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COURSE DESCRIPTION

With the increasing ability to use science and technology to restore damaged ecosystems comes a host of ethical and philosophical issues. A central theme in this class is integrating the restoration of ecosystems with the restoration of human communities to create sustainable bioregions and landscapes. We will be looking at developing a “restoration ethic” as a philosophical and moral grounding for this work, with the premise that restoring the earth requires restoring ourselves to the earth in the process. Yet, just because increasingly we can restore ecosystems does not necessarily mean that we should do so in all contexts. Hence the first section of the course reviews central concepts and practices in ecological restoration while introducing class group projects built around collaboration with the Clark Fork Coalition carrying out restoration projects in the Upper Clark Fork River watershed and western Montana. As a class we will examine community dimensions of the restoration process of the Upper Clark Fork watershed in the Deer Lodge Valley under way as part of the Superfund clean up of the Clark Fork River.

The second section of the course examines the ethical dimensions of several current case studies concerning restoration in wilderness to explore the question of whether active restoration in wilderness is ever ethically merited. We will do this in conjunction with the Mansfield Center’s conference on the 50th Anniversary of The Wilderness Act September 10-12, 2014. The final section of the class is an extended philosophical exploration of what counts as “good” restoration, and why. Within this discussion we will look at emerging issues around restoration in the context of novel ecosystems and climate change. Over the course of the semester students will have the opportunity to develop a framework for what counts as good restoration in our changing, dynamic 21st century context.

OBJECTIVES & LEARNING OUTCOMES:

Upon completion of this course, students should be able to:

1. Analyze, critically evaluate, and correctly apply basic ethical concepts and forms of moral reasoning from the field of environmental ethics to contemporary issues and practices in the field of ecological restoration.
2. Think critically about the moral, social and environmental effects of the practices of ecological restoration in diverse social and ecological contexts.
3. Apply moral analysis to a contemporary case study in restoration in the Upper Clark Fork River watershed.
4. Incorporate information literacy into the moral analysis of restoration issues and writing assignments by finding, evaluating, analyzing, and synthesizing information from diverse sources.
5. Write more clearly and effectively about complex ethical issues related to ecological restoration and be able to communicate these issues and multiple perspectives fairly and clearly to diverse audiences.

**REQUIRED TEXTS**


Readings on Moodle

**COURSE SCHEDULE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Class Topic and Assigned Readings</th>
<th>Reading Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Introduction: Key Concepts in Ecological Restoration and Ethics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/26</td>
<td><strong>TU 1</strong> Introduction to the course and to each other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8/28  | **TH 2** What is Ecological Restoration?  
The SER International Primer on Ecological Restoration  
Ch 1: “Overview: Basic Terms and Concepts”  
Ch 2: “Values and Ecological Restoration”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER                          | Moodle 1         |
| 9/2   | **TU 3** Key Concepts in Ecological Restoration  
Ch 3: “Disturbance and Impairment”  
Jim Harris & Rudy van Diggelen: “Ecological Restoration as a project for global society”  
Jelte van Andel and Ab P. Grootjans: “Concepts in Restoration Ecology”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER                          | Moodle 2         |
|       | **Speaker:** Cara Nelson, College of Forestry and Conservation                                       | Moodle 3         |
| 9/4   | **TH 4** Restoring the Upper Clark Fork: The Work of the Clark Fork Coalition and the Watershed Restoration Coalition  
Read: 2005 State of the Clark Fork: Understanding Our Watershed  
“History of Upper Clark Fork Basin Litigation: Background, Status, and Opportunities to Restore the Basin” by Cassandra Hemphill  
|       | **Speaker:** Will McDowell, Clark Fork Coalition                                                      | Moodle 6         |
9/5 FRI  Upper Clark Fork Field Trip: Dry Cottonwood Ranch (?)  
[Leave UM: 11:30 am; Return to UM: 6:00 pm]  
Project Facilitators: Maggie Schmidt and Will McDowell, Clark Fork Coalition

“The Focal Politics of the WRC” by Sarah Carvill  
Moodle 7

9/9 TU  Ethical Dilemmas in Restoration in Wilderness

Peter Landres et al: “Naturalness and Wildness: The Dilemma and Irony of Ecological Restoration in Wilderness”  
Moodle 8
Peter Landres: “Let It Be: A Hands-Off Approach to Preserving Wildness in Protected Areas”  
Moodle 9

