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## INTRODUCTION

A basic problem of elementary algebra is solving a system of two linear equations in two unknowns. The general problem involving a system of $n$-linear equations in $n$ unknowns can be solved in a variety of ways. Direct methods of solution such as Cramer's rule, Gaussian elimination, or straight forward matrix inversion and multiplication may be employed. Also iterative methods such as the Jacobi, GaussSeidel, or overrelaxation techniques may be used to solve the system.

Non-linear systems of equations are usually studied as equations involving nonlinear operators on abstract spaces. For example, a system of three nonlinear homogenous equations in three real unknowns can be thought of as the equation $F(x)=0$, where $F: R^{3} \rightarrow R^{3}$ is composed of three nonlinear functions $f_{i}: R^{3} \rightarrow, i=1,2,3$. A solution $x^{*}$ to the equation $F(x)=0$ is thus a solution to the system $f_{i}(x)=0, i=1,2,3$.

In chapter one, the concepts of functional analysis necessary for analyzing operator equations on abstract
spaces are developed. Newton's method and related iterative techniques for nonlinear systems are examined for convergence using the unified approach of Rheinboldt and Ortega in chapter two. Finally, in chapter three conditions are found to insure the convergence of the extended Gauss-Seidel iterative technique in $R^{2}$.

It is assumed that the reader has a modest knowledge of linear algebra, including the basic vector space properties found in Halmos 7 , chapters one and two.

## Concepts of Functional Analysis

The subject matter collected under the title functional analysis includes major portions of analysis, topology, and linear algebra. The development of all the concepts to be used in this paper would provide ample material for a textbook. Thus, only a few basic definitions are stated and the less common results, crucial in the examination of iterative techniques for nonlinear operator equations are developed in detail.

We shall reserve $R$ to denote the real number system, and begin with a listing of basic properties.

Definition 1.1: A vector space is a set $V$ associated with a field ( $F$, + , ${ }^{\circ}$ ) such that the operations $\phi: V \times V \rightarrow V$ and $\psi: F \times V \rightarrow V$, where $\phi(x, y)$ is denoted $x+y$ and $\psi(\alpha, x)$ is denoted by ax, satisfy the following:

1) ( $\mathrm{V},+$ ) is a commutative group.
2) $\alpha \varepsilon F, x, y \in V$ implies that $a(x+y)=a x+\alpha y$.
3) $\alpha, \beta \varepsilon F, x \in V$ implies that $(\alpha+\beta) x=\alpha x+\beta x$.

4）$\alpha, \beta \in F, x \in V$ implies that $(\alpha \beta) x=\alpha(\beta x)$ 。
5）$x \in V$ implies that $I x=x$ ．
If $F=R$ ，then $V$ is said to be a real vector space。
Definition 1．2：A real vector space $V$ is said to be $n$－dimensional if there exists a set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \in V$ such that $c_{i} \varepsilon R, i=1, \ldots 0, n, \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{i}=0$ ，implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2}=0$ ，and for any subset $\left\{y_{i}, \ldots . y_{n+1}\right\}$ of $v$ contain－ ing $n+1$ elements，there exists $d_{i} \varepsilon R_{1} i=1, \ldots, n+1$ ， such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_{i} x_{i}=0$ ，and $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_{i}^{2}>0$ ．A vector space $V$ is said to be finite dimensional if there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $V$ is $n$－dimensional．

Definition 1．3：Suppose $M$ and $N$ are vector spaces associated with the same field F．Suppose $T: M \rightarrow N$ ．Then $T$ is said to be a linear operator if $T(\alpha x+\beta y)=\alpha T(x)+$ $\beta T(y)$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in F$ ．In the special case where $N=F=R, T$ is said to be a linear functional．

Definition $l_{0}$ 4：A real vector space $V$ is said to be normed if there exists $\phi: V \rightarrow R$ ，where $\phi(x)$ is denoted by $\|x\|$ ，satisfying the following：

1）$x \in V$ implies that $\|x\| \geqslant 0$ and $\|x\|=0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x}=0$ 。

2）$X \in V, \alpha \in R$ implies that $\|\alpha x\|=|\alpha|\|x\|$ ．
3）$x \in V, Y \in V$ implies that $\|x+y\| \leqslant\|x\|+\|y\|$ ． Definition 1．5：If $M$ and $N$ are real normed vector spaces，and if $T: M \rightarrow N$ is a linear operator，then $T$ is said to be bounded if there exists $K \varepsilon R, K>0$ such that
（1．51）$\quad\left|\mid T(x)\|\leqslant K\| x \|\right.$ for all $x \in M_{0}$ ．The least such $K$ sat－ isfying（ 1.51 ）is said to be the norm of $T$ and is denoted by $|\mid T \|$ 。

Note that if $\|x\|=1$ ，then $(1,51)$ becomes $\|T(x)\| \leqslant M_{p}$ and hence $\|T\|=\sup _{\substack{x \in M \\ \\\|x\|=1}}\|T(x)\|$ 。

Definition 106：A real normed vector space $V$ is said to be complete if whenever $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ ，a sequence in $V$ ，is such that $\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|=0$ ，then there exists an $x \in V$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|=0$ o $i_{0} e_{0}, A$ real normed vector space $V$ is complete if every Cauchy sequence in $V$ is convergent in $V$ ． Definition 1．7：A complete real normed vector space is said to be a Banach space or simply a B－space．

Theorem $1_{0} 1$ ：Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are B－spaces．Then we shall let $[\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}]$ denote the set of all bounded linear op－ erators mapping $X$ into $Y$ ．If we define $\|F\|$ as above， $(F+G)(x)=F(x)+G(x)$ ，and $(\alpha F)(x)=\alpha(F(x))$ for all $F$, $G \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]_{, \alpha \in R \text { ，and } X \in X, \text { then }[X \rightarrow Y] \text { is a B－space．}}$ Proof：Clearly $[\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{y}]$ is a real normed vector space． Suppose $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of elements of the space $[\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}]$ 。 Then given $\varepsilon>0$ ，there exists an $N \varepsilon \mathrm{R}^{+}$such that $n_{g} m_{\rho}>N$ implies $\left\|U_{n}-U_{m}\right\|<\varepsilon_{0}$ Thus for any fixed $x \in X$, $\left\|U_{n}(x)-U_{m}(x)\right\|<\varepsilon\|x\|$ ，and so the sequence $\left\{U_{n}(x)\right\}$ of elem－ ents of $Y$ is Cauchy．$Y$ is complete，hence there exists
$U(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n}(x)$ for $x \in X_{0} \quad U$ is linear because $U(x+y)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n}(x+y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(U_{n}(x)+U_{n}(y)\right)=U(x)+U(y)$ and $U(\alpha x)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n}(\alpha x)=\alpha\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n}(x)\right)=\alpha U(x)$ 。Also，$\left\|U(x)-U_{m}(x)\right\|=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|U_{n}(x)-U_{m}(x)\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon\|x\|$ for $m>N$ ，so the operator $V$ ， where $V(x)=U(x)-U_{N}(x)$ for $x \varepsilon X$ ，is an element of the space $[X \rightarrow Y]$ ．Thus $U=V+U_{N} \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]$ ，so $\left\|U-U_{n}\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for $n \geqslant N$ and $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ converges to $U \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]$ ．Therefore $[X \rightarrow Y]$ is complete，and hence a B－space．

Remarks：1）In the particular case where $Y=R$ ， $[X \rightarrow R]$ corresponds to the adjoint space $X^{*}$ of $X_{0}$（see Halmos［7］）。

2）If $X$ is a B－space，then we may define a second operation on $[X \rightarrow X]$ as follows：if $U, V \varepsilon[X \rightarrow X]$ ， then $U V=W$ where $W \varepsilon[X \rightarrow X]$ such that $W(X)=U(V(x))$ for all $x \in X$ 。 In this case $\|W(x)\|=\|U(V(x))\| \leqslant\|U\|\|V(x)\| \leqslant\|U\|\|V\|\|x\|$ so\｜$\|W\| \leqslant\|U\|\|V\|$ ．with the two operations defined on $[X \rightarrow X]$ ， $[X \rightarrow X]$ becomes a ring over $R$ or $[X \rightarrow X]$ is a real algebra。 In the general case，given $U \varepsilon[Y \rightarrow X]$ and $V \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]$ we shall again define $U V=W$ where $W \varepsilon[X \rightarrow X]$ such that $W(x)=U(V(x))$ for all xeX．

Theorem 1．2：（Banach）Let $X$ be a B－space and let $U \varepsilon[X \rightarrow X]$ o If $\|U\| \leqslant q<1$ then the operation $(I-U)^{-1}$ exists and is an element of $[X \rightarrow X]$ ．Further，$\left\|(I-U)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant$ $\frac{1}{1}^{\circ}$

Proof：Let $I \varepsilon[X \rightarrow X]$ be the identity mapping and define $U^{0}=I_{\theta} U^{n}=U^{n-1} U$ for $n=1,2,00 \%$ In view of the above remark，we have\｜$\left\|u^{n} \mid k\right\| u \|^{n}$ for $n=0,1, \cdots 0$ Then $V=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U^{j}$ is convergent since $\|v\|^{j} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|U^{j}\right\|<\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\|u\|^{j}<$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j}=\frac{1}{I-q}$ ．Further，$V(I-U)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U^{j}(I-U)=$
$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U^{j^{j}}-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} U^{j+1}=U^{0}=I$ ，and similarly $(I-U) V=I$ ．Hence $V=(I-U)^{-1}$ ，so $(I-U)^{-1}$ exists and $\left\|(I-U)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant I_{I^{-q}}$ ．

In view of the fact that every n－dimensional real
vector space is isomorphic to $R^{n}$（see Halmos $p$ ．15，［7］），we shall pay particular attention to this space。 If $x \in R^{n}$ ，then $x=\left(\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}\end{array}\right)$ and we shall define $\|x\|=\max _{i=1,}\left|x_{i j}\right|_{0, n}$ with this norm， usually called the Tchebycheff norm，$R^{n}$ is a B－space．Recal－ ling that $T \varepsilon\left[R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}\right]$ implies that $T$ may be represented by an $n \times m$ matrix，（see Halmos 7 ），we have $\|T\|=\left\|\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a_{n} & \infty & 0 \\ \vdots & a_{1 m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n 1} & \cdots & a_{n m}\end{array}\right)\right\|$ $=\max _{i=1} \sum_{\theta}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|a_{i j}\right| 。$

Definition 1．8：Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are $B-s p a c e s, A$ is an open subset of $X, X_{0} \varepsilon A$ ，and $T: A \rightarrow Y_{0}$ Suppose that there exists $U_{\varepsilon}[X \rightarrow Y]$ such that for every $X \in X$ ，
（1．81） $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T\left(x_{0}+t x\right)-T\left(x_{0}\right)}{t}=U(x)$ ．Then the linear
operator $U$ is said to be the Gateaux derivative of $T$ at the point $x_{0}$ ，denoted $U=T^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ 。 The element $U(x)$ is called
the Gateaux differential．If the convergence relationship is satisfied uniformly for all $\mathrm{x} \varepsilon \mathrm{X}$ such that $\|\mathrm{x}\|=1$ p then $U$ and $U(x)$ are called the Frechet derivative and Frechet differential respectively。

Theorem $l_{0}$ 3：The operator $T$ has a Frechet deriva－ tive at the point $x_{0}$ if and only if there exists $U \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]$ such that for every $\varepsilon>0$ ，there exists a $\delta>0$ ，such that $\Delta x \in X,\|\Delta x\|<\delta$ implies $\left\|T\left(x_{0}+\Delta x\right)-T\left(x_{0}\right)-U(\Delta x)\right\| \leqslant$ $\varepsilon\|\Delta x\| 0$

Proof：Let $\Delta x=t x$ where $\|x\|=1$ ．Then $\|\Delta x\|=t$ and statement $(1,81)$ is equivalent to （1．82）$\quad \lim _{\|\Delta x\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{T\left(x_{0}+\Delta x\right)-T\left(x_{0}\right)-U(\Delta x)}{\|\Delta x\|}=0$ ．Now statement （ 1,82 ）converges uniformly if and only if given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $\Delta x \varepsilon X_{p}\|\Delta x\|<\delta$ implies $\left\|\frac{T\left(x_{0}+\Delta x\right)-T\left(x_{0}\right)-U(\Delta x)}{\|\Delta x\|}\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon$ ，or $\| T\left(x_{0}+\Delta x\right)-T\left(x_{0}\right)-$ $U(\Delta x)\left\|_{\leqslant} \varepsilon\right\| \Delta x \|_{0}$ yielding the desired result。

Corollary 1．31：If the operator $F$ has a Frechet derivative at the point $x_{0}$ ，then $F$ is continuous at $x_{0}$ 。 Proof：$F^{\prime} X_{0} \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]$ implies that there exists $M \varepsilon R^{+}$ such that $\left\|F^{\prime} x_{0}(x)\right\| \leqslant M\|x\|$ for all $x \in X_{0}$ Given $\varepsilon>0$ ，there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\delta<\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon+M}$ ，and $\Delta x \varepsilon X_{\theta}\|\Delta x\|<\delta$ implies $\left\|F\left(x_{0}+\Delta x\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|-\left\|F x_{0}(\Delta x)\right\| \leqslant \| F\left(x_{0}+\Delta x\right)-$ $F\left(x_{0}\right)-F_{x}(\Delta x)\|\leqslant \varepsilon\| \Delta x \|_{0} \quad$ Then $\left\|F\left(x_{0}+\Delta x\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant$ $\varepsilon||\Delta x||+M| | \Delta x| |=(\varepsilon+M)|\| \Delta x| k \varepsilon_{0}$

A useful tool of real analysis is the mean value theorem for differential calculus. The next theorem gives the generalized form of this result.

