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This study was prepared for the National Park Service to serve as documentation of the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to two American Indian cultural groups, the Nez Perce and the Lakota Sioux, and of the 1999 Buffalo Walk and accompanying ceremony that took place within the boundary of the park. Both Lakota Sioux and Nez Perce peoples had leadership roles in the Buffalo Walk, which was a benchmark event in the history of the park and of Yellowstone buffalo management. Participants in the Buffalo Walk walked and rode more than 500 miles from Rapid City, South Dakota to the north gate of the park to honor and attract attention to the situation faced by the Yellowstone buffalo herd. This study documents the involvement of Lakota Sioux and Nez Perce peoples in this important event in park history and provides context for this involvement through the discussion of the historic and contemporary significance of Yellowstone buffalo to the cultures of these two groups.
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The following research is part of a joint effort between Yellowstone National Park, a unit of the National Park Service, and the Rocky Mountain Cooperative Ecosystems Study Unit (RM-CESU) undertaken as my Master’s thesis at the University of Montana. The purpose of this research as put forth in the scope of work by Yellowstone National Park is to document the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to two American Indian groups traditionally associated with the park, and to compile existing data on the 1999 Buffalo Walk in which a group of American Indians walked and rode more than 500 miles from Rapid City, South Dakota to Yellowstone National Park to honor and attract attention to the situation faced by Yellowstone buffalo.

Although this is one of many works dedicated to the issue of buffalo management at Yellowstone, this study approaches buffalo management from the viewpoint that Yellowstone’s buffalo are not only a treasured natural resource as the world’s last continuously free-roaming herd, but also an important cultural resource to several American Indian tribes. Please note that this paper does not claim to be a representation of the official position of the National Park Service, nor do I claim to speak for any American Indian group. Rather, I hope that the findings of this project compiled from archival sources and interviews will provide useful information for park managers involved in the management and interpretation of Yellowstone buffalo, information that will shed light on the profound significance that Yellowstone buffalo hold for native groups to this day.
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I. INTRODUCTION

“The concept of significance changes with the passage of time, new scholarship, and a better understanding of the need to recognize historic places associated with all of the nation’s diverse cultural groups.”

--Carol D. Shull (Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places), 2001

Cultural Significance

According to the National Park Service’s Cultural Resource Management Guidelines (1994), the concept of significance is an idea common to all cultural resources. In order for a resource to have cultural significance it “must have important historical, cultural, scientific, or technological associations and it must manifest those associations in its physical substance.” The very existence of this definition attests to the fact that the National Park Service recognizes that park resources, such as Yellowstone buffalo\(^1\), have physical characteristics as well as ideas, events and relationships that are linked with them. Cultural significance is attached to park resources by many groups of people, including visitors, park managers, local communities, and traditionally associated tribes. Currently, Yellowstone National Park lists 26 tribes with traditional association to the Park. Among those tribes listed as having association with Yellowstone National Park are the Lakota Sioux and the Nez Perce, the two groups of people focused on in this study.

This study seeks to identify and document the ideas, events and relationships linking the Lakota Sioux and Nez Perce to Yellowstone buffalo. Through this study

---

\(^1\) In this report, the term \textit{buffalo} will be used in place of the more scientific term \textit{bison} to refer to the animals in Yellowstone herd that are the subject of this study. Because the purpose of this report is to document cultural significance to two American Indian groups, \textit{buffalo} has been chosen over \textit{bison} because it is the preferred term used among American Indians.
Yellowstone National Park wishes to document the significance of the Buffalo Walk in the park’s history and to bring into relief what tribal members have to say about it. It is important that Yellowstone resource managers have knowledge of this information in order to make culturally sensitive management decisions regarding buffalo. This focus on meaning, particularly symbolic meaning, helps managers to better understand the broader social processes that create, negotiate and assign value to Yellowstone buffalo and make informed management decisions about them (Williams and Patterson 1999:4). According to Carol Shull (2001:44), Keeper of the National Register of Historic Places, “the concept of significance changes with the passage of time, new scholarship, and a better understanding of the need to recognize historic places associated with all of the nation’s diverse cultural groups,” making studies like this vital to assessing both historical and contemporary significance of Yellowstone buffalo, and, preferably, to enhance management actions concerning them.

Need for the Study

Yellowstone’s interest in the 1999 Buffalo Walk stems from a plan proposed by park managers to revegetate the Triangle area were the Buffalo Walk ceremony took place and the area near the Stephen’s Creek Corral Facility. The Branch of Cultural Resources staff at Yellowstone was contacted by park planning staff to see if there were any culturally significant resources that would be affected by the revegetation project. According to Yellowstone Cultural Anthropologist, Rosemary Sucec, the revegetation
project and the potential for impact to significant sites was the catalyst that led staff to seek funding for the current project to document the Buffalo Walk, the sites associated with it, and the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo (personal communication, April 24, 2007).

Yellowstone National Park’s call for this study of the cultural significance of buffalo and documentation of the 1999 Buffalo Walk is a testament to Yellowstone’s efforts to redefine the relationship between national parks and American Indians. Historically, interactions between the federal government and American Indian tribes have been characterized by one-way communication, dominant-subordinate relations, and the imposition of non-Indian methods of interaction (Ruppert 1994:10). Today, Yellowstone and other national parks are working to redefine this relationship with cross-cultural communication and consideration of American Indian perspectives in the management of parks’ cultural resources.

**Integrating Natural and Cultural Resource Management**

In addition to the documentation of the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo and of the 1999 Buffalo Walk, a major goal of this study is to present the project findings in a format that is useful to Yellowstone managers. This task requires consideration of the target audience. At the most basic level natural resource managers are often attracted to their profession because it affords them the opportunity to be outdoors, away from the masses and sociopolitical concerns, and working with the
tangible aspects of biophysical resources (Kennedy and Thomas 1995:311). Due to limited staffing in some locations and a legal responsibility to all of the nation’s cultural groups, natural resource managers in public agencies increasingly find themselves in the position of social value manager, having to manage and accommodate immediate and long-term social values in the environmental system (Katz 1995:317). Social values originate in the social system, but are expressed to natural resource managers and the rest of society through economic, social and political systems (Katz 1995:351). It is imperative that Yellowstone managers are aware of the social value (i.e. cultural significance) of buffalo to various culture groups that consider themselves stakeholders in buffalo management so that they are prepared to navigate cultural conflicts as they arise.

While it is helpful to outline the cultural significance of natural resources for use in park planning, management, and interpretation, it is also essential to point out that for many American Indians, a distinction between natural and cultural resources does not exist. Rather, in the American Indian worldview human beings are considered inseparable from nature; the distinction between nature and culture is seen as a peculiar, artificial construction of Euro-Americans (Franke 2005:239). How then, can these two different ways of relating to the land be reconciled in the resource management of a park known as one of America’s crown jewels for its natural resources? The answer lies in cooperation, consultation and an open mind.
II. METHODS

“*It took me a long time to be able to go and ask questions, because our older people very seldom make you ask questions. When they talk to you, they give you advice. When you talk to younger people, you give them advice and teach them what you want them to learn. It’s coming from the heart. That’s just the way it was.*”

—Horace Axtell (Nez Perce Tribe), Axtell and Aragon 1997

Ethnohistorical Method & Qualitative Data

This study employs the ethnohistorical method to identify and document the ideas, events and relationships linking the Lakota Sioux and Nez Perce to Yellowstone buffalo and to document the 1999 Buffalo Walk. As an interdisciplinary method, ethnohistory applies an ethnographic perspective to the study of historical data, including documentary data pertaining to a group or community, and oral history when available (Barber and Berdan 1996:11-12, Parker and King 1990). Because little has been written specifically on the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to American Indian tribes associated with the park or on the 1999 Buffalo Walk, traditional quantitative methods seem inappropriate for this study. The exploratory nature of the study and the need to provide a thick description of ideas, events and relationships indicate that a qualitative approach would be most effective (Geertz 1973:9-10, Jostad, et al. 1996:567).

In a study on the Native American land ethic, Jostad, McAvoy, and McDonald (1996:567) explain that a qualitative study is not about hypothesis testing, but rather an attempt to gather detailed descriptive information to aid insight, discovery, and interpretation. In the present study, the researcher attempts to learn about a way of life by studying the people who live it and asking them what they think about their experiences (Yow 2005:7). Lincoln and Guba (1985:225), in their discussion of naturalistic research
designs similar to the one used in this project, point out that all that can be promised in advance of such a study is that “understanding will be increased,” and that that increase will be noticeable to a variety of audiences.

Data Collection

Following the ethnohistorical method, this study utilizes both documentary research and limited ethnographic interviews. Cruikshank (1992:22) points out that in working with a combination of documentary and oral accounts, neither the oral nor the written version can be treated simply as historical evidence to be sifted for facts. Furthermore, combining these two kinds of accounts does not provide a synthesis or the “real story”.

Instead, both kinds of account have to be understood as windows on the way the past is constructed and discussed in different contexts, from the perspectives of actors enmeshed in culturally distinct networks of social relationships… The exercise here is less one of straightening out facts than of identifying how such distinct cognitive models may generate different kinds of social analysis, leading to different interpretations of a given event, one of which is included in official history, while the other is relegated to collective memory (Cruikshank 1992:22, emphasis added).

A number of considerations influenced the choice of the Lakota Sioux and the Nez Perce as the groups focused on in this research. Chief among these considerations is tribal members’ participation and leadership in the 1999 Buffalo Walk. Another is the continual interest shown by these two groups in the park’s management of buffalo. Recommendation of these two groups came from Yellowstone National Park cultural
resource staff (Succe and White), who also made final determinations in the selection of
the ten consultants for this project.

Archival Research & Contemporary Literature Search

Documentary research for this study was conducted between August 2006 and
February 2007. Both archival and contemporary literature sources were examined for
written and visual documentation of the significance of buffalo and the Buffalo Walk to
the two tribal groups. Primarily, records were obtained from the Yellowstone Heritage
and Research Center, located in Gardiner, Montana; work conducted by ethnographers on
early twentieth century reservations; and biographies of traditional leaders.
Contemporary literature sources included newspaper articles and modern writings about
buffalo and tribal beliefs about buffalo.

Ethnographic Interviews

Ethnographic interviews for this study were conducted between March and June
of 2007. Formal, semistructured interviews were conducted to ascertain contemporary
symbolic and spiritual meanings of Yellowstone buffalo as well as the Buffalo Walk.
These ethnographic interviews yield oral history information that when juxtaposed with
the written record, provides access to different voices and different interpretations of the
same event, the Buffalo Walk of 1999 (Brettell 1998:528). A pioneer in ethnohistory, Jan Vansina (1985:197) describes oral data as “…irreplaceable, not only because information would otherwise be lost, but because they are sources ‘from the inside’”.

Interviews were conducted by telephone with several individuals on one or more occasions. Five of the consultants were tribal members and five were non-Indian. All five of the tribal consultants were tribal elders or recognized leaders of the Buffalo Walk. Four of the five non-Indian consultants were Yellowstone park staff members or former members. The interviews were audio recorded with permission. Most interviews were conducted individually, either in person or via telephone. Two of the interviews were conducted prior to this project but were used because they contained information about the significance of Yellowstone buffalo and the Buffalo Walk.

Interviewees were selected for this study based on the qualitative research approach of progressive network referrals (Jostad, et al. 1996:568). In this approach, sampling is not representative but contingent and serial—each element sampled depends on the characteristics of all the preceding elements (Lincoln and Guba 1985:224). In this way, tribal elders, spiritual leaders, participants in the Buffalo Walk, and Yellowstone National Park staff members present at the Walk were identified as potential consultants to the project. A total of 27 potential consultants were identified. Ten of those consultants originally identified served as consultants to this project. Yellowstone cultural resources staff made the final determination of the project consultants interviewed.

Interviews were conducted using a semistructured interviewing technique based on the use of an interview guide. This written list of questions and topics to be covered
throughout the interview is the best method to use when the researcher has only one chance to interview a consultant, and a useful tool for gathering reliable, comparable qualitative data (Bernard 1994:210). The content of the interview guide was reviewed by Yellowstone National Park cultural resource staff (Sucec and White), the project’s principal investigator, and the Institutional Review Board at the University of Montana. Interviews began with a grand tour question such as: “Would you please talk about the Buffalo Walk?” The grand tour question is designed to elicit a broad picture of the participant’s world and to map the cultural terrain. The consultant’s overview answer to the grand tour question also helped to focus and direct the investigation (Fetterman 1998:40-41).

The semistructured interviewing technique allows the researcher to focus the interview on a particular topic while giving the consultant room to define the content of the interview. This technique also seemed a culturally appropriate method to obtain information on American Indian beliefs, based on the importance of oral tradition in American Indian groups (Brown 1976:33-34, Jostad, et al. 1996:567). Horace Axtell (1997:52), a Nez Perce elder, explains about talking with tribal elders: “It took me a long time to be able to go and ask questions, because our older people very seldom make you ask questions. When they talk to you, they give you advice. When you talk to younger people, you give them advice and teach them what you want them to learn. It’s coming from the heart. That’s just the way it was.” In this way, consultants were free to define the content of the interview, aided by probing to encourage full explanations (Bernard 1994:215-218).
Data Analysis Methods

Due to time and funding constraints, the recorded interviews will be transcribed by Yellowstone National Park at a later date. As such, the researcher performed a preliminary analysis on the oral and documentary data collected in this study. In contrast to the focused and deductive analysis common in conventional inquiry, data analysis in this study is open-ended and inductive (Lincoln & Guba 1985:224). Because of the qualitative nature of the data, statistical analyses have no relevance, nor does hypothesis testing. According to Lincoln and Guba (1985:224-225), “…what is at issue is the best means to ‘make sense’ of the data in ways that will, first, facilitate the continued unfolding of the inquiry, and second, lead to a maximal understanding…of the phenomenon being studied in its context.

To make sense of the data, narrative analysis examining how consultants talked about the Buffalo Walk and Yellowstone buffalo was used. Quotations pertaining to Yellowstone buffalo and the Buffalo Walk were analyzed and themes were identified. Data from written and oral sources was compiled and searched for patterns illustrative of sense of place meanings. The findings of this analysis, detailed in the Data Analysis section will be presented to Yellowstone resource managers at a future date for use in the planning, management, and interpretation of Yellowstone buffalo.
III. BACKGROUND & HISTORY

“Once we were happy in our own country and we were seldom hungry, for then the two-legged and the four-legged lived together like relatives, and there was plenty for them and for us. But the Wasichus came, and they made little islands for us and other little islands for the four-leggeds, and always these islands becoming smaller…”

—Black Elk (Lakota holy man), in Neihardt, 1961

Yellowstone Buffalo

Yellowstone National Park was established on March 1, 1872 by an act of Congress as America’s first national park. Congress’s stated purpose in creating the park was to preserve the Yellowstone area’s wonders “in their natural condition…for the benefit and enjoyment of the people.” The Act also prohibits the “wanton destruction of fish and game found within said park” (U.S. Congress 1872). Yellowstone National Park’s overseeing agency, the National Park Service, was not created until after the turn of the century by President Woodrow Wilson when he signed the Organic Act on August 25, 1916. The Organic Act (16 U.S.C.1.) created the NPS...

...to promote and regulate the use of the...national parks...which purpose is to conserve the scenery and the natural and historic objects and the wild life therein and to provide for the enjoyment of the same in such manner and by such means as will leave them unimpaired for the enjoyment of future generations.

One type of wildlife conserved within Yellowstone National Park is its buffalo population. American buffalo (Bison bison) are a national symbol to the United States. The animal has long been seen as emblematic of the American West and western history because of its strength, power, and resilience. The buffalo is found in iconic forms on the seal of the U.S. Department of the Interior, the arrowhead logo of the National Park Service, and on the “buffalo nickels” minted in the early twentieth century. Throughout
history and into the present, buffalo have also carried great symbolic importance to many American Indian nations. This paper explores the significance to two American Indian linguistic communities.

Prior to the influence of Euro-American settlers, scholars estimate that approximately 28-60 million buffalo roamed throughout North America (Flores 1991:470; Danz 1997:22). Due to hide hunting, the construction of the Pacific railroads, and systematic destruction of buffalo herds to weaken the American Indian tribes that depended on the animals as their commissary, the decimation of the great herds was nearly complete by the late 1800s (Danz 1997:96-98, 112). In 1894, the Lacey Act was signed into law, prohibiting the transportation of animals from Yellowstone National Park and imposing a fine of up to $1,000 for its violation (Franke 2005:37). Unfortunately, the work of buffalo poachers and hunters was already done; by 1901, only 25 wild buffalo remained, all in Yellowstone National Park. Afraid that these animals would be too few to ensure survival of the herd, Yellowstone managers added 21 animals from captive herds in Montana (from the Pablo-Allard herd on the Flathead Indian Reservation) and Texas to bolster its numbers in 1902 (Brister 2002:4; NPS, et al. 2000; Whealdon 2000:69, 89). These animals were raised using livestock techniques until the 1920s, when efforts to keep the wild and domesticate populations separate ceased.2 Until the 1967, the combined Yellowstone herd was managed intensively through feeding, herd reduction and shipment of live animals to conservancies outside the park and to areas

2 This begs the question, then, if part of the Yellowstone buffalo herd was raised using livestock techniques for a number of years, how can the herd be considered the last continuously free-roaming herd in North America? This question will not be addressed here, because regardless of the years of intensive management, American Indian groups still see the Yellowstone herd as descendents of the millions that once roamed the continent. Thus, their cultural significance is unaffected by the years of management.
inside the park and to start new herds (NPS, et al. 2000). Figure 1 shows a park ranger caring for a small herd in the early 1920s.

Figure 1: Ranger Frazier tends a show herd of 15 buffalo at Mammoth Hot Springs, c. 1923. Photo by George A. Grant (Courtesy of NPS Historic Photograph Collection, HPC-000505)

Following the release of the Leopold Report in 1963, a new management philosophy was adopted in Yellowstone National Park. Commissioned by the Department of the Interior, the Leopold Report addressed in detail the lengths to which the NPS should go to control growing ungulate populations (i.e. buffalo, elk). The report concluded that

...the goal of managing the national parks and monuments should be to preserve, or where necessary to recreate, the ecologic scene as viewed by the first European visitors. As part of this scene, native species of wild animals should be present in maximum variety and reasonable abundance (Leopold, et al. 1963).

Contemporary critics of the Leopold Report point out that using European contact as the point of reference is a Eurocentric and arbitrary reference ecosystem that ignores the role that American Indians historically played in the Yellowstone ecosystem (Chase 1986:35,
Franke 2005:249). Nevertheless, the Leopold Report was extremely influential at the time in shaping National Park Service wildlife management practices. For example, under the management recommendations of the Leopold Report, buffalo population reductions were stopped in 1967 and herd sizes were permitted to increase naturally according to environmental limitation (NPS, et al. 2000).

**Brucellosis**

In 1917, the bacterial disease brucellosis was first discovered in the Yellowstone buffalo herd. *Brucella abortus* is the species of bacteria that infects both cattle and buffalo with the disease brucellosis. Cows infected with the bacteria typically abort their first calf. It is generally accepted that brucellosis was introduced to the Yellowstone buffalo herd from cattle, either through contact with infected cattle or from infected cows’ milk given to captive buffalo calves. In cattle, the most common means of infection is through ingestion of infected afterbirth materials. Little is known about brucellosis in buffalo and there is much debate about the risk of transmission from buffalo to cattle (NPS, et al. 2000). Because a portion of the Yellowstone buffalo herd routinely migrates outside park boundaries into Montana during the winter months in search of forage accessible at lower elevations, Montana cattle ranchers are concerned about the risk of disease transmission from buffalo mingling with livestock grazing on lands adjacent to the park because the infection of Montana cattle with brucellosis could effect the state’s “class-free” status that allows its cattle to be transported across state
lines without being tested for brucellosis (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Downgrading Montana’s class-free status could restrict Montana cattle ranchers’ access to out of state livestock markets, thus causing economic hardship for the state’s livestock industry. This is the essence of the current controversy over Yellowstone buffalo.

To address the concern about buffalo infected with brucellosis, the National Park Service began in 1968 to develop plans for managing buffalo migration beyond park boundaries. Before adopting the Final Interagency Bison Management Plan (IBMP) in 2000, four different interim bison management plans were in place to establish boundaries and lethal control measures to address buffalo migration outside of the park (NPS, et al. 2000). Due to an especially harsh winter in 1996-1997, Yellowstone buffalo were forced to leave the park in record numbers in search of forage. Acting in accordance with the interim management plan at the time, NPS managers hazed or lethally culled those buffalo that migrated over park boundaries into Montana, primarily
to the north and west of the park. Since that time lethal control measures have been taken over by Montana Department of Livestock agents. Carolyn Duckworth, a local resident who has since become a Yellowstone employee, was present in the park during the winter of 1996-1997. Duckworth (2005) recalled the sentiment of park employees:

> What happened for bison and elk and other ungulates was that the snow that had been thawing since October turned to concrete. It had melted, gotten full of water, and then it froze...And right then is when the exodus started...From a natural history, scientific point of view it was really amazing to see this happen. But from a human point of view it was just horrendous and heartbreaking. You knew they were going to die. You know, and not from starvation but at the bullets of the Park Service at that time...And Park Service employees were allowed to put a band over the buffalo [that is depicted on the NPS badge visible on park employees’ uniforms], a black piece of tape, and to wear a black armband...That was allowed. And again, I was not a Park Service employee at that time... I had friends in the Park Service and I knew it was a devastating time for them.

Between November 14, 1996 and April 15, 1997, 1,123 buffalo were actively removed from the park under the interim management plan. This number, combined with those that perished inside of the park during the 1996-1997 winter of starvation and other natural causes, reduced Yellowstone’s buffalo population by 1,500 animals (reducing the herd from approximately 3,500 to 2,000 animals) by early spring of 1997 (NPS, et al. 2000).

In the modified preferred alternative of the 2000 Final Interagency Bison Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, less lethal methods, including hazing buffalo back into the park, acquisition of additional grazing lands, vaccination of buffalo, and spatial and temporal separation of potentially infectious buffalo and susceptible cattle are emphasized as the best methods for protecting Montana cattle and the state’s brucellosis-free status while at the same time enabling the conservation of the
Yellowstone buffalo herd (NPS, et al. 2000). According to the National Park Service, an estimated 1,255 buffalo were captured at Stephens Creek Corral Facility during the winter of 2006-2007; 849 animals were shipped to slaughter with the meat distributed to food assistance programs. This distribution of meat, hides, and heads includes distribution to tribes who apply to participate in the program. The late winter buffalo population of 2007 was estimated at 3,500 buffalo (NPS 2007).

