The meeting was called to order by President Jystad. The purpose of the meeting was explained by Jystad to be the deciding of the validity of the recent elections held for the purpose of electing a chairman of the judicial council, and for the voting on the proposed constitutional amendments. Crawford said that the results of the primary are not in question. He presented the events concerning the ballots of the SAE fraternity, in which the votes arrived late. He also said that some students were questioning the validity of the voting process, since no closed booths were provided for the voting. It was also possible that some cards were not punched as the students voted. Lambros moved that the elections be held again as recommended, Bayley seconded. Lost 0-10.

In the discussion following the proposing of the motion, Walker presented a list of proposals by a group of students in regard to the election process. This list is as follows:

1. A defined system of voting procedures be included as an article in the Constitution of ASMSU
2. Primary and general elections for Judicial Council Chairman be held over.
3. Prior to this election, the functions and responsibilities of the Judicial Council as well as the qualifications and views of the candidates be adequately presented to the student body.

The reasons for the proposals were listed as:

1. The published rules for the election stated, "that the voter must have his activity card punched and must sign his name on a typed sheet. Violations of this rule occurred in the Grill where students were not required to sign a list while voting.
2. Published rules of election procedure provided that, "ballots must be turned in to the election headquarters in the Lodge by 4:15 p.m. Thursday." In violation of this rule the ballots from one living group were turned in at approximately 5:15 after counting of other ballots had begun.
3. Other failures of the election system include:
   a. The Grill was not open during the published polling hours, specifically from 11:34 to 12:00
   b. Proper facilities for secret balloting were not provided in all precincts.
   c. Names were double listed and thus people could possibly have voted in two places. Cards were not punched in some living groups.
   d. After counting, votes were placed in groups without reference to precincts.

The group further states: "We believe that because of the importance of the Judicial Council it is imperative that it be founded on a just and efficient election. We believe that without this, the Council will be unable to command the respect of the student body."
Bayley explained that the 4:15 deadline for the turning in of
votes was set merely as a convenience, Crawford said that
the late votes were counted in order that an honest poll of
student opinion would be obtained. Jystad said that he felt
that as long as there is a question of the validity of the
ballot, and a group of students feel that the vote was not
fair, that another general election should be held. Erickson
asked the group if they felt the fault were in the new system
of voting, and if they would rather go back to the old system.
Walker said that the number of personalities involved in the
precinct vote was bad. Lambros asked if there were proof of
cheating in the voting. Crawford said that no cheating had
been reported. Leuthold said that in comparison with other
methods of voting which have been used on the campus, there
was cheating in all methods tried. He further stated that
the Judicial Council is new, and has not actually been incorpora-
into the ASMSU structure. Since Central Board was handling the
elections, the rules were made to facilitate rather than rules
which were hard and fast and inflexible. There are already
provisions made for elections in the constitution, but since
this group (Judicial Council) is not yet an actual part of
the ASMSU structure, the rules in the constitution do not
necessarily have to apply in this case. Nelson questioned the
"double standard" of having some students sign their names as
they vote, and others not signing. Nelson further suggested
that persons be paid to be in charge of the polling places.
Bayley suggested that the group is not contesting the validity of
the election, but the rules under which the election was run.
Petite said that the infractions of the rules are a sign that
the election wasn't fair. Torres suggested that if the Council
is to start of a good basis, that the election be run over again.
Wellinstein said that the procedures which were followed were
very vague. Unless cheating is proved, he said, no basis for
contention is present. Bayley said that as long as the polls
are closed at the correct time, it does not matter at which
time the votes are counted. Fowler feels that the whole election
should be re-run. Leuthold said that the cause of trouble is
that too many people are involved in the election process. If
the votes were thrown out from one precinct because of one
error, no room for human error would be left. He said that some
leeway has to be made for this human error.
Crippen moved that a new primary and general election for
Judicial Council Chairman be held at such time that is designate
by Central Board or its election committee. Fowler seconded.
Lost 4-6. Fowler said that Central Board has an obligation to
right any wrongs which may have been done, and so suggests that
the entire process be redone. Crippen felt that a new election
should be conducted if there is proof of cheating in the
election, otherwise the vote should stand as taken. Riddle
suggested that in any second election which might be held in
this connection, that an emotional factor which is not now
present would cause voters to vote not for the person, but rather
for some group instead.

Respectfully submitted,
Ann Crocker, Secretary ASMSU
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