Theorem $l_{0}$ : Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are $B-s p a c e s, F: X \rightarrow Y$, and $F$ is Gateaux differentiable on the convex subset $A$ of $X$ 。 Then if $x, y \in A$,
$(1,41)\|F y-F x\| \leqslant\|y-x\| \sup _{0<\theta<1} \| F(\theta x+(1-e) y \|$.
Proof: Let $g$ be any functional in $Y^{*}$ and let $\phi(t)=$ $g(F(x+t(y-x))$. Then $\phi(t)$ has a derivative in the interval $[0,1]$ because: $\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \phi(t+\Delta t)-\phi(t)=$ $\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} g\left(\frac{F(x+t(y-x)+\Delta t(y-x))-F(x+t(y-x))}{\Delta t}\right)=$ $g\left(\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x+t(y-x)+\Delta t(y-x))-F(x+t(y-x))}{\Delta t}\right)=$ $g\left(F^{\prime}(x+t(y-x))(y-x)\right) 。 i e_{0} \phi^{\prime}(t)=g\left(F^{\prime}(x+t(y-x))\right.$ for $t \varepsilon[0,1]$.

Now, applying the mean value theorem of differential calculus to $\phi(t)$, we get $\phi(1)-\phi(0)=\phi^{\prime}(\theta)$ for some $\theta$, $0<\theta<1$. Thus substituting we get $g(F(y)-F(x))=$ $g\left(F^{\prime}(x+\theta(y-x))(y-x)\right)$, so $\|g(F(y)-F(x))\| \leqslant$ $\|g\| \sup _{0<\theta<1} \| F(x+\theta(y-x)\| \| y-x \|$. By invoking a corollary of the Hahn-Banach theorem (See Vainberg [12], p. 11) we may choose $g$ to be that functional in $Y^{*}$ such that $\|g\|=1$ and $g(F(y)-F(x))=\|F(y)-F(x)\|$. Then upon substitution we obtain $\|F(y)-F(x)\| \leqslant\|y-x\| \sup _{0<\theta<1} \| F(\theta x+(1-\theta) y \|$.

Corollary $1_{0} 41:$ Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are $B$－spaces，$F: D \subset X$ $\rightarrow Y, F$ is Gateaux differentiable on some convex set $D_{0} \in D$ ， and $\left\|F^{\prime}(x)\right\| \leqslant \gamma$ for $x \in D_{0}$ o Then $\|F(x)-F(y)\| \leqslant \gamma\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in D_{0}$ 。

Proof：By the mean value theorem we know that $\|F(x)-F(y)\| \leqslant \sup \left\|F^{\prime}(t y+(1-t) x)\right\|\|x-y\|_{0} D_{0}$ is a $0<t<1$ convex $\operatorname{set}_{\theta} x, Y \varepsilon D_{0}$ thus $t y+(1-t) x \in D_{0}$ for all $t$ such that $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$ ．Therefore $\sup _{0<t<1}\left\|F^{\prime}(t y+(1-t) x)\right\| \leqslant r$ ， so $\|F(x)-F(y)\| \leqslant \quad \gamma\|x-y\|$ 。

To obtain conditions for convergence in chapter three we shall be dealing with $F^{\prime}(x)$ where $F: R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ 。 Therefore it is necessary to further investigate $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{X}_{0}\right)$ where $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{m}}$ 。 Let $x=\left(\begin{array}{c}\xi_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{n}\end{array}\right) \in R^{n}$ and $x_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\xi_{1}^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{n}^{(0)}\end{array}\right) \in R^{n}$ 。 If $F: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}$ ，and if $\left(\begin{array}{c}n_{1} \\ \vdots \\ n_{n}\end{array}\right)=$ $F(x)_{\rho}$ then there exist $\phi_{i}, i=1, \ldots 0, m$ such that $\phi_{i}: R \xrightarrow{n} R$ and $\eta_{i}=\phi_{i}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots 0, \xi_{n}\right)$ for $i=l_{0} \ldots 0, m_{0}$ If $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exists then $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \varepsilon\left[R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}\right]$ ，and if $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)(x)=\left(\begin{array}{c}\zeta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta_{m}\end{array}\right)$ then $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$
may be represented by an $n \times m$ matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & \cdots & 0 & a_{1 m} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & 0 & 0 & a_{n m}
\end{array}\right) \text { such that }
$$

$\zeta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \quad a_{i j} \xi_{i}$ for $i=1,000 m_{0}$ Now substituting into $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F\left(x_{0}+t x\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)}{t}=F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)(x)$ we obtain
$\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_{i}\left(\xi_{1}^{(0)}+t \xi_{1} 000 \xi_{n}^{(0)}+t \xi_{n}\right) \cdot \phi_{i}\left(\xi_{1}^{(0)} 000 \xi_{n}^{(0)}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k} \xi_{k}$
for $i=1,000 m_{,}$and for all $x=\left(\begin{array}{c}\xi_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{n}\end{array}\right) \varepsilon R^{n}$ ．If in particular we let $x_{i}$ be the vector with $i-t h$ component equal to one and all other components equal to zero，for $i=1, \ldots 0, n$ ，we find that the functions $\phi_{i}, i=1,000, m$ have partial derivatives with respect to $\xi_{i,} i=1, \ldots 00 n_{0}$ Hence we have $\frac{\partial \phi_{i}\left(\xi_{1}^{(0)}, 000, \xi_{n}^{(0)}\right)}{\partial \xi_{k}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \phi_{i}\left(\left(\xi_{i}^{(0)} \cdots 00 \xi_{n}^{(0)}\right)+\left(0,000,0, t \xi_{k}, 0000,0\right)\right)$ $-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi i\left(\xi^{(0)}, 000, \xi_{n}^{(0)}\right)}{t}=a_{i k}$ for $i=1,000, m, k=1,000, n$, $i_{0}$ e．$F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is represented by the matrix of partials of $\phi_{1}, 000 \phi_{m}$ This matrix is called the Jacobian matrix of $F$ at $\mathrm{XOp}_{\mathrm{op}}$

Recalling the definition of the Gateaux derivative， it is clear that if $X$ and $Y$ are $B-s p a c e s$ and $F: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $F$ exists on the open subset $A$ of $X$ ，then $F$ may be thought of as a mapping from $A$ into $[X \rightarrow Y$ 。 With this in mind we make the following generalization。

Definition $1_{0} 9:$ Suppose $F: A<X \rightarrow Y$ and $F$ has a Gateaux derivative on $A$ ，where $X$ and $Y$ are $B-s p a c e s$ and $A$ is an open subset of $X$ ．Suppose $X_{0} \in A$ and there exists $U \varepsilon[X \longrightarrow[X \longrightarrow Y]$ ］ such that
（1．91） $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}+t x\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}{t}=U(x)$ for all $x \in X_{0}$ Then $U$ is said to be the second Gateaux derivative of $F$ at $x_{0}$ 。 denoted $F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ o Similarly if $F$ has a Frechet derivative on
$A_{\text {，}}$ and the convergence in（1．91）is uniform for all $x \in X$ of unit norm ${ }_{0}$ then $U=F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is said to be the second Frechet derivative of $F$ at $x_{0}$ 。

In order to examine elements of the space $[\mathrm{X} \rightarrow[\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}]$ we introduce the concept of a bilinear operator，and show that any element of $[X \rightarrow[X \rightarrow Y]$ may be regarded as a bounded bilinear operator．

Definition 1o10：Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are $B-s p a c e s$ and suppose $T: X \times X \rightarrow Y$ 。 Then $T$ is said to be a bounded bilinear operator if：

1）Given $x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2} \varepsilon X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in R, T\left(\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}, z\right)=$ $\alpha T\left(x_{1}, z\right)+\beta T\left(x_{2}, z\right)$ for all $z \varepsilon X$ and $T\left(w, \alpha \bar{x}_{1}+\beta \bar{x}_{2}\right)=$ $\alpha T\left(w, \bar{x}_{1}\right)+\beta T\left(w, \bar{x}_{2}\right)$ for all $w \in X_{0}$
2）There exists an $M \in R_{\theta} M>0$ such that $\left\|T\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\| \leqslant$ $M\left|\left|x_{1}\right|\right| \mid x_{2} \|$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \varepsilon X_{\text {。 }}$

As with linear operators，we define $\|T\|$ to be the least $M$ satisfying 2）$\quad I f\left\|x_{1}\right\|=\left\|x_{2}\right\|=1$ ，then $\| T\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \| \leqslant M_{0}\right.$ So $\|T\|=\sup _{x_{1}, x_{2} \in X}\left\|T\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\|$ ．Similarly，if we define $\| x_{1}| |=\left|\left|x_{2}\right|\right|=1$
$\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}, X_{2}\right)=T_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)+T_{2}\left(X_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ then $\left[X^{2} \rightarrow Y\right]=$ $\left\{T \mid T\right.$ is a bounded bilinear operator mapping $X^{2}$ into $\left.Y\right\}$ is a B －space。

In the finite dimensional case we find that a bilinear operator may be represented by a finite collection of matrices． This can be seen by letting $X$ and $Y$ be $B-s p a c e s$ of dimension
$n$ and $m$ respectively，and letting $T \varepsilon\left[x^{2} \rightarrow y\right]$ ．suppose $x_{i} \varepsilon X$ has zero components，except for the i－th entry which is one， for $i=1,000, n$ ，and suppose $T\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=\left(a_{i j}^{(1)}, 0, a_{i j}^{(m)}\right)$ for $i, j=$ $1, \ldots \ldots, n$ o Then for $x=\left(\begin{array}{c}\xi_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{n}\end{array}\right) \in X, \bar{x}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\xi_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{n}\end{array}\right) \varepsilon X$ we have $T(x, \bar{x})=$ $T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} x_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j} x_{j}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} T\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ 。 So if $T(x, \bar{x})=z_{\text {，}}$ where $z=\left(\begin{array}{c}r_{1} \\ \vdots \\ r_{m}\end{array}\right)$ ，then $r_{k}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{(k)} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}$ for $k=1, \ldots, m_{0}$ Clearly，whatever collection of $m, n \times n$ matrices $\left(a_{i j}^{(k)}\right), i, j=$ l，000，$n_{0} k=1,0, m$ we consider，the operation $T$ defined above will be bilinear．Thus every bilinear operation may be represented by a set of matrices．

Using the Tchebycheff norm on $X$ and $Y$ we see that $\left|\gamma_{k}\right|=\mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a{ }_{i j}^{(k)} \xi_{i} \bar{\xi}_{j}$ ．So if $\|x\|=\|\bar{x}\|=1$ ，then $\left|\gamma_{k}\right| \leqslant$ $\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{(k)}\right|$ and hence $\|T\|=\max _{k=1, \ldots 0 m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j j}^{(k)}\right|$ 。

Theorem $l_{0} 5$ ：There exists a norm preserving isomorph－ ism between $[X \rightarrow[X \rightarrow Y]]$ and $\left[X^{2} \rightarrow Y\right]$ ．