Speaker: Peter Landres, Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute

[Wed-Fri: Mansfield Center 50th Anniversary of Wilderness Act]

9/11 TH  Restoration and Wilderness: Issues in Managing for Wildness and Naturalness in Wilderness

John Hendee et al: “Wilderness Management: Philosophical Directions”  
Moodle 13
Gregory Aplet & David Cole: “The Trouble with with Naturalness: Rethinking Park and Wilderness Goals”  
Moodle 14

9/12 Fri  Upper Clark Fork Field Trip: Restoration Project on the Thomas Ranch

9/13 Sat  Superfund Sites Field Trip in the Upper Clark Fork Watershed

9/16 TU  What We Restore in Ecological Restoration

Ch 4: “Recovery”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER
Ch 5: “Ecological Attributes of Restored Ecosystems”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER
Ch 6: “Semicultural Landscapes and Ecosystems”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER

9/18 TH  How We Restore: The Processes of Restoration

Ch 7: “Ecological References”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER
Ch 8: “Approaches to Restoration”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER
Ch 9: “Project Planning and Evaluation”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER

9/23 TU  Ecological Restoration as a Profession

Ch 10: “Relationship of Restoration to Related Fields”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER
Ch 11: “Projects and the Professions”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER
Ch 12: “Moving Restoration Forward -- Together”  
Clewell & Aronson, ER

Speaker: Pat Burke, Former President, Bitterroot Restoration, Inc.
II. RESTORATION IN WILDERNESS

*9/30 TU 11 Restoration and Wilderness: Case Study I in Managing for Wildness and Naturalness in Wilderness

Readings: Handouts & ERES
Facilitators: (Paper due: 10/7)

*10/2 TH 12 Restoration and Wilderness: Case Study II in Managing for Wildness and Naturalness in Wilderness

Readings: Handouts & ERES
Facilitators: (Paper due: 10/9)

10/4 S Blackfoot Watershed Restoration Tour

10/7 TU 13 Restoration and Wilderness: Case Study III in Managing for Wildness and Naturalness in Wilderness

Readings: Handouts & Moodle
Facilitators: (Paper due: 10/14)

Essay on the Ethics of Restoration in Wilderness due in class for 9/30 Facilitators

10/9 TH 14 Holistic and Reciprocal Restoration: Cultural and Ecological Restoration on the Flathead Reservation

Robin Kimmerer: “Restoration and Reciprocity: The Contributions of Traditional Ecological Knowledge”
Selections from Explore the River: Bull Trout, Tribal People, and The Jocko River

Speaker: Germaine White, Information and Education, Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes

Essay on the Ethics of Restoration in Wilderness due in class for 10/2 Facilitators
III. **ETHICAL ISSUES & DEBATES IN ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION**

10/14 TU 15  **Overview: Ethical Issues in Ecological Restoration**

John Cairns, Jr: “Ethical Issues in Ecological Restoration”  Moodie 17

Spectrum Exercises on Ecological Restoration

*Essay on the Ethics of Restoration in Wilderness due in class for 10/7 Facilitators*

*10/16 TH 16 Early Critics: Restoration as Faking Nature?*

Robert Elliot: “Faking Nature”  Moodie 18
Andrew Light: “Ecological Restoration and the Culture of Nature: A Pragmatic Perspective”  Moodie 21

Facilitators:
(Paper due: 10/23/14)

*10/21 TU 17 Early Debates on Restoration vs. Preservation*

G. Stanley Kane: “Restoration or Preservation? Reflections on a Clash of Environmental Philosophies”  Moodie 22
William Jordan: “Sunflower Forest”: Ecological Restoration as the Basis for a New Environmental Paradigm”  Moodie 23
William Jordan: “Weeding Key Biscayne”  Moodie 24

Facilitators:
(Paper due: 10/28/14)

10/23 TH 18  **What is Good Restoration? Introduction to Higgs’ *Nature by Design***

Introduction to *Nature By Design* (pp. 1-14)  Higgs, NBD

Ch. 1: A Tale of Two Wildernesses: Jasper National Park, Meet Disney World  Higgs, NBD

Ch. 2: Boundary Conditions (skim 59-75)  Higgs, NBD

10/28 TU 19  **Defining Ecological Restoration and the Question of History***

Ch. 3: What is Ecological Restoration?  Higgs, NBD
Ch. 4: Historicity and Reference in Ecological Restoration  Higgs, NBD
10/30 TH 20  The Risks of Commodification & Professionalization