At the time of this writing, seven years after the implementation of the Final IBMP, the United States Government Accountability Office launched an investigation into issues related to the management of Yellowstone National Park buffalo and the efficacy of the IBMP, showing that the management of Yellowstone buffalo continues to be negotiated (Stark 2007).

**National Parks as Former American Indian Land**

When Yellowstone National Park was established in 1872, the park land was viewed by many Euro-Americans as vacant, “unspoiled,” and ripe for the taking by the federal government. In fact, the Yellowstone area, and the lands of other early national parks were not vacant. They had been occupied and used by native peoples for thousands of years (Keller and Turek 1998:20). As Black Elk (a Lakota holy man) (in Neihardt 1961:9) told John Neihardt in the 1930s:

> Once we were happy in our own country and we were seldom hungry, for then the two-leggeds and the four-leggeds lived together like relatives, and there was plenty for them and for us. But the Wasichus came, and they
have made little islands for us and other little islands for the four-leggeds, and always these islands are becoming smaller…

Keller and Turek (1998:xiii) estimate that of the 367 National Park Service units existing in 1992, American Indian tribes have relationships with at least 85 units. If one removes the Civil War sites, fossil beds, presidential homes, famous buildings, malls and parkways from the equation, this ratio becomes even higher.

Nabokov and Loendorf (2002:32), who completed an extensive overview of American Indian groups with traditional association to the park, identified 10 tribes (Crow, Kiowa, Blackfeet, Flathead, Pend d’Oreille, Kootenai, Sheep Eater\(^3\), Shoshone, Bannock, and Nez Perce) with different historical, territorial, and residential connection to Yellowstone. According to Rosemary Sucec, Yellowstone Cultural Anthropologist, subsequent to the Nabokov and Loendorf study, 16 other tribes—for a total of 26—have been identified as associated with Yellowstone National Park either through oral tradition, historical documents, or both (personal communication, June 14, 2007). Figure 4 shows approximate historic tribal territories in and around the Yellowstone Plateau circa 1850.

---

\(^3\) Sheep Eater is not a contemporary tribe. Sheep Eater descendants reside among the Eastern Shoshone Tribe and the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes.
Although small bands of American Indians historically traveled through and lived within the Yellowstone ecosystem primarily because of the abundances of game and forage, the area attracted indigenous groups for other reasons as well. The Yellowstone area served as a rich obsidian quarry for local and widespread trade use. Yellowstone’s thermal features were believed to have spiritual and healing powers by many native groups. The many mountain peaks in the Yellowstone area served as vision quest sites where individuals went to fast, pray, and seek visions from spiritual beings. The acquisition of the horse by Plains cultures and tribes west of the Rockies made it easier for those cultures to exploit the area on a seasonal basis. Others traveled to or through the area as they engaged in trading relationships with Euro-American traders (Spence 1999:43-48). Figure 5 shows the projected network of trails crisscrossing the Yellowstone area (Nabokov and Loendorf 2002:33).
In addition to meanings held by the 26 park-associated tribes, Euro-Americans also assign symbolic significance to Yellowstone National Park. Both Euro-Americans and American Indians have long considered national park lands as crucial to their political, cultural, and spiritual identity (Spence 1999:7). It is important to distinguish however, that there is a difference between the meanings held by the two groups.

McAvoy explains the difference in perception:

To most White Americans these special protected places symbolize not only natural pace and power, beauty and majesty, but also personal freedom, national pride, and opportunities to escape from civilization. But to many American Indians these protected places symbolize lost land, deception, continued oppression, and the death or near death of a culture (McAvoy 2002:390).

Because of this, it is important that Yellowstone managers and planners are cognizant of relationships, past and present, between American Indian tribes and park resources, and
that the managers actively seek the input of representatives from traditionally associated tribes.

**Seeking Tribal Input**

In recent years, due to legislation, the National Park Service, as well as other agencies of the federal government, have sought increased tribal input on federal projects involving cultural resources tied to American Indian tribes, called “ethnographic resources” by the National Park Service. At the same time that the American Indian movement was picking up speed in the 1960s and 1970s, tribal involvement in federal cultural resource management began in 1966 with the passing of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). NHPA, which established the National Register of Historic Places, State Historic Preservation Offices, and the certified local government program, also established historic preservation programs for tribes. NHPA also stated that properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an American Indian tribe may be determined eligible for inclusion on the National Register (United States Congress 1966 [16 U.S.C. 470a(d)]). National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations as passed in 1969 and as amended in 1978, require agencies to seek tribal input concerning planned actions. The aforementioned rights have since been expanded by the passing of the American Indian Religious Freedom Act (AIRFA) in 1978, the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) in 1990, and other

---

4 A discussion of how Yellowstone bison cannot be included on the National Register of Historic Places as a traditional cultural property follows in the Resource Categories section of the chapter entitled, “A Discussion of Management Options for the NPS.”
legislation. This succession of legislation and programming shows how American Indian participation in federal cultural resource management has increased in the twentieth century.

Today, Yellowstone National Park managers consult with tribal representatives on a government-to-government basis as called for in a 1994 executive order by President William J. Clinton (Clinton 1994). During the 1990s, in an effort to build the relationship between the park and tribes, Yellowstone National Park began a tradition of holding bi-annual tribal consultation meetings on resource issues of common interest to the park and tribes (Joss 2007). Branch Chief of Cultural Resources and Tribal Liaison Laura Joss conceived of and work with park management to establish a schedule of tribal consultation meetings in the fall and spring beginning in 1997. Joss also established the regular practice of NPS staff traveling to tribal locations to hold consultations.

According to the Executive Summary of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the IBMP released in 2000, “Bison are an essential component of Yellowstone National Park because they contribute to the biological, ecological, cultural, and aesthetic purposes of the park” (NPS, et al. 2000:i). Traditionally, the NPS buffalo concept has been focused around biological and ecological issues such as herd size, herd reduction methods, winter distribution, and disease management (Backus 2005; NPS, et al. 1996; NPS, et al. 2000). Some attention has been given to the symbolic importance of buffalo to the American public (i.e. the foresight of early park managers who recognized the national symbolic or aesthetic importance of buffalo and worked to foster the herd

---

5 Article (b) of Federal Regulations 59 FR 22951 states: “Each executive department and agency shall consult, to the greatest extent practicable and to the extent permitted by law, with tribal governments prior to taking actions that affect federally recognized tribal governments. All such consultations are to be open and candid so that all interested parties may evaluate for themselves the potential impact of relevant proposals.”
from 25 animals); however, until the late twentieth century, little attention was devoted to the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to American Indians.

As the director of the Applied Anthropology Program in the National Park Service’s Intermountain Region, Dave Ruppert (1994:13) wrote:

Since the turn of the century Euro-American perspectives have dominated judgments regarding the cultural meanings and values associated with National Parks. Today it is necessary to redefine these relationships as ‘partnerships’…aimed at joint tribal-federal management of historic, cultural, and natural resources in parks that are linked to the cultural identity of Indian communities.

Ruppert goes on to say that it is not enough to talk or write about creating partnerships, as the goal of the National Park Service should be to institutionalize these relationships (Ruppert 1994:13, emphasis added). Some parks have begun to incorporate partnerships between tribes and the NPS. Models from these exemplary parks are described below.

For instance, in 1968, when the National Park Service doubled its land holdings in Badlands National Park, the newly added southern unit (133,300 acres) fell within the boundaries of the tribal trust lands of the Pine Ridge Indian Reservation. The park and the Oglala Sioux Park and Recreation Authority (OSPRA) worked together to reach a co-management agreement stipulating that OSPRA would manage tribal hunting within the southern unit and that the NPS would work to incorporate traditional Oglala cultural elements into the game and habitat management plan (Ruppert 1994:12, Spence 1999:135).

In 1980, Congress set aside over 44 million acres in Alaska for parklands. Many of these newly established parks bore the same relationship to Alaska’s native Aleut, Inupiat, and Athabascan peoples as did the lands of Wyoming, Montana, and Idaho to American Indians there prior to the creation of Yellowstone National Park (Cook
An initiative to work with native organizations to develop cultural landscape maps has used culturally unique place names to help keep Alaskan parks connected with American Indian peoples. Another effort to foster positive relationships with Alaskan natives has come in the form of cross-training. Cross-training involves the NPS providing interested Alaskan natives with agency training in order to make them candidates for employment within the NPS. Both the NPS and native peoples benefit from cross-training (Cook 1991:25). Cross-training occurs at other parks as well. In 1991, several Navajo Indians held key positions at Navajo National Monument and Canyon de Chelly National Monument (Cook 1991:27).

The list of positive, growing relationships between the NPS and American Indian people is increasing. Current NPS employees face the challenge of redefining a relationship that has been shaped by more than a century of historical precedents. Yellowstone has the opportunity to serve as another positive example of how to incorporate partnerships with American Indian people into resource management, especially in how the park negotiates the balance between nature and culture in the management of the Yellowstone buffalo herd.

Related Studies

Prior to this study, the National Park Service commissioned a few studies about American Indian tribes associated with Yellowstone National Park and the cultural significance of buffalo to tribes. In 1997, Ravndal drafted “A General Description of the
Social and Cultural Environment Surrounding the Bison/Brucellosis Issue in the Greater Yellowstone Area” to inform park managers of the perspectives of people and organizations most likely to feel the social and cultural effects of decisions relating to bison/brucellosis management (Ravndal 1997). The Ravndal report remains in draft form.

In 2002, Nabokov and Loendorf published “American Indians and Yellowstone National Park: A Documentary Overview” in which the authors discuss the traditional associations of 10 tribes to the park. Two pages on “Indian Uses of Buffalo” are included in an appendix of the 367-page document. Other sections in the report describe “How Indians Saved the Yellowstone Buffalo” and the reactions of American Indians to the losses suffered by the Yellowstone herd in the winter of 1996-1997 (Nabokov and Loendorf 2002:92-99, 293-294).

In 2002, David R.M. White prepared “Mako Washte: An Ethnographic Overview and Oral History of the Badlands National Park” (White 2002). This extensive report contains information on the natural and social setting of the park with great attention to Lakota Sioux culture.

Most recently in 2005, “Bison, Elk, and Other Animals of Cultural Significance to the Indian Tribes Who Utilized the Grand Teton National Park and National Elk Refuge Area” was prepared for the NPS (Walker, Jr., et al. 2005). This draft report most closely approximates the purpose of the current study in its focus on cultural significance of animals to tribes. However, the Walker study is a documentary overview that focuses on ethnographic literature rather than American Indian oral history.
The current study seeks to continue the research that was started by earlier studies by documenting, in depth, the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to two American Indian groups associated with the park and documenting the 1999 Buffalo Walk. Data from both documentary sources and contemporary cultural consultants will provide information on the historical and contemporary cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo.
IV. THE LAKOTA SIOUX

“We told them [the commissioners sent by the president] that the supernatural powers, Taku Wakan, had given to the Lakotas the buffalo for food and clothing. We told them that where the buffalo ranged, that was our country. We told them that the country of the buffalo was the country of the Lakotas. We told them that the buffalo must have their country and the Lakotas must have the buffalo.”

—Red Cloud, in Walker, 1980

The following chapter on the Lakota Sioux provides background information on the Lakota Sioux people and the cultural significance of the buffalo to them. Tribal history, culture, spirituality, ceremonies, and contemporary beliefs are examined to provide context for the strong and persistent interest manifested in their participation in the 1999 Buffalo Walk and their continuing interest in how buffalo are managed at Yellowstone National Park.

The Significance of Buffalo to the Lakota Sioux

The examination of historic and contemporary literature demonstrates that the cultural significance of buffalo to the Lakota people is connected both to material aspects like subsistence hunting, as well as more symbolic aspects such as Lakota spirituality and worldview. The importance of buffalo to Lakota Sioux subsistence is supported by sources describing the Lakota people’s near complete dependence on buffalo as their primary food source. The importance of buffalo to Lakota worldview is evident in traditional Lakota narratives, such as the Race Track story, the Lakota creation story, and the story of White Buffalo Calf Woman. In these stories, the establishment of the relationship between the buffalo and the Lakota people is described in detail. The
buffalo, particularly in the form of the buffalo god *Tatanka*, plays a prominent role in the major ceremonies of the Lakota, underlining the symbolic significance of the animal to Lakota culture. These material and symbolic associations will be revisited in later chapters, with particular attention given to contemporary manifestations of these beliefs in the Lakotas’ involvement in the 1999 Buffalo Walk and interest in the Yellowstone buffalo herd.

**Historical Background**

The Lakota Sioux, are a Plains culture group identified by their common language, the Lakota dialect of Dakota (a Siouan language). In the late seventeenth century, the Lakota Sioux lived in what is today north-central Minnesota, and parts of Wisconsin (Pritzker 1998:472). There are three groups of Lakota Sioux: Oglala, Brule (Sicangu), and Saone. The Saone are further divided into the Minneconjou, Hunkpapa, Sans Arc, Blackfeet (Sihasapa), and Two Kettles (DeMallie 2001:794). Historically, the Lakota groups were friendly with one another and were free to hunt game throughout their common territory.

In approximately 1740, the Lakota Sioux acquired the horse. Decades later, the Lakotas moved west, drawn by the abundant buffalo herds beyond the Black Hills to the Powder and Yellowstone River country. By this time the number of buffalo near the Missouri River had dwindled, and pressure from Euro-American settlers on the east served as a further impetus for the Lakota people to move west. By 1830, nearly all of
the Lakota Sioux had migrated to the Plains where they developed an almost complete

In 1851, the United States signed the Treaty of Fort Laramie with the Sioux, and
other tribes. In this treaty the Lakotas agreed to allow the Euro-American settlers’
wagons to pass through their territory on roads established by the United States
Government in return for official recognition of their territory and annuities paid to the
tribes (United States Government 1851). One of these roads, the Bozeman Road, cut
through Powder River country, the last great buffalo hunting ground of the Sioux
(Pritzker 1998:473). The Oglala Lakota leader, Red Cloud, led a fight against the U.S.
Government that resulted in the signing of the 1868 Fort Laramie Treaty in which the
U.S. Government agreed to close the Bozeman Road and to not enter into Lakota Sioux
territory; the Sioux agreed to cease their raids and stay within the bounds of the Great
(Lakota holy man), remembers the year 1868:

It was a happy summer and nothing was afraid, because in the Moon
When the Ponies Shed (May) word came from the Wasichus that there
would be peace and that they would not use the road any more and that all
the soldiers would go away. The soldiers did go away and their towns
were torn down; and in the Moon of Falling Leaves (November), they
made a treaty with Red Cloud that said our country would be ours as long
as grass should grow and water flow. You can see that it is not the grass
and water that have forgotten (in Neihardt 1961:18).

In 1874, gold was discovered in the Black Hills, a sacred place to the Lakota
people and a part of their treaty lands. Euro-American miners and other non-Indians
rushed into the area in violation of the Lakota’s treaty rights. Young Lakota leaders like
Crazy Horse and Sitting Bull led the resistance effort against the invasion of their sacred
and legally held lands. The infamous 1876 battle in which Lakota Sioux and Cheyenne
Indians, led by Sitting Bull, defeated the U.S. Seventh Cavalry, led by General George Custer, at the Little Bighorn River was a part of this resistance effort (Pritzker 1998:474). In 1877, Red Cloud, Spotted Tail, and other Sioux leaders signed a treaty relinquishing the Black Hills and Powder River country to the United States Government. After the cessions of 1877, the Great Sioux Reservation included 35,000 square miles of land. Land speculators and railroad backers worked to further divide the reservation, and in 1888 the United States Government proposed to divide the Great Sioux Reservation into six smaller parcels, leaving more than 14,000 square miles of land open for non-Indian settlement. The following year, the required number of Indian signatures needed to approve the Agreement of 1889 was obtained by questionable means, and the Great Sioux Reservation was broken up forever (DeMallie 2001:815; Pritzker 1998:474).

On the reservation, men’s roles as warriors and hunters did not exist, leaving religion and politics as the two specifically male domains of life on the reservation (DeMallie 2001:812). Beginning in 1879, Lakota children were sent away from the reservations to boarding schools like the Carlisle Indian School in Pennsylvania and to the Hampton Institute in Virginia to be taught the ways of Euro-Americans by virtue of denying them access to traditional ways. This practice lasted until the 1950s. The destitution created by the American Indians’ dependence on inadequate and irregular rations supplied by the U.S. Government, the government’s efforts to undermine the structure of traditional leadership, and a general sentiment of powerlessness created an environment receptive to the Ghost Dance religion that emerged in the winter of 1888-1889 (Pritzker 1998:474-475).
The teachings of the Ghost Dance religion foretold that an Indian messiah would come to the earth to save American Indians from the wrongdoings of Euro-Americans. The teachings said that the performance of the Ghost Dance would hasten the coming of the messiah, which would be followed by Euro-Americans being buried beneath the surface of the earth. On the new surface would be plenty of buffalo, on which the Sioux would live forever (DeMallie 2001:815). Fearing that the new religion would encourage unity and militancy among the American Indians, U.S. Government officials banned the Ghost Dance and arrested Ghost Dance leaders. In 1890, during American officials’ attempt to arrest Sitting Bull at Standing Rock, the Sioux leader was killed. The Ghost Dancers panicked. In 1891, a band of Minneconjous led by Big Foot was stopped by the Seventh Cavalry at Wounded Knee Creek as they fled from Cheyenne River to Pine Ridge. As the Lakotas were disarmed, a shot was fired. The army reacted by firing into the crowd, killing between 260 and 300 Lakotas. Unfortunately, the Wounded Knee Massacre was not the last of the armed conflicts between the United States army and the Lakota people. Wounded Knee was reoccupied in 1973, when Lakotas on the Pine Ridge Indian Reservation clashed with Federal Bureau of Investigation officials (DeMallie 2001:815; Pritzker 1998:474-475).

In the late part of the nineteenth century, reservations were further fragmented by the allotment system as outlined in the General Allotment Act of 1887. The Lakotas were issued rations of government beef and told to begin raising cattle. Later U.S. agents advised the American Indians to sell their herds and their land allotments to non-Indians. Situations on the reservations worsened in the 1930s by which time many Lakotas were without their land allotments or herds (Pritzker 1998:476).
Today most Lakotas live on reservations in South Dakota, and in regional and national cities. Contemporary Lakota Sioux reservations in the United States include Standing Rock Reservation, Cheyenne River Reservation, Lower Brulé Reservation, Crow Creek Reservation, Pine Ridge Reservation and Trust Lands, and Rosebud Reservation and Trust Lands. The tribes associated with these reservations are among the 26 tribes traditionally associated with the park. These tribes are associated with the park by virtue of oral tradition or historical documentation, including treaties. Two reserves in Canada, the Standing Buffalo Reserve and the Wood Mountain Reserve (both in Saskatchewan), are also home to Lakota people (Pritzker 1998:480).

**Lakota Territory**

According to DeMallie (2001:794), in the mid-nineteenth century the territory of the Lakota Sioux was extensive. The territory of the Oglala ranged from the forks of the Platte River to the forks of the Cheyenne River. Walker (1982:72) extends this estimate to include territory from the Grand River in North Dakota to Smoky Hill River in Kansas and the South Platte River in Colorado, and from the Rocky Mountains east to the Minnesota River. The Brule territory included the upper portions of the Bad, White, and Niobrara rivers. Saone territory extended along the tributaries of the Missouri River from the Cheyenne River to the Heart River (DeMallie 2001:794) (Figure 6). Oglalas, Brules, and Saones were free to hunt game in the common Lakota territory.
Figure 6: Mid-nineteenth century Lakota Sioux territory, with the former Great Sioux Reservation in gray and modern reservations in dark gray (DeMallie 2001:794)

In his abdication speech “I Was Born a Lakota” on July 4, 1903, Lakota leader Red Cloud summed up the relationship between buffalo country and Lakota country:

We told them [the commissioners sent by the president] that the supernatural powers, Taku Wakan, had given to the Lakotas the buffalo for food and clothing. We told them that where the buffalo ranged, that was our country. We told them that the country of the buffalo was the country of the Lakotas. We told them that the buffalo must have their country and the Lakotas must have the buffalo. (in Walker 1980:138-139).

The traditional territory of the Oglalas contained the Black Hills, which is considered by the Lakota people to be a sacred place. Traditional Lakota stories identify the Black Hills as the center of the Lakota world, the birthplace of humankind, and as the site of the Race Track where the natural order of humans as hunters of buffalo was established. In the Race Track story, a race was held between the two-legged and four-
legged creatures of the world. Representatives from each group raced around the Race Track, a geological feature surrounding the Black Hills. As victors of the race, the two-leggeds (representing humankind) were given the right to hunt buffalo and other game to meet their needs (DeMallie 2001:794). Up until the reservation era, the Lakota depended on hunting for subsistence and buffalo was their primary game animal.

**Lakota Buffalo Hunts**

To the Lakota Sioux, the buffalo was the most important of the four-legged animals. Everything that the people needed was contained within the animal: meat for food, hides for clothing, shelter and canvases, skulls and other parts for ceremonial purposes, and the materials to make tools, cooking vessels and other implements (Figure 7). Like other Plains culture groups, the Lakota depended largely on the buffalo for subsistence (Pritzker 1998:472).

To fulfill their everyday needs and to provide for the coming winter, communal buffalo hunts were typically held in late summer when grazing was good and the buffalo were fat (Walker 1982:75). The Lakota used various methods to hunt buffalo, including fire surrounds, clubbing, cliff jumps, and bow and arrow (Pritzker 1998:478). The communal hunt was formally planned, beginning with a shaman seeking a vision to forecast the success of the hunt, followed by the tribal council sending out the scouts to find the herds, and the scouts’ return to report their findings in ritually prescribed language (DeMallie 2001:805; Neihardt 1961:55). If the shaman received a positive
vision, a buffalo skull was decorated and ceremonial offerings were made to Tatanka, the Buffalo God, for a successful hunt (Walker 1982:75-76, 91).

Figure 7: Traditional Uses of the Buffalo (adapted from Mails 1972:190-191)

The best hunters with the fastest horses were chosen to hunt for the needy, those who could not hunt themselves and had no one in their family to hunt for them. To receive this designation was a great honor (DeMallie 2001:805; Neihardt 1961:56). The hunt was conducted in an orderly fashion with the soldier band first, followed by the hunters, and with the rest of the people behind. If a white buffalo was present in the herd, all of the hunters made an effort to take it, for white buffalo were considered sacred. The robe of a white buffalo was highly prized, an offering fit for supernatural beings or a gift
of great value among men. After the chase was over, the men identified their kills by personalized marks on their arrows. From the fallen animals, one was chosen as an offering to *Taku Wakan* (the spirits), particularly to *Tatanka*. The hide was removed from this animal, otherwise it was left in its entirety for *Tatanka*. If a white buffalo was among those killed, it was always chosen for this offering (DeMallie 2001:805; Walker 1982:93).