Proof：Define $\psi:\left[X \rightarrow[X \rightarrow Y] \rightarrow\left[X^{2} \rightarrow Y\right]\right.$ by $\psi(U)=T$ where $U \varepsilon[X \rightarrow[X \rightarrow Y]]$ ，and $X^{\prime} \varepsilon X$ implies that $U\left(X^{\gamma}\right)=V X^{\prime} \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]$ and $T\left(X_{0} X^{\prime}\right)=V X^{\prime}(x) \varepsilon\left[X^{2} \rightarrow Y\right]$ ．Clearly $\psi$ is additive and homogeneous。 suppose $T \varepsilon\left[X^{2} \rightarrow Y\right]$ 。 Then there exists $T * \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]$ such that $T^{*} X^{\prime}(X)=T\left(X, X^{0}\right)$ for $X^{\prime}$ fixed and for all $x \in X$ ． Let $W\left(X^{\prime}\right)=T^{*} x^{*}$ for all $x^{p} \varepsilon X_{\text {。 }}$ Then $\psi(W)=T$ so $\psi$ is onto。


Also，$\left\|U\left(x^{0}\right)\right\|=\sup _{x \in X}\left\|V x^{\prime}(x)\right\|=\sup _{x \in X}^{\|x\|=1}| | T\left(x_{\rho} x^{0}\right)\|\leqslant\| T\| \| x^{0} \|$,
which implies $\|U\| \leqslant\|T\|$ ．Hence $\|U\|=\|\psi(U)\|$ ，and so $\psi$ is norm preserving and one－to－one．Therefore，$\psi$ is an isomorphism。 Therefore，the second Gateaux derivative of an oper－ ator $F$ mapping $X$ into $Y$ ，being an element of $[X \rightarrow[X \rightarrow Y]$ ，may be thought of as an element of $\left[X^{2} \rightarrow Y\right]$ 。Regarding $F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ as a bilinear operator we find that for any $x, x^{3} \varepsilon X$ ， （ 1,92 ）$F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x, x^{0}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}+t x^{0}\right) x-F^{9}\left(x_{0}\right) x}{t}$ 。As before， if the convergence in（ 1,92 ）is uniform，then $F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the second Frechet derivative of $F$ at $x_{0}$ 。 Again we are concern－ ed with the form of $F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ in the case where $X$ and $y$ are fin－ ite dimensional．

Let $F: X \rightarrow Y$ where $X$ and $Y$ are $B$－spaces of dimension $n$ and $m$ respectively $y_{\theta}$ and let $F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exist and be defined as a bilinear operator represented by the matrices $\left(a_{i j}^{(k)}\right), i, j=$
 and $F(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\phi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right. & \ldots & \ldots 0 & \left.\xi_{n}\right) \\ \phi_{m}\left(\xi_{1}\right. & \vdots & \left.\ldots 00 \delta \xi_{n}\right)\end{array}\right) \varepsilon Y$ ．Then substituting our earlier results for first derivatives into（1，92）we obtain：

$x$ and $x$ ' be the elements with all zero entries except the i-th and j-th components respectively, which are equal to 1, we find that $\phi_{k}, k=1, \ldots \ldots, m$ have second order partial derivatives, and
(1.94)

$$
a_{i j}^{(k)}=\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{k}\left(\xi_{0}^{(0)}, \ldots \ldots \xi_{n}^{(0)}\right)}{\partial \xi_{i} \partial \xi_{j}} \text { for } i, j=1, \ldots, n, k=1,
$$ $00 . m_{0}$

A final concept in the general theory of operators on B-spaces of which we shall make limited use is that of integration 。

Definition $l_{0} 11:$ Suppose $F:[a, b] \subset R \rightarrow X, x$ a B-space, and suppose $a=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2} \cdots<t_{n}=b, \xi_{k} \varepsilon\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right]$, $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\lambda=\max _{k=0, \ldots, n-1}\left|t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right|$, then $\int_{a}^{b} F(t) d t=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)$ if this limit exists 。

Note that $\left\|\int_{a}^{b} F(t) d t\right\|=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)\right\| \leqslant$

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left\|F\left(\xi_{k}\right)\right\|\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)=\int_{a}^{b}\|F(t)\| d t_{0}
$$

Definition 1.12: Suppose $T: D \subset X \rightarrow Y$ where $X$ and $Y$ are $B$-spaces and $D$ is a convex subset of $X_{0}$ If $x_{0}, x, \varepsilon D$, and $t_{k}, \xi_{k}, k=1, \ldots 0, n-1$, are as in ( 1,11 ), we define:
$\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} T(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} T\left(x_{0}+t\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) d t$
$(1,121)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T\left(x_{0}+E_{k}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)\right.$
if this limit exists.

Clearly if $T$ is continuous the limit（loll）exists and the integral represents an element of $Y$ 。

With the above definition of integration we can derive an analog of the fundamental theorem of calculus．

Theorem lon $_{0}$ ：Suppose $F: D \subset X \longrightarrow Y$ where $X$ and $Y$ are $B-$ spaces，and suppose $F^{0}(x)$ exists and is continuous on the convex set $D_{0} \in D$ 。 Then for $x_{0}, x_{1} \varepsilon D_{0}, \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} F^{\theta}(x) d x$ exists and $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} F^{\prime}(x) d x=F\left(x_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)$ 。

Proof：Let $x_{k}=x_{0}+t_{k}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right), \Delta x_{k}=\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right) 。$ $\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \widetilde{x}_{k}=x_{0}+\xi_{k}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$, and $\lambda=\max _{k=0,1, \ldots 0, n-1}$
$\left|t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right|$ 。 Then using definition $l_{0} 12$ we have $\left\|F\left(x_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} F^{g}(x) d x\right\|=\| F\left(x_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)-$ $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F^{0}\left(\bar{x}_{K}\right) \Delta x_{k}\left\|=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\right\| F\left(x_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F^{0}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right) \Delta x_{k} \|_{0}$ Noting that $F\left(x_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)\right)$ we have $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left\|F\left(x_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F^{\theta}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right) \Delta x_{k}\right\|=$
$\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{\theta}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right) \Delta x_{k}\right\| \leqslant$

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0}\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right) \sup _{0<\theta<1} \| F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}+\theta \Delta x_{k}\right)
$$

－$F^{\text {g }}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right) \|$ making use of the mean value theorem．Now since $F^{8}$ is continuous and $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sup _{0<\theta<1}\left\|x_{k}+\theta \Delta x_{k}-\bar{x}_{k}\right\|=0$ we have $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sup _{0<\theta<1} \| F^{\theta}\left(x_{k}+\theta \Delta x_{k}-\bar{x}_{k} \|=0\right.$ giving the desired result 。

Finally，we use some of the concepts developed in this chapter to verify the following lemmas which will aid in
investigating the convergence of iterative techniques in chapter 2。

Lemma $1_{0} 1$ ：Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are B－spaces，$F: D \subset X \rightarrow Y$ ， $F$ is Gateaux differentiable on some convex set $D_{0}<D$ ，and $\left\|F^{0}(y)-F^{0}(x)\right\| \leqslant \gamma$ for $x_{0} y^{\varepsilon} \in D_{0}$ 。 Then $\| F(y)-F(x)-F^{0}(z)$ $(y-x)\|\leqslant r\| y-x \|$ for all $x_{p} y, z \varepsilon D_{o}$ 。

Proof：Using the mean value theorem we have $\|F(x)-F(y)\| \leqslant \sup _{0<t<1}\left\|F^{\theta}(t y+(1-t) x)\right\|\|x-y\|$ ．Also， $F^{\prime}(z) \varepsilon[X \longrightarrow Y]$ for all $z \in D_{0}$ 。 Replacing $F$ by $F-F^{\prime}(z)$ in the above inequality we get $\left\|F(x)-F(y)-F^{0}(z)(y-x)\right\| \leqslant$ $\sup _{0<t<1}\left\|\left(F-F^{0}(z)\right)^{\cdot}(t y+(1-t) x)\right\|\|x-y\|_{0} \quad$ Let $w=t y+$ $(1-t) x_{p}$ then $\left(F-F^{0}(z)\right)^{\prime}(w)=F^{0}(w)-\left(F^{0}(z)\right)^{y}(w)$ direct－ ly from definition 1 。8。 Further，since $F^{j}(z) \varepsilon[X \longrightarrow Y]$ $\left(\left(F^{0}(z)\right)^{0}(w)\right)(\xi)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F^{0}(z)(w+t \xi)-F^{0}(z)(w)}{t}=F^{\prime}(z)(\xi)$
for all $\xi \in X_{0}$ Thus $\left(F^{0}(z)\right)^{\circ}(w)=F^{0}(z)$ ，so we have $\left\|F(x)-F(y)-F^{i}(z)(y-x)\right\| \leqslant \sup _{0<t<1} \| F(t y+(1-t) x)-$ $F^{0}(z)\| \| x-y\|\leqslant r\| x-y \|$ 。

Lemma $1_{0} 2$ ：Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are $B-s p a c e s, F: D C X \rightarrow Y$ ， $F$ has a Gateaux derivative on some convex set $D_{0} \subset D_{\text {，}}$ and $\left\|F^{0}(y)-F^{0}(x)\right\| \leqslant r\|y-x\|$ for $x, y \in D_{0}$ ．Then $\| F(y)-F(x)-$ $F^{n}(x)(y-x)\left\|\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \gamma\right\| y-x \|$ for all $x, y \in D_{0} \|$

$$
\text { Proof: Clearly }\left\|F^{0}(y)-F^{y}(x)\right\| \leqslant \gamma\|y-x\| \text { implies }
$$

that $F^{B}$ is continuous on $D_{0}$ ．Thus $F(y)-F(x)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x}^{y} F^{0}(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} F^{0}(\theta y+(1-\theta) x)(y-x) d \theta \text { o Therefore, } \\
& \left\|F(y)-F(x)-F^{0}(x)(y-x)\right\|=\| \int_{0}^{1}\left[F^{\prime}(\theta y+(1-\theta) x)-F^{0}(x)\right] \\
& (y-x) d \theta\|\leqslant\| y-x\left\|\int_{0}^{1}\right\| F^{0}(\theta y+(1-\theta) x)-F^{0}(x) \| d \theta \leqslant \\
& Y\|y-x\|^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \theta d \theta=\frac{1}{2} \gamma\|y-x\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER 2

## Solving Nonlinear Operator Equations

In this paper we are primarily concerned with oper－ ator equations of the form
（1）$F(X)=0$ ，where $F: X \rightarrow Y, X$ and $Y$ are B－spaces．As was noted in the introduction，if $X$ and $Y$ are of dimension $n$ and $m$ respectively，then a solution $x *$ of $F(x)=0$ may be regarded as a solution to a system of $m$ equations in $n$ un－ knowns。

Another equation of interest is
（2）$T(x)=x$ ，where $T: X \rightarrow X, X$ a B－space。 If $X^{*}$ is a solution to $T(x)=x$ ，then $x^{*}$ is said to be a fixed point of the op－ erator $T$ 。 Clearly，if a solution to the equation $T(x)=x$ is known ，then a solution to $F(x)$ is known，where $F(x)=x-$ $T(x)$ ．Keeping this in mind we shall examine operator equat－ ions of forms（1）and（2）

Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are $B-s p a c e s$ and $F: A \subset X \rightarrow Y$ ，where A is an open subset of $X$ ．Suppose further that $F$ has a con－ tinuous Gateaux derivative in $A_{\text {p }}$ and there exists an element $x^{*} \in A$ such that $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ ．Then for $x_{0} \in A, F\left(x_{0}\right)=F\left(x_{0}\right)-F\left(x^{*}\right)$ can be approximated by $F^{\text { }}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x_{0}-x^{*}\right)$ ，so it is reasonable
to assume that a solution to the equation $F^{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x_{0}-x\right)=$ $F\left(x_{0}\right)$ is close to $x^{*}$ 。 If $x_{1}$ is such a solution and $\left[F^{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1}$ exists，we have $x_{1}=x_{0}-\left[F^{8}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right)$ 。 Continuing this process，assuming $\left[F^{\theta}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{-1}$ exists，we obtain a sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ defined by
（3）$x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\left[F^{*}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x_{k}\right)$ 。 This iteration process for constructing $x_{k}$ is called Newton ${ }^{\theta}$ s method。 In practice $\left[F^{0}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{-1}$ may be difficult to compute，or may not even exist．For this reason a cruder sequence of approximation， $\left\{\bar{x}_{k}\right\}$ ，to $x_{k}^{*}$ defined by
（4） $\left.\bar{x}_{k+1}=\bar{x}_{k}-\overline{[F}^{*}\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)$ ，is often constructed。 The iterative method in（4）is called the modified Newton method。 A wealth of variations on Newton＇s method have been pro－ posed．A few of these Newton like techniques have been studied by Dennis［5］，Altman $[1]$ ，and Ben－Israel［2］。 If either the sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ or $\left\{\bar{x}_{k}\right\}$ ，constructed above，converges to an element $x^{*}$ and if $F$ is continuous， then $x^{*}$ is a solution to $F(x)=0$ 。 We shall therefore devote the remainder of chapter two to determining con＊ ditions which insure the convergence of sequences of iter－ ates constructed by（3），（4），or related techniques．The general approach to the convergence of iterative techniques used in this chapter is essentially that of Rheinboldt［1］］． Definition 2ol：Suppose $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is a sequence in the B－space $X_{0}$ Then a real non－negative sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ is said
to majorize $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ if $\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\| \leqslant t_{k+1}-t_{k}$ for $k=0_{\text {p }}$ 1．000。