Ch. 5: Denaturing Restoration

Higgs, NBD

11/6 TH 21  Community Participation in Restoration: Focal Restoration and Wild Design

Ch. 6: Focal Restoration & Ch. 7: Nature by Design

Higgs, NBD

IV. RESTORATION IN AN AGE OF NOVEL ECOSYSTEMS & CLIMATE CHANGE

11/13 TH 22 Restoration in an Age of Novel Ecosystems: I

Richard Hobbs, Eric Higgs, James Harris: “Novel Ecosystems: Implications for Conservation and Restoration” Moodle 25


Richard Hobbs, Eric Higgs, Carol Hall: “Defining Novel Ecosystems” Moodle 27


Brian Starzomski: Novel ecosystems and climate change Moodle 29

See also: www.wiley.com/go/hobbs/ecosystems

11/18 TU 23 Restoration in an Age of Novel Ecosystems: II

Kristin Hulvey, et al.: Incorporating novel ecosystems into Management frameworks Moodle 30

Andrew Light, Allen Thompson, Eric Higgs: Valuing novel ecosystems Moodle 31

Richard Hobbs, Eric Higgs, Carol Hall: What do we know about, and what do we do about, novel ecosystems? Moodle 32

Due in class: Higgs Critical Response Essay

*11/20 TH 24 Restoration in an Age of Climate Change: I

Allen Thompson and Jeremy Bendik-Keymer: Introduction: Adapting Humanity Intro, EACC

Ned Hettinger: Nature Restoration as a Paradigm for the Human Relationship with Nature Ch. 1, EACC

Class Facilitators:

*11/25 TU 25 Restoration in an Age of Climate Change: II

William Throop: Environmental Virtues and the Aims of Restoration Ch. 2, EACC

Ronald Sandler: Global Warming and Virtues of Ecological Restoration Ch. 3, EACC

Class Facilitators:
11/27 TH  Thanksgiving Holiday

*12/2 TU 26 Restoration in an Age of Climate Change: III

Eric Higgs: History, Novelty, and Virtue in Ecological Restoration  Ch. 4, EACC
Andrew Light: The Death of Restoration?  Ch. 6, EACC
David Schlossberg: Justice, Ecological Integrity, and Climate Change  Ch. 8, EACC

Class Facilitators:

12/4 TH 29  Wrap up & Discussion: What Makes an Ethic of Good Restoration?

Due: Final Take Home Exam Essay

WEIGHT AND TIMELINE OF ASSIGNMENTS

The total number of points available for class assignments is 500 points. In order of due dates, the assignments are:

1. Wilderness Restoration Case Study Essay  100 points  20%  due date  Oct 7, 9, 14
2. Class Facilitated Essays  75 points  15%  Oct 23, 28
3. Higgs Critical Response Essay  100 points  20%  Nov 18
4. Class Facilitation on Restoration & Climate Change  25 points  5%  Nov 20—Dec 2
5. Group Projects on Restoration at Dry Cottonwood Ranch & Individual Reflection Papers  50 points  10%  TBD
6. Take-Home Final Exam Essay  150 points  30%  Dec 11

Note: final grades will use pluses and minuses.

1. Ethical Analysis of a Case Study in Restoration in Wilderness: Class Facilitation and Reflective Essay. Each class member will have the opportunity to work in a small group to facilitate class discussion on a case study involving restoration in wilderness, using a framework by Peter Landres of the Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute. The case studies and instructions for the class facilitation will be given in class. The dates for the case studies are Tuesday, October 1, Thursday, October 3, and Tuesday, October 8. Each student will then write up a 6-8 page reflective essay on her/his case, reflecting on and analyzing the ethical dimensions of the case, and making a recommendation for how best to resolve it. Specific instructions will be given in class. Essay due in class on Tuesday, October 7, Thursday, October 9, or Tuesday, October 14.

2. Facilitation of Class Discussion and Critical Response Essay: Each student will have the opportunity to facilitate class discussion of one set of article(s) assigned for Section III of the class: “Ethical Issues and Debates in Ecological Restoration.” The class should be organized as a discussion of the texts, not a presentation. You will then write a response paper to the article(s) discussed in that class. Your paper should contain (a) a clear summary exposition of the main points of the reading(s) (2-3 pages) as well as (b) your thoughtful assessment of and response to the
materials and ideas presented in the text (2-3 pages). (Total Length: 5-6 pages, double-spaced),
Due in class Thursday, October 23 and Tuesday, October 28.

