

University of Montana

ScholarWorks at University of Montana

University of Montana Course Syllabi

Open Educational Resources (OER)

Fall 9-1-2016

PHL 210E.01: Moral Philosophy

Bridget Clarke

University of Montana - Missoula, bridget.clarke@umontana.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.umt.edu/syllabi>

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Clarke, Bridget, "PHL 210E.01: Moral Philosophy" (2016). *University of Montana Course Syllabi*. 8445.
<https://scholarworks.umt.edu/syllabi/8445>

This Syllabus is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Educational Resources (OER) at ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Montana Course Syllabi by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.

Office Hours and Information

- Clarke
- Office: LA 148 Tuesday Thursday 11:00-12:20
- bclarke@mso.umt.edu
- Office hours: Tu, Th 12:30-1:30 and by appt.
- Class on Tuesday Thursday 11:00 a.m. – 12:20 p.m. McGill room 237

Philosophy 210: Moral Philosophy Fall 2016

Life is given only once, and one would like to live it cheerfully, meaningfully, beautifully.
—Chekhov, “The Story of an Unknown Man” (1892)

Humans, when perfected, are the best of animals, but, when separated from law and justice, the worst of all.
—Aristotle, *Politics* (350 B.C.E.) 1253a31-33

Our objective is to develop an appreciation for the leading approaches to moral philosophy in the Western tradition through a reading of classical texts together with some more recent works. Our investigation of each approach will center around three questions: how do I tell if an action (or way of living) is *morally good*?; what *makes* an action (or way of living) morally good?; what is supposed to motivate me, or anyone else to act (or live) in such a way when we could, perhaps, get more for ourselves in other ways?

Requirements

This is a seminar-style course, so your regular attendance and thoughtful participation are all-important. Occasional absences will diminish your grade; frequent absences will lead me to ask you to drop the course. Reading the assigned works carefully before you come to class is **essential**. Please always be sure to bring the readings to class with you.

Your final grades will be based on the following percentages:

1. Three Papers: 85% (20%, 25% and 40%, respectively). You will workshop a draft of each paper before submitting a final version.
2. Participation: 15%. This portion of your grade takes into account (a) your attendance and the *quality* of your comments in class; (b) the quality of your workshop comments; (c) other short assignments and, potentially, pop quizzes on the reading. Every absence beyond two will diminish your participation grade and more than four will decimate it.
3. In determining final grades I will take into account the extent to which your work improves over the course of the term.
4. Please note: All of the assignments are due according to deadline. As a rule, I won't accept late work or 'make-up' work.

5. Please note also that you must participate in the workshops in order to receive credit on the papers for which they are designed. No exceptions.
6. If you have to miss a class or anticipate difficulties in meeting an assignment, talk to me about the situation as soon as you can.

Papers

The point of the papers is to give you a chance to develop a position on a fundamental yet focused topic connected to our readings.

You must complete each of the paper assignments to receive credit for the course.

Academic Misconduct

You plagiarize when you represent someone else's work as your own. Plagiarism is a form of theft, specifically, the theft of someone else's *thoughts* or *words* which you then claim as your own. Plagiarism is prohibited by the University of Montana Student Conduct Code (http://life.umt.edu/vpsa/student_conduct.php). Examples of plagiarism range from the blatant, e.g., handing in work that you did not do (perhaps you bought a paper from the internet or simply borrowed a paper from another student) to the more subtle, e.g., using material—perhaps no more than one idea, or a sentence—from an outside source, such as a book, a website, a published or unpublished paper, without documenting that source. Let me know if you ever have questions about whether something constitutes plagiarism (asking will not bring you under suspicion!). It is also a violation of the Student Conduct Code to hand in work that you already submitted for a previous course. You will *at a minimum* receive an "F" on any work that plagiarizes.

Books

- Plato, *Republic* (ca. 380 BCE)
- Aristotle, *Nicomachean Ethics* (ca. 350 BCE)
- Hume, *An Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Morals* (1751)
- Kant, *Grounding for the Metaphysics of Morals* (1785)
- Bentham and Mill, *The Classical Utilitarians* (1800s)
- Wilkerson, *The Warmth of Other Suns* (2010)
- Klinkenborg, *Several Short Sentences about Writing* (2013)

All of these books are available at the University Bookstore.

Moodle

A number of readings have been posted on Moodle. Please print hard copies to bring to class.

Coursepack

I will distribute a packet of my notes on the readings. Please bring these to class as well. They are *not* a substitute for reading the texts yourself and are probably best read *after* your own reading of the text.

Note

Please let me know if you have a disability so we can make suitable arrangements.

Schedule of Readings and Assignments

This is a provisional schedule; we will probably make some changes to it as we go. It's your responsibility to keep abreast of the changes. (M)=Moodle.

