Publications Board Hearing
Held after Central Board
February 18, 1960

Risse called the meeting to order. Ulrich said that the reason for this meeting was to examine the qualifications of the applicants for Editor of the Kaimin because he felt he could not vote on Publications Board's recommendation until he had some information from which to judge it. He said he felt there was enough question to warrant an examination of the facts.

Mongar, Chairman of Publications Board, said that he had set up the committee room so that the voting members sat around a table and the non-voting members sat at the side. He said that this was done so that the voting members would not be confused as to who the other voting members were. He said that the credentials of the candidates, Hood, McVey and Olson, were then examined by the committee. They included a 500 word letter explaining why the author wanted to be Kaimin Editor, letters of recommendation, one from within the Journalism School and one from some other department on campus. The third credential was a grade transcript. Mongar explained that Dean Blumberg had written a form letter of recommendation for all three candidates, and since this did not give the committee a good objective opinion of these people, he had consulted Dr. Brown in the English Department for an evaluation of their writing. Mongar said that after discussion the committee had broken into definite factions; Bennitt, Blakely, Dugan and Walsh in favor of Hood, Tate in favor of McVey, and Welch and Mongar in favor of Olson. Welch said that he felt the only dissatisfaction was from the factions on Central Board who had not gotten the candidate they wanted. It was unanimously agreed to remove the table on the motion to accept the recommendation from Publications Board. Bradley moved we accept Publications Board's recommendation of Rolf Olson for Kaimin Editor. Adams seconded.

Ulrich said that the intention of the meeting was to become acquainted with the qualifications of the candidates. Risse said that there appeared to be two schools of thought about the issue. One group said that Central Board was questioning the honesty and integrity of Pub Board, and the other said that they merely wanted to see the qualifications of the three candidates before voting on the recommendation. Brown said that we were questioning our committee system by this whole procedure. Mongar said that he would resign if the Publications Board recommendation was overlooked. Six members of the committee indicated that they would resign also if the recommendation was over-ruled. Bradley said that he felt Central Board had not gotten a satisfactory report of the meeting and that he was satisfied with the result. Ulrich said that Central Board still had not gotten what they asked for, which was an examination of
the candidates' qualifications. The vote was 14–2, with Ulrich and Tate opposing.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Jean Tate
Secretary, ASMSU

Present: Risse, Adams, Tate, Hansen, Martin, Nichols, Bradley, Lee, Miller, Ulrich, Meyer, Sankovich, Ulvila, Morris, Romstad, Cogswell, Brown, King, Murphy, Eyerly, Agen, Freesman, Ragland, Mongar, Welch, Hood, Olson, McVey, Wollschlager.