Noting that any majorizing sequence is non－decreasing we make the following observation：

Theorem 201：If $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ majorizes a sequence $\left\{X_{k}\right\}$ in a $B$－space $X$ and if $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ is convergent，then $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is con－ vergent．

Proof：$\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant \quad \sum_{j=n}^{m-1}\left\|x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right\| \leqslant$
$\sum_{j=n}^{m-1}\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right)=t_{m}-t_{n}$ 。 Thus the convergence of $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ implies that $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is Cauchy。 But $X$ is complete，hence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is convergent。

Definition 2．2：Suppose $X$ is $a \operatorname{B-space}$ and $D$ is a closed subset of $X_{\text {o }}$ Then $T: D \rightarrow D$ is said to be a contrac－ tion mapping if there exists $\alpha \varepsilon R, 0<\alpha<1$ ，such that $\left\|T(x)-T\left(x^{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \alpha\left\|x-x^{\theta}\right\|$ for all $x, x^{0} \in D$ 。

Theorem 2，2：Suppose D is a closed subset of the $B$－space $X_{0}$ and $T: D \rightarrow D$ is a contraction mapping．Then there exists a unique fixed point $x^{*}$ of $T$ in $D$ 。

Proof：Choose $x_{0} \in D$ and construct $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ by the successive approximations $x_{k+1}=T\left(x_{k}\right)_{0}$ Let $t_{0}=0$ and $t_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha^{j-1}\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|_{0}$ for $k \geqslant 1$ ，where $\alpha$ is as in defini－ tion（2．2）．We now show inductively that $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ majorizes $\left\{x_{k}\right\} 。$ If $k=l_{g}$ then $\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|=t_{1}-t_{0}$. Assuming $\left\|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right\| \leqslant \quad t_{k}-t_{k-1}$ we find that
$\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\|=\left\|F\left(x_{k}\right)-F\left(x_{k-1}\right)\right\| \leqslant a\left\|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right\|=$
$\alpha\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} a^{j-1}\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a^{j^{-1}-1}\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|\right)=a\left(\alpha^{k-1}\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|\right)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a^{j-1}\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|-\sum_{j=1}^{k} a^{j-1}\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|=t_{k+1}-t_{k}$ 。 Now $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=\frac{\alpha}{I^{2}-\alpha}\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|$ 。 Therefore $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges，say to $x^{*} \varepsilon D_{\text {．Noting that }} T$ is a contraction map which implies $T$ is continuous we have $0=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x^{*}-T\left(X_{k}\right)\right\|=$ $\left\|x^{*}-T\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|$ 。 Therefore $T\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}$ 。 Suppose $\bar{x} \in A$ and $T(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$ ．Then $\|\bar{x}-x *\|=$ $\left\|F(\bar{x})-F\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| \leqslant \alpha\left\|\bar{x}-x^{*}\right\|$ which implies that $\left\|\overline{\mathrm{x}}-\mathrm{x}^{*}\right\|=0$ and $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{x}^{*}$ 。 Hence $\mathrm{x}^{*}$ is unique 。 Corollary 2，21：Suppose $T: A c X \rightarrow X$ where $X$ is a $B$－space， and $T$ has a Gateaux derivative on $A_{0} \subset A$ ，a closed convex set． If

1）$T\left(A_{0}\right) \subset A_{0}$ and
2） $\sup _{x \in A_{0}}\left\|T^{\prime}(x)\right\|=a<1_{p}$ $x \in A_{0}$
then there exists a unique fixed point $x^{*}$ of $T$ into $A_{0}$ 。 Proof：Suppose $x_{1}, x_{2} \varepsilon A_{0}$ 。 Then by the mean value theorem，$\left\|T\left(x_{2}\right)-T\left(x_{1}\right)\right\| \leqslant \sup _{0<\theta<1}\left\|T^{\theta}\left(\theta x_{2}+(1-\theta) x_{1}\right)\right\| \circ$ $\left\|x_{2}-x_{d}\right\| \leqslant \alpha\left\|x_{2}-x_{\mathbb{1}}\right\|_{0}$ So $T$ is a contraction mapping and by theorem（2，2），Teas a unique fixed point $x^{*} \varepsilon A_{\text {。 }}$ Recalling the relationship between equations
and（2）we obtain the following result．

Corollary 2，22：Suppose $F: X \longrightarrow X, X$ a B－space，$F$ con－ tinuous，and suppose $T(x)=x-\left[F^{g}(x)\right]^{-1} F(x)$ exists on $A \subset X$ and satisfies the conditions of theorem（2．2）．Then there exists a unique $x^{*} \in A$ such that $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ 。

Before the concept of majorizing sequences can be utilized，a method is required to obtain a majorizing se－ quince\｛ $\left.t_{k}\right\}$ for a given sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ 。 we have found such a majorizing sequence when $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is constructed by $x_{k+1}=$ $G\left(x_{k}\right), k=0,00 \%$ and $G$ is a contraction mapping，in theorem 2．2．We shall formulate two lemmas whose proof depends upon the construction of majorizing sequences in a more general setting To simplify notation we make use of a definition of Rheinboldt［11］．

Definition 2．3：A function $\phi: Q \subset R^{n} \longrightarrow R$ is said to be of class $\Gamma^{n}(Q)$ if it has the following properties：
1）The domain $Q=J_{1} \times J_{2} \times 00 \times J_{n}$ where $J_{i}=\left[0, b_{i}\right)$ ， $b_{i} \leqslant \infty$ ，for $i=l_{0} 000, n_{0}$
2）$\phi$ is non－negative and isotone 。 $i_{0} e_{0}$ if $\left(U_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, U_{n}^{(i)}\right) \varepsilon Q_{0}$ for $i=1_{0} 2$ ，and $U_{j}^{(1)}<U_{j}^{(2)}$ for $j=1_{p} \ldots \infty n_{0}$ then $0 \leqslant \phi\left(U_{1}^{(1)} \quad 0000 U_{n}^{(1)}\right)<\phi\left(U_{1}^{(2)} \ldots 00 U_{n}^{(2)}\right) 。$

Lemma 2．1：Let $X$ be a $B-s p a c e$ and $G$ be a continuous operator such that $G: D \subset X \longrightarrow X$ 。 Suppose there exists a function $\phi \varepsilon \Gamma^{2}(Q)$ and a point $X_{0} \varepsilon D$ such that on some set $D_{0} \subset D$ $\left.\|G(y)-G(x)\| \leqslant \quad \phi\|y-x\| \circ\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|\right)=\phi(u, v)$ for all $x, y \in D_{0}$

Further suppose there exists a continuous function $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ such that $\phi\left(u-v_{p} v\right)=\psi(u)-\psi(v)$ ，and let the sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ defined by $t_{k+1}=\Psi\left(t_{k}\right), k=0,1, \ldots 0, t_{0}=0$, $t_{i}=\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|$ ，exist and be such that $t_{k+1} \geqslant t_{k}$ ，for $k=0_{0}$ $l_{8000}$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=t *_{0}$ Finally，suppose that $S\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right) \subset D_{0}$ ．Then

G has a unique fixed point $x * \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ 。
Proof：Define $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ by $x_{k+1}=G x_{k}$ for $k=0,1, \cdots \circ$ 。 We shall show by induction that $x_{k} \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ and $\left\|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right\| \leqslant t_{k}-t_{k-1}$ for $k=1,20000$

For $k=1,\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|-0=t_{1}-t_{0} \leqslant t^{*}$, so $x_{1} \varepsilon s\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ and $\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant t_{1}-t_{0}$ 。 Assume that $x_{k} \varepsilon \boldsymbol{S}\left(x_{0, t} t^{*}\right)$ and $\left\|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right\| \leqslant t_{k}-t_{k-1}$ o Then $x_{k+1}=G x_{k}$ is defined and $\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\|=\left\|G x_{k}-G x_{k-1}\right\| \leqslant \phi\left(\left\|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right\|\right.$ ， $\left.\left\|x_{k=1}-x_{0}\right\|\right) \leqslant \phi\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}, t_{k-1}\right)=\phi(u-v, v)$ ，where $u=t_{k}$ and $v=t_{k-1} 0$ so $\phi\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1} 0 t_{k-1}\right)=\psi\left(t_{k}\right)-\psi\left(t_{k-1}\right)=$ $t_{k+1}-t_{k}$ o Thus $\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\| \leqslant \quad t_{k+1}-t_{k}$ 。Also $\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant$ $\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left\|x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right\| \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{k} t_{j+1}-t_{j}=t_{k+1}-t_{0}=t_{k+1} \leqslant t *$ ．There－ fore，$x_{k+1} \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ 。 Hence,$\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ majorizes $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ and $\lim _{k} t_{k}=t *$ Therefore，there exists $x^{*} \varepsilon X$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=x^{*}$ ，Since $x_{k} \varepsilon \bar{S}\left(x_{0, t} t^{*}\right)$ for $k=0,1,000$ it follows that $x^{*} \varepsilon S^{3}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ ，and the continuity of $G$ implies that $G x^{*}=x^{*}$ 。 Suppose there exists $Y^{*} \mathcal{F}^{( }\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ such that $G y^{*}=y^{*}$ 。 We shall show by induction that $\left\|y^{*}-x_{k}\right\| \leqslant t^{*}-t_{k}$ for $k=$ $0_{\theta} 1,000$ ．Clearly if $k=0_{0}$ then $\left\|y^{*}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant t^{*}=t^{*}-t_{0}$ 。

Assuming that $\left\|y^{*}-x_{k}\right\|^{*} t^{*}-t_{k}$ ，we have $\left\|y^{*}-x_{k+1}\right\|=\left\|G\left(y^{*}\right)-G\left(x_{k}\right)\right\| \leqslant \phi\left(\left\|y^{*}-x_{k}\right\|,\left\|x_{k}-x_{0}\right\|\right) \leqslant$ $\phi\left(t^{*}-t_{k}, t_{k}\right)=\phi(u-v, v)=\psi(u)-\psi(v)$ where $u=t *$ and $v=t_{k}$ 。 So $\left\|y^{*}-x_{k}\right\| \leqslant \psi\left(t^{*}\right)-\psi\left(t_{k}\right)=t^{*}-t_{k+1}$ ．Thus $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=y^{*}$ so $y^{*}=x^{*}$ and hence $x^{*}$ is unique。

Lemma 2，2：Let $X$ be a $B-$ space，$x_{0} \varepsilon X_{\theta} k \in R^{+}$and $T: S\left(X_{0}, k\right) \rightarrow X_{0}$ Let there exist a function $\phi \varepsilon \Gamma^{5}(Q)$ and $M \varepsilon R^{+}$ such that $J_{i}=\left[0, b_{i}\right), b_{i}<M$ for $i=1,000,5$ and $b_{1}+b_{3}<M_{p}$ where $Q=J_{1} \times J_{2} \times 0 \circ 0 \times J_{5}$ ，and such that $(2,21):$ $\|T(x)-T(y)\| \leqslant \phi\left(\|x-y\|,\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|,\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|,\|T(y)-x\|\right.$ ，$\| x=1$ $\|T(y)-y\|)$ whenever both sides exist．Further let there exist a function $\psi:[0, M) \rightarrow R$ ，and a $\rho_{0} \in[0, M)$ such that （2．22）$\left\|x_{0}-T\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \psi\left(\rho_{0}\right)-\rho_{0}$ and （2．23）$\phi\left(\rho-\sigma, \rho-\rho_{0}, \sigma-\rho_{0,} \psi(\rho)-\rho_{\rho} \psi(\sigma)-\sigma\right) \leqslant$ $\psi(\rho)-\psi(\sigma)$ for $\rho_{0} \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \rho$ whenever both sides are defined． Let the sequence $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}$ defined by $\rho_{n+1}=\psi\left(\rho_{n}\right)$ exist and be such that $\rho_{n+1} \geqslant \rho_{n}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n}=\rho *<M_{0}$

