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Since to “possess a concept,” the philosopher Alasdair MacIntyre has said, “involves behaving or being able to behave in certain ways in certain circumstances, to alter concepts, whether by modifying existing concepts or by making new concepts available or by destroying old ones, is to alter behavior.” Political Science 352 is a survey of American political thought. As the quotation from MacIntyre suggests, the course is a study of the modification, creation, and destruction of the concepts that shaped, disclosed, and foreclosed domains of political activity. Setting the stage for our consideration of the European debates about politics that Americans inherited, the course begins with the influential political ideas and arguments of classical antiquity. We end with the beginning of World War I and the attempt by intellectuals to “modernize” American government and society. Along the way, by investigating the genealogy of the concepts Americans have used to think about politics, we will see how and why Americans came to form the kind of government and society they did during the Revolution, and then how and why they re-conceptualized government and society in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Ultimately, through a study of this process of conceptual modification, creation, and destruction we will gain an understanding of the debate surrounding America’s emerging political self-definition.

The course is also designed to help you develop the following skills:

- Learn to read primary and secondary sources for content and argument
- Learn to think holistically – i.e. strengthen the capacity to synthesize and interpret large amounts of information, so as to “see” various connections and thus implications of the material under consideration
- Develop the capacity to write effective “argumentative essays” through in class and out of class writing assignments – i.e. strengthen your capacity to put forth reasons for your claims, and through the process of “giving good reasons” figure out what you believe and think
- Strengthen the ability to engage in constructive critical public argument through class participation and discussion

Readings

The books listed below may be purchased at the University Bookstore. The first three books are required reading for all students. The fourth book is required reading for graduate students only. The fifth book, Anthony Weston’s A Rulebook for Arguments, is highly recommended as a reference for how to write college level argumentative essays.


Procedures and Requirements

Grading and Assignments:
This course has seven requirements, which include the following:

1. Faithful attendance to class, exercises, and active participation during the discussions (20% of the final grade; see “Participation” below)

2. 9 Paragraph Responses (10%; see “Teams” and “Starting Discussion & Paragraphs” below)

3. First Essay: 4-5 page paper (Feb. 27: 15%; see “Essays” below)

4. Midterm: 50 minute in class examination (March 20: 15%; see “In Class Writing Assignments” below)

5. Second Essay: 4-5 page paper (April 17: 15%; see “Essays” below)

6. Final Exam: 2 hour in class examination (May 11: 25%; see “In Class Writing Assignments” below)

In order to pass the class, you must complete all of the assignments.

Participation:
This will be a discussion class. Attendance and participation are thus required.

Come to class with the reading assignments finished (completely read, and carefully thought about) and with questions to ask and ideas and thoughts to share. That is to say, in class it is your job to put your ideas forward for your classmates to endorse, challenge, and transform.

When you are reading the material, “actively” engage with it. That means interrogating the text by asking why the author might say such a thing - what the reasons are for the author asserting the claim he or she does – and what his or her presuppositions are. As you read, and as you ask questions of the text, try writing in the margins questions, thoughts or ideas. Once you are done actively reading the material, then jot down the questions, thoughts, and ideas you have written in the margin of the text. This will give you something to talk about, and also help prepare you for the course’s exams and writing assignments.

Your regular, thoughtful participation will be critical to determining the success of the course and the grade you receive in it.

Teams:
This class is much too big! Still, I am reluctant to just lecture to the class, while everyone sits by passively listening (or sleeping, as the case may be….)
So, in the attempt to maintain the discussion focus of this course, and accommodate its large size, we will divide the class into 4 teams composed of roughly 10 people each. Further, during the semester the membership of your team will change 4 times. Thus, be prepared to participate in a collaborative constructive and thoughtful conversation with 4 different teams during the course of the term.

The teams will be listed on Moodle and will run through the following periods:
I. Week 1-4
II. Weeks 6-7
III. Weeks 10-11
IV. Weeks 13-15

Starting Discussion & Paragraphs:
During the term you will be asked 9 times to respond to one of the prep questions for that day’s assignment. Your response to that prep question will be the starting point for your team’s discussion.

Prior to the day you have signed up to start discussion, I will distribute to you a paragraph size sheet of paper. Please respond to the question at the top of paragraph. I ask that you turn that paragraph in to me prior to the beginning of the class.

Typically 3 people from each team will be assigned to start discussion each day. In a go-around at the start of class, you will sketch out a brief answer (3 minutes or so – you can read it from a written-out statement, or an outline, or extemporize). After this go around, the group will switch to a discussion beginning with disagreements people might have with each other, and then proceeding to wherever the discussion might take the group.

The excitement of college often has to do with the chance you have to learn from each other, to try out your own analyses and comparisons of authors, and to hear your own voices in intellectual conversation with each other. You are wonderfully bright and interesting – this course is an opportunity for you all to be colleagues in an intellectual dialogue, to help modify, create, and deconstruct concepts so as to foreclose new forms of intellectual and political life.

Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion:
As an extra-credit assignment, during the semester you may locate two current New York Times or Wall Street Journal newspaper stories touching on an aspect of a theoretical topic we are considering that week. (Note: I will also entertain legitimate news articles from other sources, but you are responsible for making sure the article is a substantive one from a significant new source. If that condition is not met, you will not receive the extra-credit). Under most Friday classes is listed the theoretical topic the newspaper article must touch on, along with the first letters of the last names of the people that are permitted to submit a newspaper article that day.