After the hunt, the meat was dressed immediately. Women and the elderly arrived to help load the meat onto horses and fastened it there using fresh buffalo hide (Neihardt 1961:57-59). Meanwhile, women back at the camp fashioned forked sticks and poles into drying racks for the meat. Some of the meat was dried, some roasted on a spit, some boiled with stones in a buffalo stomach (Figure 8), and some pounded with fat and berries to make pemmican (DeMallie 2001:805; Pritzker 1998:478).

![Figure 8: High Bear (Lakota) demonstrates cooking in a buffalo paunch. The paunch is suspended by four sticks and partly filled with water. Stones heated in the fire are dropped into the paunch to make the water boil (photo copyright 1911) (Anderson 1971:229)](image-url)
If the hunt took place between October and February when the buffalo’s hair is the thickest, the hides were tanned with the hair left on and used for robes. During the spring and summer months, the hair was removed from the hides of buffalo for use as rawhide or tanned for use as buckskin. Buffalo horns, hair from the tail of the buffalo, and pendants made from buffalo skin and hair carried symbolic meaning and could be worn ceremonially only by holy men and those entitled by their great deeds (Walker 1982:103). During the nineteenth century, clothing made from buffalo hides was slowly replaced by cloth clothing, and wool blankets were substituted for buffalo robes (DeMallie 2001:803, 810).

In addition to their use in making clothing, buffalo hides were also used in the construction of teepees. The Sioux teepee used a three pole foundation and required a total of 19 to 21 poles for its construction. A cover made from 12 to 18 buffalo bull hides was placed over this frame. Buffalo robes carpeted the teepee floor and served as beds and blankets. Each teepee housed one Lakota extended family. The teepee was a mobile shelter constructed and deconstructed by the women as the tribe moved between camps (DeMallie 2001:810; Pritzker 1998:478).

**Lakota Spirituality**

The Lakota view the universe and its forces as fundamentally incomprehensible, or *wakan*. The *wakan* forces pervade all of life, from the every day to the sacred. The totality of this incomprehensible power is represented by the spirit beings, *Wakan Tanka*.
*Wakan Tanka* exists at the same time as one Great Incomprehensibility and as individuals (including sun, moon, wind, Thunder-beings, earth, rock, White Buffalo Woman, Tatanka, and others) with human-like attributes (DeMallie 1984:81; 2001:806). Knowledge of these *wakan* beings is held by Lakota holy men. DeMallie (1984:80n) writes that the concepts of *wakan* and *Wakan Tanka* are characteristic of late nineteenth-century Lakota beliefs, but are also prevalent in the contemporary Lakota belief system.

Stories exist to explain the relationship between the people and *wakan* beings. The Lakota creation story details the relationship between the Lakotas and *Tatanka*, the Buffalo God, and demonstrates that the Lakota and the buffalo have been inseparable since the beginning of the Lakota peoples’ time on earth. Long ago the ancestors of the Lakota, the Pte, lived beneath the earth. The trickster character, called Iktomi, sent a wolf beneath the earth with a package containing choice meats and skins to lure the people to the surface. A young man called Tokahe, who aspired to leading the Pte people, went along with a few other men and the wolf to explore the earth’s surface. The party reported back to the Pte of the paradise on the surface. Tatanka, the holy man of the Pte, warned the Pte that what the men had seen on the surface was a falsity, the work of a wizard (Dooling 2000:120-121; Walker 1919:182).

In spite of Tatanka’s warnings, many of the Pte followed Tokahe to the surface. When they arrived, they found that Iktomi’s promises of plenty were empty. They faced hardship and starvation and were unable to turn back to their cave beneath the earth. The Pte holy man then sought a vision. In his vision Tatanka was shown that he must help the Pte by becoming a buffalo and giving of himself for the welfare of the people. The Lakota people believe that the Spirit of the Earth, which is the same as the Spirit of the
Buffalo (*Tatanka*), gave the buffalo to them. Since that time when Tatanka sacrificed himself for their ancestors by becoming a buffalo, the destiny of the Lakota people has been intertwined with that of the buffalo. Calling themselves the Buffalo People, the Lakota see buffalo as their kin. Robert Chasing Hawk (Lakota) (Yellowstone National Park 1998a), articulates this belief in relation to the Yellowstone buffalo, “…we are the buffalo people, the Lakota People…when a year or two years ago they killed these buffalo over here [Yellowstone National Park] they are killing our brothers and sisters, grandpas and grandmas…”

Another sacred story that is central to the worldview of the Lakota people is that of White Buffalo Calf Woman. This story takes place during a second time of hunger and hardship for the ancestors of the Lakota people when two young men saw a beautiful woman while they were out hunting. The first young man recognized the woman as a holy being, and did not move. The second young man approached the woman with lust, whereupon he was dissolved into a skeleton at the woman’s feet. The woman told the remaining young man to go to his village and to prepare a lodge and an altar for her. He and his people did as she asked, then awaited her arrival (Dooling 2000:135-136; Ostler 1999:479-480; Walker 1980:149). When the woman arrived at the village she told the people that she was sent to help them. The woman told them to send out their scouts and to prepare for a hunt. The scouts quickly spotted a large buffalo herd and the people were able to kill all that they needed. The woman also presented a sacred pipe to the people, and told them that it was a present from the Pte beneath the earth (Dooling 2000:136; Ostler 1999:479). According to Black Elk (Lakota holy man), the woman said to the people:
With this sacred pipe you will walk upon the Earth; for the Earth is your Grandmother and Mother, and She is sacred. Every step that is taken upon Her should be as a prayer. The bowl of the pipe is of red stone; it is the Earth. Carved in the stone and facing the center is this buffalo calf who represents all the four-leggeds who live upon your Mother. The stem of this pipe is of wood, and this represents all that grows upon the Earth. And these twelve feathers which hang here where the stem fits into the bowl are from Wanbli Galeshka, the Spotted Eagle, and they represent the eagle and all the wingeds of the air. All these peoples, and all the things of the universe, are joined to you who smoke the pipe—all send their voices to Wakan-Tanka, the Great Spirit. When you pray with this pipe, you pray for and with everything (in Brown 1953:6).

The pipe established the kinship between the buffalo and the Lakota people, and when it was smoked and the smoke offered to the wakan beings, the people invoked their relationship to the spirit beings (DeMallie 1984:81-82).

The sacred woman taught the Lakotas’ ancestors how to use the pipe to pray and how to perform the seven major ceremonies of the Lakota religion: The Keeping of the Soul, the Rite of Purification (Inipi), Crying for a Vision (Hanblecheyapi), the Sun Dance (Wiwanyag Wachipi), the Making of Relatives (Hunkapi), Preparing a Girl for Womanhood (Ishna Ta Awi Cha Lowan), and the Throwing of the Ball (Tapa Wanka Yap) (Brown 1953:vii). As a tangible symbol of the relationship between the Lakotas and Wakan Tanka, the sacred pipe is used in all ceremonies.

When it was time for the woman to leave, the people watched as she walked away. As she crested a hill in front of them, the woman suddenly disappeared. In her place there was a white buffalo calf running over the hill. For this reason, she is known as the White Buffalo Calf Woman, and the pipe she brought as the Buffalo Calf Pipe.

To this day the Lakota people use the sacred Buffalo Calf Pipe in the way that the White Buffalo Calf Woman taught them and see it as a symbol of unity among all Sioux people. The Buffalo Calf Pipe is cared for generation after generation by a keeper in the
Sans Arc, and is removed from its pouch only on the most important occasions (DeMallie 2001:799-801).

**Lakota Ceremonies**

To the Lakota, the buffalo is a sacred being to which tribal members attach tremendous cultural significance. Yellowstone’s buffalo are direct descendents of the wild buffalo with which the Lakota have established a long history of symbolic meaning, hunting, and ceremony. Therefore, they too are considered sacred to the Lakota people. The White Buffalo Calf Woman taught the Lakota people the seven major ceremonies of Lakota religion. Buffalo are a recurring theme in each of these ceremonies. Some ceremonies require the use of buffalo parts for food, clothing or symbolic purpose, while others emphasize particular traits exemplified by the buffalo that are desirable in humankind; still others establish and emphasize the relationship between the buffalo and humankind.

In the Keeping of the Soul (or Spirit-Keeping) ceremony, the soul of a deceased relative is kept and purified before it is set free to return to *Wakan Tanka*. The ceremony is often performed for the souls of children. This rite is performed so that the soul does not need to wander the earth as the souls of bad people do, but instead could be purified so that it could return to *Wakan Tanka*. In this ceremony, a lock of the deceased’s hair is bundled in sacred buckskin and ritually fed and cared for until its release. The Keeping of the Soul and the complementary Releasing of the Soul ceremony requires the sacred
pipe for its purifying smoke, as well as the meat and hide of a buffalo cow to make pemmican (wasna) and to cover the sacred bundle, respectively. After caring for the bundle for a year, it is unwrapped and the soul released. Then a great giveaway is held in honor of the deceased (Brown 1953:10-30; DeMallie 2001:807-808).

In the Rite of Purification (Sweat Lodge, or Inipi) ceremony, participants cleanse their bodies and spirits in preparation for other rituals. The sweat lodge is built by making a dome-shaped frame of bent willows covered with buffalo hides (Figure 9). The twelve or sixteen young willows were set up to mark the four corners of the universe. A round fireplace altar was constructed in the center of the lodge. Nearby, a fire pit was dug for heating the rocks that would be transported into the lodge. Inside the sweat lodge cool water is poured over the hot rocks to create steam. This rite is performed to purify the participants so that they are able to communicate with Wakan Tanka, and as a prelude and conclusion to other rituals (Brown 1953:31-43; DeMallie 2001:807).

![Figure 9: Sweat lodge, probably on the Rosebud Reservation, 1898. Photo by Jesse Hastings Bratley. Crane Collection, Denver Museum of Natural History (Walker 1980:194-195)](image)
The Crying for a Vision (Vision Seeking, or Hanblecheyapi) ceremony is performed by young men after reaching puberty. The ceremony serves as a rite of passage. According to Black Elk, in the “old days” both men and women performed the ceremony on a regular basis (in Brown 1953:44). The vision seeker first takes a sweat bath, and then goes to a mountaintop to fast for several days, wearing only a breechcloth and buffalo robe. The individual takes no food or drink while on the mountain and cries to Wakan Tanka for a vision that may come in human or animal form. When he returns to camp, the vision seeker takes another sweat bath and consults with a holy man to interpret his vision. In cases where the individual had a vision of a particular animal, a member of the tribe who had had a vision of the same animal is also consulted (Brown 1953:44-66; DeMallie 2001:807). In this way, informal societies of buffalo, elk, and other animal visionaries are created (DeMallie 2001:808). Buffalo Dreamers, those that received visions of buffalo, were considered destined to be great hunters and providers (Walker 1980:281).

The Sun Dance ceremony (Wiwanyag Wachipi) is the most important sacred ceremony of the Lakota Sioux. During the summer, when the buffalo are fat, different bands of the Lakota people come together as an expression of tribal unity and to pray for the increase of the Lakota people and of the buffalo (DeMallie 2001:807; Walker 1919:61). Traditionally twelve days long, the Sun Dance has four parts: preparation by the dancers and the people, gathering at the place for the dance, setting up the camp and performing ceremonies before the dance, and the Sun Dance.

Preparations for the Sun Dance include the selection of a cottonwood tree with a forked top. After felling the tree, the lower branches are removed and the trunk is painted
red from the fork down (Walker 1982:97). Chokecherry bushes and two effigies where tied to top of the pole. One of the effigies, a man with an eagle feather is painted completely red. The other, of a buffalo is painted entirely black. The base of the wakan tree is then put into a shallow hole, filled with earth, and tamped so that the pole will stand erect (Walker 1980:177-179).

The people arriving at the Sun Dance site erect the Sun Dance lodge and their teepees. The Sun Dance lodge is located in the middle of the camp. A bull buffalo head and pipe are placed inside (Walker 1980:176-177). A sacred buffalo calf skin is hung over the entrance to the Sun Dance lodge to propitiate the Buffalo God, Tatanka, who is present throughout the camp during the Sun Dance (Walker 1980:186).

The Buffalo Dance is one of the ceremonies performed before the actual Sun Dance, by those who pledge to take part in the Sun Dance. In the Buffalo Dance participants dance a step that imitates the pawing of the buffalo, while they gaze uninterrupted at an ornamented buffalo head (Walker 1919:115). By dancing the Buffalo Dance, the Sun Dancers take in the spirit of the buffalo, its strength and bravery, giving them the ability to endure the Sun Dance (Walker 1919:115; Rice 1991:132). Those who dance all four parts of the Buffalo Dance become buffalo men.

The Sun Dancers gather in the Sun Dance lodge. A Sun Dance leader emerges first, holding a buffalo head. He feigns four times to lay it down before doing so (Walker 1980:179). The Sun Dancers proceed to the site of the dance, wearing buffalo robes on their bodies, twisted sage around their heads, eagle feathers in their hair, and tufts of buffalo hair tied about their wrists and ankles. Their bodies are painted red (the color associated with the buffalo), with a circle on the stomach representing the sun (Rice
the dancers, one carries a filled pipe and the other carries a buffalo head ornamented with
colors and having wisps of sage stuffed in its nostrils to banish all evil from their march
(Walker 1980:188).

At the site of the Sun Dance, the dancers are fitted with buffalo braided ropes
attached to the skin of their chest or upper back using sticks pierced through the skin. At
the other end, the ropes are attached either to the Sun Dance pole or to buffalo skulls. In
either form, the Sun Dancers dance until the sticks tear free from their backs. They may
take no food or water during the Sun Dance (Walker 1982:98). The prayer and suffering
of the Sun Dance are performed to acknowledge and propitiate Wakan Tanka, and to be
like the buffalo in his flesh offering.

The Making of Relatives (Hunka, or Hunkapi) ceremony is a ceremony of
adoption in which two people become ritually related to one another as hunka. A hunka
may be a Lakota, another American Indian, or even a Euro-American (Walker 1980:199).
A buffalo skull is placed on one side of the altar in the hunka ceremony (Figure 10). In
the ceremony, the Spirit of the Buffalo comes to the skull. This spirit is addressed as
Hunka of Tatanka (Walker 1919:130). The buffalo’s trait of loyalty to family is
emphasized in this ceremony; once two people are made kin in this way, it is as if they
were related by blood. As with blood relatives, hunka were forbidden to marry each
other, and were expected to give preference to their hunka over other relationships
(Walker 1980:198, 217-218). A red stripe painted down the right side of the face is the
symbol of hunka. The buffalo skull used in the ceremony is also given this mark
(DeMallie 2001:807; Walker 1980:235). Buffalo meat, called hunka meat in this
ceremony, is also used in the ceremony. Equal parts of fat and lean meat were available, enough so that each guest could have a piece. A portion was also offered to Tatanka, addressed through the ceremonial buffalo skull, for it was he that had provided it (Walker 1980:224-228).

Figure 10: Hunka ceremony at Pine Ridge in 1907. Buffalo skull and altar in foreground. Photographs by Edward S. Curtis (Walker 1980:194-195)

The Preparing a Girl for Womanhood (Buffalo Ceremony, Buffalo Sing, or Ishna Ta Awi Cha Lowan) ceremony is the girls’ puberty ceremony, performed after her first menstruation. A girl’s first menstruation makes her wakan because she is now capable of motherhood (Walker 1980:242). The ceremony emphasizes the buffalo cow’s traits of fecundity and nurturance and teaches the young woman for which it is performed the virtues of womanhood (DeMallie 2001:807; Rice 1991:134). Unlike most other ceremonies, the skull of a cow buffalo with horns is used in the Buffalo Ceremony. The holy man conducting the ceremony wears a buffalo horn headdress and paints his body red (Walker 1980:246). The holy man lights the sacred pipe, blows smoke into the eyes and nostrils of the buffalo skull, and paints a red stripe down the right side of skull to show that the ceremony belonged to the buffalo and that the girl for whom it was
performed will thereby become a buffalo woman. In the ceremony the holy man acts as a buffalo bull looking for a mate, while the girl’s mother shows her how to drive him away. The girl is taught not to be intimate with a man before she is his wife. At the conclusion of the ceremony, the girl’s hair is parted and braided for the first time in the way that women do. The holy man paints a red stripe down the right side of her face and paints the part in her hair red. She is now a buffalo woman (Walker 1980:247-252).

The last of the seven major ceremonies of the Lakota Sioux is the Throwing of the Ball ceremony (Tapa Wanka Yap). This ceremony originated as a puberty ceremony in which a young girl throws a ball to the four directions, with those catching the ball receiving blessings (DeMallie 2001:808). According to Black Elk (in Brown 1953:127-138), the ceremony includes the use of a buffalo skull on which the red stripe is painted to show that the buffalo is hunka. In the original ceremony, Black Elk tells that the young girl changed from a little girl to a buffalo calf, to a white yearling buffalo, to a young buffalo, to a full grown buffalo, thus showing the four ages in buffalo, before changing back to a little girl again to throw the ball. Because the four-legged creatures were not able to play this ball game, they gave it to the two-leggeds.

Keeping Traditions Alive

American Indians were a part of the ethnic pride movements of the 1960s including the Red Power movement and the American Indian Movement (AIM) of the 1970s. Around the 1970s, the general attitude that young Lakota Sioux had toward
traditional religion began to change. Young Lakotas at that time began to reincorporate long hair, piercing, sweat baths, Sun Dancing, and other components of traditional culture into their Indian identity. During this time, the sacred Buffalo Calf Pipe also resumed its central role in Lakota ritual life (Christafferson 2001:831).

Buffalo remain important both materially and symbolically to the Lakota Sioux. With the revival of the Sun Dance, buffalo are needed to supply meat, rattles, hides for drum heads, and bleached skulls to serve as altars in the ceremony (Franke 2005:238). Lakota people also have interests in the benefits of a traditional diet centered around buffalo in combating health problems on modern reservations. Many tribes across the country are bringing buffalo back to American Indians by starting herds on tribal lands. Under the organization of the Intertribal Bison Cooperative (ITBC), tribes unite with a common mission “to restore bison to Indian Nations in a manner that is compatible with their spiritual and cultural beliefs and practices.” The organization has 57 member tribes and a collective herd of over 15,000 buffalo (ITBC 2007). Four out of six federally recognized Lakota Sioux tribes are ITBC members.

Tribal buffalo herds as well as those animals belonging to the Yellowstone herd continue to be of great symbolic significance to the Lakota Sioux today. In a series of government-to-government consultations held between Yellowstone National Park officials and affiliated Sioux tribes in 2002, the topic of greatest interest to tribes present at the meeting was management of the Yellowstone buffalo herd. In these meetings, tribes identified the critical role that Yellowstone can play in keeping Lakota traditions alive by serving as a classroom to teach Lakota youth about species such as buffalo that are central to Lakota traditional culture (Sucec 2002:7).
V. THE NEZ PERCE

“This is a great day for the Indian people... I pray that we will be able to connect with our past.
I pray for our future, that this will be taken in a good way and not a negative way.
I hope people can learn by having our children at the forefront of something historic.
This is a day that can never be taken away from them, something they'll take when they meet their maker
and will be able to report to their ancestors and make them proud.”
--Justin Gould (Nez Perce) on the Nez Perce youth buffalo hunt, Associated Press, 2006

The following chapter on the Nez Perce is meant to provide background information on the Nez Perce people and the cultural significance of the buffalo to them. Tribal history, culture, spirituality and contemporary beliefs will be examined to provide context on the beliefs of the Nez Perce people that have led to their interest in how the buffalo are managed at Yellowstone National Park and their involvement in the 1999 Buffalo Walk.

The Significance of Buffalo to the Nez Perce

The search of historic and contemporary literature shows that the significance of buffalo to the Nez Perce people is connected buffalo as tutelary spirits and to tribal subsistence practices, specifically the annual buffalo hunting trips taken by the Nez Perce to the Plains. The importance of buffalo to Nez Perce subsistence is supported by sources describing the annual tribal buffalo hunts, buffalo as tutelary spirits, traditional narratives describing Coyote’s trips to Buffalo Country, and the occurrence of contemporary Nez Perce tribal buffalo hunts in the winters of 2006 and 2007. Theses associations will be discussed in later sections, with particular attention given to contemporary manifestations of these beliefs in the Nez Perce peoples’ involvement in the 1999 Buffalo Walk, their
assertion of buffalo hunting rights outside of Yellowstone, and their continued interest in the management of the Yellowstone buffalo herd.

**Historical Background**

The Nez Perce, or *Nimiipu*, as the people identify themselves, are a culture group of the Columbian Plateau who speak the Nez Perce language (a member of the Sahaptian language family) (Pritzker 1998:376). *Nimiipu*, in Nez Perce means “we the people” (Axtell and Aragon 1997:4). The Nez Perce are divided into the Upper and Lower Nez Perce based on dialectical differences. The Upper (Upper River) Nez Perce are associated with the Kamiah people and a lifeway more like that of Plains tribes, while the Lower Nez Perce are associated with a lifeway centered on fishing and root gathering typical of other Plateau tribes (Walker 1998:420; Axtell & Aragon 1997:8).

Historically and prehistorically, Nez Perce territory centered on the middle Snake and Clearwater Rivers and the northern portion of the Salmon River basin in what is now central Idaho and adjacent Oregon and Washington (Figure 11) (Walker 1998:420). Before the acquisition of the horse, Nez Perce subsistence depended primarily on fish (i.e. salmon) and roots (i.e. camas). For this reason, the Nez Perce lived in small permanent to semi-permanent fishing villages and made seasonal rounds to resource areas. The size of these villages ranged from 10 to 75 people, most often with two extended family groupings in each village (Walker 1985:11-13).
In the latter half of the nineteenth century the most significant political interactions between the Nez Perce and the United States government were the treaties of 1855, 1863, and 1868, the Nez Perce flight of 1877, and the establishment of the reservation with headquarters at Lapwai, Idaho. The boundaries of the Nez Perce Reservation as established in the 1855 treaty minimally reduced the group’s traditionally held lands (Walker 1985:45). In addition to establishing reservation boundaries, the treaty, negotiated by territorial governor Isaac I. Stevens and signed at the councilground near the mouth of the Judith River, also granted the Nez Perce hunting and fishing rights in their traditional territory. Article three of the treaty outlines these rights as:

…The exclusive right of taking fish in all the streams where running through or bordering said reservation is further secured to said Indians; as also the right of taking fish at all usual and accustomed places in common
with citizens of the Territory; and of erecting temporary buildings for curing, together with the privilege of hunting, gathering roots and berries, and pasturing their horses and cattle upon open and unclaimed land (United States Government 1855b).