Then if $\rho^{*}-\rho_{0}<k_{p}$ the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by $x_{n+1}=T\left(x_{n}\right)$ is well defined and converges to an element $x^{*} \varepsilon$ $S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ such that $T\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}$ and $\left\|x^{*}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant \rho^{*}-\rho_{n}$ 。 Proof：We shall show by induction that $x_{n} \in S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ ． and $\left\|x_{n+1}=x_{n}\right\| \leqslant \rho_{n+1}-\rho_{n}$ For $n=0, x_{0} \in S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ and $\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\|=\left\|T\left(x_{0}\right)-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant \psi\left(\rho_{0}\right)-\rho_{0}=\rho_{1}-\rho_{0} \quad$ Assume that $\left\|x^{*}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant \rho^{*-\rho_{n}}$ holds for $n \leqslant k_{0}$ Then $x_{k+1}=T\left(x_{k}\right)$ is
defined and $\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{K}\left\|x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right\| \leqslant$
$\sum_{j=0}^{k} \rho_{j+1}-\rho_{j}=\rho_{k+1}-\rho_{0}<\rho^{*}-\rho_{0}<k_{0}$ Hence $x_{k+1} \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ 。
Also $\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\|=\left\|T\left(x_{k}\right)-T\left(x_{k-1}\right)\right\| \leqslant$
$\phi\left(\left\|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{k}-x_{0}\right\|,\left\|x_{k-1}-x_{0}\right\|,\left\|x_{k}-x_{k}\right\|,\left\|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right\|\right)$
$\leqslant \phi\left(\rho_{k}-\rho_{k-1} \rho_{k}-\rho_{0} \rho \rho_{k-1}-\rho_{0} \rho \rho_{k}-\rho_{k} \rho \rho_{k}-\rho_{k-1}\right)=$
$\phi\left(\rho-\sigma_{\theta} \rho-\rho_{0}, \sigma-\rho_{0} \psi(\sigma)-\rho, \psi(\sigma)-\sigma\right) \leqslant$
$\psi(\rho)-\psi(\sigma)$ where $\rho=\psi(\sigma)=\rho_{k}$ and $\sigma=\rho_{k-1}$ ．Therefore，
$\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\| \leqslant \psi\left(\rho_{k}\right)-\psi\left(\rho_{k-1}\right)=\rho_{k+1}-\rho_{k}{ }^{\circ} \quad$ Thus $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is majorized by $\left\{\rho_{k}\right\}$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k}=\rho^{*}$ ，so there exists $x^{*} \varepsilon X$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=x^{*}$ ．Further，$\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant \rho^{*}-\rho_{0}<k$ ， hence $x * \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ 。

We shall defer application of lemma（2．2）until chapter $3_{\theta}$ but we invoke lemma（2．1）immediately 。 Theorem 2．3：Suppose $X$ is a $B-s p a c e$ and $G: D \subset X \longrightarrow X$ is such that $G$ is Freshet differentiable on $D_{0}$ and $\left\|G^{B}(x)-G^{8}(y)\right\| \leqslant \gamma\|x-y\|$ on $D_{0}$ for $D_{0} \subset D$ ，a convex set and $\gamma \in R^{+}$。 Suppose that for some $x_{0} \varepsilon D_{0}$ ；
1）$\left\|G{ }^{\oplus}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \delta<1_{0}$
2）$\left\|x_{0}-G\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant a$ and
3）$h=\frac{\gamma \alpha}{(1-\delta)^{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ ．
Finally，if $t^{*}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-2 h}}{h} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{1-\delta} \cdot t^{* *}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1-2 h}}{h}$ ． $\frac{a}{I-\delta}$ and $S\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right) \subset D_{0}$ ，then the iterates $x_{k+1}=G\left(x_{k}\right)$ ，
$k=0,1,000$ ，remain in $\bar{S}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ and converge to a fixed point $x^{*}$ of $G$ which is unique in $D_{0} \cap S\left(x_{0}, t^{* *)}\right.$ ．

Proof：For $x, y \in D_{0}$ ，applying lemma（1．2）we get
$\|G(y)-G(x)\| \leqslant\left\|G(y)-G(x)-G^{\theta}(x)(y-x)\right\|+$
$\left\|\left(G{ }^{p}(x)-G^{p}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)(y-x)\right\|+\left\|G\left(x_{0}\right)(y-x)\right\| \leqslant$
$\frac{1}{2} \alpha\|y-x\|^{2}+y\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|\|y-x\|+\delta\|y-x\|=\phi\left(\|y-x\|,\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|\right)$ where $\phi(u, v)=\frac{1}{2} \gamma u^{2}+\gamma v u+\delta u 。 \phi \varepsilon \Gamma^{2}(Q)$ because
$\gamma \geqslant 0, \delta \geqslant 0$ 。 If $\psi(t)=\frac{1}{2} \gamma t^{2}+\delta t+\alpha$ ，then $\phi(u-v, v)=$ $\psi(u)-\psi(v)$ 。 If $t_{0}=0$ and $t_{k+1}=\psi\left(t_{k}\right)$ ，then $t_{1}=\psi(0)=\alpha>0=t_{0}$ and $t_{1}=\alpha<t^{*}$ 。Assume for $n \leqslant k$ that $t_{n} \geqslant t_{n-1}$ and $t_{n} \leqslant t^{*}$ ．Then since $\psi$ is isotone， $t_{k+1}=\psi\left(t_{k}\right) \geqslant \psi\left(t_{k-1}\right)=t_{k}$ ．Also，because $t_{k} \leqslant t^{*}$ and $\psi$ is both isotone and continuous，$t_{k+1}=\psi\left(t_{k}\right) \leqslant \psi(t *)=t^{*}$ 。 Therefore， $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=t{ }^{*}$ 。 Hence by Lemma $(2,1), x_{k} \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ for $k=0,1,00$ ，and there exists a unique fixed point $x^{*} \varepsilon$ $s\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ of $G$ 。

If $h=\frac{1}{2}$ ，then $t^{*}=t^{* *}$ and $x^{*}$ is the unique fixed point in $D_{0} \cap S\left(x_{0}, t^{* *}\right)$ 。Suppose $h<\frac{1}{2}$ and there exists $y^{*} \varepsilon$ $D_{0} \cap S\left(x_{0}, t^{* *}\right)$ such that $Y^{*}=G\left(y^{*}\right)$ 。 $I f\left\|Y^{*}-x_{0}\right\|=S_{0}=$ $s_{0}-t_{0}$ and if $\left\|y^{*}-x_{n}\right\| \leqslant s_{n}-t_{n}$ for $n \leqslant k$ ，then $\left\|y^{*}-x_{k+1}\right\| \leqslant \phi\left(\left\|y^{*}-x_{k}\right\|,\left\|x_{k}-x_{0}\right\|\right) \leqslant \phi\left(S_{k}-t_{k}, t_{k}\right)=$ $\psi\left(S_{k}\right)-\psi\left(t_{k}\right)=S_{k+1}-t_{k+1}$ 。 So we need only show that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{k}=t^{*}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}$ 。 For $t \varepsilon\left(t^{*}, t^{* *}\right)$ ，we have $\psi(t)<t_{0}$ Thus $S_{k+1} \leqslant S_{k}$ and $t^{*} \leqslant S_{k}$ ．Applying the same argument that
was used for $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{k}=t^{*}$ 。 Hence $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=y^{*}$ ， and so $x^{*}=y^{*}$ which verifies the uniqueness of $x^{*}$ in $D_{0} \cap S\left(x_{0}, t * *\right)$ 。

Again exploiting the relationship between equations （1）and（2），this theorem may be applied to the generalized Chord iterative technique；
（2．31）$\quad x_{k+1}=x_{k}-A^{-1} F\left(x_{k}\right), k=0,1, \cdots 0$ ，where $A \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]$ ； to produce the following result．

Corollary 2．3：Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are $B-s p a c e s$ and $F: D \in X \rightarrow Y$ such that $F$ has a Frechet derivative on $D_{0}$ and $\left\|F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\theta}(y)\right\| \leqslant \gamma\|x-y\|$ for $x_{\rho} y^{\prime} \in D_{0}$ ，where $\gamma \in R^{+}$and $D_{0} \subset D$ is a convex set。 Suppose $A \varepsilon[X \rightarrow Y]$ has a bounded inverse $A^{-1} \varepsilon[Y \longrightarrow X]$ with $\left\|A^{-1}\right\| \leqslant B$ ．Choose $x_{0} \varepsilon D_{0}$ such that $\left\|I-A^{-1} F^{8}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \delta<1,\left\|A^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \alpha$ and
$h=\frac{\beta \gamma a}{(1-\delta)^{2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \circ$ Let $t^{*}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-2 h}}{h} \circ \frac{\alpha}{1-\delta}$ and $t^{* *}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1-2 h}}{h} \frac{\alpha}{I-\delta} \cdot I f S\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right) \in D_{0}$ ，then the iterates （2．31）remain in $\vec{S}\left(x_{0, t}\right)$ and converge to a solution $x^{*}$ of $F(x)=0$ which is unique in $D_{0} \cap S\left(x_{0} \cap t^{* *}\right)$ 。 In the particular case where $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1}$ exist and $\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1} \varepsilon[Y \rightarrow X]$ ，by letting $A=F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ we obtain from（2．31）the modified Newton iterative technique．Thus we have specified conditions under which the modified Newton method will produce a sequence of iterates which converge to
a solution of $F(x)=0$ 。 This special case of Corollary（2，3） is essentially the convergence theorem for the modified Newton method given by Kantorovich and Akilov［8］．

To formulate conditions under which Newton＇s method will produce a convergent sequence of iterates，we begin by considering approximate Newton processes of the form （2．32）$x_{k+1}=x_{k}-A^{-1}\left(x_{k}\right) F\left(x_{k}\right), k=0, I, \cdots 0$ ，where $A(x)$ is a linear operator for fixed $x_{0}$

Theorem 2．4：Let $X$ and $Y$ be B－spaces；and let $F: D \subset X \rightarrow Y$ be such that $F$ is Frechet differentiable on $D_{0} \subset D_{\text {，}}$ where $D_{0}$ is a convex set．Suppose $\left\|F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(y)\right\| \leqslant$ $\gamma\|x-y\|$ on $D_{0}$ ，where $\gamma \varepsilon R^{+}$，and suppose that $A: D_{0} \subset X \rightarrow[X \rightarrow Y$ ． has a bounded inverse $A^{-1}(x) \varepsilon[Y \rightarrow X]$ for each $x \varepsilon D_{0}$ such that $\left\|A^{-1}(x)\right\| \leqslant B$ and $\left\|F^{\theta}(x)-A(x)\right\| \leqslant \delta$ for $x \in D_{0}$ ．Let $x_{0} \in D_{0}$ be such that $\left\|A^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right) F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \alpha$ and $h=1_{2} \beta \gamma \alpha+\beta \delta<1$ ．If $S\left(x_{0}, r\right) \subset D_{0}$ where $r=\frac{\alpha}{1-h}$ ，then the sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ defined by（ 2.32 ）remains in $S\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ and converges to a solution $x^{*}$ of $F(x)=0$ 。

Proof：Define $G: D_{0} \subset X \rightarrow X$ ，by $G(x)=x-A^{-1}(x) F(x)$ 。 Then whenever $x_{\theta} G(x) \varepsilon D_{0} ;\|G(G(x))-G(x)\|=$
 $B\left\|\left(A(x)-F^{\prime}(x)\right) \cdot(G(x)-x)\right\| \leqslant \phi(\|G(x)-x\|)$ where $\phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} B y u^{2}+\beta \delta u$ 。 Now in order to construct a majorizing sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ for the iterates $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ defined by（2．32），we
must solve the difference equation $t_{k+1}-t_{k}=$ $\frac{1}{2} B \gamma\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)^{2}+B \delta\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)$ for $k=0,1$ ， 000 ，with $t_{0}=0$ and $t_{3}=\alpha$ 。