If you decide to complete the extra-credit, then on the Wednesday before the day of class you are assigned to submit an article, you are required to email a copy of the article to the class, so that everyone may read it before we meet. Further, you must attend class on the day you wish to receive extra-extra, so we can discuss the newspaper article with you if we wish.

Once the extra-credit opportunity has passes from the calendar, it cannot be made up. Each newspaper article submitted, along with a few brief sentences as to why you thought it related to the topic of the class, is worth an additional 1% of your grade. Thus, someone completing each assignment, could improve their grade by a total of 2%.
**Classroom Decorum:**
Because this is a discussion class where we will be taking seriously theoretical and political arguments, we will observe several rules during this course:

- If you do not have your class materials, you may be asked to leave the class. Without your class materials, there is simply no way to thoughtfully discuss the text we are considering.

- Electronic devices – cell phones and computers – are not permitted in the course. The success of this course depends on the development of a constructive dialogue among its participants. There is simply no way that can happen if people are focused on their computer screens, rather than the human beings they are talking with.

- Late arrivals to class are not permitted; if you are going to be late, then do not attend class that day. Late arrivals to class frustrate our ability as a group to talk seriously about difficult theoretical and political issues.

**In Class Writing Assignments:**
The course requires two in class written examinations.

- The first examination will take place on March 20. It will last 50 minutes and cover the material from the course thus far. The test will be a bluebook exam, which requires you to write an essay on some given topic or theme from the course. The test will be open-book, and is designed to help you learn to master the bluebook test format. (For strategies on how to succeed when it comes to in class examinations, please see on my write up on Moodle) The test is worth 15% of your grade.

- The second examination also will be open-book, and will follow a similar format. It will take place on May 15 from 10:10 – 12:10. It is worth 25% of your grade.

**Essays:**
The course requires the completion of two essays.

- Your first paper is due February 27 and is to be between 4 to 5 pages. That essay should be “an argumentative essay.”

You may choose between one of two paper topics:

1. What is Hobbes’s critique of republicanism? Is he right or wrong? Why or why not?

2. What is the nature of freedom for republicans? Are they right or wrong? Why or why not? If you wish, also discuss the consequences of freedom for republicans.

As an argumentative essay, your essay should be an explication of the terms necessary to answer one of the above questions, along with an argument in support of the paper’s claim. The paper is thus not to be a book report, but an opportunity for you to put forth some novel point of view about the material and your reasons for thinking you are right about the material. After all, everyone in the class has supposedly read the material, so just repeating back that material does not move the conversation forward much… (For strategies on how to think and write an “argumentative essay,” see my write-up on
Moodle entitled, “How to Write an Introductory Paragraph.”). Two books that are particularly helpful for learning how to write college level argumentative essays are: Anthony Weston, *A Rule Book for Arguments* and William Strunk Jr. and E.B. White, *The Elements of Style*. Consulting these books should give you a sense of what constitutes strong college level writing.

- Your second paper is due April 17. Like your first paper, it is to be “an argumentative essay.” It also should be between 4 to 5 double spaced pages and should conform to standard academic conventions.

For your second short paper, develop an argumentative essay out of some theme found in one of the following topics or authors:

1. Alexis de Tocqueville on Democracy
2. Emerson, Whitman, or Thoreau on Individualism and Self-Reliance
3. Religion in American Political Thought, as explicated by the authors we have considered
4. Capitalism in American Political Thought, as explicated by the authors we have considered
5. Reform, Radicalism, or Conservatism in American Political Thought, as explicated by the authors we have considered

**Late Paper Policy:**
Late papers will be marked down a grade every day they are late. If you do not turn in the paper the Friday it is due, the next available date it may be submitted in the following Monday in class. Thus, papers turned in Monday will be marked down two letter grades.

**Fulfilling the Writing 400 Requirements:**
Students taking this course to fulfill writing the 400 requirements will be required, in consultation with me, to revise and expand one of their essays into 10-12 pages. Substantive and grammatical revisions will be expected. Students wishing to complete this requirement must include their original essay with the revised essay.

**Graduate Students:**
Rather than taking the Final In Class Exam, graduate students will be required to complete a 12 to 15 page research paper that incorporates the secondary literature on a particular thinker and/or theoretical issue in consultation with the professor. This paper is to be of graduate quality.

In addition, on weeks where chapters from Terence Ball and Russell Hanson eds., *Political Innovation and Conceptual Change* are listed as recommended readings, graduate students are required to write a 1 page précis regarding the relevant chapter. If more than one chapter is cited, then students may select the chapter they wish to write on.

**Sources for Papers:**
Essays should be written using the sources from the course. *That means the Internet is off-limits as a source for essays.*
Needless to say, there is a great deal of information and material on the Internet that touches on American political thought. Unfortunately, much of that information is of a poor quality. Furthermore, it is not always easy to distinguish high from low quality sources of information.

Writing Help:
The Writing Center is located in LA 144. To make an appointment with a writing advisor, call 243-2266, email growl@mso.umt.edu, or stop by LA 144.

