen for the fighting across the Magreb and the rest of northern Africa, over into Syria, Jordan, Iraq, possibly Saudi Arabia, and the Lord only knows where it is going to end. It is a most serious situation in that area, which we cannot avoid paying attention to.

With that in mind, Mr. President, I would like to state to the Senate some of my feelings on this subject as they were put down this morning.

First, my feeling is that we should operate on a policy that tries to achieve a balance of sorts in the Middle East.

Second, insofar as the shipment of United States arms is concerned, it must be said that Secretary Kissinger first tried to find a diplomatic solution based on no arms shipments to either side. Finding that impossible because of continuing and increased Soviet shipments to Syria and Egypt, on Sunday last, 9 days after the war started, he—Secretary announced that the United States would replenish the losses of Israel in certain categories. This was done, in my opinion, to achieve a semblance of an arms balance.

Third, I do not believe that we should become involved with American forces anywhere except as our national interest and security are at a vital stake. One Vietnam is one Vietnam too many.

Fourth, the United States and the Soviet Union, the two major outside powers, obviously have been unable to prevent the conflict or to bring about its termination. In view of the increasingly dangerous situation which has been developing, therefore, I would suggest that the President of the United States issue an urgent invitation to Chairman Brezhnev of the U.S.S.R., President Pompidou of France, Prime Minister Heath of the United Kingdom, Willy Brandt of West Germany, and Prime Minister Tanaka of Japan for an immediate summit conference for the purpose of setting fire and using their collective efforts toward the Middle East to have the parties enter
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Into negotiations as expeditiously as possible.

Fifth, if a summit cannot be accomplished expeditiously, then I would suggest as an alternative that the Foreign Ministers of the countries mentioned convene in conference at a mutually convenient place and as rapidly as possible.

The time, Mr. President, is short.