Week of 8/30, 9/1

- T 30 Introductions
- H 1 Allen Wood, "Relativism" (M)

Week of 9/6, 9/8

- T 6 Plato, *Republic* I 327a-336a (Cephalus and Polemarchus)
- H 8 *Rep.* I 336b-344c (Thrasymachus); Cohen, "Judge Judy" (M)
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 1-13 and Wilkerson, 1-46

Week of 9/13, 9/15

- T 13 Foot, "Moral Beliefs" pp. 125-131 (M); NYT, "Profiling Report" (M)
- H 15 Phillips, "Does it Pay to be Good?" (M)
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 13-32 and Wilkerson, 47-94

Week of 9/20, 9/22

- T 20 *Rep.* II 357a-367e (Ring of Gyges)
- H 22 **Paper #1 draft due in class. Workshop.**
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 32-47 and Wilkerson, 95-122

Week of 9/27, 9/29

- T 27 Film: *Eyes on the Prize* "Awakenings" (Emmett Till and the CRM 1954-1956)
 - **Paper #1 rewrite due Tuesday Sept. 27 in class**
- H 29 Hume, *An Enquiry Concerning the Principles of Morals*, §§I-III
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 47-59 and Wilkerson, 123-164

Week of 10/4, 10/6

- T 4 Hume, *Enquiry*, §§V-VIII, focusing on §V
- H 6 Bentham, *Principles of Morals and Legislation*, chaps. I and IV (pp. 8-22) and "Push-Pin versus Poetry" (p. 94) in *The Classical Utilitarians*
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 59-70 and Wilkerson, 165-204

Week of 10/11, 10/13

- T 11 Mill, *Utilitarianism*, chaps. I-II (pp. 95-115 in *The Classical Utilitarians*)
- H 13 Rawls, "Classical Utilitarianism" (M)
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 70-85 and Wilkerson, 205-221

Week of 10/18, 10/20

- T 18 R.M. Hare, "What is Wrong with Slavery" (M)
- H 20 **Paper #2 draft due in class. Workshop.**
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 85-94 and Wilkerson, 222-259

Week of 10/25, 10/27

- T 25 Film: *Eyes on the Prize* "Mississippi: Is this America?" (Voter reg. and the CRM 1963-1964)
 - **Paper #2 rewrite due Tuesday Oct. 25 in class.**
- H 27 Kant, *Groundwork* (G.) Preface and Section I

Week of 11/1, 11/3

- T 1 G. section II (406-427)
- H 3 G. section II (428-end)
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 94-107 and Wilkerson. 260-301

Week of 11/8, 11/10

- T 8 **Election Day: No class**
- H 10 MLK, Jr. "Letter from a Birmingham Jail [1963]" (M); *Brown v Board of Education* [1952] (M)
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 107-116 and Wilkerson, 302-331

Week of 11/15, 11/17

- T 15 Film: *Eyes on the Prize* "Fighting Back" (Little Rock and the CRM 1957-1962)
- H 17 Aristotle, *Nicomachean Ethics* [NE] 1.1-1.9, skipping 1.6 (the *telos* of human life)
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 116-126 and Wilkerson, 332-363

Week of 11/22, 11/24

- T 22 TBA
- H 24 **Thanksgiving: No class**

Week of 11/29, 12/1

- T 29 NE 1.13-all of NE 2 (virtue of character); Comte-Sponville, "Politeness" (M)
- H 1 NE 3.6-4.9 (some particular virtues of character)
 - Also: Klinkenborg, 126-135 and Wilkerson, 364-412

Week of 12/6, 12/8

- T 6 Bennett, "The Conscience of Huckleberry Finn" (M); NE 7.1-7.4 (*akrasia*)
- H 8 **Paper #3 draft due in class. Workshop.**

Paper #3 rewrite due Wednesday December 14 by 5p in LA 101 (mailbox "Clarke") or under my office door.

Paper Topics

First Paper Topic

- Explain, in your own words, the point that Glaucon seeks to establish with the story of the ring of Gyges. Then consider whether Foot and/or Phillips would be able to justify morality to someone who possesses the ring (to give such a person compelling reasons to behave morally). Be sure to develop and defend your answers with specifics from the texts we've read.
- Length: Four double-spaced pages, normal font size (11 or 12) and margins. 1000-1200 words, depending on the font. Please indicate the word count at the top of your paper. Do not put your name there. Simply sign the back instead.
- ^Due in class Thursday September 22.
- Rewrite due in class Tuesday September 27.

Second Paper Topic

- Critically discuss the charge that utilitarianism is too permissive. Carefully explain what the charge is and why you do, or do not, take it to be justified. Make use of the pieces we read by Rawls and Hare in your discussion.
- Length: Four double-spaced pages, normal font size (11 or 12) and margins. 1000-1200 words.
- Please indicate the word count at the top of your paper. Do not put your name there. Simply sign the back instead.
- ^Due in class Thursday October 20.
- Rewrite due in class Tuesday October 25.

Third Paper Topic

- (a) Consider whether Huck Finn's decision *not* to turn Jim in to the authorities is an example of courage, as Aristotle conceives it. Explain why or why not.
- (b) Then, consider whether Huck's decision is consistent with Kant's categorical imperative. Explain why or why not. (Here I recommend focusing on *one* formulation of the imperative.)
- (c) Finally, briefly discuss which of these ideals—Aristotle's conception of virtue or Kant's conception of moral goodness—you find more compelling, and why. Be sure to use specifics from the relevant texts in developing your answers. Huck Finn's decision is described in Bennett, pp. 124-127.
- ^Due Wednesday December 14 by 5p in LA 101 (mailbox "Clarke") or under my office door.
- Length: Six double-spaced pages, normal font size (11 or 12) and margins. 1350-1450 words.
- Please indicate the word count at the top of your paper. Do not put your name there. Simply sign the back instead.