Class Drop Policy:
The University allows students to drop courses until February 13 (i.e. the 15th instructional day). After that, students are required to obtain the signature of the faculty member teaching the course. It is my policy to not sign students out of classes. That is, you have three weeks (until Feb. 13) to decide if you wish to take this course. If you do not drop the course by February 13, I will assign students the grade they have received in the class. (Side note: It is not good for you or for the class to have students not committed to taking a course, and hence the policy.)

Disability Services:
If you are a student with a disability who will require reasonable program modifications in this course, please meet with Disability Services for Students in Lommasson 154 for assistance in developing a plan to address program modifications. If you are already working with Disability Services arrange to meet with me during my office hours to discuss reasonable modifications that may be necessary. For more information, visit the Disability Services website at http://www.umt.edu/disability.

Academic Dishonesty:
Students in this course are expected to follow the University’s standards of academic integrity and honesty. If you are caught cheating or plagiarizing, you may receive a failing grade for the assignment and/or class and may be reported to the University. Students are responsible for understanding what constitutes plagiarism. The Code is available for review online at http://www.umt.edu/SA/VPSA/index.cfm/page/1321

Course Topics and Readings:
Reading assignments are to be completed before the class meeting for which they are listed. Bring to class the assigned books, print-outs of online assignments, your reading notes, and this syllabus.

Week 1: The Languages of American Political Thought & the Classical World
1. Mon., Jan. 26: Introduction

Prep: What are the different words we associate with American politics? What do we mean by those words? Why might we want to have a “theory” of American political thought? What do we mean by a “language of politics?”

Recommended:
*Quentin Skinner, “Language and Political Change,” in Political Innovation and Conceptual Change

*Please read through the course syllabus and come prepared to discuss it
Prep: What is the purpose of political society for Aristotle? Would Aristotle think religious toleration and diversity was possible in a political society? What is the fundamental distinction Aristotle draws between persons? Does he think all people are capable of governing themselves? Why or why not? How does Aristotle view the nature of labor and work? What is his view of money? Does he view it positively or negatively? What are his reasons for doing so?

3. Fri., Jan. 30: Cato
*Cato the Younger [M]
*Lewis Theobald, The Life and Character of M. Cato (1713) [M]

Prep: Who is Cato? What sort of person is he? Recall Bk. 7 of Aristotle’s Politics; how might have Aristotle categorized Cato? Does he embody the political and moral virtues necessary for citizens in a self-governing society? If so, what might these be? Finally, why might George Washington had his troop perform Joseph Addison’s 18th century play, “Cato: A Tragedy” at Valley Forge?

Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion: Political Morality and/or Leadership.
* Last names beginning with A-C; M-P

Week 2: Political Stability and the Ravages of Time
1. Mon., Feb. 2: Machiavelli’s Discourses on Livy
*Niccolò Machiavelli, Discourses on Livy, eds. Harvey C. Mansfield & Nathan Tarcov (Chicago: 1998), pp. 5-6, 10-14, 16-17, 20-23, 34-39, 269-272, 281-284, 308-310 (Selections: Preface, Sec. 2, 4, 6, 11, 12, 24, 25, 31, 49) [M]

Prep: What is the aim of Machiavelli’s Discourses on Livy? (See Preface) Political societies can be formed in one of two ways according to Machiavelli. How would you characterize the type of people that form these societies? (see Sec. 2) What are the different types of political societies Machiavelli distinguishes? What are the problems with these forms of political society? What sort of solutions might there be? (see Sec. 2) What is the role of religion for Machiavelli? Are there similarities with Aristotle?

Recommended:
*J. Peter Euben, “Corruption,” Political Innovation and Conceptual Change

2. Wed., Feb. 4: Harrington’s Utopia

Prep: What similarities and differences can you find between Machiavelli and Harrington? Are the problems Harrington sees for political society the same for Machiavelli? If so, what are they and do they see similar solutions? (Harrington uses the image of two girls cutting a cake to illustrate a principle of justice; what is he saying here?) What significance does property have for Harrington? Is Harrington critical of Machiavelli? If so, how? Finally, what is Harrington’s critique of Thomas Hobbes?
Recommended:

3. Fri., Feb. 6: The Course of Empire: Summing-Up Aristotle, Machiavelli, and Harrington
* Course of Empire [M]
* Ruminate/think about what Byron’s quotation implies about Thomas Cole’s painting. Would Machiavelli and Harrington be in sympathy with the sentiments expressed by Cole’s painting? Why or why not? What might they see as the crucial variables in the civilizational process? What variables do you see? What is and what is not necessary for the stability and survival of human society overtime? Order, freedom, citizenship, virtue, wealth, power, law, or what and why?

Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion: Empire
* Last names beginning with D-F; R

Week 3: Monarchy vs. Republicanism
1. Mon., Feb. 9: Monarchy and the Unified Sovereign

Additional Recommended but Non-Required Selections:
*Thomas Hobbes, *Leviathan*, pp. 13-37 (These pages contain Hobbes epistemological and metaphysical views) [M]


Recommended:
*Johann Sommervile, “Thomas Hobbes” (A very brief statement of who Hobbes was) [M]
*Quentin Skinner, “The State,” in *Political Innovation and Conceptual Change*

2. Wed., Feb. 11: Republicanism and Freedom
*Algernon Sidney, *Discourses Concerning Government*, pp. 3-4, 12-14. [M]

Prep: What is freedom for Sidney, Trenchard and Gordon? Would Sidney, Trenchard and Gordon think contemporary Americans are free? Why or why not? What do Trenchard and Gordon think are the consequences of freedom? Do you think these republican writers are too optimistic? Would Hobbes think they are too optimistic? Do you think Sidney, Trenchard and Gordon would be religiously tolerant? Do you think, for instance, they would like Catholics and followers of Islam? Why or why not?
Recommended:

* King George’s Speech to Parliament (1775) [M]
* The Declaratory Act, 1766 [M]
* Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 100-07, 113-19, 131-49:
  * James Otis, *The Rights of the British Colonies Asserted and Proved* (1764)
  * Joanthan Boucher, *On Civil Liberty, Passive Obedience, and Non-Resistance* (1774)
  * Thomas Paine, *Common Sense* (1776)
* See Chart: 18th Century Republicanism [M]

Prep: What is Blackstone’s vision of power? Further, what is his argument for the omnipotence of the King-and-Parliament? What is Blackstone’s argument against Locke? What, if any, similarities can you see between Blackstone’s ideas and the Declaratory Act? Where does James Otis think government originates? What rights does Otis think the colonies may claim against their imperial rulers and what reasons does he give for thinking they have those rights? Would Joanthan Boucher agree or disagree with Otis? Why? What’s Paine’s critique of the British Constitution? Why is the government of England not “republican”? How, generally speaking, does he view government? Is it a positive good or? Why does he call his essay “Common Sense”?

Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion: Freedom and/or Power
* Last names beginning with G-J; S

LAST DAY TO DROP COURSE

Recommended:
* J.A.W. Gunn, “Public Interest,” in *Political Innovation and Conceptual Change*

Week 4: The Social Contract & We the People?
1. Mon., Feb. 16: NO CLASSES: PRESIDENT’S DAY

2. Wed., Feb. 18: Locke Two Treatise of Government

  * Ch. I (Preface)
  * Ch. II “Of the State of Nature”
  * Ch. III “Of the State of War”
Prep: Why is government formed, according to Locke? Is the social contract the same for Locke and Hobbes? What, in other words, is the foundation of political legitimacy for Locke? How does Locke view human beings? Does he see them as Hobbes does or is there a different view at work? How many branches of government does Locke see and why? What is Locke’s view of property?

Recommended:
* Johann Sommervile, “John Locke” (A very brief statement of who Locke was) [M]  
* Ibid., The Political Thought of John Locke (Cambridge: 1983)

3. Fri., Feb. 20: Locke Two Treatise of Government
  * Ch. IX “Of the Ends of Political Society and Government”  
  * Ch. X “Of the Forms of a Common-wealth”  
  * Ch. XI “Of the Extent of the Legislative Power”  
  * Ch. XII “Of the Legislative, Executive, and Federative Power of the Common-wealth”  
  * Ch. XII “Of the Subordination of the Power of the Common-wealth”  
  * Ch. XIV “Of Prerogative”  
  * Ch. XVIII “Of Tyranny”  
  * Ch. XIX “Of the Dissolution of Government”

Prep: What is the role of the people in politics? Where are their interests represented? Do they have a right to revolution? After the people establish a government what happens to them? Are they, metaphorically speaking, politically alive or dead? How many branches of government does Locke see and why? And what is missing here?

Recommended:
* Kramnick and Lowi, American Political Thought, pp. 1247-56:  

Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion: The Social Contract
* Last names beginning with L; T-W

Week 5: FIRST SHORT PAPER DUE (4-5 PAGES)
1. Mon., Feb. 23: Workshop
2. Wed., Feb. 25: Optional Meetings
3. Fri., Feb. 27: Paper Due

Week 6: Once and Always, The People Speaking?

10
1. Mon., Mar. 2: Revolution and a New Birth of Constitutionalism
*Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 151-54:
  *Thomas Jefferson, *The Declaration of Independence* (1776)
  *James Madison, “Vices of the Political System of the United States” (1787) [M]

Prep: In what way is the Declaration of Independence a Lockean document? (Can you find specific passages from Locke?) In what ways does it perhaps owe more to Harrington, Sidney, Trenchard and Gordon? (Can you find specific passages that sound like Harrington, Sidney, Trenchard or Gordon?) What views of human nature are presupposed in the Declaration? Is it optimistic or pessimistic about human nature and self-government? What Does Richard Price think the consequences of the American Revolution will be? Price seems to think the Revolution is religiously and rationally ordained. If we are skeptical, as some people are today, about human reason and revelation, does that undermine the argument for the Declaration?

2. Wed., Mar. 4: Anti-Federalism, or Republicanism Redux?
*Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 248-256, 256-274:
  *Robert Yates, *Essays of Brutus* (1787-1788)
  *Patrick Henry, *Debate in the Virginia Ratifying Convention* (1788)
  *James Winthrop, *The Agrippa Letters* (1787-1788) [M]
  *Melancton Smith, *Speech Before the New York Ratifying Convention* (1788) [M]

Prep: What is the worry of the Anti-Federalists? Were their worries legitimate? Are these worries echoes of the worries voiced by an earlier generation of “republicans” who fought against “monarchists?”