By induction we shall show that $t_{k} \leqslant \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{k} h^{i}$ ，for $k=1,2,000$ ．Clearly $t_{0}=0 \leqslant \alpha$ and $t_{1}=\alpha \leqslant \alpha+h \alpha$ 。 Now suppose $t_{n} \leqslant \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{n} h^{j}$ for $n \leqslant k$ ．Then for $k+1$ ：$t_{k+1}-t_{k}=$ $\frac{1}{2} B\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)^{2}+\beta \delta\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)$ 。 So $t_{k+1} \leqslant$ $\frac{1}{2} \beta\left(\alpha h^{k}\right)^{2}+\beta \delta(\alpha h)+\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{k} h^{j} \leqslant h\left(\alpha h^{k}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{k} h^{j}=$ $\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} h^{j}$ 。 Therefore $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=\frac{\alpha}{I-h}=r$ and so $x_{k} \in S\left(x_{0}, r\right)$ for $k=0,1, \cdots$ ．Also $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ majorizes $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ which implies that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=x^{*} \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, r\right)<D_{0}$ ．Thus $A^{-1}\left(x^{*}\right)$ exists and $x^{*}=G\left(x^{*}\right)$ implies that $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ 。

Newton＇s method is the particular case of this theorem where $A(x)=F^{\prime}(x)$ ．So for Newton＇s method $\delta=0$ and $h=\frac{1}{2} B \gamma$ ，and the majorizing sequence is constructed by solving：$t_{k+1}-t_{k}=h\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)^{2}, k=0,1, \cdots, t_{0}=0$ and $t_{1}=\alpha_{0} \quad B y$ induction we find that
（2．41）$t_{k+1}-t_{k}<\alpha h^{k^{k}-1}, k=0,1, \cdots \circ$ ，If $k=0$ ，then $t_{1}-t_{0}=\alpha<\alpha_{0}$ Suppose（2．41）is true for $k \leqslant n$ ，then
$t_{n+2}-t_{n+1}=h\left(t_{n+1}-t_{n}\right)^{2} \leqslant h \alpha^{2} h^{2^{n+1}-2} \leqslant \alpha h^{n+1}-1$ 。 Now
$t^{*}-t_{k}=\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} t_{j+1}-t_{j} \leqslant \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \alpha h^{2^{k}-1}=\frac{\alpha h^{2^{k}-1}}{I-h^{2^{k}}}$ ．Therefore，
$\left\|x^{*}-x_{k}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\alpha h^{2^{k}-1}}{1-h^{2^{k}}}$.

This result with the above error bound is known as the Newton - Mysovskih theorem. (See Kantorovich and Akilov [8]).

For a final result concerning the convergence of Newton's method, we shall prove the Newton - Kantorovich theorem using the method of ortega [10]. This theorem is more general than the Newton - Mysovskih theorem in that $\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{-1}$ is assumed to exist only at a single point.

Theorem 2.5: Let $X$ and $Y$ be $B-s p a c e s$ and let $F: D \subset X \rightarrow Y$. Suppose on an open convex set $D_{0} C D, F$ is Freshet differenLiable and $\left\|F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(y)\right\| \leqslant \gamma\|x-y\|$ for $x, y \in D_{0}$. For some $x_{0} \in D_{0}$, assume that $r_{0}=\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1}$ is defined on all of $Y$ and that $h=\beta \gamma \eta \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$, where $\left\|\Gamma_{0}\right\| \leqslant \beta$ and $\left\|r_{0} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant n$. Let $t^{*}=\frac{(1-\sqrt{1-2 h})}{\beta \gamma}, t^{* *}=\frac{(1+\sqrt{1-2 h})}{\beta \gamma}$, and suppose that $\bar{S}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right) \subset D_{0}$. Then the iterates $x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x_{k}\right)$. $k=0,1, \cdots$, are defined, lie in $\bar{S}\left(x_{0}, t *\right)$ and converge to a solution $x^{*}$ of $F(x)=0$ which is unique in $D_{0} \cap \bar{S}\left(x_{0}, t^{* *}\right)$ 。

Proof: Let $q=\frac{1}{\beta_{\gamma}}$, then for $\operatorname{xeS}\left(x_{0}, q\right)$ we shall show $\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{-1}$ exists and $\left\|\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{-1}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\beta}{I-B Y\left\|X^{X}-x_{0}\right\|}$. If $\operatorname{xeS}\left(x_{0}, q\right)$, then using remark 2) page $6,\left\|I-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}(x)\right\|$ $=\left\|\left[F^{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1}\left(F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-F^{\prime}(x)\right)\right\| \leqslant\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-F^{\prime}(x)\right\| \leqslant$ $B y\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<l_{\text {。 }}$ So for $\operatorname{xeS}\left(x_{0}, q\right),\left(I-\left(I-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)^{-1}$ exists and $\left\|\left(I-\left(I-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|<$

$$
\frac{1}{1-\beta \gamma\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|}
$$

by theorem (1,2). Simplifying we have
$\left(I-\left(I-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)^{-1}=\left(\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}(x)\right)^{-1}=$ $\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exists for $x \in S\left(x_{0}, q\right) \cdot\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1}$ exists so $\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1}=\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{-1}$ exists on $S\left(x_{0}, q\right)$, and $\left\|\left[F^{0}(x)\right]^{-1}\right\| \leqslant\left\|\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|\left\|\left[F^{0}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1}\right\| \leqslant$
$\frac{B}{1-\beta y\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|}$.

Letting $N(x)=x-\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{-1}$ Fr where $x$ and $N(x)$ are in $S\left(x_{0} \mid q\right)$, and noting that $F(x)+F^{\prime}(x)(N(x)-x)=0$, we obtain $\|N(N(x))-N x\|=\left\|\left[F^{\prime}(N(x))\right]^{-1} F(N(x))\right\| \leqslant$
$\frac{B}{1-B_{Y}\left\|X_{0}-N(x)\right\|}\left\|F(N(x))-F(x)-F^{\prime}(x)(N(x)-x)\right\| \leqslant$ $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\beta y\|x-N x\|^{2}}{1-B Y\left\|^{-} x_{0}-N X\right\|}$ using lemma (1。3).

Next we shall show that $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is well defined and is majorized by $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ where $t_{k+1}=t_{k}-\frac{\frac{1}{2} \beta \gamma\left(t_{k}\right)^{2}-t_{k}+n}{t_{k-1}} k=0$, $I_{\theta} \circ 0$ and $t_{0}=0$. Note that $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ is the sequence of Newton iterates for the polynomial $\frac{1}{2} \beta \gamma t^{2}-t+n$ with roots $t *$ and $t * *$. Thus $t_{k+1} \geqslant t_{k}$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=t *$. For $k=1, x_{1}=$ $x_{0}-\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\left\|x_{1}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant \quad n=t_{2}=t_{1}-t_{0}$ suppose that $x_{1}, 000, x_{k}$ exist and $\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \leqslant t_{n}-t_{n-1}$ for $n \leqslant k$ o Then $\left\|x_{k}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant t_{k}-t_{0} \leqslant t^{*}$ so $x_{k} \varepsilon \bar{S}\left(x_{0} t^{*}\right)$ $S\left(x_{0}, q\right)$ and hence $x_{k+1}$ is defined. Also, $\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\|=$ $\| N\left(N\left(x_{k-1}\right)-N\left(x_{k-1}\right) \| \leqslant \frac{\frac{1}{2} \beta \gamma\left\|x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{1-B \gamma\left\|x_{k}-x_{0}\right\|} \leqslant \frac{\frac{1}{2} B \gamma\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)^{2}}{1-\frac{B \gamma t_{k}}{}}=\right.$ $t_{k+1}-t_{k}$ o Again we have shown $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=t^{*},\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ majorizes $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \subset \boldsymbol{S}\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right)$ 。 Using the same reasoning as in
the proof of theorem (2,3) we find that there exists a solution $x^{*} \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, t^{*}\right) \subset S\left(x_{0}, q\right)$ such that $N\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}$. By the continuity of $F(x)$ and the existence of $\left[F^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right]^{-1}$ we see that $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$. The uniqueness follows again from the reasoning in theorem (2.3)。

In a practical situation, the application of Newton's method involves a tremendous amount of work, partially because $\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{-1}$ must be computed at each iteration。 In chapter three we shall consider the generalized Gauss-Seidel technique which is more efficiently applied to real problems.

## CHAPTER 3

Generalized Gauss-Seidel Technique
Two of the most common iterative methods used for solving linear systems of equations are the Jacobi and the Gauss-Seidel techniques. Given the linear system $A x=B$ $A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n 1} & \cdots & a_{n n}\end{array}\right), x=\left(\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}\end{array}\right)$, and $B=\left(\begin{array}{c}b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n}\end{array}\right)$, the Jacobi method is described by the formulas
(1) $x_{i}^{(k+1)}=-\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \frac{a_{i j}}{a_{i j}} x_{j}^{(k)}+{\underset{b}{i}}_{a_{i j}}, i=1, \cdots, n$. For the same system the Gauss-Seidel technique is written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{(k+1)}=-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{a_{i j} j}{a_{i i}} x_{j}^{(k+1)}-\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{a_{i j}}{a_{i j}} x_{j}^{(k)}+\frac{b_{i}}{a_{i i}}, i=1, \cdots, n_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sufficient conditions to insure convergence of these techniques may be found in Faddeev and Faddeeva [6].

For solving a nonlinear system of equations $f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$, $i=1, \cdots \circ, n, H_{0} M_{0}$ Lieberstein [9] proposed two iterative techniques which are generalizations of (1) and (2). The generalized Jacobi method has the form:
(3) $x_{i}^{(k+1)}=x_{i}^{(k)}-\frac{f_{i}\left(x_{1}^{(k)} 000, x_{n}^{(k)}\right)}{f_{i l}\left(x_{i}^{(k)}, i 00, x_{n}^{(k)}\right.}, i=1,2, \cdots, n ; k=0$,
l, 00 , where $f_{i i}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X_{i}}$, and the generalized Gauss-Seidel
technique is described by:
(4)

$$
x_{i}^{(k+1)}=x_{i}^{(k)}-\frac{f_{i}\left(x_{1}^{(k+1)}, 000, x_{i-1}^{(k+1)}, x_{i}^{(k)}, 00, x_{n}^{(k)}\right)}{f_{i i}\left(x_{1}^{(k+1)}, \cdots \infty, x_{i-1}^{(k+1)}, x_{i}^{(k)}, \cdots \infty, x_{n}^{(k)}\right)},
$$

$n ; k=0,1,000$
Bryan [3] has derived conditions sufficient to guarantee the convergence of (3) in a special type of B-space. We shall state this result for $R^{n}$ with Tchebycheff norm, without proof, and shall employ the methods of Bryan to derive conditions which insure the convergence of (4) in $R^{2}$.

Theorem 3.1: Let $f_{i}\left(x_{1}, 000, x_{n}\right)$ be a real valued function defined on a set $A \subset R^{n}$ for $i=1$, oo, $n$, such that there exists $x_{0}=\left(x_{1}^{(0)}, 00, x_{n}^{(0)}\right)$ belonging to $A$ and positive real numbers $r, N_{p} P_{0}, Q_{0}$ and $H_{0}$ so that for $i=1,2,00$, $n_{p} f_{i}$ has continuous second-order partial derivatives for $x \in S\left(x_{0, r} r\right) \subset A_{0}$

1) $\max _{i=1,000, n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|f_{i j j}(x)\right| \leqslant N$, for $x \in S\left(x_{0}, r\right)$,
2) max $i=1_{0} 000, n \quad\left|\frac{1}{F_{i i}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right| \leqslant P_{0}$
3) $\max _{i=1,000, n}\left|\frac{f_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)}{f_{i i}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right| \leqslant Q_{0}$.
4) $\max _{i=1,000, n} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n}\left|\frac{f(x)}{f\left(x_{0}\right)}\right| \leqslant H_{0}<I_{p}$
5) $b_{0}=\frac{P_{0} Q_{0} N}{\left(I-H_{0}\right)^{2}}<\frac{1}{4}$ and

6）$r_{0}=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 b_{0}\left(I-H_{0}\right)}\left(1-\sqrt{1-4 b_{0}}\right)<r_{0} \quad$ Then the sequence defined by（3）converges to a point $x^{*}=\left(x_{1}{ }^{*} \cdots{ }_{0} x_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ in $A$ such that $f_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ and $\max _{i=1,000, n}\left|x_{i}{ }^{*}-x_{i}{ }^{0}\right| \leqslant r_{0}$ More－ over，$x^{*}$ is the only solution of $f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots 0, x_{n}\right)=0, i=1$ ， $\cdots, n_{\theta}$ in the set $s(x, k)<s(x, r)$ where $k=\frac{Q_{0}}{2 b_{0}\left(1-H_{0}\right)}\left(1+\sqrt{1-4 b_{0}}\right)$.