The importance of these momentous occasions and the modern hunt will be discussed below. In the same year as the Judith Basin Treaty, the Nez Perce Tribe was a signatory on the Treaty with the Blackfeet, 1855. Under this treaty, also negotiated by Isaac I. Stevens and A. Cumming, the Nez Perce along with the Blackfoot Nation and Flathead Nation (present day Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes) were entitled to hunting, fishing, gathering and grazing rights for ninety-nine years on a common hunting ground, the lands of which are located in what is now southwestern Montana (United States Government 1855a). Figure 12 is a historic map showing the Blackfoot Territory and the common hunting ground as outlined in the 1855 Treaty with the Blackfeet.

---

6 These treaty rights have been evoked by the Nez Perce in modern history in the winters of 2006 and 2007 in the area north of Yellowstone National Park.
In 1863, the Nez Perce Reservation was reduced substantially (from 7.5 million acres of land in Idaho and Oregon to 770,000 acres) by a treaty with the United States Government signed in Lapwai, Washington Territory (Figure 13) (NASA 2006; United States Government 1863). The treaty of 1863 exacerbated long-standing political and religious divisions within the Nez Perce people. The newly designated reservation lands included only the lands of the Christianized Nez Perce, and excluded the lands of non-Christian Nez Perce (Greene 2000). Because of this exclusion, this cession of lands was not recognized by Nez Perce leader Joseph and his band as well as other Nez Perce bands, collectively known as the non-treaty Nez Perce. Non-treaty Nez Perce were
required to relocate within the boundaries of the new reservation, or be taken there by military force. The non-treaty Nez Perce were forced to relocate to the reservation in little time, and struggled unsuccessfully to cross the Snake River at floodtide with their livestock and possessions (NPS Division of Publications 1983:121-122).
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**Figure 13: Reduction of Nez Perce territory by the treaties of 1855 and 1863 (Walker 1985:47)**

As non-treaty Nez Perce leaders Joseph, White Bird, Looking Glass, and others prepared to move their people to the reservation, several altercations between the non-treaty bands and Euro-American settlers spurred the gunfire of the U.S. Army led by General Howard. The U.S. troops began to pursue the Nez Perce “hostiles,” whose leaders had hoped for a peaceful settlement with the troops (NPS Division of Publications 1983:125-131). This initiated the three-month-long flight in which approximately 800 Nez Perce with 2,000 horses traveled over one thousand miles evading and occasionally
battling the U.S. forces in their effort to maintain their land and lifeways (Walker 1998:435). This journey took the Nez Perce east across the Bitterroot Mountains and back, south to Big Hole, through the Bannock Pass, east and then northeast through Yellowstone National Park in Wyoming, and then into Montana. Just 40 miles from the safety of the Canadian border, on October 5, 1877, Joseph surrendered at Bear Paw Mountain after the death of Looking Glass to save what was left of his people (Franke 2005a:3; Green 2000; Walker 1998:435).

Today the Nez Perce National Historical Park exists to commemorate the 1877 War and the Nez Perce flight in Idaho, Montana, Oregon and Washington. Horace Axtell, a Nez Perce elder and leader of the Seven Drum Religion, emphasizes that contrary to the way that the history books portray the Nez Perce, his people are not “a bunch of people wanting to war all the time” (Axtell and Aragon 1997:19-20). Rather, the War of 1877 was an exercise in self-preservation by the Nez Perce people, who were resisting the ways of the Euro-Americans being forced upon them. At a memorial ceremony for the War of 1877 held at Yellowstone National Park in 2005, Axtell (in Franke 2005a:4) delivered a hopeful message to those gathered at the park:

We all understand what happened here with our people. We know the reason why they were here and why they lost their lives—it was for us now. A lot of cultural and spiritual things have disappeared but we are bringing it back, trying to make ourselves as powerful as our people once were. As the years go by we get stronger.
Horse Culture

There is some evidence of pre-horse buffalo hunting trips east of the Bitterroot Mountains via the Nez Perce Trail, but it was not until after the acquisition of the horse sometime after 1700 that these trips became annual (Chalfant 1973:96; Walker 1998:420). The Nez Perce obtained the horse from the south (possibly from New Mexico) in approximately 1710 (Haines 1960:9). The Nez Perce were the most well-known horsemen of the Columbian Plateau. They practiced selective breeding, producing horses with outstanding strength and endurance (Walker 1998:427). By the time that Lewis and Clark arrived in 1805, the Nez Perce were using the horse for the transportation of people and equipment over short distances between camps as well as for extended trips east of the Bitterroots to hunt buffalo. East of the Bitterroots, the Nez Perce faced off with the Blackfeet who drove them back using guns acquired from Euro-Americans (Haines 1960:9-10).

In 1805, the Nez Perce procured guns through trade with the Mandans. Shortly after that, the Nez Perce began trading horses for guns and ammunition at the Northwest Fur Company at Spokane House and at Fort Nez Perce at the mouth of the Walla Walla River. With horses and guns in possession, the Nez Perce were able to travel to the Plains to hunt buffalo and to trade and to defend themselves while there (Haines 1960:9-10). With this new security, the Nez Perce began to cross the mountains annually to hunt buffalo and to stay there for multiple years.

Varying exposures to the horse and Plains culture among bands of the Nez Perce after 1700 led to the amplification of differences among the bands (Walker 1985:14). The Upper Nez Perce readily adopted the Plains lifeway, using horses and traveling to the
Plains to hunt buffalo, while the Lower Nez Perce maintained the traditional, local subsistence pattern dependent on fish and roots. By the time of contact with Europeans, roughly one hundred years after the introduction of the horse to the area, non-horse bands of Nez Perce were already known by the derogatory name *enéynu ti-tó-qan*, meaning “provincials.” The bands that had adopted the Plains lifeway and traveled regularly to buffalo country were known as the *k’usáynu ti-tó-qan*, meaning “sophisticated people” (Walker 1985:14). According to Walker (1985:14), “Among the horse bands there were many derogatory stories regarding the contrastive behavior of the provincial bands, such as their eating dogs and preferring huckleberries rolled in salmon fat to buffalo flanks.”

**Nez Perce Buffalo Hunts**

Throughout the eighteenth century, from the acquisition of the horse until the decimation of the great buffalo herds late in the 1800s, buffalo hunting on the Plains east of the Bitterroots was an important part of Nez Perce subsistence. Before the annual trips east to hunt buffalo, the Nez Perce diet had been comprised primarily of fish and roots (Chalfant 1973:41). On buffalo hunting trips in the Plains, hunting bands lived almost entirely on meat for months at a time. Even the Nez Perce that stayed behind in the villages ate more meat than before because hunters could bring back horses loaded with dried buffalo meat (Haines 1960:9-10). In the villages, underground caches were used to store dried food (i.e. meat, berries, fruits, roots) for the winter. It was not until buffalo
hunters returned from the Montana plains in late fall that these stores were opened (Chalfant 1973:80).

For the Nez Perce, there were two seasons for buffalo hunting: in spring after the thaw and in early fall after the camas harvest (Chalfant 1973:97). In the late summer, the Nez Perce took part in an intertribal gathering to harvest camas roots. Following the root harvest, the Nez Perce traveled in an intertribal hunting party, along with the Flathead, Bannock, and Shoshone, to hunt buffalo on the Plains (Spence 1999:46). The main travel routes from Nez Perce country to the Plains were the Nez Perce Trail (used pre-horse acquisition and post-horse) or the Lolo Trail (became more important post-horse) (Chalfant 1973:93-95). According to Chalfant (1973:95), the Nez Perce also had…

…a southern route to the plains south of Yellowstone National Park, an area they, and other tribes—Crow, Bannock, Shoshoni—made use of occasionally for buffalo hunting. The trail either crossed the Salmon River at “Salmon River Crossing”, south of Dixie, Idaho, or at Whitebird, thence up the Little Salmon River to Council, Idaho. Here groups would rendezvous in spring, then journey to Wyoming. They would return the same year, in the late fall. On the Montana trips, however, the buffalo hunting parties often stayed east of the Bitterroot Mountains for the winter.

Upon arriving in the Plains, the Nez Perce joined the Flathead and other western tribes for buffalo hunting (Chalfant 1973:81-82). The Nez Perce shared access to resources with friendly tribes there and often spent several seasons living with the Flathead in Montana. Many Nez Perce hunters lived on the Plains for the majority of the year, spending only winters in their own country (Chalfant 1973:76-82).

On October 8, 1853, Isaac I. Stevens and his surveying party met with a band of Nez Perce in the Bitterroot Valley of Montana on their way to hunt buffalo. There were approximately fifty Nez Perce in the party, including men, women and children. In
addition, the group traveled with between 250 and 300 horses (Chalfant 1973:19).

Walker (1998:427) supports this claim, saying that Nez Perce men, women and children all rode horses on their seasonal movements to subsistence hunting areas.

The area that today comprises Yellowstone National Park was familiar to Nez Perce buffalo hunting parties. Yellow Wolf (Hemene Moxmox) (in McWhorter 1948:26), a Nez Perce warrior who fought in the War of 1877, confirms this in his autobiography, “My grandfather [maternal], Homas, son of Seeloo Wahyakt, died on a buffalo hunt in Yellowstone National Park. I am not mistaken…We knew that Park country, no difference what white people say!” Yellow Wolf (in McWhorter 1948:30), who passed through the park himself, along with other Nez Perce on their flight from U.S. forces, continues to say that Nez Perce scouts “knew that country well before passing through there in 1877. The hot smoking springs and high-shooting water were nothing new to us.” The Nez Perce leader, Looking Glass, who fought in the War of 1877 is said to have led the last group of Nez Perce buffalo hunters to the Plains, returning home in June of 1877 before the onset of the war (Chalfant 1973:97).

In a 1997 interview, Nez Perce expert Deward E. Walker, Ph.D. (in Nabokov and Loendorf 2002:178), agreed that the Nez Perce had full knowledge of the area that includes present day Montana, Wyoming, South Dakota, and Nebraska, and the river systems, mountain systems and tribal territories therein. This area also includes Yellowstone National Park, where the Nez Perce would go “on a regular basis.” One traditional Nez Perce winter camp site used by buffalo hunters is located just south of Livingston, Montana, approximately fifty miles from Yellowstone National Park (Chalfant 1973:98).
Nez Perce Spirituality

Walker’s Nez Perce informants emphasized that the coming of Euro-Americans was predicted long before their arrival. It was also predicted that Euro-Americans would bring great changes (Walker 1998:433). One of these changes came in the form of Roman Catholic and Protestant missionaries. Missionary activity among the Nez Perce was concentrated along the Clearwater River at Lapwai and Kamiah, where permanent mission stations were established. Christian missionaries prohibited many traditional Nez Perce practices among their converts, such as shamanism, tutelary spirit seeking, and most ceremonies along with drumming, dancing, singing, and regalia. Despite missionary efforts to eliminate these customs, most traditional Nez Perce beliefs endured. The varying degrees to which traditional beliefs were retained among the Nez Perce people have been a central factor in factional conflicts throughout Nez Perce history (Walker 1998:433-434).

One important aspect of traditional Nez Perce spirituality is the seeking of a tutelary spirit. Around the time they reached adolescence, Nez Perce youths were sent out on a vision quest (wáyatin) to receive a vision from a spiritual assistant (wéyekin) who would provide them power and guidance for the rest of their lives (Ray 1939:68-70; Walker 1985:18-19). Tutelary spirits were capable of providing desirable and undesirable powers. The Rattlesnake, for example, encouraged its possessors to kill others using sorcery. On the other hand, the Wounded Buffalo gave its possessor the ability to cure his own wounds. The Charging Buffalo gave its possessor the ability to be brave in the face of danger (Walker 1985:20; 1998:426). Other tutelary spirits with
animal sources are Grizzly Bear, Crow, Mole, Raccoon, Black Bear, Ground Hog, Coyote, Wolf, Cougar, and others. Other sources include sun, moon, stars, clouds, lightning, spring floods, ice, mountains, trees, and rivers (Walker 1998:426).

The most important part of the quest for tutelary spirit power was the song received by an individual from his tutelary spirit during the quest. The song was later relearned when the individual became an adult. The song, along with a tutelary spirit dance and sacred package symbolized the power that an individual had received from his tutelary spirit. Each year at the Winter Spirit Dance, individuals established or confirmed their powers (Ray 1939:69-70; Walker 1998:426).

The buffalo served as a tutelary spirit in the form of Wounded Buffalo, Charging Buffalo, and other buffalo spirits. These spirits gave self-curing power and bravery power, respectively. The buffalo served as a tutelary spirit along with many other animals, celestial objects, and landscape features. Evidence suggests buffalo figured similarly into the ritual and ceremony of traditional Nez Perce religion with other spirits.

Some Nez Perce ceremonies are the vision quest (wáyatin), the Winter Spirit Dance, a child’s first roots ceremony (patatalapó · sanaysix), a child’s first game or first kill ceremony (patatalapó · sanaysix), the annual first fruits ceremony (ké?uyit), Name Giving ceremonies, the children’s feast (toláwyact) and war ceremonies (Axtell and Aragon 1997:8; Walker 1985:28-29). In a child’s first game ceremony (patatalapó · sanaysix), a particularly successful hunter or fisherman would bless the first kill made by the child. In some instances, the older individual who had proven himself as an able hunter or fisherman would eat the flesh of the kill to ensure that the child would grow up to be an equally successful hunter or fisherman (Walker 1985:28).
Although the buffalo does not figure into Nez Perce spirituality as a major focus in the way that it does for the Lakota Sioux, for individuals whose tutelary spirit is a buffalo spirit or for a child whose first kill is a buffalo, the animal has additional significance. It should be noted, however, that because buffalo symbolism does not figure prominently into the ceremonies of the Nez Perce people does not mean that the buffalo is absent from Nez Perce thought and worldview or that buffalo are not significant to Nez Perce culture. After all, many of the Nez Perce were buffalo hunters who traveled annually to the Plains to hunt the great herds and depended on them for sustenance. Like most native cultures, the Nez Perce have stories that have been passed on orally for many generations. These narratives include many references to buffalo and buffalo country.

Coyote is the central character of Nez Perce narratives, appearing in more than half of Nez Perce myths. Because Coyote created the Nez Perce people, the Nimiipu consider themselves the children of Coyote (Iceyéyenm mamáyac) (Walker and Matthews 1998:4). Some of the Coyote narratives that include Buffalo are: Coyote Breaks the Fish Dam at Celilo (in which Coyote leaves the woods at the end of the narrative to go to “Buffalo Country [Montana]”), How Coyote Brought the Buffalo (in which Coyote goes to Buffalo Country and tries to bring a herd back west with him), Coyote and Bull (in which Coyote asks Bull to change him into a buffalo bull), How Porcupine Went to the Plains (in which Porcupine goes to the Plains and kills a buffalo cow, then loses the meat to Coyote), and Coyote’s Trip to the East (in which Coyote goes to Buffalo Country where he makes new horns for an old bull) (Walker and Matthews 1998:4).
1998:43, 69, 79, 85, 89). Stories of Coyote’s actions under many circumstances are used to teach lessons on appropriate behavior to young Nez Perce.

Because Coyote is the central character of these narratives, other characters such as Buffalo that appear as actors with Coyote are significant by association. In the narratives described above, Coyote and other characters, such as Porcupine, frequently “travel east” or “cross the divide” to reach the Plains, Montana, or Buffalo Country. This journey by Coyote and others in Nez Perce narratives parallels the journey made historically by the Nez Perce people to hunt buffalo on the Plains. In these stories, buffalo are portrayed as fat, happy grazers well-suited to life on the Plains (Walker and Matthews 1998:69, 79). Buffalo are frequently talked about as a food source to Coyote and others; and the themes of stealing and sharing buffalo meat are presented in the narratives (Walker and Matthews 1998:85-88). In several of the stories, coming home empty-handed (without any buffalo) is rendered as a failed trip to Buffalo Country (Walker and Matthews 1998:69-71, 91). The treatment of buffalo as a game animal hunted on journeys to the Plains reinforces the importance of Plains buffalo hunts to Nez Perce subsistence and to the hunters who successfully hunted them to provide sustenance to their people.

A Continuation of Tradition

Today the Nez Perce people live primarily on reservations at Lapwai, ID; Nespelem, Washington; and at Pendleton Oregon. The Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, the
Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla are all federally recognized tribes by the United States (Pritzker 1998:379-380). The vision of the Nez Perce Tribe is “to provide an environment of improved well-being for our Nez Perce people while valuing culture, traditions, and resources” (Nez Perce Tribe 2006). Cultural revitalization has become an important focus to many Nez Perce people; members of the Seven Drum Religion have led efforts to revitalize traditional customs and religion (Pritzker 1998:381). Nez Perce elder and Seven Drum leader Horace Axtell (in Axtell and Aragon 1997:5) is one proponent of reinvigorating traditional culture among Nez Perce youth:

As long as we stick to our old Indian traditional ways, there’s always that connection.

You must try to speak your language.
You must have an Indian name.

Many of these things are happening right now. Our young people are coming out and bringing out these old names and being named. I think this is happiness to the elders of today, to see young people want to be named and have an Indian name. Many of our young people are letting their hair grow and they understand now. Maybe this is because some of us are like that. There must be something that we’re doing that our young people are wanting to do now.

One way that traditional Nez Perce culture is being revitalized is through traditional subsistence activities. On January 25, 2006, Nez Perce tribal chairman Rebecca A. Miles wrote to Montana Governor Brian Schweitzer of the tribe’s intent to assert its 1855 treaty rights in regards to buffalo hunting in Montana. “We trust that you can understand the significance of maintaining the Nez Perce Tribe’s culture and our way of life,” the letter read (Bohrer 2006). Governor Schweitzer responded that “…the state
of Montana respectfully acknowledges that the tribe will be exercising its treaty-reserved rights” (McMillion 2006).

The 2006 Nez Perce tribal buffalo hunt was held during the same winter that Montana held its first state buffalo hunt in fifteen years. It was because of the state’s decision to hold a hunt that the Nez Perce chose to assert their treaty rights. The state made fifty licenses available to the public, setting 16 aside for Montana tribes. Because of the tribe’s treaty rights, the Nez Perce are not required to hold state hunting licenses to buffalo. Instead, the tribal hunt is governed by tribal regulations (Bohrer 2006). The treaty rights asserted by the Nez Perce Tribe in the winter of 2006 are those outlined in the Treaty with the Nez Perces, signed in 1855 in the Judith Basin. The language of this treaty grants Nez Perce tribal members the right to hunt on “open and unclaimed land” such as the national forest land surrounding Yellowstone National Park to the north. In the past, the tribe chose not to exercise this right because of the low numbers of wild buffalo. The reinstatement of the Montana hunt, as well as the capture and slaughter of Yellowstone buffalo under the IBMP, alerted Nez Perce officials that the time was right for a tribal hunt. Nez Perce Fish and Wildlife Commissioner James Holt (in Associated Press 2006) explains, “[Montana Department of Livestock] slaughtered about 580 this year…We don’t agree with that. We’ve been monitoring it and feel that our way, hunting the buffalo and using it for subsistence and ceremonial purposes, is better. That’s why we decided this was the time to reassert our treaty rights.”

In the winter of 2006, eleven Nez Perce youths, aged 13-18 accompanied by as many adults traveled to Gardiner, Montana for the first tribal buffalo hunt in more than a century. The youth hunters were selected based on essays they wrote about Nez Perce
treaty rights (Associated Press 2006). Adam Villacicenio (in Bohrer 2006; McMillion 2006), a Nez Perce tribal conservation officer, described the purpose of the hunt as “educational and ceremonial,” and a way to return to traditions that have eroded over time. The youth hunters were trained by tribal conservation officers and elders in hunting and processing techniques, and used modern weapons in the hunt (Figure 14) (McMillion 2006). Youth participants in the hunt included: Anthony Capetillo, age 17; Orland Garcia, age 14; Jalon Green, age 13; Kymberlee Holsinger, age 15; Coty Reuben, age 17; Orlando Taylor, age 14 (Associated Press 2006; Cheen 2006:5).

![Figure 14: Nez Perce youth hunters take part in the 2006 tribal buffalo hunt under rights granted by an 1855 treaty (Jones 2006)](image)

In preparation for the hunt, some hunters fasted for three days, some used the sweat lodge, and others prayed and asked for guidance on the spiritual hunt (Cheen 2006:5). On February 4, 2006, the morning of the hunt, the youth hunters and accompanying adults convened at the Best Western Inn in Gardiner, Montana before
going out to the Eagle Creek Campground area for the hunt (Cheen 2006:1). That morning Lakota elder and buffalo advocate Rosalie Little Thunder (in Cheen 2006:5) reminded the group of the American Indians’ relationship with the buffalo:

These are our ancestors. There’s a big difference in the way the National Park Service is killing these buffalo and the way you youths will be doing it today…When we do our buffalo kills, our way of killing is about seeking permission of our relatives. It’s about making peace with the buffalo spirit. This is not sport.

That Saturday, Nez Perce youths took six buffalo from their ancestral hunting grounds north of Yellowstone National Park. Figure 15 shows youth hunters with one of the buffalo taken in the 2006 hunt. Coty Reuben, grandson of Nez Perce elder Horace Axtell, was the first Nez Perce Indian in 140 years to shoot a buffalo on the tribe’s traditional hunting grounds (Associated Press 2006; Cheen 2006:1). Hunters said prayers for the buffalo before and after shooting them and sprinkled tobacco on the carcasses of the buffalo as an offering of respect and gratitude (Associated Press 2006). For the Nez Perce youths, the tribal hunt was a right of passage. By participating in the 2006 tribal hunt, the eleven youths became adults. Nez Perce tribal executive committee member and father of one of the youth hunters, Larry Green (in Cheen 2006:5) expressed his feelings on the tribal hunt, “We’ve come over and received bison meat before, but never have we been able to come over and hunt them as our ancestors did…The Nez Perce are from this land. I am honored to be here with my people doing this. It’s been a long time.”
According to Kawamura (2003:157), “for most Nez Perce households, traditional subsistence practices are no longer the primary means of subsistence. However, the Nez Perce unanimously argue that these activities are crucial to their modern lives.” The importance of subsistence hunting and the revitalization of this practice to Nez Perce cultural continuity are evident in the comments about the tribal hunt of 2006. Justin Gould (in Associated Press 2006), the hunt’s quality control officer, sums up the hunt’s significance:

This is a great day for the Indian people…I pray that we will be able to connect with our past. I pray for our future, that this will be taken in a good way and not a negative way. I hope people can learn by having our children at the forefront of something historic. This is a day that can never be taken away from them, something they’ll take when they meet their maker and will be able to report to their ancestors and make them proud.
In the winter of 2007 the Nez Perce repeated their tribal hunt, this time opening it to all tribal members instead of tribal youth only. Permits for the 2007 Treaty Buffalo Hunt, were issued to enrolled tribal members for the season beginning on January 13, 2007 and running until the end of February (McMillion 2007). There is the possibility of other tribes asserting hunting rights around Yellowstone National Park in the future. The Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes have considered asserting their treaty rights to hunt buffalo before and plan to do so this fall and winter (2007) in the areas north and west of Yellowstone National Park (Brown 2007; French 2007; McMillion 2007).