3. Fri., Mar 6: Federalists
*Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 199-205; 209-23:
  *Federalist No. 10, 39, 48, 51 (1787-1788)
  *Hamilton, *Federalist No. 35* [M]

Prep: What is the nature of representation the Federalists are advocating for against the Anti-Federalists? Where are the people to be represented? How is this different from Locke’s embrace of “the people?”

Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion: Revolution, Political Legitimacy, Constitutionalism and/or Rights
*Last names beginning with: A-C; M-P

Highly Recommended (Graduate Students Please Write on Arendt)
*Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 199-205; 209-23:

Question: According to the famous twentieth-century political theorists Hannah Arendt, “liberation” and “freedom” are distinct things. What does she mean by these terms, and how does she think the American Revolution expressed the latter thus marking a new departure in Western political thought?
Recommended:
* John Dunn, “Revolution,” in Political Innovation and Conceptual Change
* Mary G. Dietz, “Patriotism,” in Political Innovation and Conceptual Change
* Richard Dagger, “Rights,” in Political Innovation and Conceptual Change
* Pauline Maier, Ratification: The People Debate the Constitution, 1787-1788 (Simon & Schuster, 2010).

Week 7: The American Enlightenment Amidst Conflicting Paradigms of Government
   * Kramnick and Lowi, American Political Thought, pp., 337-339, 344-347:
     * Thomas Jefferson, A Bill for Establishing Religious Liberty (1777)
   * David Hume, “Of Parties in General” (1741) [M]

   Prep: What is a political party? Is it necessary for democratic constitutional politics? Or is it simply a source of corruption, sedition, and rebellion? (What, ask yourself, does the famous 18th Century English philosopher David Hume say about political parties?) Arguably, Thomas Jefferson invented the idea of the modern political party. What, if any, connection is there between the modern idea of a political party and the idea of religious toleration, which was an idea that Thomas Jefferson also championed?

Recommended:
* Terence Ball, “Party,” in Political Innovation and Conceptual Change
* Jeffrey L. Pasley et al., eds., Beyond the Founders: New Approaches to the Political History of the Early Republic

   * Kramnick and Lowi, American Political Thought, pp. 358-365, 352-358, 366-375:
     * Thomas Jefferson, To Reverend James Madison (1785)
     * Ibid., To Colonel Edward Carrington (1787)
     * Ibid., To William S. Smith (1787)
     * Ibid., To James Madison (1789)
     * Ibid., To Elbridge Gerry (1799)
     * Ibid., First Inaugural Address (1801)
     * Ibid., Second Inaugural Address (1805)
     * Ibid., To John Adams (1813)
     * Ibid., To Pierre Samuel Dupont de Memours (1816)
     * Ibid., To Samuel Kercheval (1816)
Prep: What is Jefferson’s vision of the role of government in the United States? Is the main goal of Jeffersonian Republicanism equality or liberty? What sort of aspirations do you hear in Peter Wendover’s *Oration*? Similarly, how would you characterize Jeffersonian Republicanism: Is it politically radical or conservative and why? Finally, ask yourself how it is that Jefferson is establishing a cultural and intellectual precondition for liberal democracy by legitimating the notion of party competition.

Recommended:
*J.A.W. Gunn, “Public Opinion,” in Political Innovation and Conceptual Change

3. Fri., Mar. 13: Hamiltonian Federalists
*Kramnick and Lowi, American Political Thought, pp. 223-36, 297-327:
  *Alexander Hamilton, Federalist No. 70, 78 (1787-1788)
  *Alexander Hamilton, First Report on the Public Credit (1790)
  *Ibid., Opinion on the Constitutionality of the Bank (1791)
  *Ibid., Report on Manufactures (1791) [Skim]
  *George Washington, Farewell Address (1796)
  *John Marshall, Marbury v. Madison (1803)

Prep: How does Hamilton’s vision of the United States differ from that of Jefferson’s vision? The Federalist Party was defeated and disappeared from the stage of history. Still, though, how might the influence of the Federalist Party have continued to shape American thought, institutions, and attitudes? What parts of American government have or do express Hamiltonian tendencies? Finally, what is the fundamental hidden premise of John Marshall’s argument in *Marbury*? Is that premise democratic or anti-democratic?

Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion: *The Nature and Aims of Government in American Society and/or Political Parties*
*Last names beginning with: D-F*

Recommended:

Week 8: MIDTERM
1. Mon., Mar. 16: Optional Review
2. Wed., Mar. 18: No Class
3. Fri., Mar. 20: 50 Minute In Class Midterm
**Week 10: An Empire of Liberty?**


* Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 11-17:
  * John Winthrop, *A Model of Christian Charity* (1630)
  * Roger Williams, *A Bloody Tenent of Persecution* (1644)

* Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 365-366:
  * Thomas Jefferson, *To a Committee of the Danbury Baptist Association* (1802)

* Samuel Hopkins, *A Treatise on the Millennium* (1793) [M]

* Isaac Backus, *A Door Opened for Equal Christian Liberty* (1783) [M]

* Richard McNemar, *The Kentucky Revival* (1808) [M]

* Charles Grandison Finney, *Lectures on Revivals of Religion* (1835) [M]

**Prep:** Why do you think America is the only modern industrialized nation where religion continues to play a significant role politically? Looking backward, why were there periodic religious awakenings in America? Does this phenomenon provide evidence of the separation of religion and politics in America or of its mutual re-enforcement? Looking forward to Wednesday’s class, is American capitalism related to the rise of American religion?