Let $F: R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}, T_{1}: R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ ，and $T_{2}: R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ where
$F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\binom{f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}, T_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\binom{x_{1}-\frac{f_{2}(x)}{f_{11}(X)}}{x_{2}}$ and $T_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}-\frac{f_{2}(x)}{f_{22}(x)}}$ ．Then if $T: R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ is defined by $T(x)=T_{2}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)$ ，the iteration $x_{k+1}=T\left(x_{k}\right)$ becomes the generalized Gauss－Seidel technique。 With these operators in mind we formulate the following theorem for the extended Gauss－Seidel technique in $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ 。 Theorem（3，2）：Let $F: A \subset R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ ，where $F(x)=\binom{f_{1}(x)}{f_{2}(x)}$ ． and let $T_{g}, T_{2}$ ，and $T$ be defined as above。 Suppose there exists $x_{0} \varepsilon A$ and $r_{0}, N, \beta_{0}, Q_{0}$ ，and $H_{0} \varepsilon R^{+}$such that 1）$F$ is twice Frechet differentiable on $S\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) U S\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), r_{0}\right)$ $\in A$ ，and $\left\|F^{f i}(x)\right\| \leqslant N$ for $x \in S\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right) \cup S\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), r_{0}\right)$ 。 2）$f_{i 1}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0, f_{11}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \neq 0, f_{22}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ ，and $\mathrm{f}_{22}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right) \neq 0$
3) $\max \left(\left|\frac{1}{F_{11}\left(X_{0}\right)}\right| \cdot\left|\frac{1}{F_{11}\left(I_{1}\left(X_{0}\right)\right.}\right| \cdot\left|\frac{1}{F_{22}\left(X_{0}\right)}\right| \cdot\left|\frac{1}{F_{22}\left(I_{1}\left(X_{0}\right)\right.}\right|\right) \leqslant$
${ }^{\beta}{ }_{0}$
4) $\quad \max \left(\left|\frac{f_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right| \cdot\left|\frac{f_{2}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}{f_{22}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right.}\right|\right) \leqslant Q_{0}$
5) $\max \left(\left|\frac{f_{12}\left(x_{0}\right)}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right| \cdot\left|\frac{f_{21}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}{f_{22}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right.}\right|\right) \leqslant H_{0}<1$
6) $\quad\left(1-H_{0}\right)^{2}>4 Q_{0} N B_{0}$ and
7) $\frac{\left(1-H_{0}\right)-\sqrt{\left(1-H_{0}\right)^{2}-4 Q_{0} N B_{0}}}{2 N B_{0}}<r_{0}$
then the iteration $x_{n+1}=T\left(x_{n}\right)$ is well defined and the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ will converge to $x^{*} \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ such that $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$. Moreover, $\left\|x_{n}-x *\right\| \leqslant \frac{\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha} Q_{0}$ where
$\alpha=\frac{\left(H_{0}+1\right)-\sqrt{\left(I-H_{0}\right)^{2}-4 Q_{0} N \beta_{0}}}{\left(H_{0}+1\right)+\sqrt{\left(1-H_{0}\right)^{2}-\frac{4 Q_{0} N \beta_{0}}{}}<1 .}$
Proof: We begin by showing that $\| T_{1}(x)-T_{1}(y\|\leqslant\| x-y \|$
for $x, y \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, k\right) \subset S\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$.
Define $H_{1}: R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ by $H_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\binom{\frac{f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{f_{11}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right.}}{0}$. Then for $x_{\text {, }}$ $y \in S\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right), H_{1}^{\prime}(x)-H_{1}^{\prime}(y)=$

so $\left\|H_{1}^{\prime}(x)-H_{1}^{\prime}(y)\right\| \leqslant \beta_{0}\left\|F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(y)\right\| \leqslant \beta_{0} N\|x-y\|$. Let
$H:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be defined by $\bar{H}(t)=\frac{\beta_{0} N}{2} t^{2}$, then $\bar{H}(t)$ is
monotone increasing and
(3.21) $\quad\left\|H_{i}{ }^{\circ}(x)-H_{i}{ }^{\circ}(y)\right\| \leqslant H^{\prime}(\|x-y\|)$. Choose $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<k$ where $k=\min \left(r_{0} \frac{1}{\beta_{0} N}\right)$. Then
$\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{f_{22}\left(x_{0}\right)}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)-f_{11}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}\left(x_{0}\right)-f_{22}(x)\end{array}\right)\right\|$
$\leqslant \beta_{0} N\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|$. So by theorem (1,2), the linear operator $R_{1} x=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)} & 0 \\ 0 & \left.\frac{1}{f_{22}\left(x_{0}\right.}\right)\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)-f_{11}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}\left(x_{0}\right)-f_{22}(x)\end{array}\right)$
has a bounded inverse on $S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ such that $\left\|R_{1} x^{-1}\right\| \leqslant$
$\frac{1}{I-N \sigma_{0}\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|} \cdot \operatorname{Now}\left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{11}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}(x)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}\left(x_{0}\right)\end{array}\right) R_{1} x$
on $S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$. so $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{F_{11}(x)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{I_{22}(x)}\end{array}\right)=R_{1} x^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_{11}\left(x_{0}\right.} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ I_{22}\left(x_{0}\right)\end{array}\right)$.
Therefore $T_{1}(x)$ is defined on $S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$.
Since we are attempting to verify the inequality $\left\|T_{1}(x)-T_{1}(y)\right\| \leqslant\|x-y\|$, and because the second components of the vectors $T_{1}(x)-T_{1}(y)$ and $x-y$ are equal, we need only consider the first component of the vector $T_{1}(x)-T_{1}(y)$. Thus we define $T_{1,1}: S\left(x_{0}, k\right) \subset R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ by $T_{11}(x)=\binom{x_{1}-\frac{f(x)}{f_{11}(x)}}{0}$

Then,
(3.22) $\quad T_{11}(x)-T_{11}(y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{F_{11}(x)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{f_{22}(x)}\end{array}\right)\left[-\binom{f_{1}(x)}{0}-\right.$
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{1 \Sigma}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}(x)\end{array}\right)\binom{y_{1}-\frac{f_{1}(y)}{f_{11}(y)}-x_{1}}{0}+\binom{f_{1}(y)}{0}+$
$\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{11}(y) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}(y)\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}-f_{1}(y) \\ f_{11}(y) \\ 0\end{array}\right)\right]$
$-\binom{\frac{f_{1}(x)}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)}}{0}-\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{f_{11}(x)}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{f_{22}(x)}{f_{22}\left(x_{0}\right)}\end{array}\right) \quad\binom{y_{1}-\frac{f_{1}(y)}{f_{11}(y)}-x_{1}}{0}+$
$\binom{\frac{f_{1}(y)}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)}}{0}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{f_{11}(y)}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{f_{22}(y)}{f_{22}\left(x_{0}\right)}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}-f_{1}(y) \\ f_{11}(y) \\ 0\end{array}\right)$. Letting
$g_{1}(s)=-\frac{f_{1}(x)}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)}+\frac{f_{1}(y)}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)}-$
$\frac{1}{f_{11}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left[\frac{f_{1}\left(x+s\left(y_{1}-\frac{f_{1}(y)}{f_{1}(y)}\right.\right.}{s}\right.$
$\left.\frac{f_{2}\left(y+s\left(-\frac{f_{1}(y)}{f_{11}(y)}\right)\right)-f_{1}(y)}{s}\right]$, we find that $g_{1}=$
$\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} g_{1}(s)$ 。 Substituting we have $g_{1}(s)=-H_{1}(x)+H_{1}(y)-$
$\frac{1}{S}\left(H_{1}\left(x+s\left(z_{1}-x_{1}\right)\right)-H_{1}(x)-H_{1}\left(y+s\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)\right)+H_{1}(y)\right)$
where $z=T_{1}(y)$.
Next, if we let $\bar{x}=t x+(1-t) y$, then the fundamental theorem of calculus implies that $g_{1}(s)=$
$-\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=1}^{2} H_{1 j}(\bar{x})\left(x_{j}-Y_{j}\right) d t-\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{1} H_{12}\left(\bar{x}+s\left(z_{1}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)\right)\left(x_{2}-Y_{2}\right) d t$ $+\frac{1}{S} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=1}^{2} H_{1 j}(\bar{x})\left(x_{j}-Y_{j}\right) d t$ ．Hence $\left|g_{1}(s)\right| \leqslant$ $\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left|H_{1 j}\left(\bar{x}+s\left(z_{1}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)\right)-H_{1 j}(\bar{x})\right|\left|x_{j}-Y_{j}\right| d t+$ $\int_{0}^{1}\left|H_{12}(\bar{x})-H_{12}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| d t+\left|H_{12}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|$ ，so $\left\|\lg _{1}(s)\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{\prime}\left\|H_{1}^{\prime}\left(\bar{x}+s\left(z_{1}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)\right)-H_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{x}) \mid\right\| x-y \| d t+$
$\int_{0}^{1}| | H_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{x})-H_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\| \| x-y| | d t+H_{0}\|x-y\|$ 。 Now using（3．21）， $\left\|g_{1}(s)\right\| \leqslant \int_{0}^{1} H^{\prime}\left(s \mid z_{1}-\bar{x}_{1} \|\right)\|x-y\| d t+\int_{0}^{1} H^{\prime}\left(\left\|\bar{x}-x_{0}\right\|\right)\|x-y\| d t$ $+\mathrm{H}_{0}\|x-y\|$ ．If we let $\rho_{1}=\|x-y\|, \rho_{2}=\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|, \rho_{3}=$ $\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|, \rho_{4}=\left|z_{1}-x_{1}\right|$ ，and $\rho_{5}=\left|z_{1}-y_{1}\right|$ ，then $\left\|\bar{x}-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant$ $t \rho_{1}+\rho_{3},\left|z_{1}-\bar{x}_{1}\right|=\left|\left(z_{1}-x_{1}\right) t+(1-t)\left(z_{1}-y_{1}\right)\right| \leqslant \rho_{4} t+$ （ $1-t$ ）$\rho_{5}$ ，and because $H^{\prime}(\rho)$ is increasing，$\left\|g_{1}(s)\right\| \leqslant$ $\frac{1}{s} \int_{0}^{1} \vec{H}^{\prime}\left(s\left[t \rho_{4}+(1-t) \rho_{5}\right]\right) \rho_{1} d t+H^{\prime}\left(t \rho_{1}+\rho_{3}\right) \rho_{1} d t+H_{0} \rho_{1}$. Thus we have $\left\|g_{1}(s)\right\| \leqslant N B_{0}\left[\left(\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1}\right)+\rho_{3} \rho_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)^{2}\right]+$ $H_{0} \rho_{1}$ 。 Since the right hand member of the above inequality is independent of $s_{1}\left\|g_{1}\right\| \leqslant N \beta_{0}\left[\left(\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1}\right)+\rho_{3} \rho_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)^{2}\right]$ $+H_{0} \rho_{1}$ 。 Therefore，$\left\|T_{11}(x)-T_{11}(y)\right\| \leqslant\left\|R_{1} x^{-1}\right\|\left\|g_{1}\right\| \leqslant$ $\phi\left(\|x-y\|,\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|,\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|, z_{1}-x_{1}|,| z_{1}-y_{1} \|\right.$ where $\phi\left(\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}, \rho_{4}, \rho_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{1-N B_{0} \rho_{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1_{2}}{} N \beta_{0}\left(\rho_{4}+\rho_{5}\right) \rho_{1}+N \beta_{0}\left(\rho_{3} \rho_{1}+\right. \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ $\left.\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{1}\right)^{2}\right)+H_{0} \rho_{1}\right\}$ ．Note that since $N \beta_{0}>0, \phi \varepsilon \Gamma^{5}(Q)$ where $J_{i}=\left[0, \frac{1}{N B_{0}}\right], i=1, \ldots 0,5$ ，and $Q=J_{1} \times J_{2} \times \ldots \times J_{5}$ ．Let $\rho_{1}=\rho-\sigma, \rho_{2}=\rho_{1} \rho_{3}=\sigma, \rho_{4}=\zeta-\rho, \rho_{5}=\zeta-\sigma$ ，then upon substitution，$\phi(\rho-0, \rho, 0,5-\rho, \zeta-\sigma)=$
$\frac{1}{1-N \beta_{0} \rho}\left\{\frac{1_{2} N \beta_{0}(2 \zeta-\rho-\sigma)(\rho-\sigma)+N \beta_{0}\left(\sigma(\rho-\zeta)+\frac{1}{2}(\rho-\sigma)^{2}, ~\right.}{}\right.$
$\left.+H_{0}(\rho-\sigma)\right\}$. Next let $T(\rho)=\rho+\frac{1}{I-N \beta_{0} \rho}\left\{Q_{0}+N \beta_{0} \rho^{2}-\rho+H 0 \rho\right\}$,
and let $\zeta=T(\zeta)$. Using the procedure of (3.22) we obtain
$\bar{T}(\rho)-T(\sigma)=\frac{1}{I-N \beta_{0} \rho}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{2} N B_{0}(25-\rho-\sigma)(\rho-\sigma)\end{array}\right.$
$\left.+N B_{0}\left(\sigma(\rho-\sigma)+\frac{1}{2}(\rho-\sigma)^{2}\right)+H_{0}(\rho-\sigma)\right\}=$
$\phi(\rho-\sigma, \rho, \sigma, T(\sigma)-\rho, T(\sigma)-\sigma)$. So we have
$\left\|T_{11}(x)-T_{11}(y)\right\| \leqslant T(\rho)-T(\sigma)$. Solving $T(\rho)-\rho=$
$\frac{1}{1-N \beta_{0 \rho}}\left\{Q_{0}+N \beta_{0} \rho^{2}-\rho+H_{0} \rho\right\}=0$ for $0 \leqslant \rho<\frac{1}{\beta_{0} N}$ we find
that $\frac{\left(1-H_{0}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(1-H_{0}\right)^{2}-4 N B_{0} Q_{0}}}{2 N B_{0}}$ are the fixed points of $\bar{T}(\rho)$. By assumptions (5) and (7) these roots are real and positive. Let $\rho^{*}=\frac{\left(1-H_{0}\right)-\sqrt{(1-H)^{2}-4 Q \beta N}}{2 N \beta_{0}}<$
$\frac{1}{N B_{0}}$ and consider $\rho \varepsilon\left[0_{8} \rho^{*}\right]$.
$T(\rho)=\frac{\left(1-N B_{0} \rho\right)\left[N B_{0} \rho+H_{0}\right]+N B_{0}\left(Q_{0}+N B_{0} \rho^{2}-\left(1-H_{0}\right) \rho\right)}{\left(1-N B_{0} \rho\right)^{2}}=$
$\frac{H_{0}+Q_{0} N B_{0}}{\left(I-N \beta_{0} \rho\right)^{2}}>0$ for $\rho<\rho^{*}<\frac{1}{\beta_{0} N^{\prime}}$ hence $T(\rho)$ is increasing on $\left[0_{\theta} \rho *\right]_{0}$ Further, since $T(\rho)=\rho+\frac{\left(N B_{0} \rho^{2}-\left(1-H_{0}\right) \rho+Q_{0}\right)}{I-N \beta_{0} \rho}$
and $\frac{N B_{0} \rho^{2}-\left(1-H_{0}\right) \rho+Q_{0}}{1-N B_{0} \rho}>0$, we have $T(\rho)>\rho$ for
$\rho<\rho{ }^{*}$ Also, $\operatorname{Tr}^{\prime \prime}(\rho)=\frac{2 N B_{0}\left(H_{0}+Q_{0} N B_{0}\right)}{\left(I-N B_{0} \rho\right)^{4}}>0$ for $\rho<\rho$ * so
$\mathrm{F}^{\prime}(\rho)$ is increasing and hence $\max _{0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant \rho *} \mathrm{~F}^{\prime}(\rho)=\mathrm{T}^{\prime}\left(\rho^{*}\right)=$
$\frac{N B_{0} \rho^{*}+H_{0}}{I-N \beta_{0} \rho^{*}}=\frac{\left(H_{0}+1\right)-\sqrt{\left(1-H_{0}\right)^{2}-4 N B_{0} Q_{0}}}{\left(H_{0}+1\right)+\sqrt{\left(1-H_{0}\right)^{2}-4 N B Q_{0}}}=\alpha<1_{0}$
Coupling this result with the mean value theorem，we obtain $|T(\rho)-T(\sigma)|<\alpha|\rho-\sigma|_{0} T h u s T(\rho)$ is a contraction mapping So we have $\| T_{11}(x)-T_{11}(y\| \| T(\rho)-T(\sigma)<\alpha|\rho-\sigma|=$ $\alpha\left|\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|-\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|\right| \leqslant \alpha\|x-y\| \leqslant\|x-y\|$ ．In view of the previous remark，we now have $\left\|T_{1}(x)-T_{1}(y)\right\| \leqslant$ $\|x-y\|$ for $x_{\rho} y \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ ．An immediate result of this in－ equality is $T_{1}(x) \in S\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), k\right)$ whenever $x \in S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ 。