In addition to the value of the modern buffalo hunt around Yellowstone for the continuation of Nez Perce traditional subsistence practices and culture, the Nez Perce also recognize the importance of restoring buffalo to tribal lands. As a member of the Intertribal Bison Cooperative (ITBC), the Nez Perce Tribe is committed to “restoring bison to Indian Nations in a manner that is compatible with their spiritual and cultural beliefs and practices” (ITBC 2007). The Nez Perce would like to introduce a herd to their reservation for future generations (ITBC 1995:27).
VI. THE 1999 BUFFALO WALK

“On the dawn of the 7th day of February, in the 7th generation, according to ancient wintercount, in the year 1999, will rise with the sun a new beginning and a powerful day of prayer, song, honor, respect and ceremony.

As Elders, leaders, and common men and women will gather from the four directions near the Heart Of All That Is (Sacred Black Hills) at the Oyate Center (Peoples Gathering Place) located in the heart of Lakota Homes at Rapid City, South Dakota...to declare an event of the utmost historical importance, a great Spiritual Walk...

—Joseph Chasing Horse, invitation to join the 1999 Buffalo Walk (Tatanka Oyate Mani, They Walk for the Buffalo People)

Tatanka Oyate Mani

On February 7, 1999, approximately forty American Indians from various tribes left Rapid City, South Dakota on foot, horseback, and by vehicle destined for Yellowstone National Park. The group walked in peace, on a spiritual journey to the park to raise awareness of traditional and spiritual teachings of indigenous people and of the plight of the Yellowstone buffalo. The Buffalo Walk brought national attention to the thousands of Yellowstone buffalo killed in recent years under the IBMP.

Organizers of the Walk called it Tatanka Oyate Mani (They Walk for the Buffalo People). Tatanka Oyate, translated from the Lakota dialect means “Buffalo People.” According to the beliefs of the Lakota people and many other Plains tribes, the destinies of the buffalo and the American Indian are inseparable. At the beginning of time the buffalo sacrificed himself so that the people could survive. Now, according to Rosalie Little Thunder (Lakota) (Little Thunder 1999a), the people have embarked on “…a spiritual journey to Yellowstone, to the buffalo…It is their belief that we must return their sacrifices for us and that through suffering, the prayers will be strong.”
Little Thunder and Joseph Chasing Horse, served as main organizers for the event. The organizers contacted Yellowstone National Park staff in January of 1999 to discuss details of the Walk and to complete a Public Assembly Permit application. Staff members from the Branch of Cultural Resources, Natural Resources, Resource Management and Visitor Protection, and Public Affairs worked with Chasing Horse and Little Thunder to prepare the location of the ceremony and other logistics for the event (Joss 1999:7; 2007). In addition to Little Thunder and Chasing Horse, who were instrumental in planning and amassing support for the walk, many other American Indians (and non-Indians) contributed in other ways, such as procuring food and accommodations for the walkers, riders, and their horses along the way to Yellowstone. Regardless of their role, all participants in the Buffalo Walk were united in their goal to create utmost awareness of the last free-roaming buffalo herd in the world and of the buffalo’s place in American Indian culture. In a letter announcing the Walk that was addressed to “Leaders and People of the Buffalo,” Little Thunder (1999a) outlines the significance of the Yellowstone herd:

...we cannot abandon the buffalo that have been our source of survival and therefore, the center of our spirituality. Although there are domesticated herds elsewhere, elders and spiritual leaders have said the Yellowstone buffalo are important in that they are wild and still have natural wisdom. With their instinctive intelligence intact, they can continue to serve their rightful place as keystone species of the Ecosystem; essential to the survival of the earth and human kind. This we all know.

Participants walked and rode for 20 days until they completed the 507-mile journey to the gates of Yellowstone National Park. The route chosen by organizers of the Walk paralleled a traditional migratory buffalo route followed by generations of Lakota hunters. According to Joseph Chasing Horse (in Joss 1999:7), this was the first
generation that had not made the journey. Joseph Chasing Horse’s son, Nathan Chasing Horse (in Kurtis 1998/1999), echoes his father,

...That’s what this whole walk was about. No matter how cold it was, no matter how much snow fell on us, we had to finish this walk. We’re the first generation in one hundred years to make this journey...My ancestors have been making this journey for thousands of years, following the buffalo, praying this way, sacrificing this way—this is nothing new to us.

Along the way the group stopped at sites of cultural significance to native people, such as Bear Butte, South Dakota (Associated Press 1999b). After long days on the road, walkers spent the night in churches, community centers and schools that offered space for the travelers to rest (Associated Press 1999a). Although no one person walked the entire distance from Rapid City to Yellowstone, the walkers transported a sacred bundle and staff that was carried on foot or on horseback by one of the walkers for the entire journey (McMillion 1999:12). Figure 16 shows the approximate path taken by the Buffalo Walkers from Rapid City, South Dakota to the gates of Yellowstone in Gardiner, Montana.
On February 27, 1999, the Buffalo Walk arrived at the north gate of Yellowstone. Figure 17 shows the Buffalo Walk in Gardiner, MT as walkers proceeded to the north gate of the park. The walkers were received by park staff and Gardiner locals who accompanied the group that afternoon to observe a ceremony honoring the buffalo held in the area of the Roosevelt Arch. Despite what a historic and tremendous event this was for the park, little formal documentation exists of the Buffalo Walk in Yellowstone.
Existing Documentation

Almost a decade later, the major material sources documenting the Buffalo Walk include newspaper articles and flyers, and a handful of documentaries and articles that make mention of the Buffalo Walk as part of the larger buffalo issue at Yellowstone. Newspaper articles on the Buffalo Walk range from local articles in Billings, Bozeman, and Livingston, Montana newspapers that were printed as the walkers moved through the area, to regional and national papers that picked up on the story as it gained attention (Adkins 1999a; 1999b; Associated Press 1999a; 1999b; Indian Country Today 1999; Iwanski 1999; McCleary 1999; McMillion 1999). These articles typically give an overview of the walk followed by particulars of the walkers’ activities in the readership area.
Two documentaries discuss the Buffalo Walk of 1999 in the context of the buffalo issue at Yellowstone. Both films seek to outline all sides of the controversy surrounding Yellowstone buffalo, dedicating sections to the opinions of ranchers, federal and state governments, buffalo advocates, and American Indians. The first of these films, *The Buffalo War*, was produced by Bullfrog Films and has been shown on the Public Broadcasting Station (Testa 2001). The second documentary film, *War on the Range*, hosted by Bill Kurtis, was shown as part of A&E’s Investigative Reports series (Kurtis 1998/1999).

The films show footage of the walk and interviews with leaders of the event. One area that serves to separate these two films is the way that the filmmakers chose to portray the Sun Dance ceremony held near the Roosevelt Arch the day that the walkers arrived in Yellowstone. Before the ceremony, all audience members were asked to turn off cameras and video cameras. Several young men patrolled the crowd on horseback to be sure that this request was honored. In *The Buffalo War*, filmmaker Matthew Testa portrays a reenactment of the emotional climax of the Walk, the Sun Dance ceremony performed by Gary Silk inside the Roosevelt Arch. Testa (in Anderson, et al. 2001) explains his choice to recreate the ceremony, “The ceremony conveyed so much about tribal dedication to the bison, I thought it was important to reenact for the film. At the same time, I knew this was sensitive territory and tried hard to respect the concerns of Native Americans.” Sun Dancer Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota) approved the reenactment (Painte and Silk 2007). In the A&E film, *War on the Range*, the Sun Dance ceremony was filmed against the request of those leading the ceremony. The possession and use of
this footage by Bill Kurtis and A&E is considered offensive by many tribal leaders and non-Indian participants.

Aside from newspaper articles and flyers and as mentioned earlier in this chapter, few written sources exist documenting the Buffalo Walk. Mary Ann Franke dedicates two pages in *To Save the Wild Bison* to a discussion of the Buffalo Walk as part of a chapter on Indians and Yellowstone National Park (Franke 2005:240-241). A *Sierra Magazine* article on the return of buffalo to the Great Plains opens with a description of the Walk (LaDuke 2000:66). An article in *Mother Jones* magazine about the work of pro-buffalo activist group Buffalo Field Campaign displays a photograph of Buffalo Walkers on its first page (Vollers 1999:72). The most detailed, but perhaps least circulated writing on the Buffalo Walk was written by former Yellowstone Branch Chief of Cultural Resources and Tribal Liaison, Laura Joss. In 1999, Joss published an article in *The Buffalo Chip*, the park’s resource management newsletter, detailing the arrival, ceremony, and participants of the Buffalo Walk at Yellowstone National Park (Joss 1999:7-9).

**The Buffalo Walk, Day by Day**

From sources like those listed above it is possible to outline the activities of the Buffalo Walk from February 7, through March 1, 1999. The calendar below indicates the planned itinerary of the Walk, but does not elaborate on the emotional and spiritual effects that it had on participants and onlookers. These symbolic dimensions of the Walk
are discussed in detail later, along with the findings of ethnographic interviews with participants and observers.

According to the tentative schedule, participants would leave Rapid City, South Dakota on February 7, and arrive in Gardiner, Montana on February 27, 1999 (Sacred Walk to Save the Buffalo 1999). The group would make 16 stops and have four rest days before reaching their destination. Figure 18 shows the tentative schedule and is followed by an addendum showing the actual schedule from February 21 through February 27, 1999.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 6</td>
<td>Gathering at Oyate Center, Rapid City (4:00pm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 7</td>
<td>Leave Lakota Homes/Paha Sapa, Arrive Bear Butte, SD, 39 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 8</td>
<td>Leave Bear Butte, SD, Arrive Belle Fourche, SD, 43 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 9</td>
<td>Leave Belle Fourche, SD, Arrive Alzada, MT, 30 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 10</td>
<td>Leave Alzada, MT, Arrive Hammond/Boyes, MT, 28 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 11</td>
<td>Leave Hammond/Boyes, MT, Arrive Broadus, MT, 20 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 12</td>
<td>Leave Broadus, MT, Arrive Ashland, MT, 41 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 13</td>
<td>Rest Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 14</td>
<td>Leave Ashland, MT, Arrive Lamedeer, MT, 41 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 15</td>
<td>Leave Lamedeer, MT, Arrive Busby, MT, 16 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 16</td>
<td>Leave Busby, MT, Arrive Crow Agency, MT, 25 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 17</td>
<td>Rest Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 18</td>
<td>Leave Crow Agency, MT, Arrive St. Xavier, MT, 32 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 19</td>
<td>Leave St. Xavier, MT, Arrive Pryor, MT, 41 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 20</td>
<td>Leave Pryor, MT, Arrive Laurel, MT, 35 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 21</td>
<td>Rest Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 22</td>
<td>Leave Laurel, MT, Arrive Columbus, MT, 25 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 23</td>
<td>Leave Columbus, MT, Arrive Big Timber, MT, 41 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 24</td>
<td>Leave Big Timber, MT, Arrive Livingston, MT, 43 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 25</td>
<td>Rest Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 26</td>
<td>Leave Livingston, MT, Arrive Emigrant, MT, 22 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 27</td>
<td>Leave Emigrant, MT, Arrive Gardiner, MT, 32 miles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 18: They Walk for the Buffalo People, Tentative Schedule (Sacred Walk to Save the Buffalo 1999)

The tentative schedule above shows the Buffalo Walkers arriving in Big Timber, Montana on February 23. In reality, the walkers arrived in Big Timber on February 20,
ahead of schedule, and took a rest day the following day. The schedule below identifies what actually occurred:

**Sunday, February 21, 1999:**
- *Rest Day* in Big Timber, MT

**Monday, February 22, 1999:**
- *Leave:* Big Timber, MT
- *Arrive:* Livingston, MT (Adkins 1999a:16, McMillion 1999a:12)
- According to the Bozeman Chronicle, “…nobody at all was walking into the brutal wind sweeping from Livingston to Big Timber on Monday, though six hatless riders were on horses followed by vans and pick-ups full of people. Rather, participants are taking turns walking a few miles at a time…” (McMillion 1999:12).

**Tuesday, February 23, 1999:**
- *Rest day* in Livingston, MT
- Walkers, riders, and support vehicles are housed at Park County Fairgrounds (Adkins 1999a:16, McMillion 1999:12).

**Wednesday, February 24, 1999:**
- *Leave:* Livingston, MT
- *Arrive:* Emigrant, MT
- Meanwhile in Gardiner, the Bear Creek Council and Rodeo Club of Gardiner meet to inform members of the Walk (Adkins 1999a:16).

**Thursday, February 25, 1999:**
- *Rest Day* in Emigrant, MT
- Joseph Chasing Horse (Lakota) is scheduled to speak at Arrowhead Elementary School in Emigrant, MT at 10am (Laura Joss in email to Steve and Brooke Schiavi, February 25, 1999).
- Joseph Chasing Horse arrives at Yellowstone National Park on Thursday afternoon. Accompanied by Turhan Clause, Tuscarora, and Tyler Medicine Horse, Crow, at 2:00pm. Chasing Horse gave a talk on Lakota Star Knowledge in the Map Room of the Mammoth Hotel (Adkins 1999a:16; 1999b:24; Joss 1999:7). The audience at the two hour talk was mainly Yellowstone personnel, and may have been filmed by park photographer Jim Peaco (Adkins 1999a:16; Joss 1999:7). The content of the talk has been recorded in detail in the Park County Weekly article, “Living on the Edge…of Yellowstone” (Adkins 1999b:24).

**Friday, February 26, 1999:**
- *Leave:* Emigrant, MT
- *Arrive:* Corwin Springs, MT
At 10:00am, the Buffalo Walkers assembled at the junction of East River Road in Emigrant.

A group of Nez Perce with their fresh horses join the group just as they reach the junction with Route 89 at the south end of East River Road. At this point, 22 riders and 3 four wheel drive vehicles take the turn-off to the Old Yellowstone Trail and proceed south through Yankee Jim Canyon on the old road, while the rest of the group proceeds south on Route 89 S. The two parties meet in Corwin Springs (See Figure 19) (Adkins 1999a:16).

From Corwin Springs, vehicles transport the walkers to Mammoth Elementary School, where they will be housed for the next two days. At the school, Irvin Blackie (Navajo) and Mia Blackie (Cherokee) have soup and sandwiches waiting. Tillie Black Bear (Lakota) tells the story of the White Buffalo Calf Woman to the group (Adkins 1999a:16).

Also at the Mammoth School, Joseph Chasing Horse (Lakota) gives the ground rules for the next day’s walk into Gardiner. There are three rules: 1) No getting in front of the sacred bundle. 2) No asking unnecessary questions. 3) No women who are menstruating should be walking (Adkins 1999a:16).
Saturday, February 27, 1999:

- **Leave:** Corwin Springs, MT
- **Arrive:** Gardiner, MT
- After journeying more than 500 miles, the Buffalo Walk arrived in Gardiner, MT with a group of one hundred walkers and about 20 horsemen. Montana Highway Patrol and a Park County Sheriff’s Deputy provided escort as the walkers traveled down Route 89 S into Gardiner (Adkins 1999a; Joss 1999:7).
- The Buffalo Walkers entered the park through the north entrance at the Roosevelt Arch (the park had waived the $20 gate fee for the participants) (Adkins 1999a:16).
- The Buffalo Walk concluded with a ceremony at approximately 1:00pm held just inside the Roosevelt Arch in the Triangle Area. Participants and onlookers stood in 30 degree temperatures, bracing against the wind as the ceremony lasted close to two hours (Joss 1999:7).
- The emotional climax of the ceremony was the Sun Dance performed by Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota).

Participants in the Buffalo Walk came from various regions and backgrounds. About 120 individuals united over 20 days to honor the Yellowstone buffalo and to raise awareness of American Indian teachings about the buffalo. Figures 20 and 21 provide a partial list of individuals and affiliations involved (those who walked or were present at the ceremony at Yellowstone) in the Buffalo Walk.
**Individual Participants in the 1999 Buffalo Walk**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alan Robinson</th>
<th>Joseph Chasing Horse, Jr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andy Gray</td>
<td>Keith Annis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlene Robinson</td>
<td>Kenneth Pante, Sr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold Rivers</td>
<td>Laura Joss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Edwards</td>
<td>Laural Josh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooke Schiavi</td>
<td>Martha Adkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Duckworth</td>
<td>Mia Blackie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Goldner</td>
<td>Mike Finley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorine Pasapa</td>
<td>Nathan Chasing Horse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine Matlow</td>
<td>Peter McArthur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florence Gardipee</td>
<td>Roberta Serra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Silk</td>
<td>Rosalie Little Thunder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Nell</td>
<td>Skip Meehan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hank Cheuma</td>
<td>Stan Four Bears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horace Axtell</td>
<td>Steve Schiavi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irvin Blackie</td>
<td>Thomas Half</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Besman</td>
<td>Tillie Black Bear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Carter</td>
<td>Tom Adkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonny Red Eagle</td>
<td>Turhan Clause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Chasing Horse</td>
<td>Tyler Medicine Horse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tribal Affiliations Involved in the 1999 Buffalo Walk**

| Algonquin              | Navajo                      |
| Apache                 | Nez Perce                   |
| Assiniboine            | (non-Indian)                |
| Blackfeet              | Northern Cheyenne           |
| Cherokee               | Ojibwa                      |
| Crow                   | Southern Arapaho            |
| Dakota                 | Southern Ute                |
| Lakota                 | Tuscarora                   |

Figure 20: Individuals involved in the 1999 Buffalo Walk (Adkins 1999a:16-17; Buffalo Walk Sign-In Sheet provided by Irivin Blackie; McCleary 1999:B3; Joss 1999:7-9)

Figure 21: Tribal affiliations involved in the 1999 Buffalo Walk (Iwanski 1999; McCleary 1999:B3)
Inside the Roosevelt Arch, in the open field on the east side of the North Entrance Road known as the Triangle area, Rosalie Little Thunder was seated on a large rock holding the sacred bundle that had been carried on the walk all the way from South Dakota (Franke 2005:240, Joss 1999:7). Joseph Chasing Horse asked that all those present form a circle around Rosalie and the rock where she was seated. First, Chasing Horse invited the children in the group to the center of the circle. A song was sung for the children, who represent the future (Franke 2005:240, Joss 1999:7). Next, Chasing Horse walked around the circle of people, pointing at some individuals. This gesture indicated an invitation to the center of the circle. Yellowstone Superintendent Mike Finley and other park staff members who had helped to prepare for the walkers’ arrival (i.e. Laura Joss (Branch Chief of Cultural Resources and Tribal Liaison at Yellowstone), Skip Meehan (Yellowstone Interpretive Exhibits Specialist)) were among those invited to the center (Joss 1999:8). From the center of the circle, Superintendent Mike Finley officially welcomed the Buffalo Walkers to the park, “I came here out of respect, to pay respect to you. The bison is sacred to you and very special to the National Park Service and the nation. We welcome you to Yellowstone and, more importantly, we welcome you home” (Franke 2005:240; Joss 1999:8).

After speaking for some time, Chasing Horse asked Horace Axtell, a Nez Perce elder, to the center of the circle. A chair was brought for Axtell. When seated, wearing white buckskins, Axtell asked that all cameras be turned off. His sons then joined him with drums. As they sung a song in honor of the buffalo, the horsemen positioned
themselves around the circle to make sure that all cameras were off. Other elders then came before the group to speak, pray, and sing (Franke 2005:240, Joss 1999:8). As Joseph Chasing Horse spoke again, Tyler Medicine Horse (Crow) came to the center of the circle with a leather roll containing packages of surgical lancets and other items. Chasing Horse announced that Medicine Horse would be assisting Gary Silk (Lakota) in a ceremony to honor the buffalo who gave his blood in sacrifice for the Lakota people.

“Long ago the buffalo gave his blood for us. Today we give our blood for him,” Chasing Horse told the group (Franke 2005:240). Crying, Little Thunder stood up from the rock to embrace Silk (Joss 1999:8).

Normally this ceremony would not be performed publicly, but it was important to Gary Silk that he perform the ceremony in this way to fulfill a vision he had. “I kept having these dreams that this buffalo was laying there…I don’t know if he was dying, or shot…but he was trying to get up. So in this dream I had, I hooked [myself] up to him and tried to pick him up,” Silk explained later in a documentary interview (in Kurtis 1998/1999). Silk stepped forward, stripped to the waist, and was handed a sacred pipe. Keith Annis (Lakota) burned sage in a cast iron skillet and cleansed Silk’s body with the smoke. Medicine Horse applied a reddish-brown paint to Silk’s back below each scapula in the form of a circle with a vertical line through it. Medicine Horse then opened one of the lancet packages and used the instrument to make two incisions on the inside of each of the circles. Scars from previous ceremonies were visible on Silk’s back. As blood trickled down Silk’s back, Medicine Horse inserted a wooden rod through each of the slits. To each rod was tied a cord; the other end of the cord was tied to a buffalo skull. A murmur passed through the crowd as a bald eagle flew overhead.
The group of onlookers formed a corridor which Silk exited. He then slowly circled the group, blowing an eagle bone whistle and dragging the buffalo skulls behind him. At each of the cardinal directions, Silk stopped to sing a prayer. Accompanying Silk around the circle were Keith Annis with the skillet of sage, Ken Painte, (Lakota elder), and others. About thirty minutes later, as he circled the group for the seventh time and was approaching the south, an American Indian girl, Silk’s daughter, Nicole, was brought to the outside of the circle. As Silk approached, his horse was led to him. The young girl sat on the skulls. Gary held onto the tail of his horse. There was a whoop, and the horse was slapped and lurched forward, its momentum tearing the rods free from Silk’s back (Adkins 1999a:17; Franke 2005:240-241; Joss 1999:8-9; Nell 2003:1; Painte and Silk 2007).