3. Critical Response Essay Eric Higgs’ Nature By Design: A 6-8 page critical response essay to the primary course text, Eric Higgs’ book, Nature by Design: People, Natural Process, and Ecological Restoration. The first part of this essay should be a thoughtful exposition (i.e. summary and explanation) of the main themes from the book with 1-2 paragraphs dedicated to each chapter. The second section should be your response to the issues Higgs raises and argues: where do you agree with Higgs, disagree, and why? Does Higgs provide a helpful framework for assessing the ethical dimensions of ecological restoration? Where is his framework most helpful, least helpful, and where could it or should it be developed further or differently? Due in class, Tuesday, November 18.

NOTE: Your audience for the papers in 1, 2, & 3 is someone who has not read these texts. This means your exposition section must be clear and sufficiently well-developed so that the position and arguments of the author(s) would be clear to someone who has not read them, and would satisfy the author of a fair representation of his or her arguments.

4. Small Group Projects: The entire class will partner with the Clark Fork Coalition in their restoration and monitoring work on the Dry Cottonwood Ranch south of Deer Lodge. We will meet to get an overview of the Dry Cottonwood Ranch and their restoration and monitoring work with Will McDowell and Maggie Schmidt on Friday, Sept 5 in the afternoon. Small group teams will then be expected to carry out their individual projects on one of the additional field days scheduled for Fri-Sat [Dates TBD]. Groups will work throughout the first part of the semester to record and format the data for use in ranch monitoring. More information about the structure of the projects and the individual reflection papers will be given in class.

5. Class Facilitation of readings from Ethical Adaptation to Climate Change: These three classes will each focus on a different section of the text, Ethical Adaptation to Climate Change. Student teams will facilitate each class. In preparing for class, assume that everyone has read the chapters carefully, so you do not need to present on the content of the chapters. Rather, facilitate a critical conversation on the key themes and concepts, and what contributions, if any, they make to the discussion of what counts as good ecological restoration and why.

6. Take-Home Final Exam Essay:

Option A: Throughout the semester, we have considered ethical issues in ecological restoration through two primary lenses: (1) the philosophical question, “What is good ecological restoration?” posed most acutely by philosopher Eric Higgs (and posed by others as “Can restoration even be good?”); (2) the on-the-ground restoration planning and projects, both in Wilderness, and in the Clark Fork watershed being stimulated by the Superfund clean-up of the Clark Fork River and the community planning being spearheaded by the Clark Fork Coalition and other organizations involved in restoration.

In light of this semester-long conversation, write an 8-10 page essay (double-spaced) addressing two questions:

(1) “What kind of restoration ethic is needed to guide ecological restoration efforts, and why?”
(2) “What difference, if any, do emerging factors of climate change and novel ecosystems make for developing a restoration ethic, and why?”
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(3) “Is the restoration and clean up process ongoing in the Clark Fork watershed an example of good restoration? Why or why not?

In your essay, please draw on several of the assigned readings as “conversation partners” in developing your response, as well as insights gained from class discussions, field trips, speakers and any additional reading you have done. In your answer, please consider and respond to these questions:

• Which authors have been most helpful to you in formulating your ethic, which most problematic, and why?

• What are the strengths and weaknesses, problems and potential, of ongoing restoration in the Clark Fork watershed, such as the Superfund clean up of the Clark Fork River in the Deer Lodge valley and the work of the Clark Fork Coalition on the Dry Cottonwood Ranch?

• What are the most important insights you have gained about restoration from this semester-long immersion?

Option B: Throughout the semester, we have considered the philosophical question, “What is good ecological restoration?” We have looked at this question through several lenses, especially through Eric Higgs’ text, Nature By Design. For this essay, use Higgs’ text as a departure point to investigate and analyze in greater depth an issue you believe is central to the question of good ecological restoration, but that Higgs either does not address, or addresses inadequately. Your paper should not be a rehashing of the issues in Higgs or your response paper to Higgs, but rather a new and more in-depth examination of other dimensions you believe need to be factored into the question of what counts for good ecological restoration, and why. Draw on other authors (including those we read in class or others you bring in), to help develop your analysis. What I am looking for in this essay is your ability to take the conversations and readings we have engaged this semester about good ecological restoration as a starting point that you move beyond and address a new or additional issue(s) in greater depth. Your final essay should be 8-10 pages, double-spaced. Please clear your topic with me prior to writing it.

