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Course Description
The study of rhetorical criticism and theory begins with the understanding that as human beings, we use language and other symbols to shape the world in which we live. Rhetorical theory allows us to explore how symbols function and rhetorical criticism is one of the processes through which we assess symbolic acts. In this course you will learn about contemporary approaches to rhetorical criticism and theory. Methods to be covered include Neo-Aristotelian, cluster, pentadic, metaphoric, narrative, ideographic, feminist, and visual criticism. You will be required to participate in class discussions, complete quizzes/participation questions, write two original rhetorical analyses which will culminate in a final, third essay, and present your research to the class. Preliminary criteria for each are outlined below.

Required Reading


A note about The Everyday Writer: Although I do not assign readings from this book, you will be held accountable for much of the material contained in it, including the sections titled “Usage and Style” and APA or MLA Style.

Articles posted on Moodle.

Academic Misconduct
Academic misconduct includes cheating, plagiarizing, and deliberately interfering with the work of others. Plagiarizing means representing the work of someone else (such as another student or an author of a book or an article) as your own. If you use the ideas or words of someone else on an exam or in a paper, you must cite the source of the original information. See Lunsford’s The Everyday Writer for a thorough discussion of plagiarism and how to avoid it. If you have specific questions about how to avoid plagiarism, ask me for help. Following university regulations, cheating and plagiarism will be penalized with a failing grade in this course.
Grades
Grades will be based on evaluation of student performance on the following assignments:

Quizzes/Questions/Participation/Project Proposal 100 points
Paper 1: 100 points
Paper 2: 100 points
Final Essay: 100 points

Total: 400 points

A=92% and up; A- = 91-90%; B+ = 88-89%; B = 82-87%; B- = 80 - 81%; C+ = 78 – 79 %; C = 72 – 77%; C- = 70 – 71%; D+ = 68 – 69%; D = 62 – 67%; D- = 60 – 61%; F 59% and below.

Description of Assignments:

Quizzes and Participation Questions
Completing the assigned readings will be key to your success in the course. As such, although I will not be giving formal exams, I will often administer quizzes or short participation questions designed to test your understanding of the assigned readings. You should be prepared to complete quizzes/participation questions on a regular basis. Dates of quizzes/questions will not be announced.

Participation
This course will be run as a seminar, as such, students will be expected to come to class ready to discuss the assigned readings. In good seminars, student questions and insights direct group discussions so the quality of the class discussions rests largely on your participation skills. To be good participants, it is essential that you read the assigned material critically and actively.

Project Proposal
On Thursday, February 20, you will submit your project proposal. This will include a discussion of the artifact you will likely use for analysis in your first two papers. In the proposal you should describe your artifact, justify your choice (e.g., why is this an appropriate artifact for analysis? What do you hope to learn through examining it?) and provide contextual information (e.g., when and where did the artifact appear? Who observed/heard/read it? Who delivered/wrote/created it?).

The quizzes/questions, your overall participation, your project proposal, and the presentation of your research to the class combined will count for a total of 100 points.

Papers
Over the course of the semester you will write and submit two critiques of your chosen artifact. Your first paper will utilize neo-Aristotelian, cluster, pentadic, or narrative analysis; your second paper will utilize metaphor, ideographic, feminist, or visual analysis. One or both of these critiques will serve as the basis for your final essay.
Final Essay
Your final essay will constitute a complete piece of rhetorical criticism, including an introduction, theoretical/methodological overview, contextual section, rhetorical analysis, and conclusions. Each student will present her or his research to the class during the final week of classes or during our scheduled finals period. The final essay is due Tuesday, May 13 at 8:00 am.

Schedule

T 1-28  Introduction to the Course

Th 1-30  The Nature of Rhetorical Criticism
Text, Chapters 1 and 2

T 2-4  Doing Rhetorical Criticism
Obama, 2014 State of the Union Address, to be posted on Moodle

Th 2-6  Doing Rhetorical Criticism

T 2-11  Doing Rhetorical Criticism

Th 2-13  Neo-Aristotelian Criticism
Moodle, Campbell, Critique: An Exercise in the Rhetoric of Mythical America
Text, Chapter 3
Text, Hill, Conventional Wisdom – Traditional Form – The President’s Message of November 3, 1969
Suggested Text, Speech by Richard M. Nixon

T 2-18  No Class -- WSCA convention

Th 2-20  Cluster Criticism
Text, Chapter 4
Text, Elliott, A Cluster Analysis of Enron’s Code of Ethics
Project Proposals Due at the Beginning of Class!

T 2-25  Pentadic Criticism
Text, Chapter 11,
Text, Ling, A Pentadic Analysis of Senator Edward Kennedy’s Address to the People of Massachusetts July 25, 1969
Moodle, Tonn, Endress, and Diamond, Hunting and Heritage on Trial: A Dramatistic Debate Over Tragedy, Tradition, and Territory

Th 2-27  Cluster and Pentadic Criticism
Moodle, Kennedy, Speech to the Greater Houston Ministerial Association
T 3-4  Cluster and Pentadic Criticism

Th 3-6  Narrative Criticism
Text, Chapter 10
Moodle, Lewis, Telling America’s Story: Narrative Form and the Reagan Presidency

T 3-11 Narrative Criticism, continued
Moodle, Nixon, The ‘Checkers’ Speech

Th 3-13 Narrative Criticism

T 3-18 Narrative Criticism

Th 3-20 Metaphoric Criticism
Text, Chapter 9
Moodle, Osborn, Archetypal Metaphor in Rhetoric: The Light-Dark Family

T 3-25 Metaphoric Criticism
Moodle, Cuomo, 1984 Keynote Address to the Democratic National Convention
First Paper Due at the Beginning of Class!

Th 3-27 Metaphoric Criticism

T 4-1 Spring Break!

Th 4-3 Spring Break!

T 4-8 Ideographic Criticism
Moodle, McGee, The Ideograph: A Link Between Rhetoric and Ideology
Moodle, Lucaites and Condit, Reconstructing <Equality>: Culturetypal and Counter-Culture Rhetorics in the Martyred Black Vision

Th 4-10 Ideographic Criticism
Readings to be announced and posted on Moodle.

T 4-15 Feminist Criticism
Moodle, Campbell and Keremicdchieva, Gender and Public Address
Moodle, Bacon, The Intersections of Race and Gender in Rhetorical Theory and Praxis

Th 4-17 Feminist Criticism
Readings to be announced and posted on Moodle.
T 4-22  The Critique of Visual Rhetoric
         Moodle, Gronbeck, Visual Rhetorical Studies: Traces through Time and Space
         Moodle, Olson, Finnegan, and Hope, Visual Rhetoric in Communication:
         Continuing Questions and Contemporary Issues

Th 4-24  The Critique of Visual Rhetoric
         Readings to be announced and posted on Moodle.
         Second Paper Due at the Beginning of Class!

T 4-29  Return papers and plan for presentations

Th 5-1  Open Office Hours

T 5-6  Paper Presentations

Th 5-8  Paper Presentations

Tuesday, May 13, 8:00 am, Paper Presentations
         Final papers due at the beginning of the class period!

Please Note:

Incompletes will be given only in emergencies and only with my prior consent. If you foresee
having difficulty finishing the course, come speak with me immediately.

Students with disabilities may request reasonable modifications by contacting me. The
University of Montana assures equal access to instruction through collaboration between
students with disabilities, instructors, and Disability Services for Students (DSS). “Reasonable”
means the University permits no fundamental alterations of academic standards or retroactive
modifications. For more information, please consult <http://www.umt.edu/disability>.