Silk returned to the middle of the circle. Medicine Horse applied a white powder scraped from the inside of a buffalo horn (a natural anticoagulant) to the wounds on his back. After Gary Silk’s Sun Dance ceremony, other members of the group (including Rosalie Little Thunder, a teenage girl, and several men) came forward to make flesh offerings to the buffalo. Because there were so many individuals wanting to make offerings at that time, it was decided that the opportunity would be presented again that night where the Walkers would gather at Mammoth Elementary School (Franke 2005:241; Joss 1999:9).

Gary Silk later explained the importance of all people, Indian and non-Indian, seeing the ceremony, “When we have these ceremonies we usually don’t share it with the world like this, but this had to be done to show the world that all these tribes who came here…that we could unite and put all our ceremonies into one” (in Kurtis 1998/1999).
the conclusion of the ceremony, Joseph Chasing Horse asked all tribal elders to come forward. He lit a sacred pipe, and beginning with Horace Axtell, each of the elders smoked from it. Each person in the group was then touched on the shoulder as a gesture of unity (Joss 1999:9).

After the ceremony, Gary Silk was handed a letter authored by an individual who called himself White Eagle. In this letter, White Eagle wrote about the meaning of the ceremony performed by Gary Silk:

…We honored the Lakota Warrior’s sacrifice he made for at the moment the flesh tore from his back, a great weight and pain was torn free from the body of the Ancestry of the Indigenous people and all who have walked the Earth as the Buffalo Tribes. We, your ancestors and spirit family felt this great warrior’s pain and the relief of letting go of so much anger, sorrow and pain.

With this release we can now move forward as one, unencumbered by the weight of the past. Our vision is clear now. It is driven by love and honor for the Mother and for all creatures that walk here with her (letter to Gary Silk, February 1999).

After the Ceremony

As the crowd dispersed, offerings were left on and around the large rock at the center of the gathering where Rosalie Little Thunder had been seated (Nell 2003:1). From the Triangle area the walkers proceeded to the Mammoth School (about 5.5 miles away) where they gathered that evening. On the way, some of the walkers stopped at an area along the Gardiner River known as the Chinese Gardens. It was here, on the west side of the road in the sage brush about 100 yards north of the bridge at the 45th parallel, that they came across a small group of female buffalo and calves. One of the young had
wounds on its front legs, presumably from fencing or a fall through ice. At this site the group prayed for the buffalo and left prayer bundles and other offerings (Nell 2003:1).

That evening the walkers gathered at Mammoth Elementary School, the location that Mammoth School Superintendent John Whitman had offered as a resting place to the group. At 6:00pm there was a potluck dinner sponsored by the Bear Creek Council (a community-based conservation organization based in Gardiner, Montana). During the meal, approximately 30 more people lined up in front of Tyler Medicine Horse (Crow) to make flesh offerings to the buffalo (Franke 2005:241; Joss 1999:7). Members of the Nez Perce Tribe, including youth, handed out gifts to participants in the Walk as a giveaway (Joss 1999:9; Nell 2003:1).

By the following day (Sunday, February 28th), most of the Buffalo Walkers had left Yellowstone to return home. A few walkers remained, including Joseph Chasing Horse and Turhan Clause, and their families. That evening the Gardiner and Yellowstone communities held a potluck dinner for those that remained (Joss 1999:9). On Monday morning, March 1st, Joseph Chasing Horse spoke to students at Mammoth Elementary School (Laura Joss in email to Steve and Brooke Schiavi, February 25, 1999).

By Monday evening, all of the Buffalo Walkers had gone home, their journey complete and their message sent to bison managers and the public. The Buffalo Walk was a benchmark in the history of Yellowstone’s relationship with American Indian people.
Related Ceremonies

Although it may be the most dramatic, the Buffalo Walk of 1999 is not the only ceremony to take place at Yellowstone to bring attention to the situation faced by the Yellowstone buffalo herd. On March 6, 1997, tribal members from across the Plains assembled near Gardiner, Montana, not far from the Stephen’s Creek Corral Facility, to hold a National Day of Prayer for the Buffalo. That winter, more than 1,000 Yellowstone buffalo had been killed. Many were being held at that time at the Stephen’s Creek facility. Figure 22 shows participants gathered near Stephen’s Creek Corral Facility for the ceremony.

![Figure 22: National Day of Prayer for the Buffalo in Yellowstone, March 6, 1997, in foreground the ceremony is being led by Joseph Chasing Horse (Lakota) (left), and Arvol Looking Horse (Lakota) (right).](image)

As Lakota spiritual leader Arvol Looking Horse led the ceremony, the group was jarred by the sound of gun shots. Just a mile away, eight buffalo were shot by Montana
Department of Livestock agents (Brister 2002:45; Franke 2005:143; LaDuke 2000:67; McMillion 1997; Vollers 1999:76; Walsh 1997:6). When Rosalie Little Thunder left the ceremony site and arrived on the scene where the shots had been fired, she saw men beginning to process the buffalo carcasses. When Little Thunder walked onto the private property where the buffalo lay in order to pray for them, she was arrested for criminal trespassing (Brister 2002:45-46; Franke 2005:143; McMillion 1997; Walsh 1997:6). “I didn’t feel guilty at all,” Rosalie Little Thunder said after her court hearing on the trespassing charges. “I felt disheartened because I was standing on ancestral land in a traditional role protecting my relatives and in accordance with natural law” (McMillion 1997).

On February 27, 1999, an advertisement in the Bozeman Chronicle invited the public to a prayer ceremony held by Scott Frazier, of the Crow Tribe and the Santee Sioux Tribe, with members of the Buffalo Field Campaign (a field-based buffalo advocacy group based in West Yellowstone, Montana), to honor two buffalo sent to slaughter that week. The ceremony that took place on Horse Butte was planned to be held in conjunction with the Buffalo Walk ceremony held at the north entrance to the park on the same day (Bozeman Chronicle 1999).

Later that year on September 11, 1999, a group of American Indians, including Scott Frazier (Crow Tribe and Santee Sioux Tribe), John Potter (Ojibwa Nation), and Lawrence Flatlip (Crow Tribe), conducted a ceremony for the buffalo in Yellowstone National Park. Participants sang, drummed, and prayed for what would happen to the buffalo during the upcoming winter (Glynn 1999).
In February 2000, one year after the Buffalo Walk, a ceremony was held to commemorate the Buffalo Walk and to pray once more for the buffalo. The ceremony was held in the Triangle area inside the Roosevelt Arch in Yellowstone National Park. This ceremony was led by Nathan Chasing Horse, and attended by Irvin Blackie, of the Navajo Nation; George Nell (Yellowstone seasonal ranger and Bear Creek Council member); Bill Edwards (Yellowstone Institute teacher); and others. Chasing Horse prayed in the Lakota language and lit a sacred pipe. Members of the group smoked from the sacred pipe and drank from a container of water. Some of the water was poured around a pile of tobacco on the ground as an offering. Chasing Horse also left behind an offering of his own blood to the buffalo (Edwards 2000:1; Nell 2003:2).

These ceremonies, along with the Buffalo Walk, represent organized public events conducted by American Indians to honor the Yellowstone buffalo. In addition to these public events, other individuals conduct personal acts to symbolize their respect and concern for the buffalo. For example, in 1999, after the Buffalo Walk, Gary Silk received permission to ride on horseback through the park. Silk says proudly that he was the first American Indian to ride through the park since the Nez Perce leader Joseph did during the Nez Perce flight in 1877 (Painte and Silk 2007). Upon exiting the park at the western gate, Silk continued to ride into the Four Corners area, talking with different tribes about the buffalo along the way (Painte and Silk 2007). Another personal act in the name of the buffalo came in June 2005 in the form of a sacred staff and flag left beside the rock where Rosalie Little Thunder opened the sacred bundle as part of the Buffalo Walk ceremony at the Triangle. With the staff was an American flag overlaid with a picture of an American Indian holding eagle feathers (Figure 23). The items were left at
the site anonymously, presumably to commemorate the Buffalo Walk events that had been held there years before.

Figure 23: Staff and flag left at site of the Buffalo Walk ceremony, June 2005 (photograph courtesy of Yellowstone Center for Resources)

Whether expressed publicly in ceremony or privately in personal acts, the Yellowstone buffalo remain in the minds and hearts of contemporary American Indians. According to Rosemary Sucec, Yellowstone Cultural Anthropologist, in early 2006 as the current study was being formulated, there was talk of another Buffalo Walk being planned for September of that year (email to Katie White and Hilary Sheaves, February 22, 2006). That walk did not take place. In conversation with the researcher, 1999 Buffalo Walk organizer and Lakota elder Rosalie Little Thunder mentioned the possibility of organizing a second Buffalo Walk to take place in September of 2007. With actions like those described above and events such as the 1999 Buffalo Walk and future walks, the American Indian community sends the united message that the Yellowstone buffalo herd was and continues to be a significant symbol in their cultures.
VII. INTERVIEW DATA

"Things in time will heal. The people have to heal. They have to understand what's happening, not just to the buffalo, to the native people and to Mother Earth... The truth has to be heard [so that] healing begins."
--Ken Painte (Lakota elder), in Painte and Silk 2007

Data Sources

This study employs both written and oral data documenting the Buffalo Walk of 1999 and the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to two tribes. In the background chapters, primary attention was given to written sources providing information on these topics. In contrast, the data presented below represent primary oral sources related to the topic of the Buffalo Walk and Yellowstone buffalo. Theses sources include ethnographic interviews conducted by the author in 2007 with tribal consultants and Yellowstone park personnel, existing interviews on the topic, transcripts of Yellowstone National Park Tribal Consultation Meetings on the EIS for the Interagency Bison Management Plan held in 1998, and verbatim quotations from video documentary, internet, and news sources.

As a permanent record of a person’s thoughts and feelings, verbatim quotations are used here to put the background information on the Buffalo Walk and the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to the Lakota Sioux and Nez Perce people into context. Each of the quotations presented represents the thoughts, feelings, and general reality of the speaker (Fetterman 1998:123-124). Each quotation is a truth to the speaker. A sampling of quotations is presented below, along with a general characterization of the data collected.
Awareness of the Issues

From the oral sources examined, it is apparent that both Yellowstone park staff and tribal members are aware of the situation faced by the Yellowstone buffalo as identified in earlier chapters and the unique interest in the Yellowstone herd held by many American Indian groups. Park representatives Barbara Sutteer (former Liaison Office of Trust Responsibility for the Intermountain Region of the National Park Service), Laura Joss (former Branch Chief of Cultural Resources and Tribal Liaison at Yellowstone National Park at the time of the Buffalo Walk) and John Mack (former wildlife biologist, Yellowstone National Park) all expressed an understanding of the buffalo’s importance to American Indians in their comments at the 1998 tribal consultation meetings (Yellowstone National Park 1998a; 1998b; 1998c). As John Mack said at the August 21, 1998 tribal consultation at the Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation in South Dakota,

…we want to get as many people involved in learning about this issue [Yellowstone buffalo] because we know it’s important to the tribes. It’s important to individuals. It’s important to the whole country (in Yellowstone National Park 1998b).

Not only do the park employees mentioned recognize that the buffalo are an important resource to many American Indian groups, they also recognize the legal responsibility the park has to consult with American Indians. Mike Finley, who served as Yellowstone National Park’s Superintendent from 1994-2001, elaborates on this legal responsibility:

Not only do we have responsibilities toward all of the American people for the bison, but the Department of the Interior has a trust responsibility toward Native Americans…And [the] bison [are] very important, and we need to recognize in the overall management that we have a special group
of Americans by law that we should at least recognize their interest. And their interest is valid (in Kurtis 1998/1999).

From remarks made by park staff members both in the 1998 tribal consultations and in current ethnographic interviews, it is clear that the park needs more information about the unique relationship that various groups of American Indians have with the Yellowstone buffalo. It is not enough to know only that the relationship exists. Yellowstone National Park needs to know the nature of the connection and who possesses it, in order to manage the Yellowstone herd in a way that is sensitive to the cultures of these groups. As the relationship between the Park Service and American Indian groups continues to be built, communication between both sides is extremely important. The Buffalo Walk, Joss (2007) says, was a form of communication by the involved tribes that confirmed many of the statements made by tribal members at formal consultations, and reinforced the contemporary significance of the buffalo to the tribes.

In addition to an awareness of the situation faced by Yellowstone buffalo, both park staff members and tribal members demonstrate an awareness of the special significance of the Yellowstone herd. The terms pure, genetically pure, native, original, last free-ranging, eat natural medicines, and migrating were used by park staff members and tribal members to describe the Yellowstone buffalo. While both groups applied terms pointing to the Yellowstone herd’s derivation from the original herd of buffalo that once roamed the Plains and its continuously migratory nature, American Indian consultants further stressed the person-like qualities of the animals (i.e. sentient intelligence) and the effects of human policies on them:

…Although there are domesticated herds elsewhere, elders and Spiritual leaders have said the Yellowstone buffalo are important in that they are wild and still have natural wisdom. With their instinctive intelligence
intact, they can continue to serve their rightful place as keystone species of the ecosystem; essential to the survival of the earth and humankind. This we all know (Rosalie Little Thunder (Sicangu Lakota elder), Little Thunder 1999a).

We come back and we take a look at some of the rules and regulations that we have to live under. We can’t expect the animals to live underneath that, rules and regulations, and policies and procedures…That’s the whole thing…It hurts…Maybe they are smarter than us, and I know they are sometimes. But are we taking ourselves into destruction?…I hope not… (Ken Painte (Hunkpapa Lakota elder), Painte 2007).

In addition to the awareness of the situation faced by the buffalo, American Indians’ unique associations with the Yellowstone herd, and the herd’s significance, there are several other common threads in conversations about Yellowstone buffalo and the 1999 Buffalo Walk.

**Common Threads**

Each interview conducted for this project began with the grand tour question: Would you please talk about the Buffalo Walk? This question elicited responses from all consultants detailing their own involvement in the Buffalo Walk and memories of their experiences along the Walk. Responses to this question and conversations stemming from it tended to make up the bulk of many of the interviews. Because this question was posed to each consultant, it is possible to compare the response content across interviews. Four topics that came up repeatedly when consultants were asked to talk about the Buffalo Walk were: the purpose of the Buffalo Walk, the feeling of being a part of
something larger than the individual, reactions to the Walk by the park and others, and
the exploitation of the ceremony.

**Purpose of the Buffalo Walk and the Manner in Which It Was Conducted**

One topic that was stressed by many of the consultants who talked about the
Buffalo Walk of 1999 was their support of the Walk’s purpose. Park staff members and
tribal members identified *bringing attention to the buffalo issue; the life of the buffalo;*
*the genocide of the original people; youth and the next generation; the fulfillment of
dreams/visions; restoring the people, the buffalo, the earth and the stars in relationship
to one another; saving the buffalo; a call to treat the Earth and everything on it the right
way; walking for a healing blessing; and asking that the slaughter of buffalo be stopped*
as purposes of the walk. Consultants’ presence at the Buffalo Walk, affirmed their
agreement with its purpose. George Nell (Yellowstone seasonal interpretive park ranger)
describes his perception of the purpose of the Buffalo Walk,

> Whatever the plan is, I think the Native Americans to a large degree with
> this walk were just trying to yell out as hard as they could that these
> buffalo need to be treated right and so does the earth in general.
> Everything needs to be treated right (Nell 2007).

Reflecting on the Buffalo Walk eight years later, Ken Painte (Hunkpapa Lakota elder)
remembers,

> It turned out good…Everything was good. All we have to do is
> remembering what was it done for, and it was done for the life of the
> buffalo. Have things changed? How can we help change them without
> being cruel?… (Painte and Silk 2007).
In addition to identifying its purpose in their discussion of the 1999 Buffalo Walk, many tribal consultants also drew attention to the way in which the Buffalo Walk was conducted. Tribal consultants often described the actions of the Walkers as being conducted in a good way, in a sacred way, in prayer, or in a positive way.

A Part of Something Larger

As the participants in the Buffalo Walk proceeded on their journey in a good way, many felt as though they were part of something larger than their individual selves. Numerous consultants commented on the energy and power of the Walk and ceremony. When talking about the Buffalo Walk, one unnamed consultant said,

…it becomes and has a life of its own…and then when you leave it, it’s like you’ve left a major part of yourself (Anonymous, 2007).

George Nell (seasonal interpretive ranger at Yellowstone) describes the energy he felt the day the group walked through Gardiner and into the park:

Boy, the energy that day was…real sort of confusing, mixed up…and yet…you could feel something…you could sense there was something special going on. And part of that special was that these tribes all got together and finally had an agreement to get together and say, ‘Hey, we gotta make a big bang if possible on what’s going on with the buffalo of Yellowstone (Nell 2007).

Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota) describes what he felt while riding into Gardiner on February 27, 1999:

When we rode in that day, I could really feel the power there. A lot of sadness was there too…I think because of blood spilled in that area, what happened not just to the buffalo, but to our people (Painte and Silk 2007).
Some consultants named a higher power (i.e. Creator, *Wakan Tanka*, Spirits, God) in association with the energy and power felt during the Buffalo Walk. Many described transcendent experiences suggesting the intervention of a higher power on the Walk. One unnamed consultant, after describing herself as being crippled with rheumatoid arthritis talked about her miraculous ability to walk on the day that she carried the sacred bundle at the front of the Buffalo Walk:

It’s hard to remember anything about carrying it [the bundle]…I think I walked eleven miles that day, which I would never have thought possible. And here’s the miraculous thing…I didn’t know what was going on around me once that bundle was in my arms, because the bundle has a life of its own (Anonymous, 2007).

Several participants related accounts of another extraordinary incident that occurred along highway 89 south of Livingston in which a highway patrolman stopped the Walkers to investigate calls that had been received about a group of buffalo walking along the road. When the highway patrolman arrived on the scene he encountered only the Buffalo Walkers. Both tribal and park consultants related this incident. The Walkers were taken by surprise when the officer told them of the reports, but felt proud to be seen as buffalo:

The only buffaloes walking here is us (Gary Silk (Lakota rider) to highway patrolman, Painte and Silk 2007).

That was just us…walking in prayer. Some people see things that way…and it made us feel proud…We just went on with what we had to do (Ken Painte (Lakota elder), Painte and Silk 2007).

Bill Edwards, a wildlife biologist and plant ecologist who teaches at the Yellowstone Institute within the park, felt the presence of the Buffalo People as he walked into Gardiner with the group:
…I felt a presence on my right side and I looked up, and here it looked like a buffalo sitting on a horse. It was actually a warrior who had on a buffalo robe and the head of a buffalo and it looked just like a buffalo sitting on a horse…and that reminded me of what Joseph [Chasing Horse] had said about ‘you could not tell where the Indian began and the buffalo ended (Edwards 2007).

Reactions to the Buffalo Walk

A third topic that was repeatedly discussed by consultants as they talked about the Buffalo Walk was the reaction to the Walk shown by the park and observers along the way. Consultants unanimously agree that Yellowstone National Park was very welcoming to the Buffalo Walk. This choice of wording is likely related to Superintendent Mike Finley’s use of the word welcome in his opening remarks to the group as the Buffalo Walkers gathered inside the Roosevelt Arch for the ceremony:

We welcome you to Yellowstone and, more importantly, we welcome you home (in Franke 2005:240; Joss 1999:8, emphasis added).

In the words of the consultants on this project:

I think that everyone [at the park] was quite impressed that they did this [the Buffalo Walk] (Bill Edwards (teacher at the Yellowstone Institute), Edwards 2007).

I do remember that…there was a welcoming, kind of…they made it very obvious…I kind of vaguely remember having to go through some kind of gate or entrance thing and being allowed to go through…by them…nothing but welcoming. I can’t remember this one guy’s name, but I can still see his face, because he was smiling… (Anonymous 2007).

The purpose was good. And the ceremony that was done in the park was for a reason and well-accepted by the park people…welcomed by most…overall it was a good thing, good relationships were made between nations (Anonymous 2007).
Mike Finley, he said some good words. I really like what he said…[he] talked about ‘welcome the people back to the park’…That was good (Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota), Painte and Silk 2007).

Although the park’s overall reaction to the Walk was positive, some consultants found that some park personnel seemed to harbor ill feelings toward American Indians being in the park, while other consultants lamented that more park personnel were not present at the ceremony. The majority of ill feelings expressed toward the Walkers however, were not from park personnel, but rather from residents in towns the Walkers passed through on their way to Yellowstone. Many consultants noted that prejudice, lack of understanding, unhappy locals, bad local sentiment, and racism were encountered along the way. However, as Lakota elder Ken Painte puts these incidences into perspective:

…that’s way beside the point as long as there was some benefit that came to our relatives the buffalo (Painte and Silk 2007).

Exploitation of the Ceremony

The last topic repeatedly brought up by all consultants when talking about the Buffalo Walk was the exploitation and lack of respect for the ceremony exhibited by the A&E film crew that taped the ceremony inside the Roosevelt Arch on February 27, 1999. Many consultants brought up the fact that the ceremony performed by Gary Silk was videotaped against his and the rest of the group’s wishes. This led to the discussion of the exploitation of the Buffalo Walk and its purpose by the media, the distortion of facts
in the portrayal of the ceremony, the exploitation of the buffalo for money, and
ultimately, to comments on the importance of trust and the truth. Gary Silk spoke
candidly about the ceremony and the conditions under which it was shown to the world
on television and gave this message:

For hundreds of years our people have been exploited. Like the buffalo, we’ve all been exploited…Whoever filmed that documentary that came out on A&E…I lost my respect for him…When you did that, you took my spirit…You took a part of me…and he showed it all over the world…He took my spirit and made money off it (Painte and Silk 2007).

Purpose, energy, reaction, and exploitation: These were recurring topics among oral data collected on the Buffalo Walk and the significance of the Yellowstone herd. In the section on Data Analysis below, recurring themes that lie just below the surface of this data will be discussed and analyzed.
VIII. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

"Believing with Max Weber, that man is an animal suspended in webs of significance he himself has spun, I take culture to be those webs, and the analysis of it to be therefore not an experimental science in search of law but an interpretive one in search of meaning."

--Clifford Geertz 1973

This study of the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo and the 1999 Buffalo Walk is framed under the tenets of environmental psychology. Environmental psychology recognizes the human individual as both embedded in and actively defining and giving shape to the natural environment. Although other theories such as cultural ecology and political economy have been widely used in human-environment studies, environmental psychology is more appropriate to this study because of its attention to cultural and symbolic meanings.