Bring your completed essay to our classroom during the final exam period, 3:20—5:20 pm Thursday, December 11, 2014, where each of you will have the opportunity to share a synopsis of what you have written. Baked goodies to share with your classmates are also appropriate at this time. 😊

CLASS POLICIES:

Note Re Papers: Papers are due in class on or before the date listed in the syllabus. Unless you have made a prior agreement with me, I will take off one grade level (A becomes A-) for each class day an assignment is late. Papers with an undue number of errors of punctuation, spelling, or grammar will be marked down and may be returned ungraded for correction. Written work will be evaluated in terms of your depth of critical analysis, thoughtfulness of reflection, clarity of writing, and ability to address issues raised in the text and in class on the topic at hand. Grades given reflect the following criteria of judgment:

F: Failure to meet minimum requirements
D: Unsatisfactory, but some effort to meet minimum requirements
C: Satisfactory; meets minimum requirements of assignment but not much more
B: Good to Very Good: thoughtful reflection, good analysis, clear writing style
A: Excellent depth of critical analysis, thoughtfulness of reflection, and writing style; demonstrate creativity and mature analytical skills in going beyond the primary requirements of the assignment

Attendance: Regular attendance and participation in the class is expected. More than three (3) absences will result in losing any benefit of the doubt on your final grade. More than four (4) absences will result in one grade reduction. More than five (5) absences will result in a failing course grade. Late arrivals in class will count as an absence. (Note: If you have a valid reason for missing several classes, such as illness or other conflicting commitments, you still must speak with the instructor).

Academic Dishonesty and Plagiarism: All work submitted is expected to be the student's own. Any acts of plagiarism or academic dishonesty will result in automatic failure of the course, and may result in further academic punishment. If you have any doubts about definitions of plagiarism or academic dishonesty, please review the relevant sections of the University Catalog.

Students with Disabilities: The University of Montana assures equal access to instruction through collaboration between students with disabilities, instructors, and Disability Services for Students. If you think you may have a disability adversely affecting your academic performance, and you have not already registered with Disability Services, please contact Disability Services in Lommason Center 154 or 406.243.2243. I will work with you and Disability Services to provide an appropriate modification. I am in the process of converting all of the materials in the class to an accessible format. If you find any course materials are not in an accessible format for you, please let me know and I will work with DSS to change the format.

Important University Policies, Dates and Deadlines

For Important Dates and University policies, check Cyberbear at http://cyberbear.umt.edu/

Incomplete Grade: Please see the criteria that must be met for an Incomplete in the University Catalog. No exceptions will be made for these criteria.

Moodle: Course assignments and readings are also posted on Moodle, which may be accessed at https://https://moodle.umt.edu
Additional Readings, ENST 594_01, Fall 2014
Ethical Issues in Ecological Restoration

1. The SER International Primer on Ecological Restoration

2. Jim A. Harris and Rudy van Diggelen. Ecological restoration as a project for global society.


4. 2005 State of the Clark Fork: Understanding Our Watershed

5. History of Upper Clark Fork Basin Litigation: Background, Status, and Opportunities to

6. Sarah Carvill. The Focal Politics of the WRC in *On This Piece of Ground: Landowner
   Perceptions of Restoration in the Deer Lodge Valley.* Unpublished Master’s Thesis, The
   University of Montana, 2009.

7. Peter Landres, Mark Brunson, and Linda Merigliano. Naturalness and Wildness: The
   Publication #417, 77-82.

8. Peter Landres. Let it Be: A Hands-Off Approach to Preserving Wildness and Naturalness in
   Wilderness. In David N. Cole and Laurie Yung, eds. *Beyond Naturalness: Rethinking Park
   and Wilderness Stewardship in an Era of Rapid Change.* Washington, D.C.: Island Press,
   2010, 88-105.

9. Milltown Dam News Overview January 2010
   (www.cfrtac.org/images/pdf/january2010/dam_news_09_single_panel.pdf)

10. The Three R’s of the Milltown Reservoir Superfund Project (www.cfrtac.org/061009b.html)

11. The Other End of the Dam Project (www.cfrtac.org/061009d.html)


13. Gregory Aplet & David Cole. The Trouble with Naturalness: Rethinking Park and
    Wilderness Goals. In *Beyond Naturalness: Rethinking Park and Wilderness Stewardship in
    an Era of Rapid Change.* David Cole and Laurie Yung, eds. Washington D.C.: Island Press,
    2010, 12-29.