**Recommended:**


* Perry Miller, “The Marrow of Puritan Divinity,” in *Errand into the Wilderness* (Harvard: 1956)


**Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion:** *Religion and Politics in America*

* Last names beginning with G-J.


* William Manning, *The Key of Liberty* (1798) [M]

* Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 53-66:
  * Benjamin Franklin, *The Way to Wealth* (1758)
  * Benjamin Franklin, *The Art of Virtue* (1784)

**Prep:** Did the growth of American capitalism help promote the republican notion of an economically independent citizenry or frustrate it? What might be some of the moral consequences of the growth of American capitalism? What would Benjamin Franklin say? What would Manning say? Finally, how does Manning view labor? Does he view it the same way Aristotle viewed it or are there different ideas going on, and if so what are those ideas?
Recommended:
* Alan Ryan, “Property,” *Political Innovation and Conceptual Change*

3. Fri., Mar. 27: No Class

**SPRING BREAK: MARCH 30 THROUGH APRIL 3**

**Week 11: American Democracy**

1. Mon., Apr. 6: American Democracy
  * The Striking Feature in the Social Condition of the Anglo-Americans is that it is Essentially Democratic
  * Political Consequences of the Social state of the Anglo-Americans
  * The Principle of the Sovereignty of the People in America
  * Government By Democracy in America
  * Universal Suffrage
  * The People’s Choice and the Instincts of American Democracy in Such Choices
  * Elements which May Provide a Partial Corrective to These Instincts of Democracy
  * Why Democratic Nations Show A More Ardent and Enduring Love for Equality than for Liberty
  * The Taste for Physical Comfort in America
  * Particular Effects of the Love of Physical Pleasures in Democratic Times
  * Why Some Americans Display Enthusiastic Forms of Spirituality
  * Why the Americans Are Often so Restless in the Midst of Their Prosperity
  * Why Americans Consider All Honest Callings Honorable
  * What Gives Almost All Americans a Preference for Industrial Callings
  * How an Aristocracy May be Created by Industry

Prep: Why does Tocqueville say the social condition of Americans is “essentially democratic?” What contrast does he have in mind? Further, why does he say democratic nations are more found of equality than of liberty? What do you think he means by “equality?” And do you think democratic societies really prefer equality over liberty? Or is the calculation much more complex – are equality and liberty, perhaps, entangled in ways that make for their easy separation difficult?

Recommended:
* Russell Hanson, “Democracy,” *Political Innovation and Conceptual Change*
* Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 451-
  * George Bancroft, *The Office of the People in Art, Government, and Religion* (1835)
* Johns Stuart Mill, *Considerations on Representative Government* (1861) [M]

2. Wed., Apr. 8: The Romance of Democracy and Self-Reliance
Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 471-76, 484-91, 497-506:
  * Ralph Waldo Emerson, *Self-Reliance* (1840)
*Henry David Thoreau, *Resistance to Civil Government* (1849)
*Walt Whitman, *Democratic Vistas* (1871)

Prep: The great 19th Century German liberal thinker, Wilhelm von Humboldt famously said of his work, "The grand, leading principle, towards which every argument unfolded in these pages directly converges, is the absolute and essential importance of human development in its richest diversity." How do the writings of Emerson, Thoreau, and Whitman embody this sentiment?

Recommended:
*George Kateb, *Emerson and Self-Reliance* (Rowman and Littlefield: 2002); *The Inner Ocean* (Cornell: 1992)

3. Fri., Apr. 10: Democracy and Its Discontents
  *The Omnipotence of the Majority in the United States and its Effects*
  *How in America the Omnipotence of the Majority Increases the Legislative and Administrative Instability Natural to Democracies*
  *Tyranny of the Majority*
  *Effect of the Omnipotence of the Majority on the Arbitrary Power of American Public Officials*
  *The Power Exercised by the Majority in America over Thought*
  *Effects of the Majority’s Tyranny on American National Character; the Courtier Spirit in the United States*
  *The Greatest Danger to the American Republics Comes from the Omnipotence of the Majority*

Prep: Republicanism and democracy represent a break with monarchicalism and the social life reflective of it, and thus are major turning points in human development. What are some of the pathologies, difficulties, and worries that Tocqueville sees emerging as a consequence of democratic forms of social life?

Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion: Democracy and Its Discontents
*Last names beginning with: R.*

Recommended:
  *James Fenimore Cooper, *The American Democrat* (1838)
  *Twelve Southerners, I’ll Take My Stand* (1930)
Week 12: SECOND SHORT PAPER (4-5 PAGES)
1. Mon., Apr. 13: Workshop

2. Wed., Apr. 15: Optional Meetings

3. Fri., Apr. 17: Paper Due

Week 13: Reformation and Radicalism
1. Mon., Apr. 20 Democratic Pathologies or Potentialities?
  * Of Individualism in Democracies
  * How Americans Combat the Effects of Individualism by Free Institutions
  * On the Use which the Americans Make of Associations in Civil Life
  * How the Americans Combat Individualism by the Doctrine of Self-Interest Properly Understood
  * How the Aspect of Society in the United States is at Once Agitated and Monotonous
  * Why There are so Many Men of Ambition in the United States but so Few Lofty Ambitions
  * General Survey of the Subject

Prep: What does Tocqueville mean by “individualism?” Does he see it as a problem for democracies or not? If a potential problem, what might be some of the potential solutions to it? What role does he see, for example, for associations in civic life? Finally, can one separate the “romance of democracy” so evident in Emerson, Thoreau, and Whitman from the “pathologies of democracy” Tocqueville highlights?”