Cultural Ecology

The theory of cultural ecology, most closely associated with anthropologist Julian Steward, identifies the environment as a super-organic factor that influences culture. In his *Theory of Culture Change*, Steward (1955:35) introduces the anthropological question of whether the environment dictates that human society adapt to it using one behavior, or whether there is a range of possible behaviors allowed by the environment. Either option suggests that culture is environmentally determined. Cultural ecology gives primary attention to those cultural features most closely involved in the utilization of the environment in culturally prescribed ways, i.e. subsistence practices. Steward calls this
group of features related to subsistence activities and economic arrangements the *cultural core* (Steward 1955:37). As the focus of this study is not the material cultural aspect of subsistence practices, but the symbolic aspect, a theoretical framework with symbolic focus is more appropriate.

**Political Economy**

Political economy and its subfield, political ecology, are theoretical frameworks commonly applied in studies of the human-environment relationship. Political economic theory, as it relates to the study of culture, uses institutional and economic constraints to explain human culture. In political economic theory, the value of a resource such as buffalo is compared across various uses of the resource (Anderson and Hill 1994:114). According to instrumental value theory, value is that which provides for cultural continuity and noninvidious social reproduction (O’Hara 1999:657). With its sole focus on the tangible value of resource use, political ecology lacks the framework needed to address symbolic components of culture.

**Environmental Psychology**

The theoretical framework that has been selected to guide this study is the cultural/symbolic approach to environmental psychology. Environmental psychology
takes the view that the human individual is both embedded in the environment and actively defines and gives shape to it (Williams and Patterson 1996:509). This approach differs markedly from the two approaches outlined above, one in which the environment dictates human behavior (cultural ecology) and the other in which human interaction with the environment is analyzed according to the value of resource use (political ecology). In the modern era, where most American Indians have a symbolic relationship with buffalo rather than a material one, in as much as their physical sustenance depends on it, it is necessary to utilize a theoretical framework that attends to the less tangible, symbolic components of culture.

Environmental psychology is an interdisciplinary theory integrating concepts from social psychology, human geography, sociology, anthropology, and other fields. Environmental psychology identifies four approaches to understanding the meanings that people ascribe to natural landscapes and places:

- inherent/aesthetic,
- instrumental/goal-related,
- individual/expressive,
- and cultural/symbolic (Williams and Patterson 1999:144).

This theory explores meanings of *place*, which Feld and Basso (1996:9) call “the most fundamental form of embodied experience—the site of a powerful fusion of self, space, and time.”
Inherent/Aesthetic Approach

The first approach, the inherent/aesthetic approach, assumes that aesthetic preferences and perceptions of scenic beauty are involuntary responses determined at a biological level as a predictable response to tangible features of the environment. This assumption follows Saegert and Winkel’s (1990:446-452) adaptive paradigm in environmental psychology. Under the adaptive paradigm, it is assumed that behavior, and therefore adaptation, is motivated by the goal of biological and psychological survival. Because the inherent/aesthetic approach shares many of the limitations of cultural ecology (i.e. the assumption that meaning is determined at the biological level with ignorance to the social, political and economic context), it will not be used here (Williams and Patterson 1996:510).

Instrumental/Goal-Oriented Approach

The second approach, the instrumental/goal-oriented approach, is apparent in traditional Anglo-American resource management and particularly in natural resource management practices of the twentieth century. Under the instrumental/goal-oriented approach, humans are seen as rational planners empowered to choose how best to appropriate resources based on consumptive needs and sustainable limits (McAvoy, et al. 2003:87-88). This assumption follows Saegert and Winkel’s (1990:452-457) opportunity structure/goal-directed paradigm in environmental psychology. Because the
instrumental/goal-oriented approach shares much in common with political ecology, through its focus on the goal-fulfilling potential (i.e. value) of the environment, it tends to overlook meanings associated with intangible aspects of natural resources (Williams and Patterson 1999:147). The third and fourth approaches to understanding landscape meanings described below address these intangible features.

**Individual/Expressive Approach**

The third approach, the individual/expressive approach, acknowledges the ability of individuals to assign intangible and relatively unique meanings to places. Unlike inherent/aesthetic and instrumental/goal-oriented meanings which can apply to features of a larger landscape, individual/expressive meanings typically apply to holistic places, not to their separable features (Williams and Patterson 1999:148). The individual/expressive approach can best be summed up in the concept of *place identity*. Individuals create personal meanings and bonds with a place that serve to define them as individuals. These place meanings may be different from those of the social group to which the individual belongs; like social group meanings, the individual meanings may also become the new meanings of the social group as the individual passes them on (Williams and Patterson 1999:148-149). While this approach addresses meaning associated with an individual’s sense of place, it does not address the collective meanings held by a cultural group as required by this study.
Cultural/Symbolic Approach

The last approach to Williams and Patterson’s place meaning typology, and the theory that will be applied to this study, is the cultural/symbolic approach. The assumption of the cultural/symbolic approach is that resources exist as places that people become attracted to and even attached to because they possess emotional, symbolic, and spiritual meaning (Williams and Patterson 1999:148). Under the cultural/symbolic approach places create a sense of history, spirituality, and cultural significance for an entire group (McAvoy, et al. 2003:88). Cultural significance is experienced as an intangible emotion or enduring affection for a place built up through a history of group experience in the place. It should be noted that this significance is often not apparent to those outside of the cultural group (Williams and Patterson 1999:152).

As indicated above, environmental psychology is a multidisciplinary field. The contributions of the anthropological field, specifically symbolic anthropology as conceived by Clifford Geertz, is noteworthy in this discussion of cultural/symbolic meaning. Clifford Geertz (1973:250) describes culture as

...a system of symbols by which man confers significance upon his own experience. Symbol systems, man-created, shared, conventional, ordered, and indeed learned, provide human beings with a meaningful framework for orienting themselves to one another, to the world around them, and to themselves.

In Geertzian (1973:14) thought culture is seen as a context, something within which social events, behaviors, institutions, and processes can be intelligibly—that is, thickly—described. Precisely what this study seeks to accomplish is the documentation and
description of the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo and the 1999 Buffalo
Walk within the cultural contexts of the Lakota Sioux and Nez Perce.

As discussed by Williams and Patterson (1996:512), the cultural/symbolic
approach has been little developed within the field of natural resource management. Feld
and Basso (1996:6) also write that ethnographic accounts centered on native
constructions of particular localities—which is to say, the collective perception and
experience of place—are few and far between. A recent paradigm shift in American land
management agencies from a commodity-centered approach to ecosystem management
has opened new avenues for the consideration of cultural/symbolic meanings. Ecosystem
management recognizes the broader context of resource management and the need to
connect the biophysical environment with the cultural environment of which it is a part.
This study demonstrates that the cultural/symbolic approach can be used by resource
managers to identify symbolic place and resource meanings for American national parks
that are not limited to the country’s ethnic and economic majority.

It should be noted that the discussion of environmental psychology above refers
only to places and landscapes, and not to individual resources such as buffalo. In “The
Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with
Guidelines for the Treatment of Cultural Landscapes,” cultural landscape is defined as a
geographic area (including both cultural and natural resources and the wildlife or
domestic animals therein) associated with a historic event, activity, or person or
exhibiting other cultural or aesthetic values (Birnbaum and Peters 1996:4). In the same
document, the term character-defining feature is defined as a prominent or distinctive
aspect, quality, or characteristic of a cultural landscape that contributes significantly to its
physical character (Birnbaum and Peters 1996:4). For the purposes of this study, I argue that for the cultural groups involved, buffalo are a character-defining feature and culturally central element of the Greater Yellowstone Area (GYA), and therefore may be usefully analyzed within the same framework as the landscape as a whole. Buffalo may also be classified as what Ortner calls *key symbols* in the Yellowstone cultural landscape because they stand as important symbolic units that formulate meanings in Lakota Sioux and Nez Perce culture (Ortner 1979:93).

In a recent discussion of the eligibility of animals to the United States National Register of Historic Place, Thomas King argues that animals can contribute to the character of a property: “…I think it is entirely appropriate to identify animals—as well as plants, of course—as contributing elements, or character-defining features, of a historic property, provided they actually do contribute to that property’s historic or cultural character” (King 2006:133). As the data gathered in the current study demonstrate, for the Lakota Sioux and the Nez Perce peoples, Yellowstone buffalo contribute enormously to both the historic and cultural character of the Greater Yellowstone Area. Events such as the 1999 Buffalo Walk, the National Day of Prayer for the Buffalo (March 6, 1997), the Nez Perce buffalo hunts in 2006 and 2007, and others continue to validate the ongoing symbolic importance of Yellowstone buffalo, and therefore the GYA, to these groups.
IX. DATA ANALYSIS

“Behavior must be attended to, and with some exactness, because it is through the flow of behavior—
or, more precisely, social action—that cultural forms find articulation... Whatever, or wherever, symbol systems ‘in their own terms’ may be, we gain empirical access to them by inspecting events, not by arranging abstract entities into unified patterns.”

—Clifford Geertz 1973

Collective Memory vs. Official History

Throughout the process of data collection it was clear which materials came from the collective memory of tribes, and which were included in the official history of Yellowstone buffalo and the park. While there is also a collective memory of the Buffalo Walk and other events honoring the buffalo among park staff members who participated in these events, the official history of Yellowstone buffalo is largely what has been written, published, and archived.

All park personnel who were interviewed about the Buffalo Walk talked about what they remembered and also referred to essays, poems, or journal entries they had written about the event. All of these materials were found to be part of Yellowstone’s records. These write-ups, whether they were requested of the employees by the park or simply a way for the individuals to record their own thoughts and feelings on the events, passed from memory to history when they were added to the park’s records.

All of the write-ups on the Buffalo Walk and other ceremonies are valuable bodies of information, reflective of the context in which they were written. Each of the write-ups provide information about the author, time period and situation in which they were written. Laura Joss’s “Tatanka Oyate Mani—They Walk for the Buffalo People,” George Nell’s “Recollection’s of the Buffalo Walk in Yellowstone National Park,” and
Bill Edwards’ “Spirits Rising,” are invaluable resources. They are first-hand accounts with intricate details of events witnessed. They are written by people who care deeply about the Yellowstone buffalo. Like the American Indians who walked and rode to Yellowstone, these authors articulated their personal interest in preserving and protecting the Yellowstone herd. They supported the Walk administratively, logistically by securing food and accommodations for the Walkers and their horses, and spiritually by their presence and prayers. However, even though they have participated in ceremonies and events alongside American Indian friends, they write these essays as outsiders to American Indian culture. Without being a part of American Indian culture, park staff members, newspaper columnists, scholars, and any well-intentioned writer (including the author) adding to the official history of the park on the topic of the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo are writing on the topic as outsiders.

These words are not meant to discredit these accounts in the least. The intent is to point out that to fully and responsibly document the 1999 Buffalo Walk and the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to the Lakota Sioux and the Nez Perce, American Indian voices need to be heard. The current project is a first step toward including American Indian voices in the official history of the 1999 Buffalo Walk and Yellowstone buffalo. These voices and analyses follow.
The Meaning of Yellowstone Buffalo

Under the cultural/symbolic approach to place meaning, places embody a sense of history, spirituality, and cultural significance for an entire group of people (McAvoy, et al. 2003:88). The Yellowstone buffalo herd creates this sense for both the Lakota Sioux and the Nez Perce people. While the Yellowstone herd carries special significance to both the Lakota Sioux and the Nez Perce, each group has a unique association to the Yellowstone buffalo. It would be a mistake to refer to the two groups as one culture and to imply that information or conclusions reached about one tribal group are representative of the other (Jostad, et al. 1996:570). These unique associations are illustrated in the narrative analysis below.

Themes

In chapter seven on Interview Data, oral data from park staff members and tribal members was organized around recurring topics in order to give a general characterization of the data collected. In this section, themes having to do with the Lakota and Nez Perce relationships with the Yellowstone buffalo are presented and analyzed. These themes were not topics of conversation, but rather recurred in the way that comments were framed on various subjects, from the Buffalo Walk, to the significance of Yellowstone buffalo, to the management of the Yellowstone herd. By the way in which Lakota and Nez Perce individuals talk about the buffalo, their beliefs about
the Yellowstone herd’s cultural significance can be inferred. The sources of the data discussed in this section are identical to those discussed in the Data section.

In analyzing comments made by Lakota Sioux people about the Buffalo Walk and the Yellowstone buffalo, three common themes were identified:

- The Lakota people are willing to suffer/sacrifice for the buffalo, as the buffalo have done for them in the past.
- The Lakota people and the buffalo share an inseparable destiny.
- The Lakota people believe that all 2-legged and 4-leggeds are equal, and that all must unite to save the Yellowstone buffalo.

In analyzing comments made by Nez Perce tribal members about the Buffalo Walk and the Yellowstone buffalo, two common themes were identified:

- The Nez Perce people believe that the Yellowstone buffalo ought to be free to migrate where they choose.
- Hunting buffalo in the Yellowstone area was historically, and continues to be important to Nez Perce tribal sustenance, of body and of spirit.

**Lakota Themes**

The first theme identified from Lakota oral data is that the Lakota people are willing to suffer and sacrifice for the buffalo. This willingness to suffer for the buffalo stems from the relationship that the Lakota have had with the buffalo since the beginning of time. In the Lakota creation story when the ancestors of the Lakota people were lured to the surface of the earth, they found the environment on the earth inhospitable and faced starvation. Taking pity on the people, the buffalo sacrificed himself so that the people would have food, shelter, and everything that they needed to survive. At that time,
Lakota people entered into a reciprocal relationship with the buffalo, a contract based on gift-giving between the Lakota people and the buffalo (Mauss 1967:6).

Today, when the Lakota people see the slaughter of Yellowstone buffalo, they are willing and obligated to suffer for their relatives, the buffalo. Whether this sacrifice takes the form of a 507-mile journey known as the Buffalo Walk, a flesh offering given at the Mammoth School in Yellowstone, or the suffering displayed in Gary Silk’s Sun Dance ceremony inside the Roosevelt Arch, the Lakota people are prepared to give of themselves for their brother the buffalo. The quotations below illustrate this willingness.

Now we have to do the same for him, the buffalo, because now his future and his life is at stake. We’re only returning a favor that the buffalo people gave to us back in the beginning of time (Joseph Chasing Horse (Sicangu Lakota), in Indian Country Today 1999).

That’s what this whole walk was about. No matter how cold it was, no matter how much snow fell on us, we had to finish this walk…It’s more than just a walk, it’s spiritual unity and there’s a lot of meaning to this walk. We’re the first generation in one hundred years to make this journey…My ancestors have been making this journey for thousands of years, following the buffalo, praying this way, sacrificing this way—this is nothing new to us (Nathan Chasing Horse (Sicangu Lakota), in Kurtis 1998/1999).

I kept having these dreams that this buffalo was laying there…I don’t know if he was dying, or shot, or whatever, but he was trying to get up. So in this dream I had, I hooked up to him and tried to pick him up (Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota), in Kurtis 1998/1999).

As a member of the Teton Nation, Hunkpapa clan of Standing Rock Indian Reservation we are bound to Rapid City, South Dakota to support a walk/ride/run of Unity to save the Buffalo. Members of Standing Rock are willing to suffer for their Brothers and Sisters the Buffalo. We are all equal who live on Mother Earth at the beginning; we need to come back to that for the Healing of all Nations. Wambli Sapa (Ken Painte (Hunkpapa Lakota elder), in Sacred Walk to Save the Buffalo 1999).

I was very proud to say that I was part of it [the Buffalo Walk]…all these things that took place, because it was miserable sometimes but that’s what you have to put up with, you know during that suffering and sacrifice for
what your prayers...what you’re asking for (Ken Painte (Hunkpapa Lakota elder), Painte and Silk 2007).

So I’ve seen the power of prayer...how people make that ultimate sacrifice to make things happen (Ken Painte (Hunkpapa Lakota elder), Painte and Silk 2007).

The second theme identified in the Lakota oral data is that the Lakota people believe that they share an inseparable destiny with the buffalo. The Lakota believe that the genocide of the American Indian people and the genocide of the migrating buffalo are one in the same. This belief is also tied to the reciprocal relationship established between the Lakota people and the buffalo people at the beginning of time. The Lakota and their relatives the buffalo share a history of coexistence followed by slaughter and confinement (to reservations and protected lands, respectively) at the hands of Euro-Americans during the nineteenth century. With this shared history, the Lakota see the slaughter of the Yellowstone buffalo as being tied to the slaughter of the Lakota people. The quotations below express the interconnection of the Lakota people with the buffalo.

We told them [the commissioners sent by the president] that the supernatural powers, Taku Wakan, had given to the Lakotas the buffalo for food and clothing. We told them that where the buffalo ranged, that was our country. We told them that the country of the buffalo was the country of the Lakotas. We told them that the buffalo must have their country and the Lakotas must have the buffalo (Red Cloud (nineteenth century Oglala Lakota leader), in Walker 1980:138-139).

The elders say, ‘They’re killing us again.’ There is no separation. People say, ‘Every single buffalo they kill, they’re killing another Indian. You know, that’s how people feel. That’s how I feel. It’s an act of genocide (Rosalie Little Thunder (Sicangu Lakota elder), in Kurtis 1998/1999).

Like the two sides of the buffalo/Indian-head nickel, we are synonymous; two sides of a single coin. We, and the buffalo, share a common history that we dare not forget. We may be generations and miles removed from the buffalo, but according to the wisdom of thousands of years of existence in the natural world and interdependence with the buffalo, we
hold a belief; a prophesy of an inseparable destiny (Rosalie Little Thunder (Sicangu Lakota elder), Little Thunder 1999b).

I humbly ask all nations to respect our way of life, because in our prophesies, if there is no buffalo, then life as we know it will cease to exist (Arvol Looking Horse (Lakota spiritual leader), in LaDuke 2000:66).

They call me Tatanka Cante. I am a Dakota Native. I’m on this ride and walk to Yellowstone, because I really believe the Buffalo Nation are our Relatives. I have rode for almost 12 years around our sacred lands for Unity and for survival for all Mankind. So when I see the slaughter, the killing of our relatives, it really upsets me because I can see these strange people don't understand our ways, the natural law that these kind of people would hurt their own kind their children for greed, jealousy, hatred. We, the people of this continent have shared our heart with you we have shared our mother with you, our food with you. And then we have to see you killing our relatives. You killed over 100 million of them. I came here to support my relative, because I remember what you have done to us. I feel sorry for you… (Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota), in Sacred Walk to Save the Buffalo 1999).

We as the four colors of man, we have to come together. We have to stop slaughtering each other. We lost respect for Mother Earth. We lost respect for each other… We come face to face with each other every day, and they could be our own relatives and we wouldn’t even know who they are. That’s how much we’ve lost (Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota), Painte and Silk 2007).

My sons are the dawn of that seventh generation. We are at a time in history when we have come as far as we can go. We must develop a river of knowledge where all sources of knowledge can come together. If the buffalo goes, according to our winter count and our stories, we all go (Joseph Chasing Horse (Sicangu Lakota), in Adkins 1999b:24).

Our language and culture is ten thousand years old, compared to your culture that has been here only five hundred years. We have never given up our ceremonies, our language, our way of life. We’ve never given up our stories. To this day, in our histories, we don’t know where the people begin and the buffalo leave off (Joseph Chasing Horse (Sicangu Lakota), in Adkins 1999b:24).

[The killings are]…really devastating to not only the Buffalo Nation but to the Indian nations as well. We believe that the way they treat the buffalo is the way that they treat the Indians (Ethelyne Ironcloud (Lakota), in LaDuke 2000:66).
…For thousands of years these buffalo have had a very intimate relationship with tribal people. We are the same. As a matter of fact, if you go back in time a little bit, our legends and our stories, creation stories say that we are the same, we come from exactly the same place. No matter how hard you try, you can’t separate the Indian people from buffalo. That is not even possible (Fred Dubray (Lakota), in United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service 2000:810).

…What I am saying is that to me when they kill buffalo in Yellowstone it’s just like killing my father, mother, brother and sisters (Eagle Hunter (Lakota), in Yellowstone National Park 1998b).

The third theme that became evident upon examination of the Lakota oral data is that the Lakota people believe in the equality of all 2-legged and 4-legged creatures. This belief is expressed by the Lakota term mitakuye oyasin, which means “all my relatives” or “all my relations.” Along with the statements of equality, Lakota consultants also repeated the need for all colors of man to unite to save their relative, the buffalo. The Buffalo Walk of 1999 was an expression of intertribal unity, in which people from various tribes showed solidarity in the name of the buffalo. The quotations below reflect the Lakota’s call for unity, and for all creatures to be treated equally.

(On the Buffalo Walk ceremony…) When we have these ceremonies we usually don’t share it with the world like this, but this had to be done to show the world that all these tribes who came here…that we could unite and put all our ceremonies into one (Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota), in Kurtis 1998/1999).

We as the four colors of man, we have to come together. We have to stop slaughtering each other. We lost respect for Mother Earth. We lost respect for each other…We come face to face with each other every day, and they could be our own relatives and we wouldn’t even know who they are. That’s how much we’ve lost (Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota), Painte and Silk 2007).

We’re all equal as two-leggeds…It doesn’t make a difference what color you are, because there’s no such thing as color in the spirit world (Ken Painte (Hunkpapa Lakota elder), Painte 2007).
We need to all go, strong together with spirituality and life, now. And that’s what He gave us. That’s two of the gifts that he gave us...Spirituality and life is very strong gifts. And we need to realize that, that we’re all equal, no matter what color we are as we walk as two-leggeds...or four-leggeds (Ken Painte (Hunkpapa Lakota elder), Painte 2007).

The Lakota for many years have communicated with the Buffalo Oyate. But a difficult time was coming so the communication had stopped and through the Buffalo people a woman came to the Lakota people with a gift that empowered the Lakota both spiritually, physically and politically. Now our Buffalo brothers are being mercilessly slaughtered close to extinction and need our help. To give our help we must walk and through this walk of unity and solidarity will come a healing blessing for those involved. I salute the light within your eyes in which your spirit dwells (Everett Poor Thunder (Lakota), in Sacred Walk to Save the Buffalo 1999).

