Central Board Minutes
April 27, 1960

Absent: Bradley, Ulrich

The meeting was called to order in the Silver Bow Room by President Ed Risse. The minutes were corrected as follows:

Under Elections Committee, Jan Gerbase, Dan Bieri and Virginia Ragland were approved as candidates for Store Board. Tom Flaerty was added to the committee and Jack Lincoln, Jan Hagey, Ray Asbjornson, Joe Porter, John Coleman, and Dan Barry were removed from the committee.

Risse mentioned a letter from the United Negro College Fund Incorporated which asked the students to send a petition of protest to Woolworth Company for their segregation policy in the South.

ELECTIONS COMMITTEE
Lee reported that a special Central Board meeting had been held Saturday, April 23, at which the candidacy of Ellen Shiere for sophomore delegate and Skip Meyer for junior delegate had been approved. She said that the April 28 election would be held in the Yellowstone Room of the Lodge.

DANCE COMMITTEE
Meyer asked Central Board's approval to hire Roger Williams for Sunday evening, October 16, 1960 for $2000. He then moved Central Board accept a contract with the above stipulations. Tate seconded. Meyer said he had also tried to get Marteri and Coniff. Adams objected to the motion, saying that the concert would be given the week after Homecoming and that this put two big name bands only a week apart. Risse said that since Williams was just filling in his schedule and not creating one to come here maybe we could get him for less. Hansen advised postponing a decision until Central Board knew if the $2 decrease passed, as that would make a difference in the funds we would have to spend. Lee moved the motion be tabled until after the Primary Election. Tate seconded. Passed 11-2 with Meyer and Nichols opposed, Morris and Stone abstain.

VICE-PRESIDENT'S REPORT
Adams moved that Barbara Wheeler be approved as chairman of Activities Committee to replace John Keefe. Hansen seconded. Passed 15-0. It was explained that Keefe had been called home unexpectedly this quarter.

STUDENT UNION REPORT
Adams reported that the juke box was making money. He said the committee had set up a movie schedule for next year and that they were still looking for an Activities Director.

ALL SCHOOL SHOW
Brovman explained that because of a pending high school conference here approximately 80 Missoula high school students would see Oklahoma! free that would otherwise have to pay. Risse said that he didn't see how we could discriminate against some students by making them pay at this performance just because they were Missoula students.
PUBLICITY COMMITTEE
Doug Grimm asked the people at Central Board to vote on one of six choices for Oklahoma! program cover. He explained that Mr. Hook's commercial art class had submitted entries in the contest. He asked that a letter of thanks be sent to the class for the trouble they had taken. Tate so moved. Hansen seconded. Passed 16-0. Grimm also asked for help in the costume and props department of the show.

OLD BUSINESS
Martin read and asked for approval of a statement protesting the loyalty clause of the National Defense Education Act. (See addition to the minutes.) After a minor discussion Martin moved Central Board approve the statement. Tate seconded. Passed 15-0 with Nichols abstaining.

NEW BUSINESS
KAIMIN EDITORIAL
Adams read the Kaimin Editorial in which the Kaimin stated a choice for President and Business Manager and then the Publications Board Statement of Policy that stated the Kaimin should not further the interests of any one group. He said that he felt the Kaimin had been unfair in stating a preference in personalities when the paper was the only one on campus and it was distributed not on a subscription basis. Risse said that Central Board could be considered publishers of the Kaimin and that as publishers Central Board could ask for the resignation of the staff, or could withdraw financial aid from the Kaimin if the situation necessitated such action. He said that in a situation where there was only one published paper on campus it was unfair for the editor to favor personalities. Cogswell said that the editorial column was the property of the editor and that while he questioned the advisability of taking such a stand, he certainly would stand by the principle of a free editorial page. Stone said that the Kaimin was an organ of Central Board because it was supported by student funds. He said that the election should be postponed if necessary to clear up the matter. Brown said that what he called a factual account was only a two hour chat with two of the three candidates. Olson said he had interviewed the two candidates and had worked for twelve hours on the editorial. He said he didn't feel he had to defend his editorials, however, as that column belonged to him. Brown said there seemed to be two problems: (1) how to restore the election to an open and freely competitive theme, and (2) the problem of policy. Olson said that as long as he were Kaimin editor the editorials would be biased and would be informed opinion. He said that freedom of speech was more important than pleasing Central Board. Cogswell reminded Central Board that the Kaimin had a source of income from advertising. McGlashan said that the Kaimin had taken a stand nearly every spring, and that when there was a unopinionated editorial page Central Board complained also. Cogswell said that tomorrow's account of Central Board would act as an explanation of the matter. Cogswell moved the matter be turned over to Publications Board. Tate seconded. Passed 12½-3½ with Martin, Miller, Morris and Stone opposed.
There being no further business the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Jean Tate
Secretary, ASMSU

Present: Risse, Adams, Tate, Hansen, Martin, Nichols, Lee, Miller, Meyer, Sankovich, Ulvila, Morris, Pemberton, Romstad, Cogswell, Brown, Stone, Dudley, Neisser, Elder, June, Neal, Tameik, Knutson, Mossey, Means, Colness, Wagner, Myllymaki, Ragland, Johnson, McBride, Cooper, Browman, Lewis, Grimm, McGlashan, Olson

Central Board Minutes
Saturday, April 23, 1960

Lee moved that Central Board accept the petitions of Skip Meyer and Ellen Shiere for Central Board Delegates. Stone seconded. Passed 11-0.

Present: Miller, Romstad, Adams, Risse, Ulrich, Lee, Stone, Proxy: Tate, Hansen, Martin, Ulvila, Meyer

Jean Tate
Resolution Concerning
The Disclaimer Affidavit of
The N.D.E.A. of 1958: 20
U.S.C.A. Sec. 581 (f)
Passed April 27, 1960

WHEREAS, The Central Board of the Associated Students of Montana State University feels that the National Defense Education Act of 1958 is a desirable governmental program—one that is intended for the betterment of educational opportunities in American Universities; and

WHEREAS, the NDEA includes an affidavit (20 USCA Sec. 581 (f) which necessitates that the needy and deserving students students who would be receiving help from the US government under the said Act, be under suspicion; such an affidavit is not required of other portions of our citizenry which receive governmental assistance; and

WHEREAS, the Board feels that though organizations which teach the overthrow of the United States Government by any unconstitutional methods ought to be exposed and prosecuted according to the Smith Act, it also recognizes that members of such an organization could and would attempt to receive help from the U.S. Government under the said Act, (The affidavit could not safeguard American Universities against members of subversive organizations who would be willing to lie in order to receive governmental assistance); and

WHEREAS, there are some needy and deserving students who have no personal objections to the affidavit;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED: By the Central Board of the Associated Students of Montana State University:

1.) That Montana's U.S. Senators and members of the House of Representatives be advised that this Board feels that the said affidavit is contrary to the U.S. Government's policy of detachment from the private lives of individuals; and

2.) That all of Montana's U.S. Senators and members of the House of Representatives be urged to propose and support the repeal of the said affidavit of the NDEA of 1958; and

3.) That we go on record as being against withdrawing from the aid which the NDEA provides for our University and certain of its members. While we realize that the NDEA is a valuable asset to education in our American Universities, we hope that in the near future Sec. 1001 (f) of the said Act will be repealed.