We now proceed to show that $T(x)$ is defined on $S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ using reasoning analogous to that which was applied to $T_{1}(x)$ 。 First we define $H_{2}: R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ by $H_{2}(x)=$

so that $\left\|H_{2}^{\prime}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)-H_{2}^{\prime}\left(T_{1}(y)\right)\right\| \leqslant B_{0} N\left\|T_{1}(x)-T_{1}(y)\right\| \leqslant$ $B_{0} N| | x-y \|$ 。 Further we have $\left\|H_{2}{ }^{\prime}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)-H_{2}{ }^{\prime}\left(T_{1}(y)\right)\right\| \leqslant$ $\mathrm{F}^{0}(\|x-y\|)$ for $x_{0}, Y \varepsilon S\left(x_{0}, r_{0}\right)$ ．Now if $x \in S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ where $k=\min \left(r_{0} \log _{\overline{0} \frac{1}{N}}\right)$ ，then

$\left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{11}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-f_{11}\left(T_{1}(x)\right) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)-f_{22}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)\right.\end{array}\right)$
BON $\left\|T_{1}(x)-T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant \beta_{0} N\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<l_{\text {。 }}$ So again applying Banach's theorem, we see that the linear operator $R_{2} x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ \mathbb{F}_{11}\left(\Gamma_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right. \\ 0\end{array}\right.$
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{11}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-f_{11}\left(T_{1}(x)\right) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-f_{22}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)\end{array}\right)$
has a bounded inverse on $S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ such that $\left\|R_{2} x^{-1}\right\| \leqslant$ $\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{1-N \beta} \|^{x}-X_{0 \|} & \text { Further } \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{1}\left(T_{1}(x)\right) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}f_{11}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{22}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\end{array}\right) .\end{array}$
$R_{2} x$, so $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{f\left(T_{1}(x)\right)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{f_{22}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)}\end{array}\right)=R_{2} x^{-1}$.
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{F_{11}\left(1_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{F_{22}\left(T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}\end{array}\right)$ on $S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$. Therefore
$T(x)=T_{2}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}-\binom{\frac{f_{1}(x)}{f_{11}(x)}}{\left.\frac{f_{2}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)}{f_{22}\left(I_{1}(x)\right.}\right)} \quad$ is
defined on $S\left(x_{0}, k\right)$ o If we define $T_{22}: S\left(T_{1} x_{0}, k\right) \in R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ by $T_{22}(u)=\binom{0}{u_{2}-\frac{f_{2}(u)}{f_{22}(u)}}$, then $T(x)-T(y)=$ $T_{11}(x)-T_{11}(y)+T_{22}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)-T_{22}\left(T_{1}(y)\right)$ 。Further, $T(x)-T(y)=\max \left\{\left\|T_{11}(x)-T_{11}(y)\right\|, \| T_{22}\left(T_{1}(x)\right)-\right.$ $\left.T_{22}\left(T_{1}(y)\right) \|\right\}$. Letting $u=T_{1}(y)$ and $w=T_{1}(x)$ we note that the form of $T_{22}(w)-T_{22}(u)$ is analogous to the form of $T_{11}(x)-T_{11}(y)$, thus by the same reasoning we applied to $T_{11}(x)-T_{11}(y)$ we find that $\left\|T_{22}(w)-T_{22}(u)\right\| \leqslant$ $\phi\left(\|w-u\| .\left\|w-T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|,\left\|u-T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|, \mid \xi_{2}-w_{2} \|\right.$. $\left.\left|\xi_{2}-u_{1}\right|\right)$ where $\xi=T_{2}(u)_{8}$ and $\phi\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \rho \rho_{3} \rho \rho_{4}, \rho_{5}\right)$ is defined as before. Recalling that $\phi\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}, \rho_{4}, \rho_{5}\right) \in \Gamma^{5}(Q)$, and noting that; $\|w=\dot{L}\|=\left\|T_{1}(x)-T_{1}(y)\right\| \leqslant\|x-y\|,\left\|w-T_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant$ $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|_{0} \| u-T_{1}\left(x_{0}\|\leqslant\| y-x_{0}\|,\| \xi_{2}-w_{2} \mid \leqslant\right.$ $\|T(y)-x\|_{0}\left|z_{1}-x_{1}\right| \leqslant\|T(y)-x\|_{0}\left|\xi_{2}-u_{2}\right| \leqslant\|T(y)-y\|_{0}$ and $\left|z_{2}-y_{2}\right| \leqslant\|T(y)-y\|$ we have: $\left\|T_{11}(x)-T_{22}(y)\right\| \leqslant$ $\phi\left(\|x-y\|_{0}\left\|x-x_{0}\right\| \cdot\left\|y-x_{0}\right\|,\|T(y)-x\|,\|T(y)-y\|\right)$ and $\left\|T_{22}\left(T_{8}(x)\right)-T_{22}\left(T_{1}(y)\right)\right\| \leqslant \phi\left(\|x-y\|_{1}\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|,\|y-x\|_{0}\right.$ $\|T(y)-x\|_{0}\|T(y)-y\|\left\|_{0} \quad T h e r e f o r e\right\| T(x)-T(y) \| \leqslant$ $\phi\left(\|x-y\|_{,}\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|,\|y-x\|,\|T(y)-x\|_{e}\|T(y)-y\|\right) 。$ Continuing as before, except now $\rho_{4}-\|T(y)-x\|$
and $\rho_{5}=\|T(y)-y\|_{0}$ we have $\left\|x_{0}-T\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|=Q_{0} \leqslant$ $T(0)-0$ and $\bar{T}(\rho)>\rho$ for $\rho<\rho *$. Thus if $\left\{\rho_{k}\right\}$ is
defined by $\rho_{k+1}=T\left(\rho_{k}\right)$ we have $\rho_{n+1} \geqslant \rho_{n}$, and because $F(\rho)$ is a contraction map $\left\{\rho_{k}\right\}$ converges to the unique fixed point $\rho^{*}<\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } \beta_{0}} \circ$ By assumption (7) $\rho^{*}<x_{0}$, so $\rho^{*}<$ $\min \left(\frac{1}{N B_{0}}, r_{0}\right)$ and the hypotheses of Lemma (2.2) are satisfied for $T(x)$. Therefore there exists $x^{*}$ such that $T\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}$ and $\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leqslant \rho^{*}-\rho_{n} \leqslant\left(\frac{\alpha^{n}}{I-\alpha} Q_{0} Q^{\circ}\right.$

Now $T\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}$ implies that $T\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}$ -
$\binom{f_{1}\left(x^{*}\right)}{\frac{f_{2}\left(x^{*}\right)}{f_{22}\left(x^{*}\right)}}$, and because $f_{i}(x), i=1,2$ are continuous.
$f_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ for $i=1,2$, hence $F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$ 。
Therefore, after examining the concept of majorizing sequences and their application to Newton-like techniques, we have imposed conditions on the generalized Gauss-Seidel iterative method which are sufficient to insure convergence of the iterates to a solution of the equation $F(x)=0$ in $R^{2}$ 。
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