From the three themes discussed above, it can be seen that the Yellowstone buffalo have tremendous symbolic significance to Lakota Sioux culture. Not only do the Yellowstone buffalo give the Sioux a sense of their history as a people, their very existence can signify the perpetuation or annihilation of the Lakota people. Although background research points to the material importance of the buffalo to the Lakota people as much as it does to the spiritual and symbolic importance, it seems from contemporary discussions that it is the symbolic significance that most strongly pervades the Lakota culture and way of thinking to this day. However, with the return of the buffalo to American Indian reservations, the restoration of the material significance of buffalo to future Lakota generations seems a viable possibility.
One theme identified from Nez Perce oral data is that the Nez Perce people believe that the Yellowstone buffalo ought to be free to migrate where they choose. The majority of these comments came in the context of comments on the Nez Perce tribal buffalo hunts during the winters of 2005-2006 and 2006-2007. In the second and third quotations below, a direct connection is made between the Nez Perce belief that the Yellowstone buffalo should be allowed to roam free without being sent to slaughter and the fact that this movement of buffalo outside the park allows for the Nez Perce buffalo hunt to take place under their 1855 treaty rights. The last quotation likens the federal government’s control over the buffalo to the control it exercises over the American Indian people. All three quotations below point to the fact that the Nez Perce people believe that the Yellowstone buffalo should be given the freedom to roam, and should not be confined within the boundaries of the park.

Our strong cultural, spiritual and religious ties to this land and the bison spur us to take a strong stance on how we view ‘sound management.’ The bison is more to us than a resource to be seen in a park, but spiritual and must be allowed to remain wild and free (Samuel N. Penny, Nez Perce Tribal Chairman (Nez Perce Tribe), Penny 1999).

What you see here is us being able to continue living the way we always have, and that’s all we want to do. We want to strengthen this for the buffalo. The buffalo obviously need to have habitat out here….If they are having all these permits, they need to have the animals out here living, living life… (James Holt, Nez Perce Fish and Wildlife Commissioner (Nez Perce Tribe), in Buffalo Field Campaign 2007).

What allows us to come over here and hunt buffalo is the treaty right of 1855, but it all depends on the buffalo. That treaty right means nothing without the buffalo here. The NPS has sent hundreds of them off to slaughter. And we came over here and took six. So it shows you the disparity here. That’s not the way it should be. They should be able to go
out of the park and live as free animals as they are, like we choose to live where we want. The buffalo deserve that same respect and honor (James Holt, Nez Perce Fish and Wildlife Commissioner (Nez Perce Tribe), in Cheen 2006:1).

This federal management plan that affects them [the Yellowstone buffalo] is the same federal management plan that affects us. It’s all about termination, control, and exercising the power they have because it’s there, rather than because it’s right (James Holt, Nez Perce Fish and Wildlife Commissioner (Nez Perce Tribe), in Buffalo Field Campaign 2007).

A second theme identified in the Nez Perce oral data is that hunting buffalo in the Yellowstone area was historically important to the Nez Perce, and continues to be important to Nez Perce tribal sustenance, both bodily and spiritually. Throughout the eighteenth century and into the late 1800s, buffalo hunting east of the Bitterroot Mountains was an important part of Nez Perce subsistence. Until the decimation of the great herds in the mid-nineteenth century, the buffalo were a major source of tribal sustenance.

During more than a century of traveling east to the Plains to hunt buffalo, the Nez Perce created an enduring sense of place connected to these eastern hunting grounds. Directly tied to this cultural significance of this area is the buffalo that they hunted there. As James Holt, Nez Perce Fish and Wildlife Commissioner (Nez Perce Tribe) says in reference to the 2006 Nez Perce tribal hunt at Yellowstone, “This hunt wouldn’t mean anything if we were coming over here to hunt elk or antelope. It’s for the buffalo why we’re here and we always have to remember that” (in Cheen 2006:1). The quotations below express the enduring sense of place that the Nez Perce people hold for the Yellowstone area and for its most culturally significant feature, the buffalo.

This is an affirmation of our treaty rights. A long time ago, our people went on an annual journey to buffalo country. They’d stay there a year or
two, cure their hides, make robes, dry the meat and come back to share it with the people. My grandmother had seven buffalo robes from those trips (elder Horace Axtell (Nez Perce Tribe), in Associated Press 2006).

We’ve come over and received bison meat before, but never have we been able to come over and hunt them as our ancestors did…The Nez Perce are from this land. I am honored to be here with my people doing this. It’s been a long time (Larry Green, Nez Perce Tribal Executive Committee member and father of Nez Perce youth hunter (Nez Perce Tribe), in Cheen 2006:5).

We’re trying to gain that back [eroded traditions] and instruct and teach our youth. Our children are everything, and it’s important that tradition continues and does not die (Adam Villacicenio, Nez Perce Tribal Conservation Officer (Nez Perce Tribe), in Bohrer 2006).

This is a great day for the Indian people. I pray that we will be able to connect with our past. I pray for our future, that this will be taken in a good way and not a negative way. I hope people can learn by having our children at the forefront of something historic. This is a day that can never be taken away from them, something they’ll take when they meet their maker and will be able to report to their ancestors and make them proud (Justin Gould, 2006 Nez Perce Tribal Hunt Quality Control Officer (Nez Perce Tribe), in Associated Press 2006).

The Nez Perce Tribe values its past. We are proud of our cultural, spiritual, and religious ties to the Yellowstone and the bison. We are saddened by the disrespect cast upon such a great, and revered spirit. We are striving to bring back the respect of this great and wonderful spirit lacking in the mindless, brutal slaughter being practiced at present. The slaughter that was started over a hundred years ago to keep the bison from the Native American people. To us it seems that this is happening again. Very few other people can possibly feel the pain, loss, and anger of losing a way of life. The loss of spiritual sustenance (Samuel N. Penny, Nez Perce Tribal Chairman (Nez Perce Tribe), Penny 1999).

So there’s a lot tied up in us being out here, to me…It’s not just to hunt, it’s to provide for my family. It’s to honor the ancestors. It’s also to speak for the buffalo today, our family today, and make sure my son and his grandson, and his grandson have a right to come up here and do this too, with their brothers. So that’s what this means to me (James Holt, Nez Perce Fish and Wildlife Commissioner (Nez Perce Tribe), in Buffalo Field Campaign 2007).

Our people are dying from diabetes. Our people are dying from all these health problems that we have on the reservation. And many of their
people are people that had ties to the buffalo that were severed…one hundred and fifty, one hundred and sixty, one hundred and eighty years ago. They’re the ones that are still hurting today…And so this, right here [the Nez Perce buffalo hunt], provides us an opportunity to strengthen how we live on this earth. It strengthens that resolve, that respect, that honor and that dignity that we have to live on this earth every day. That’s what that means for us (James Holt, Nez Perce Fish and Wildlife Commissioner (Nez Perce Tribe), in Buffalo Field Campaign 2007).

If cultural significance is experienced as an intangible emotion or enduring affection for a place built up through a history of group experience in a place, then it can be said that the Yellowstone area is culturally significant to the Nez Perce people (Williams and Patterson 1999:152). From the two themes discussed above, it can be seen that the Yellowstone buffalo are a key symbol contributing to Yellowstone’s cultural significance to the Nez Perce. The act of coming to the Yellowstone area to hunt buffalo plays a role in sustaining the Nez Perce as a people, both spiritually and physically. The contemporary tribal hunts held by the Nez Perce in the winters of 2006 and 2007, are crucial in allowing Nez Perce tribal members to live out this place meaning and to reclaim a part of their culture (McAvoy, et al. 2003:100-101). Although the contemporary hunters have not taken buffalo in numbers enough to sustain the tribe materially as their ancestors did, the symbolic act of coming to the Yellowstone area to hunt buffalo creates a sense of history, spirituality, and cultural significance for the Nez Perce people (McAvoy, et al. 2003:88).
X. DATA GAPS & RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

“Reaching fieldwork goals comes agonizingly slowly.  
You won’t achieve some goals—or won’t realize that you did until long after you leave the field.  
You’ll achieve others only by fundamentally reshaping a portion of your project.  
You will see new goals to aim at, far more important than your original set.”

—Handwerker 2001

Data Gaps

Due mainly to logistical constraints, there are a number of gaps in the data collected for this study. At the outset of this project, several objectives were identified. These objectives included gathering and synthesizing existing information on the 1999 Buffalo Walk and interviewing four tribal members who played key roles in the 1999 Buffalo Walk. These tribal members were to be interviewed at both the Roosevelt Arch and the Stephen’s Creek Corral about the circumstances of the 1999 Buffalo Walk and asked about its significance as well as the cultural significance to their respective tribes. Due to logistical difficulties beyond the control of the researcher, the interviews of tribal members at Yellowstone National Park did not take place. Because of the power that places have to evoke memories and to stir emotion, it would have been most desirable to interview tribal members on-site in Yellowstone National Park about the Buffalo Walk and the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo. In place of the on-site interviews, the project researcher conducted telephone interviews with as many of the tribal members who played key roles in the Buffalo Walk as were willing and able to be interviewed over the telephone in the time allowed by the project. For this reason, not all of the key players in the Buffalo Walk were interviewed for this project; none were interviewed on-site at Yellowstone.
Perhaps in future studies of this kind, some of the logistical limitations of asking tribal members to take time off from their jobs and families to travel a long distance to Yellowstone could be alleviated by sending the project researcher along with the park representative(s) to the locations of the consultants, or by starting the process earlier in the time allowed by the project. Based on tribes’ reactions to consultations where park representatives have traveled to the reservation to consult (i.e. to the reservations of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and the Oglala Sioux Tribe in 2002 (Sucec 2002:5)), it is likely that this approach would be well-received. If a second Buffalo Walk does indeed occur in the fall of 2007, it could present an opportunity for park managers to meet with tribal members at the park to talk about the relationship between the tribes and the Yellowstone buffalo.

Because of the logistical issues discussed above and the dispersed geographic locations of the project consultants, telephone interviews were used to collect the majority of the original data. Ideally, in-person interviews would have been conducted with each consultant on-site. However, since this was not an option due to budgetary and time constraints, telephone interviews were conducted and digitally recorded instead. In all, eight original interviews were collected. Existing interview data and consultation transcripts were used to supplement this small data set.
Further Research

When the next Buffalo Walk takes place and the park is notified about the event, it would be helpful if the park expressed its interest in documenting the event early on to the organizers. If Buffalo Walk organizers know ahead of time of the park’s interest and intentions in documenting the Walk and its place in the history of Yellowstone, organizers or participants may want to cooperate in the documentation as the event unfolds. Based on the concern expressed by many consultants about various media outlets’ exploitation of the Buffalo Walk in 1999, it is important to establish from the onset a truthful and collaborative effort to document any future Buffalo Walk.

In addition to the documentation of a future Buffalo Walk, studies similar to this one focusing on the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to park-associated tribes could be a tremendous asset to park managers in planning, compliance, and visitor education having to do with the Yellowstone buffalo. Of Yellowstone’s 26 traditionally associated tribes, this study reports on the significance of the park’s buffalo to two culture groups. The fact that this is the only study of its kind, documenting the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to two American Indian groups, suggests that there are other park-associated tribes and most likely other American Indian groups who are interested or concerned about the park’s buffalo, whose views have not been formally documented. Because of the limited resources and heavy workload faced by Yellowstone staff, it would be unrealistic to suggest that they take on the task of all of these cultural significance studies at once.
To navigate this situation, it is suggested that the park forge relationships with the tribal colleges of park-associated tribes. In cooperation with Yellowstone, qualified tribal students under faculty supervision could conduct similar research on the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to their own people. Tribal colleges routinely include the incorporation of traditional knowledge into academic careers and larger society as part of their mission and have departments and courses dedicated to culture, cultural preservation and cultural resource management. Students on these academic paths would be well-suited to conduct a study of this type. Not only would this be an opportunity for the qualified student to conduct an ethnographic research project and to have a positive experience working in partnership with the federal government, but the location of the researcher within the culture being studied and with access to many cultural consultants could alleviate some of the logistical issues that were encountered in the current project. This would also provide the National Park Service an avenue to continue establishing and cultivating relationships with tribal communities.
XI. A DISCUSSION OF MANAGEMENT OPTIONS FOR THE NPS

“It’s important to keep the history alive, because as park administrators leave... that turns over the power and the memory of this can fade.

But it doesn’t fade for the tribes. And it doesn’t fade for the buffalo...

Park Service people have a duty to keep it alive...If we don’t keep it alive, the tribes will.”

–Carolyn Duckworth, Yellowstone National Park Division of Publications and Bear Creek Council member, in Duckworth 2005

Common Goals

In considering Yellowstone National Park’s options for managing park buffalo as a cultural or ethnographic resource, the data brings into relief that the park and the tribes share a common goal: conserving Yellowstone buffalo for future generations. This is part of the legal mandate of the National Park Service as outlined in the Organic Act. To the Nez Perce, tribal buffalo hunting trips to the Yellowstone area are an important way to reconnect with their tribal culture and history, which rejuvenates their tribal identity as Nez Perce. The Lakota Sioux believe that the survival of their people is dependent on the survival of the buffalo. Each of these groups has legitimate interest in the conservation of the Yellowstone herd. Each of these groups also desires that the Buffalo Walk of 1999 and its purpose are recorded and kept alive in the memory of Yellowstone National Park. The best way to achieve these goals is through cooperation and open communication between parties.
Planning, Compliance, and Interpretation at Yellowstone

It is vital that park managers have knowledge and awareness of the cultural significance that tribes ascribe to ethnographic resources in the park. Access to the information in this report and in similar studies as proposed above, allows park managers to enter into consultation with associated tribes already cognizant of the relationship of the tribes to park resources. Not only can this knowledge make consultations more productive, but its possession also demonstrates to tribes that the Park Service recognizes the contribution that cultural knowledge can make to resource management. The park’s Ethnographic Resources Inventory (ERI) database will house information from this report pertinent to Yellowstone buffalo and the 1999 Buffalo Walk, making it easily accessible to park managers.

The proposed revegetation project for the area near Stephen’s Creek Corral Facility and inside the Roosevelt Arch where the Buffalo Walk ceremony took place was discussed in interviews with Ken Painte (Hunkpapa Lakota elder) and Gary Silk (Hunkpapa Lakota). Both consultants reacted positively to the revegetation project. Gary Silk suggested that the entire area from inside the Roosevelt Arch into Yankee Jim Canyon be revegetated with native plants.

These two consultants were also asked whether they consider the area where the ceremony took place inside of the Arch near the large rock where the Sun Dance ceremony took place to be a sacred site. Both responded that they do consider the area to be sacred. Both men elaborated however, that the boundaries of this sacred site extend well beyond the Triangle Area. Gary Silk identified the area inside the Arch all the way
to Yankee Jim Canyon as sacred. Ken Painte identified the site of the ceremony as well
as the park and everything in it as being sacred. To explain his holistic perspective,
Painte likened the human body to Mother Earth: the dirt as our skin, the rocks as our
bones, the water as the blood in our veins, and the air as our breath. He explained that
when we clog the rivers we block the blood to our hearts, when we crush the rocks to
build roads in the name of progress we’re crushing our own bones, and when we scratch
the earth by strip mining it’s like tearing a piece of flesh from our bodies. After giving
explanation that everything is interconnected, Painte further clarified that Yellowstone is
not sacred because of the buffalo that are there, it is sacred because of “…everything,
everything that’s there in place” (Painte 2007).

Consultant suggestions for interpreting the Buffalo Walk to park visitors include
sharing the story of the Walk with visitors and placing a statue at the site of the ceremony
(of a buffalo, an Indian warrior, or an Indian and a park ranger shaking hands (suggested
by Silk in Painte and Silk 2007)) along with an interpretive sign to symbolize what
happened there and to give a message for the future. Another suggestion by Gary Silk for
onsite interpretation was a statue of a man dragging two buffalo skulls as Silk did in the
ceremony at that site (personal communication, June 19, 2007). Silk stressed that it
should be something to “benefit all the people” and not offend anyone (Painte and Silk
2007).

In addition to interpretation of the Buffalo Walk at the site of the ceremony inside
the Roosevelt Arch, Silk also expressed an interest in seeing interpretive centers at the
“four corners of the park,” at the north, south, east, and west gates of Yellowstone. At
the north gate, Silk suggests that a building in the shape of a huge buffalo skull be built to
interpret the Yellowstone buffalo. Standing two to three stories high, the buffalo skull-shaped building would house an auditorium and a museum dedicated to the interpretation of Yellowstone buffalo and people’s relationship to this special herd (personal communication, June 19, 2007).

**Resource Categories**

As Peter Nabokov (2006:91-110, 206-222) points out in his study of American Indian sacred sites, resources such as Yellowstone National Park are conceptualized differently by American Indians than by most Euro-Americans. To American Indians, resources like Yellowstone National Park or Yellowstone buffalo are not classified into categories such as natural resources, cultural resources, or economic resources. Rather, American Indians do not separate the secular from the sacred, and as Nabokov (2006:209) writes “no amount of cash could substitute for sacred lands”. Stoffle, et al. (1997:4) agree that “despite the legal basis for and widespread use of resource-specific studies, these procedures for classifying and managing American Indian cultural resources do not fit, and in some cases are quite meaningless with respect to, the ways in which many American Indian people view cultural resources.”

One way for park managers to remedy the inequity of Park Service and American Indian ways of categorizing resources is to utilize the many features of the ERI database. By cross-referencing features of an entry like ‘bison’ or ‘buffalo’, park managers can collect information about the cultural significance of buffalo to associated peoples, uses
of buffalo, associated peoples’ view of the condition of Yellowstone buffalo and suggestions on maintaining it, as well as records of consultations with associated peoples about buffalo (Schoepfle 2001:7). In this way, park managers, too, can work toward comprehending a more holistic view of buffalo and use this perspective when entering into consultation with associated tribes or beginning an environmental assessment concerning buffalo.

Another way for the park to reconcile its own isolated resource categories with the holistic American Indian view of places and resources is to consider applying a more holistic resource category to the Yellowstone buffalo. Two examples of these designations are traditional cultural property and cultural landscape. In the past, the ITBC has claimed that Yellowstone buffalo should be protected under the American Indian Religious Freedom Act or as an object under the National Historic Preservation Act (Franke 2005:239-240). Neither of these requests were granted. A discussion of holistic resource categories and their capabilities for including Yellowstone buffalo follows.

In National Register Bulletin 38, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Traditional Cultural Properties, a traditional cultural property (TCP) is defined as one “that is eligible for inclusion in the National Register because of its association with cultural practices or beliefs of a living community that (a) are rooted in that community’s history, and (b) are important in maintaining the continuing cultural identity of the community” (Parker and King 1990). Types of properties included in the National Register of Historic places are buildings, structures, and sites; groups of buildings, structures or sites forming historic districts; landscapes; and individual objects. Because
buffalo are neither buildings, structures, sites, landscapes or objects (see discussion in King 2006:130), they cannot be included in the National Register of Historic Places under these headings. One could argue that the Greater Yellowstone Area is a traditional cultural property to some native groups and that the Yellowstone buffalo are a contributing element to the park’s significance. However, there is no published National Register definition of contributing element. There are definitions of a contributing resource, specific features, and important decorative elements, none of whose interpretations include living animals (King 2006:131).

A second holistic designation under which Yellowstone buffalo could be considered is as a character-defining feature of a cultural landscape. In the case of landscapes, living things do receive consideration. In The Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for the Treatment of Cultural Landscapes, cultural landscape is defined as a geographic area (including both cultural and natural resources and the wildlife or domestic animals therein) associated with a historic event, activity, or person or exhibiting other cultural or aesthetic values (Birnbaum and Peters 1996:4). In the same document, character-defining feature is defined as a prominent or distinctive aspect, quality, or characteristic of a cultural landscape that contributes significantly to its physical character (Birnbaum and Peters 1996:4). One could argue that the Greater Yellowstone Area is a cultural landscape whose significance, character, and integrity are defined by several features, one of which is the Yellowstone buffalo herd.

As discussed above, several consultants recognize the cultural significance of the Yellowstone area. They see the Yellowstone buffalo as what amounts to a character-
defining feature of the cultural landscape there. In the case of the living communities to which these consultants belong and whose cultures ascribe great significance to the Yellowstone buffalo herd, any changes to the herd, including its treatment under the IBMP are of serious concern because of their effect on the cultural landscape. In Lakota Sioux and the Nez Perce perceptions of the landscape, Yellowstone buffalo contribute to the historical and cultural character of the Greater Yellowstone Area and should be considered in any federal decisions that may affect them under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

The guidelines for the evaluation and documentation of traditional cultural properties and cultural landscapes are both relatively new (1990 and 1996, respectively). Because of this there are few precedents to refer to in determining eligibility for these resource categories. Keeper of the National Register, Carol Shull (2001:455, wrote that “additional technical information is needed on how to document TCPs, as are good examples of studies.” The same is true of cultural landscapes. Shull also calls on the National Park Service to provide leadership by conducting these studies within units of the national park system and to provide national models of how to do this work. As the nation’s first national park, Yellowstone has always been a precedent setting park; it has the opportunity once again to serve as a national model in its treatment of Yellowstone buffalo as an ethnographic resource.
XII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

“The incorporation or consideration of another worldview, in this case that of Native Americans, can change the ways of perceiving the problem or issue, can change the types and sources of information gathered, can change the decision-making process, can change the nature and range of possible outcomes, and can make some outcomes simply not acceptable because they contradict ethical considerations.”

--Jontad, et al. 1996

Concluding Remarks

In closing, it is the hope of the author that the present study sheds some light on the 1999 Buffalo Walk and on the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo to the Lakota Sioux and Nez Perce people. If this study increases the understanding park managers have about the symbolic meaning of this treasured resource to park-associated tribes, then it is a success; because with understanding comes awareness and consideration of these meanings. This study is a tool for Yellowstone park managers to use in the ongoing task of building strong and open relationships with tribes. It is also a permanent record in park memory of the events of the 1999 Buffalo Walk and the beliefs of those who participated.

The voices of tribal consultants highlighted in this study show that the Lakota Sioux and the Nez Perce assign special symbolic meaning to the Yellowstone buffalo. The Buffalo Walk of 1999 demonstrated physically the importance of the buffalo to the tribes. The oral and written documentation of the Buffalo Walk and the cultural significance of Yellowstone buffalo in this report reveal that American Indian people are willing to share these beliefs with park managers; all managers have to do is ask and

7 This study and others of its kind could also prove to be an informative resource to agencies other than the National Park Service who have an interest or role in the management of the Yellowstone buffalo herd. These groups include, but are not limited to, the state of Montana, the United States Forest Service (USFS), and the United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS).
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listen. By consulting with open and informed minds, Yellowstone National Park managers can build meaningful, cooperative relationships with American Indians and work together to conserve the Yellowstone buffalo herd for future generations of all cultures.
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