Recommended:
* Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 1511-1522:

* Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 559-563, 581-88:
  * William Lloyd Garrison, *Declaration of Sentiments of the American Anti-Slavery Society* (1833)
  * David Walker, *Appeal...to the Colored Citizens of the World...* (1829)
* Frederick Douglass, *What to the Slave is the Fourth of July?* (1852) [M]
* *An Interview with John Brown* [M]
* *John Brown, Statement at His Trial* [M]

Prep: Does the history of American slavery demonstrate that American ideals and values (those, for instance, embodied in the Declaration of Independence) are a sham? Put another way, what, indeed, is the Fourth of July to a slave? What is Douglas’ answer? What would the answer of Walker, or that of Garrison and Brown be? Moreover, does the history of American slavery show the impossibility of American constitutionalism to deal with fundamental problems of moral evil?
Most fundamentally, does the history of American slavery demonstrate the failure of liberal democratic capitalism?

**Recommended:**
*Gunnar Myrdal, *An American Dilemma* (1944)
*Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 1322-1328:
  *Malcolm X, *The Ballot or the Bullet* (1964)

**3. Fri., Apr. 24: Feminism**
*Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 506-528, 854-860:
  *Abigail Adams, *Letter to John Adams* (1776)
  *Judith Sasrgent Stevens Murry, *On the Equality of the Sexes* (1790)
  *Angelina Grimké, *Letter to Catharine E. Beecher* (1837)
  *Sarah Grimké, *Letters on the Equality of the Sexes and the Condition of Women* (1837)
  *Catharine E. Beecher, *A Treatise on Domestic Economy* (1841)
  *Orestes Brownson, *The Woman Question* (1869)

**Prep:** As we have seen, republicanism was a major challenge to monarchial society, its manners, structure of power, and economic organization. Based on the readings, do you think republicanism helped or hindered the cause of women in America? What about the institutions of a market economy? Did these new institutions empower or dis-empower women? As you answer these questions, pay particularly attention to Beecher and Brownson’s understanding of the role of women in American society.

**Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion:** *Reform and Social Movements*
*Last names beginning with: L*

**Recommended:**
*Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 1344-1349, 1351-1353, 1362-1369, 1406-1411, 1426-1433:
  *Betty Friedan, *The Feminine Mystique* (1963)
  *The Redstockings Manifesto* (1969)
  *Kate Millett, *Sexual Politics* (1970)
  *Phyllis Schlafly, *The Power of the Positive Woman* (1977)
  *bell hooks, *Feminist Theory from Margin to Center* (1987)

**Week 14: American Civil Religion and Citizenship**
**1. Mon., Apr. 27: Lincoln: Emancipation and National Unity**
  *Lincoln, *Cooper Union Address* (1860)
  *Lincoln, *First Inaugural Address* (1861)
  *Lincoln, *Gettysburg Address* (1863)
  *Lincoln, *The Emancipation Proclamation* [M]

**Prep:** As the historian Gary Wills has argued, Lincoln’s words “remade America.” How might this be true? (Ask yourself when Lincoln dates the founding of American – why might he do that? What is he trying to say?) What is the vision of America one finds in the *Cooper Union*
Address and the *First Inaugural Address*? What about the Gettysburg Address? What, according to that speech, is the Civil War about? Do you think Lincoln is consecrating America, unifying it by endowing it with sacred authority? What might be the implications of doing so, both positive and negative?

**Recommended:**
*Gary Wills, *Lincoln at Gettysburg* (Simon & Schuster: 2006)*

2. Wed., Apr. 29: White Supremacy

**Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 546-554, 624-636, 644-647, 926-928, 942-946, 980-985:**
*Thomas Jefferson, *Notes on the State of Virginia* (1784)*
*George Fitzhugh, *Sociology for the South: or, the Failure of Free Society* (1854)*
*13th, 14th, 15th Amendments*
*Hiram W. Evans, *The Klan’s Fight for Americanism* (1926)*

**Prep:** What are the various definitions of citizenship at work in these pieces? What are the assumptions that underpin them? How do you read the 14th Amendment? Is it a narrow or a broad thing? Do the Civil War Amendments contradict Taney, and how do Brown and Harlan interpret them in *Plessy*? Finally, what elements, if any, in the history of American political thought might lead to a nativist view of citizenship, rather than a broader more inclusive definition of the concept?

**Recommended:**
*Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 893-907, 910-914, 928-940*
*James Zachariah George, *Speeches on Chinese Immigration* (1882)*
*Henry Cabot Lodge, Speech on Literacy Test for Immigrants (1896)*
*Chief Joseph, *An Indian’s View of Indiana Affairs* (1879)*
*Ibid., “That They May All be One’: America as a House Divided,” A House Still Divided [M]*

3. Fri., May 1: Citizenship

**Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 529-33, 869-71, 950-63, 969-73**
*Elizabeth Cady Stanton, *The Seneca Falls Declaration of Sentiments and Resolutions* (1848)*
*Susan B. Anthony, *Speech About Her Indictment* (1873)*
*Ibid., The Immediate Program of the American Negro* (1915)*
*The Nineteen the Amendment* (1920) [M]*

**Prep:** What intellectual resources are the authors using to definite the concept of citizenship? Are they similar or different from the resources used by Wednesday’s authors? Would these
intellectual resources have been possible without the 14th Amendment? Would they be possible without Lincoln’s “remaking of America?”

**Extra-Credit Newspaper Research and Discussion: Citizenship**

Last names beginning with: T-W

**Recommended:**
- Randolph Bourne, *Trans-National America* (1916) [M]
- Michael Walzer, “Citizenship,” *Political Innovation and Conceptual Change*
- Rogers M. Smith, *The Meaning of American Citizenship* [M]
- Ibid., “Beyond Tocqueville, Myrdal, and Hartz: Multiple Traditions in America,” *American Political Science Review*, vol. 87, no. 3 (Sept., 1993)

**Week 15: Free-Market Capitalism, the Power of Intellect, and Social Progress**

1. **Mon., May 4: Laissez Faire**
   - Benjamin Tucker, *Liberty* (1881)
   - William Graham Sumner, *What Social Classes Owe to Each Other* (1884)

   **Prep:** Who, according to Tucker, are the false friends of liberty? Would a dedicated capitalist always be a friend of liberty, according to Tucker? Put otherwise, would Tucker think anarchism and capitalism are compatible? What is Sumner’s objection to focusing on equality as a social value? Should we tolerate inequality if we can be assured of greater overall wealth even for the least advantaged? What is the “gospel of wealth?”

**Extra-Credit: Newspaper Research and Discussion: Capitalism**

Last names beginning with: S

**Recommended:**
- Friedrich Hayek, *The Road to Serfdom* (The Condensed Version as it appeared in the April 1945 Reader’s Digest, along with Cartoons…) [M]
- Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 1391-1405, 1411-1426:
  - Milton Friedman and Rose D. Friedman, *Free to Choose* (1980)

2. **Wed., Apr. 29: Pragmatisms and Progressivism**
   *Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 1024-52, 1013-17, 1065-95, 1102-1113
   - William James, *Pragmatism: A New Name for Old Ways of Thinking* (1907)
   - Ibid., *The Public and Its Problems* (1927)
   - Theodore Roosevelt, *The New Nationalism* (1910)
   - Woodrow Wilson, *The New Freedom* (1913)
- Kramnick and Lowi, *American Political Thought*, pp. 1058-1064:
  - Walter Lipman, *Public Opinion* (1922)
**Pragmatism Prep:** American pragmatism has been called America’s philosophy, the country’s one true contribution to the rarefied domain of philosophical thought (who’s focus is on metaphysics (the nature of reality and what there is), epistemology (the theory of knowledge), ethics and values (the theory of what ought to be done or what we should judge good and beautiful). How do the themes and ideas advanced by James, Dewey, and Peirce draw on themes we have thus far developed in class? Are their ideas and arguments distinctly “democratic?” How might pragmatic philosophical assumptions (in the domains of metaphysics, epistemology, and ethics and value theory) be necessary for the survival of democracy? Or, alternatively, might such pragmatic philosophical ideas undermine and destroy a free society?

**Progressivism Prep:** What is the Progressive critique of the Gilded Age? What is the Progressive solution to the ills of the Gilded Age? More philosophically, do you think economic inequality undermines democracy? If so, what solutions do you see? Further, do you think such solutions rest on an optimistic or pessimistic view of human beings and democracy? Is this optimism or pessimism justified? Similarly, given the demands of modern society – intense specialization, global capitalism, and issues of national security, for instance - is democracy possible? What would the Progressives we have read (including Lipman) say? What would Alexander Hamilton say?

**Recommended:**
*Alan Ryan, John Dewy and the High Tide of American Liberalism (Norton: 1997)*
*Kramnick and Lowi, American Political Thought, pp. 1494-1503:*
*Richard Rorty A Cultural Left (1998)*
*Mark Goldie, “Ideology,” in Political Innovation and Conceptual Change*
*Daniel T. Rogers, “In Search of Progressivism,” Reviews in American History (December 1982)*
*James T. Kloppenberg, Uncertain Victory: Social Democracy and Progressivism in American and European Social Thought (Oxford: 1988)*
*Jacob Hacker and Paul Pierson, Winner-Take-All-Politics: How Washington Made the Richer Richer-and Turned Its Back on the Middle Class (Simon & Schuster: 2011)*

**3. Fri., May 1: America in Search of a Public Philosophy**
*Kramnick and Lowi, American Political Thought, pp. 1477-94, 985-988:*
*Michael Sandel, “The Public Philosophy of Contemporary Liberalism” (1996)*
*Langston Hughes, Let America Be America Again (1938)*

**Prep:** What is the “picture” of contemporary American political thought that Sandel paints? What does he mean by “liberalism?” What does he mean by “republicanism?” Is Sandel critical of liberalism? If so, why? Do you think this picture is correct? How would you add or subtract from it, given what you now know about American political thought? Finally, what is the attitude Hughes adopts toward America? Is he critical or hopeful regarding its values and history?

**FINAL EXAM: 10:10-12:10, Friday May 15**